

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.27 of 2022</p> <p>M.S. KhadseApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ketan Dabke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply on 20.04.2022. Adjourned for hearing on 06.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1020 of 2021</p> <p>J.G.. NaikApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate seeks permission to file M.A. for leave to amend the O.A.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Adjourned to 18.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.132 of 2022 in O.A. No.757 of 2020</u></p> <p>C.M. Kabade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.B. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed this MA for amending the OA as per schedules annexed to the MA.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. For the reasons stated therein MA for amendment is allowed. Amendment be carried out within one week and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>5. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply to the amended OA.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 6.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1025 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sarang S. Gundajwar holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.7.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022 </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022 (sgj) </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.555 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.N. Rane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.7.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022</td> <td align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.930 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.A. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.7.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.85 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.N. Jadhav (Kumbhar) ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that Non-Creamy Layer Certificate of relevant year is not attached to the OA. Hence, she submits that OA is not maintainable.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.204 of 2022</u></p> <p>T.S. Bitake ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.4.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 6.4.2022 6.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.154 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Samant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to add The Director, Sainik Welfare Department, Pune and Zilla Sainik Welfare Office, Thane as party respondents.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>4. Issue notice to added respondents. They are directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>5. In this matter GAD has clearly stated that this post can be de-reserved if they get NOC from Zilla Sainik Welfare Office, Thane.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 20.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>06.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 15/2022 with M.A 49/2021 in O.A 215/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.R Pawar & Ors Shri M.P Samang ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashodeep Deshmukh i/b Ms Sonali Pawar, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A 15/2022, Shri J.S Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant in M.A 49/2021 in O.A 215/2022 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr Yashodeep Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants has argued the matter today and it is Part Heard and fixed on 8.4.2022.</p> <p>3. In M.A 49/2021 in O.A 215/2020, learned counsel for the applicant Mr Deshmukh, pointed out that in Paper No. 5, question No. 4(b)(iv) is checked as wrong answer, though it is correct. Question No. 4(b)(vi) of Question No. 5 is not checked by paper checker treating the same as extra.</p> <p>4. Paper No. 5 is on Precise and Draft and that is without books. Question No. 4(b) Write the opposite of (any five). Learned counsel for the applicant argued that for opposite of the word 'Compulsory' the applicant has written 'optional/mandatory'. Therefore, it is treated as wrong answer, as the applicant has given two answers. The applicant has attended sixth option, i.e. for 'Vertical' he has written 'Horizontal'. However, it was considered as extra attended question and therefore it was not examined.</p> <p>5. Respondents to file reply to the same on the next date.</p> <p>6. S.O to 8.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="center">Tribunal's orders Date : 06.04.2022</p>
	<p align="center">O.A.No.1034 of 2021</p> <p>V. B. BelgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent. 2. This is Part-Heard matter wherein challenge is to the suspension order dated 28.09.2021. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant in his yesterday's argument sought to assail legality of suspension order mainly on two grounds. Firstly the suspension order is silent as to which specific provision of Section 25 of Maharashtra Police Act is invoked while suspending the Applicant and secondly on the point of competency of Commissioner of Police. 4. In impugned suspension order, the Commissioner of Police invoked Section 25 of Maharashtra Police Act (without specifying sub-clause) read with Rule 3 of Maharashtra Police (Punishment & Appeal) Rules, 1956. 5. As per Section 25(2)(a), the Commissioner is empowered to punish an Inspector by way of punishment whereas as per proviso to Section 25(1), there could be suspension by competent authority pending an inquiry into conduct of Police Officer on investigation of an complaint against him of any criminal offence shall not be deemed to be an punishment. 6. Today, during the course of argument, learned P.O. sought to contend that inquiry was already initiated against the Applicant, and therefore, suspension is valid. In this behalf, she sought permission to file on record the documents to show that inquiry was pending at the relevant time. She submits that while filing reply it was not pleaded and remained to be annexed. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. On going through the Affidavit in Reply filed by Respondent, it is noticed that no such specific pleading is taken about pendency of inquiry and not a single document was annexed with Affidavit in Reply which shows lapses on the part of Shri Maniksing Patil, Assistant Commissioner of Police who has filed the Affidavit and also on the part of Shri Babasaheb Salunkhe, Assistant Commissioner of Police who has filed Sur-Rejoinder. They should have taken care and ensure the proper instructions to learned P.O. and should have filed all necessary documents in support of suspension order. The Respondent - Commissioner of Police is required to look into the matter.</p> <p>8. Often Affidavit in Reply are filed without taking proper care and verification of record which can result miscarriage of justice.</p> <p>9. In order to decide the matter properly, I am inclined to give one day time to learned P.O. to file additional affidavit along with annexures she wants to produce in support of her submission.</p> <p>10. Learned P.O. submitted that Shri Maniksing Patil the then Assistant Commissioner of Police who has filed reply initially already stands retired. Whereas Shri Babasaheb Salunkhe, Assistant Commissioner of Police who has filed Sur-Rejoinder is in service.</p> <p>11. In view of above, if P.O. wants to file reply, it should be on affidavit of Commissioner of Police explaining lapses earlier committed by his subordinates and steps he intends to take in this behalf.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 08.04.2022 for filing Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.04.2022

O.A.No.990 of 2021

C. V. Potdar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. O.A. is for hearing at the stage of admission since the Respondent has failed to file reply despite enough chances.
