

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 06.04.2021 Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">O.A.No.198 of 2021</p> <p>R. M. BelsareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 02.03.2021 whereby the Applicant was suspended in contemplation of D.E. and his Head Quarter kept at Dhule at the time of suspension, he was serving at Mumbai. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks interim stay to the change of Head Quarter from Mumbai to Dhule on the basis of Circular dated 19.03.2008. 4. As per this Circular, headquarter should be the last place of duty. The Applicant was serving at Mumbai and therefore, in terms of this Circular his Head Quarter should have been Mumbai. No other Circular is pointed out permitting the change of Head Quarter. 5. Learned P.O. also fairly concedes that in view of Circular dated 19.03.2008, the Head Quarter should have been Mumbai. 6. In view of above, order dated 02.03.2021 to the extent of keeping Head Quarter at Dhule is stayed. 7. Learned P.O. is directed to file reply to the O.A. within two weeks. 8. S.O. to 29.04.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) [P.T.O.] Member(J)</p>

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.574 of 2020</p> <p>L. D. VeerApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4. None for Respondent No.5. Shri Ashok Gupte holding for Shri Tiwari for Respondent No.9. 2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply. 3. It needs to be noted that the Applicant stands retired in 2009 but filed O.A. simpliciter for direction to Respondents to release his retiral benefits in 2020 i.e. after eleven years from retirement. <i>Prima-facie</i>, O.A. is not filed within limitation. However, no application for condonation of delay is filed. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant is, therefore, directed to satisfy the Tribunal about how the O.A. is within limitation. 5. S.O. to 08.04.2021. <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.194 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. A.S. BendreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. N. Ukey, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned C.P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. This O.A. be kept with O.A.No.287/2020.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.164 of 2021</p> <p>A.D. KhandareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.08.2019.</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.691 of 2019</p> <p>S. C. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the submits that Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant is from Pune and due to Covid-19 situation, he is unable to attend the Tribunal. She, therefore, requested to keep the matter after vacation.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.08.2019.</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.686 of 2019 to O.A.690 of 2019 With O.A.693 of 2019 with M.A.No.371 of 2019 With O.A. 695 of 2019 with M.A.No.373 of 2019 With O.A.No.750 of 2019 with M.A.No.405 of 2019 With O.A.752 of 2019 with M.A.No.406 of 2019 With O.A.584 of 2019 with O.A.585 of 2019</p> <p>S. U. Shinde & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the submits that Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant is from Pune and due to Covid-19 situation, he is unable to attend the Tribunal. She, therefore, requested to keep the matter after vacation.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.40 of 2020</p> <p>D. K. WaghmodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul Khot holding for Shri N. Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.04.2021. Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.582 of 2020</p> <p>J. K. BhatkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.737 of 2020 with O.A. No.738 of 2020</p> <p>S.R. GaudApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted as last chance.</p> <p>3. Matter be kept on 15.04.2021 with connected O.A No.725/20.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.802 of 2020</p> <p>P.S. PandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.159 of 2021</p> <p>H.P. KateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.161 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, one week time is granted for filing Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.78 of 2021 in O.A. No.875 of 2019</p> <p>L.B. SaroleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. This M.A. is filed for restoration of O.A. No.875/2019, after delay of 160 days without filing an Application for Condonation of Delay.</p> <p>4. In view of absence of the Applicant and his Advocate, it seems they are not interested in the matter. Hence, M.A. is dismissed in default.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.179 of 2021</p> <p>R.A. MaratheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.05.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ^ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.186 of 2021</p> <p>S.K. SarangApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted as last chance for Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.188 of 2021</p> <p>J. T. Aagwane ...Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. T. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed this O.A. for direction to the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 to withhold the retiral benefits of Respondent No.5 (Applicant's husband) till the decision of R.C.S.No.546/2016 pending before the Civil Judge Junior Division, Madha, Dist. Solapur.