

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.340 of 2023</p> <p>P.R. GambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.05.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 04.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>
	NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.90 of 2023 with O.A. No.91 of 2023</p> <p>A.J. Pathan S.S. NarwadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Admit.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.06.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1122 of 2022</p> <p>H.S. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for Final Hearing on 06.07.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1120 of 2022</p> <p>M.B. BhaleraoApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today Affidavit-in-Reply is filed by learned P.O.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time for file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.05.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.580 of 2022</p> <p>A.N. PachkudeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.R. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. files Affidavit-in-Reply today.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for Final Hearing on 06.07.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.538 of 2021</p> <p>C.B. KatkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Pratik P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.P. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks three weeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.04.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p>M.A. No.211 of 2023 in O.A. No.116 of 2021 with M.A. No.215 of 2023 in O.A. No.823 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Bhusare A.T. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule & Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Parity is sought as per Judgment in O.A. No.25/2019 decided on 08.02.2023 by the Tribunal, Bench at Aurangabad.</p> <p>3. Adjourned by three weeks, copy be served on other side.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.04.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.188 of 2023 in O.A. No.418 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. PathakApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sarang Gundajwar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that M.A. is moved for early earning of the O.A. O.A. is filed for deduction of 6% pension per month, for ten years. Applicant had retired on 31.12.2010.</p> <p>3. At present we are taking matters of 2016 along with Urgent Admission, C.A. for Order and M.A. for order of 2023.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that the subject of O.A. falls under Single Bench.</p> <p>5. I/C. Registrar is directed to place the matter before Single Bench.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <i>12/4</i> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p>M.A. No.80 of 2023 in O.A. No.79 of 2023</p> <p>K.S. TordmalIntervener R.N. SaykarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.80/2023, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.79/2023 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the file is pending before Mr. Suryawanshi, Joint Secretary, G.A.D. (Desk-16), Mantralaya, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. We hope that Mr. Suryawanshi will act speedily. It appears that process is very slow so we direct Respondent No.1 – Superintendent of Police (Railways), Pune to keep one post of OBC category vacant in the ongoing selection process.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.04.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.07 of 2023 in O.A. No.96 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. M.M. Mahind & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In view of the order issued by Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.2402/2021, Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to amend the prayer. Amendment be carried out forthwith. 3. Learned P.O. seeks one week time. 4. S.O. to 13.04.2023. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A. No.32 of 2023 in O.A. No.496 of 2020</p> <p>A.B. Baviskar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the fact of the case, we only grant two weeks time for the compliance of the order of this Tribunal with specific direction that we will not extend further time at all.</p> <p>3. S.O. 20.04.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A. No.27 of 2023 in O.A. No.736 of 2021</p> <p>V.D. WakhareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.S. Dhannawat, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Contempt is for compliance of the order dated 30.11.2022. Two weeks time was granted for compliance and the time got over on 30.01.2023.</p> <p>3. Today learned P.O. submits that the order of the Tribunal about interest on Gratuity, leave encashment, GIS, provisional pension and other benefits are paid and hence the Order of the Tribunal dated 30.11.2022 is complied. She produces receipt, it is marked as Court Exhibit No.C1.</p> <p>4. In view of the receipt, C.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.01 of 2023 in O.A. No.337 of 2022</p> <p>D.W. PandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.50 of 2023</p> <p>G.G. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks three weeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.04. 2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.226 of 2023 with M.A. No.131 of 2023</p> <p>N.L. KhairnarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Gosavi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Pursis for the amendment that he wants to add private person in the array of Respondent. Allowed to carry out amendment as prayed. 3. Learned P.O. files Affidavit-in-Reply today. Copy of the Reply is served to the other side. 4. Amended copy to be served on other side. 5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 6. Issue notice to new added Respondent before admission returnable on 21.04.2023. 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