3. When the matter was taken up for hearing today, learned P.O. sought permission to tender reply sworn by Shri Gorakh Darekar working as Police Inspector, Control Room in the office of Commissioner of Police.
4. The matter pertains to appointment on compassionate ground. Therefore, responsible officer who is dealing with administration is supposed to file reply and not Police Inspector attached to Control Room.
5. Learned P.O. stated that administration department is headed by Joint Commissioner of Police. It is, therefore, necessary that in all the service matters which are relating to administration, Affidavit in Reply in Tribunal is required to be filed either by Joint Commissioner of Police or by any other officer working under him not below the rank of Assistant Commissioner of Police who is well conversant with the facts of the case so that proper Affidavit in Reply ^{is} filed with sense of responsibility.
6. Learned P.O. is, therefore, directed to take necessary steps to ensure that Affidavit in Reply is filed as directed above.
7. Time granted till 11.04.2022 to file reply by appropriate authority as directed above.
8. Steno copy granted.
9. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.199 of 2020</p> <p>A. L. A. MujawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit of Shri Maruti Patil, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur stating that record of the matter being quite old is not traceable. Affidavit is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.73 of 2022 in O.A.No.738 of 2018</p> <p>Shri C. V. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. On call, Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale is absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned P.O. for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought permission to file short Affidavit in Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 stating that copy of it with annexures is already supplied to Advocate Shri K. R. Jagdale. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing to 18.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.407 of 2021</p> <p>R.M. DhangareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.168 of 2022 in O.A. No.260 of 2021</p> <p>M. M. MahaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to take instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- M (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.Nos.214, 215 & 216 of 2022</p> <p>S. T. Motkari & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.261 of 2022</p> <p>S.J. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.04.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 19.04.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.533 of 2021 in O.A. No.948 of 2021</u></p> <p>K.V. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Ranjit Agashi, Ld. Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent Chief Executive Officer, ZP, Ratnagiri.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit on the point of maintainability so far as respondent is concerned.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.04.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 264, 425, 426, 436/2016, O.A 175/2017, O.A 658/2018, O.A 292/2019, O.A 453/2019, O.A 96, 108, 109, 216, 254, 468, 469, 511/2020 O.A 215, 352, 364, 643, 645, 892, 982 and 1020/2021</u></p> <p>Shri C.B Sawant & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.B Chalak, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 1020/2021 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O with Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that affidavit in reply will be filed within two days. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.4.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.04.2022</u></p> <p><u>C.A 43/2021 in O.A 400/2019 with C.A 44/2021 in O.A 832/2019 with C.A 49/2020 in O.A 822/2019 with C.A 50/2020 in O.A 823/2019 with C.A 51/2020 in O.A 824/2019 with C.A 52/2020 in O.A 825/2019 and C.A 53/2020 in O.A 826/2019.</u></p> <p>Dr C.D Chapte & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the Respondents have filed Writ Petition Stamp No. 21452/2021 in the matter of Dr D.A Wani and W.P Stamp No. 21447/2021 in the matter of Dr S.K Balvant and in the other cases the process is going on for filing Writ Petitions.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits that the Hon'ble High Court has stayed the contempt proceedings in the matter where similar issue is involved.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O therefore seeks time. Time granted.</p> <p>5. S.O to 2.5.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- 2</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.04.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 264, 315, 425, 426, 436/2016, O.A 175/2017, O.A 658/2018, O.A 292/2019, O.A 453/2019, O.A 96, 108, 109, 216, 254, 468, 469, 511/2020 O.A 215, 352, 364, 643, 645, 850, 892, 915, 982 and 1020/2021</u></p> <p>Shri C.B Sawant & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 315/2016, 850/2021 and 915/2021 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O with Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants Mr Dere states that the issued involved in these three matters is different and hence the said matters be detagged from the present group of matters.</p> <p>3. Accordingly O.A 315/2016, 850/2021 & 915/2021 be de-tagged from the present group of matters.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O states that reply is filed in O.A 850/2021 and reply is not filed in O.A 915/2021.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, O.A 315/2016, 850 & 915/2021 be placed on 25.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.380 of 2021 in O.A. No.427 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.B. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. None for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO states that copy of MA is not served.</p> <p>4. If copy of MA is not served within two weeks, MA will be dismissed on the next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.299 of 2021 in O.A. No.370 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.A.R. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Hitesh B. Sangle holding for Shri H.S. Chitale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.4.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022 (sg)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.4 of 2022 in O.A. No.613 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.V. Mahajan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.2 is present in the Court. Ld. PO on instructions submits that proposal in this matter has been received by the Home Department on 22.3.2022. She further states that applicant was sent to the Medical Board of J.J. Group of Hospitals, Mumbai on 5.4.2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to add (1) General Administration Department (2) Finance Department and (3) Social Justice Department as party respondents.</p> <p>4. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 5.5.2022 for reporting compliance of the order.