11. S.O. to 21.04.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.341 of 2023 with O.A. No.342 of 2023</p> <p>T.N. Khandekar M.M. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he is covered by Judgment of this Tribunal in O.A. No.373/2021 in the matter of Mr. A.K. Jadhav dated 22.11.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instruction and verify whether it is covered by the Judgment in O.A. No.373/2021 or not.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.04.2023.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 27.04.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.132 of 2023 in M.A. No.133 of 2023 in M.A. No.554 of 2019 in O.A. No.998 of 2019</p> <p>S.R. KumbharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Ankita Salvi, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant moved application for Condonation of delay and Restoration. The Applicant who had appeared in the selection process of 1992 for the post of Police Constable. O.A. was filed in the year 2019 challenging communication dated 28.02.2018 for not selecting the Applicant. O.A. was dismissed for want of prosecution on 20.02.2020. It is submitted by learned Advocate for the Applicant that the learned Counsel reached on the same day and requested to pardon the delay for not reaching in time when the matter was called out. However his request was not considered on that day. Thereafter he informed the Applicant but that time even the Applicant was not accessible. However, later even the Applicant could not contact him and thereafter there was COVID-19 and so he could not file the M.A. for Condonation of delay and restoration.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. opposes the application and submits that she wants to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Delay of 223 days is not sustainable and more than that if the learned Advocate for the Applicant had reached on the same day, we fail to understand why he did not make the application on same day for restoring the matter. There is no satisfactory explanation in respect of delay. M.A. for restoration of the O.A. should have been filed within 90 days but due to COVID-19 Pandemic it was filed in 2023. But still there is delay.

5. We would like to point out that when the O.A. was filed the age of the Applicant was 52 years and as the prayer is made to give him appointment in the selection whereas today his age is 56 years.

6. MA seeking condonation of delay is rejected. Since the M.A. is dismissed the Original Application also stands dismissed.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.94 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. ZadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Commissioner of Police, Pune is hereby directed to serve on Respondent No.2 – Yogesh K. Sonkamble who is working at Church Path, Camp Pune.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to produce Recruitment Rules.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.04.2023.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.685 of 2016</u></p> <p>Hemlata B. Gavhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.3 and his advocate are not present.</p> <p>3. The applicant aspires to be an Assistant Commissioner/Project Officer, Class-II, Group-A in the Tribal Development Department as per advertisement dated 31.3.2015 issued by the MPSC for 7 posts. He challenges the recommendation list dated 8.1.2016 to the extent of last in merit female open category candidate and physically handicapped category. He challenges the selection of respondent no.3.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has pointed out orders dated 10.3.2017, 8.1.2018 & 18.1.2019 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.2931 of 2017 filed by respondent no.3 Shri Narayan Sudhakar Khambayat.</p> <p>5. Though the matter is fixed today, respondent no.3 is not present. The respondent no.3 is directed to remain present otherwise we will proceed with the matter. We make it clear that there is no stay of the proceedings before this Tribunal. This matter is of 2016. However, after going through the record and after hearing both the sides we are of the view that matter can be decided after confirmed stand is taken by respondents no.1 & 2. It is up to respondent no.3 to satisfy on the point why decision of the referral board is not to be accepted by us.</p> <p>6. Ld. PO submits that MPSC has recommended the name of respondent no.3. It means MPSC has accepted that respondent no.3 was having 40% disability. After recommendation from MPSC if Government has power of verification of the certificate of disability. If the certificates are issued by Medical Board, Dhule dated 22.4.2016 and Medical Board, Sassoon Hospital dated 29.3.2016 why respondent State did not take steps since 2016.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 20.4.2013. Deputy Secretary, Tribal Development Department is directed to remain present. Part Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha)Gadgil Member (A) 6.4.2023 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2023</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1079 of 2019</u></p> <p>Madhuri S. Chougule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces a copy of order dated 10.3.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.2767 of 2023 Madhuri Sanjay Chougule Vs. MPSC & Anr., which reads as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed Original Application No. 1079 of 2019 before the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai (hereafter “the Tribunal”, for short). The same is pending and not yet decided. The learned counsel submits that the pleadings are completed.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">2. It is submitted that the original application is fixed for final hearing since the year 2020. The petitioner is seeking appointment.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">3. We are not aware of the number of matters pending before the Tribunal. The petitioner may make an application before the Tribunal for expeditious disposal of the original application. The Tribunal certainly would consider the exigency in the matter and may take a decision with regard to the expeditious disposal.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">4. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.”</p> <p>4. We take matters serially of selection/appointment. Hence, S.O. to 12.4.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.391 of 2022

D.C. Ghoderao & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The matter is suo moto taken on board. This matter is already adjourned to 19.6.2023 on board.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants has tendered a copy of order dated 20.3.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.3381/2023 filed by Ashok S. Choudhari Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. which reads as under:

"1. The Petition is filed seeking directions to the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Tribunal) to decide the Original Application within a time bound manner.

2. It is submitted that next date before the Tribunal is fixed on 4 April 2023. The Petitioner seeks Assured Career Progression Scheme (ACPS) benefit. The Petitioner may request the Tribunal for expeditious disposal of the matter. We are not aware of the number of matters pending before the Tribunal. If the request is made by the Petitioner for early disposal of the Original Application, the Tribunal may consider the same on its own merits. With these observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs."

4. This is a matter of seniority. Ld. Advocate for the applicant pointed out the above order dated 20.3.2023. We make it clear that we have matters pending since 2016. Due to COVID-19 the matters could not be disposed of. It is necessary to give top priority to older matters and also similarly we are dealing with the matters of selection and appointment of 2022 and 2023. Due to recruitment drive taken by MPSC, filing against MPSC is increasing reasonably and therefore it is not possible for the Tribunal to take matters other than selection and appointment or very urgent of the senior citizens of 2016.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
6.4.2023

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
6.4.2023

(sgi)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1029 of 2016</u></p> <p>Maharashtra Rajya Padvidhar Prathmik Shikshan Va Kendrapramukh Sabha & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants and their Advocate are absent.</p> <p>3. The matter is called out and nobody is present for the applicants.</p> <p>4. Hence, dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson 6.4.2023 / 6.4.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1216 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. V. K. PatneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.362 of 2023</p> <p>M. V. GaradApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply since the issue of jurisdiction and competency is involved and it needs to be decided after considering Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. The issue of interim relief is kept open.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.225 of 2023</p> <p>K. R. Koli ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. was initially disposed of on 01.03.2023 by giving certain directions. However, today in view of the order passed in M.A. No.171/2023, order dated 01.03.2023 is recalled for the decision of O.A. on merit.</p> <p>3. In view of above, two weeks time is granted to learned P.O. to file reply so that O.A. could be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p>M.A. No.171 of 2023 in O.A.No.225 of 2023</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>K. R. Koli ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to recall the order dated 01.03.2023 passed in O.A.No.225/2023.</p> <p>3. O.A. No.225/2023 was disposed of in view of the statement made by learned P.O. on instructions from Shri A.B. Jadhav, ACP, SRPF, Group - II, Navi Mumbai. Learned P.O. made a statement that Respondent No.1 would reconsider the decision which ^{was} challenged in the O.A.</p> <p>4. Now, this M.A. is filed to recall the order dated 01.03.2023 passed in O.A.225/2023 stating that Shri A. B. Jadhav, ACP had no proper information about the matter and, therefore, conversation between him and learned P.O. cannot be the ground for direction given by the Tribunal. Learned P.O., therefore, urged to recall the order and to decide the O.A. on merit.</p> <p>5. The perusal of record reveals that O.A. No.225/2023 was disposed of on the basis of submission made by learned P.O. which he made upon taking instructions from Shri A.B. Jadhav, ACP, SRPF, Navi Mumbai. In O.A., the reply was not filed and matter was disposed of in view of the statement made by learned P.O.</p> <p>6. In view of above, it would be appropriate and desirable to decide the O.A. on merit. The order dated 01.03.2023 passed in O.A. be, therefore, recalled and M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.950/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.G. Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Shrikant Kompelli holding for Shri R.P Sabban, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A, the Applicant sought direction for regularization/absorption in service on the post of Medical Officer (Class-II).</p> <p>3. However, the perusal of Office Order dated 28.05.2020 reveals that as per Serial No.20, subject to absorption of Medical Officers is assigned to Division Bench.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Registrar is directed to look into the matter and place it before appropriate Bench.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27th April, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 06.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.649/2022</u></p> <p>Shri I.M. Birajdar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for hearing at the stage of admission, but none is present on the side of Applicant. However, in the interest of justice, adjourned to give an opportunity to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25th April, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 06.