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.26 of 2020 in O.A. No.1125 of 2013</u></p> <p>P.S. Sakhare & 2 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he would like to take inspection of the record of OA No.1125 of 2013 to trace some judgment of the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.4.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.10 of 2021</u></p> <p>N.N. Ghode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply and rejoinder are filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.6.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.16 of 2018 in O.A. No.670 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. C.G. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the order dated 23.3.2017 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA is not complied with and prays for initiation of contempt proceedings against the concerned respondents.</p> <p>3. We are informed that W.P. No.5124 of 2018 has been filed in the Hon'ble High Court. The present CA has appeared on number of dates before this Tribunal. However, from November, 2021 we gave time to the department to bring orders of the Hon'ble High Court. It appears that the Hon'ble High Court has not passed any order especially staying the order of the Tribunal. Under such circumstances we cannot keep pending this CA for long time. The applicant is a senior citizen and waiting for implementation of the order since 2017.</p> <p>4. Under these circumstances, we issue notice to the respondents returnable in four weeks, why action for contempt is not to be taken. The respondents may comply with the order of the Tribunal within this period.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 6.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 6.4.2022 6.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.218 of 2022</p> <p>B.T. KolekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.181 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. BhoirApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.126 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. Yadav & 3 Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. filed Affidavit on behalf of Shri Vishwas N. Patil in view of order passed by the Tribunal on 28.03.2022. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he will file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.180 of 2022 in O.A. No.06 of 2021</p> <p>U.R. HarmalkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Sadhna Singh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for restoration of O.A. which was dismissed in default on 25.02.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that due to illness she could not remain present, and therefore requested to restore O.A.</p> <p>4. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate were continuously absent for 3 / 4 dates when the matter was taken up for hearing.</p> <p>5. However in the interest of justice, O.A. is restore to decide the matter on merit subject to cost of Rs.2,000/- (Rupees Two Thousand Only) payable in the office within seven days from today.</p> <p>6. If cost is paid within seven days, O.A. be listed for Final Hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.201 of 2022 in O.A. No.26 of 2022</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed in pending O.A. which is already kept on 11.04.2022 for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. time is granted to file Reply to M.A. and matter be kept on fixed date i.e. on 11.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.566 of 2021 in O.A. No.994 of 2021</p> <p>V.G. KolekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. but the same is not filed. Hence, M.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.285 of 2022</p> <p>R.H. PataleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.U. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In O.A. the Applicant has challenged transfer order. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw O.A. stating that grievance of the Applicant is redressed since he got modified posting order. 4. Allowed to withdraw O.A. with no order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.263 of 2022</p> <p>N.D. RautApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Amol Jagtap, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office has raised objections on the point of delay but M.A. is not filed. 3. The Applicant stands retired on 31.10.2016. 4. This O.A. is filed for direction to the Respondents to grant all back-wages, Seniority, Promotion, Benefit of Time Bound Promotion etc. In first place <i>prima-facie</i> O.A. is not within limitation but no M.A. is filed for Condonation of delay. Secondly, some of the relief of promotion and seniority does not pertain to Single Bench subject. 5. I/C. Registrar is therefore directed to examine the matter and place before appropriate Bench after removal of objection. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.128 of 2022 in O.A. No.1047 of 2021 with M.A. No.129 of 2022 in O.A. No.1048 of 2021 with M.A. No.130 of 2022 in O.A. No.1049 of 2021 with M.A. No.151 of 2022 in O.A. No.187 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. R.V. Chougule Dr. Nazneen I. Shaikh Dr. Amit Chavan Dr. V.G. PetkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice in M.A. ^{Hand hearing} before admission returnable on 02.05.2022.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Miscellaneous Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.284 of 2022</p> <p>Y.A. InamdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for admission it is noticed that the Applicant has not challenged communication dated 01.04.2021 whereby his request for correction of Date of Birth in service record has been rejected. In prayer clause of O.A. the Applicant only sought declaration i.e. Date of Birth should be considered as 01.06.1965. Order dated 01.04.2021 reveals that his Application for correction of Date of Birth as 01.06.1961 has been rejected. The Applicant accordingly stands retired on 31.05.2021 on the basis of Date of Birth 01.06.1961 recorded in service book.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to amend prayer clause so as to challenge order dated 01.04.2021.</p> <p>4. Allowed to amend prayer clause. Amendment be carried out within 3 days.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.393, 394 & 395 of 2013</u></p> <p>K.R. Shelke D.S. Salve R.V. Bansode ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is a group of 3 matters remanded back by Hon'ble High Court which is to be expedited and fixed for filing reply from the MPSC. Ld. CPO informs that from today and for one week thereafter the MPSC and the concerned officers are busy in selection process of the Medical Education Department. Hence, she seeks two weeks time to file reply for MPSC.</p> <p>3. Time granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.4.2022. 1 021 01</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 6.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2022</p> </div> </div>