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.1278 of 2022</p> <p>P. S. SalviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Samrudhi holding for S. Lavte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.2 has filed reply only to which learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. No reply is filed on behalf of remaining Respondents. Hence, matter ^{to} proceeded against them without reply.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 26.04.2023.</p> <p align="right"> ^h Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1314 of 2022</p> <p>P. Chinpayan & Anrs ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Anjaly Kolapkar holding for Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.31 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. P. D. Shendge & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.59 of 2023</p> <p>Y. M. RawoolApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.100 of 2023</p> <p>P. G. GosaviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and same will be during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record and copy be served to other side.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.134 of 2023</p> <p>C. R. GangodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.196 of 2023</p> <p>J. M. DevkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri N. N. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.214 of 2023</p> <p>P. B. Bhoite & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three days time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.04.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 147 of 2023</p> <p>M. V. GaradApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. and tendered letter of the Applicant to that effect. The letter is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw O.A.</p> <p>4. interim relief granted by the Tribunal by order dated 06.02.2023 stands vacated.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.225 of 2023 in O.A.No.352 of 2023</p> <p>N. A. Katti & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay caused in filing O.A. calculated as 10 months. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.04.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 26.04.2023. Sd/- <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1235 of 2022</p> <p>G. V. BellaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder in the meantime.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p>O.A.No. 1253 of 2022 with O.A.04 of 2023 with O.A.37 of 2023 with O.A.43 of 2023 with O.A.146 of 2023</p> <p>A. K. Agale & Ors. D. D. Jagtap & Ors. S. R. Kudale S. B. Mosamkar C. S. Bhor & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U, V, Bhosale, Shri M. D. Lonkar, Shri R. R. Asawa and Shri R. L. Kulkarni, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K. with Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.13/2023 with O.A.202/2023</u></p> <p>Shri D.P. Valpe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri A. Khandekar, Advocate for Respondent No.2 is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 has filed Affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. These O.As be also kept along with connected O.A.No.258/2023 which is already fixed on 13th April, 2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13th April, 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 06.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.781/2016</u></p> <p>Smt. M.R. Ghate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, 10 days' time is granted to file Additional Affidavit-in-reply with annexures, since Affidavit-in-reply filed earlier is incomplete and lacking material particulars.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17th April, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 06.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 06.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.374 of 2023</p> <p>K. M. Suravase ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Present O.A. is filed to the extent of challenging the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.63/203 on 28.03.2023 about reinstatement of the Applicant on the post she was holding at the time of suspension within two weeks from the date of order.</p> <p>3. In that O.A, the Applicant Smt. Trupti Kolte challenged the suspension order dated 09.12.2022. The present Applicant seems to have been posted in place of Applicant after her suspension. The Tribunal by order dated 28.03.2023 allowed the O.A. and suspension order dated 09.12.2022 is quashed and set aside with directions to reinstate the Applicant on the post she was holding at the time of suspension.</p> <p>4. Shri Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought interim relief inter-alia contending that present Applicant was not made party in O.A.No.63/2023 and now he is affected by the order of Tribunal dated 28.03.2023 since he is likely to be disturbed from the present post in compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel who appeared in O.A.No.63/2023 is present and undertake to file Vakalatnama. Learned P.O. also sought time for hearing of interim relief.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 11.04.2023 for consideration of interim relief.</p> <p>7. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.04.2023.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 11.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>