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that there is matrimonial dispute between the Applicant Smt Janabai Aagwane and Respondent No.5 since her status as legally wedded wife of Respondent No.5 itself is in dispute. Indeed, the Respondent No.5 had already filed R.C.S. No.546/2016 for declaration that she is legally wedded wife of Respondent No.5. Respondent No.5 is in Government service and he is retiring on 31.05.2021.</p> <p>4. Thus, the relief claimed in this O.A. is to restrain the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 from releasing retiral benefits of Respondent No.5. Needless to mention that this relief cannot be entertained by this Tribunal at the instance of Applicant whose marital status itself is in dispute. It is dispute in between husband and wife and it cannot be termed as service dispute to be entertained by the Tribunal. The Applicant has already filed R.C. S. No.546/2016 which is subjudice before the Civil Judge Junior Division, Madha, Dist. Solapur. As such, the Applicant needs to avail legal remedy about her status from Civil Court and the relief claimed by the Applicant in O.A. is not within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. O.A. is thus not maintainable and deserves to be dismissed summarily.</p> <p>6. O.A. is dismissed summarily with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.139 of 2021</p> <p>K.A. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vaibhav Birmole, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and P.B. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5, two weeks time is granted for filing Reply as last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.042021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.256 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. U. D. MundeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the impugned communication dated 15.03.2021 whereby his representation to give positing at Pune in view of Division Cadre Allotment Rules, 2015 has been rejected.</p> <p>3. The Applicant was Medical Officer at Pune. By order dated 28.05.2020 she was allotted Nagpur Division on promotion and posted at Civil Hospital, Gadchiroli on vacant post. Thereafter, the Applicant made various representations contending that her son is mentally retired and she is entitled to exemption under Division Cadre Allotment Rules. The Applicant continues to work at Pune and did not join to Gadchiroli. Ultimately, her representation is rejected by order dated 15.03.2021.</p> <p>4. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant prayed for interim stay to the impugned communication dated 15.03.2021 contending that since the Applicant's son is mentally retired and handicapped, she is exempted from the provisions of Division Cadre Allotment Rules and she is entitled to choice posting. The Applicant has given choice to Pune but it is not considered and belatedly rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Per contra, learned P.O. opposes the grant of interim relief contending that the Applicant has approached the Tribunal quite belatedly and she is not entitled to exemption under Division Cadre Allotment Rules.</p> <p>6. Whether the Applicant is entitled to exemption under Division Cadre Allotment Rules needs to be examined after taking reply of the Respondents and on hearing the parties on merit.</p> <p>7. At this stage, grant of interim relief would amounts to grant of final relief of exemption from Division Cadre Allotment Rules which is not permissible.</p> <p>8. In view of above, at this stage, I am not inclined to grant interim relief.</p> <p>9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.04.2021.</p> <p>10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>13. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>14. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>15. S.O. to 20.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.132 of 2021</p> <p>T.S. DeokarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Ashok Misal, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. 3. Present O.A. is filed for direction to the Respondent to release retrial benefits of the Applicant. 4. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2021. Three Departmental Enquiries were pending against him, in one D.E. he is exonerated. 5. Learned P.O. submits that in two remaining D.Es. also Enquiry Officer has submitted report and final order will be issued soon, since the matter is pending at the level of Government. 6. As such, due to pendency of D.Es. retrial benefits of the Applicant were withheld, but now D.Es. are at the verge of completion and final order will be issued soon. The issuance of the final order in D.Es. is already belated.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. In view of above, O.A. deserves to be disposed of with suitable direction, since remaining two D.Es. are on the verge of completion.</p> <p>8. O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to pass final order in remaining D.Es. pending against the Applicant in accordance to law within six weeks from today and retrial benefits be released immediately thereafter within two weeks, subject to final order in D.E.</p> <p>9. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.233 of 2021</p> <p>D. H. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 19.03.2021 issued by the Respondent No.4 namely District Superintendent Agriculture Officer, Kolhapur whereby the Applicant was relieved unilaterally from his post as Accounts Officers.</p> <p>3. Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Disciplinary Authority of the Applicant is Respondent No.2 – Director, Accounts and Treasuries, Mumbai and the Respondent No.4 was not at all Competent Authority to take any such action of relieving the Applicant unilaterally. He, therefore, seeks stay to the impugned order dated 19.03.2021.</p> <p>4. Per contra, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. sought to support the impugned action contending that the Respondent No.4 had noticed several lapses in the performance of the Applicant, and therefore, he was relieved.</p> <p>5. Interesting to note that when the issue of relieving the Applicant by Respondent No.4 was brought to the notice of Respondent No.2 –Director, Accounts & Treasuries, Mumbai, he issued letter dated 31.03.2021 to Respondent No.4 pointing out that action taken by Respondent No.4 is not in consonance with Clause 9 of G.R. dated 08.02.2018 . The Respondent No.2, therefore, specifically asked Respondent No.4 to cancel the impugned order and reinstate the Applicant in his post. Despite this position, Respondent No.4 failed to justify his action by his letter addressed to Respondent No.2 which is indeed in contravention of G.R. dated 08.02.20218.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. If the Applicant has committed any such serious misconduct then proper course of action to take disciplinary action by the Competent authority or Disciplinary authority. In present case, admittedly the Respondent No.4 is not disciplinary authority. As such, <i>prima-facie</i>, the order of relieving the Applicant unilaterally from his post and thereby withdrawing his work is not sustainable in law.</p> <p>7. In view of above, interim relief in terms of Para No.10 is granted.</p> <p>8. It is made clear that the Competent Authority is at liberty to take appropriate action against the Applicant in accordance to law as it thinks fit.</p> <p>9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.05.2021.</p> <p>10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>13. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>15. S.O. to 03.05.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.636 of 2018</p> <p>B.N. GadageApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.M. Katkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. P.B. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Additional Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.3, in terms of the order passed by the Tribunal on 30.03.2021. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Arguments concluded.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 06.04.2021 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.609 of 2020
	<p>A. B. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing reply to the show cause notice issued to Respondent No.2 – the Commissioner, State Excise Department, Mumbai as to why proceeding for contempt of court and for willful disobedience for the order passed by the Tribunal should not be initiated against him in terms of order passed by this Tribunal dated 30.03.2021.</p> <p>3. Earlier on 12.03.2021, the Tribunal has given directions to Respondent No.2 –Commissioner, State Excise Dept. to release retiral benefits to the Applicant as per his entitlement if there is no legal hurdle and submit compliance report on 30.03.2021.</p> <p>4,. On 30.03.2021, no such compliance report has been submitted, and therefore, the Tribunal has compelled to issue show cause notice as to why proceeding for contempt of court and for willful disobedience for the order passed by the Tribunal should not be initiated against him and the matter was adjourned to 06.04.2021. However, today also no reply is filed to the show cause notice.</p> <p>5. The matter was called in the morning session. That time, learned P.O. made a statement that affidavit will be filed during the course of the day. However, the same is not filed though waited upto 4.50 p.m.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. is now present before the Tribunal and prayed for two days time to file reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>7. In view of above, apparently the Respondent No.2 has no regard to the orders passed by the Tribunal and trying to play with the orders of Tribunal with impunity, as if, he is above law.</p> <p>8. Disgusting to note that though the Applicant stands retired on 31.07.2019, full and final retirement benefits are not released. Except verification of service book there seems to be no other hurdle for releasing 100% retiral benefits to the Applicant.</p> <p>9. Indeed, as per Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, the process for sanction of pension is required to be initiated before six months before the date of retirement so that the Government servant should get his legitimate retirement dues soon after his retirement. However, in present case, Provisional Pension and Provisional Gratuity is paid. Apart, GIS, GPF and Leave Encashment is also paid but Commutation and final Gratuity with regular pension is not paid. Suffice to say, there is total negligence and laxity on the part of Respondents particularly Respondent Nos.2 and 3.</p> <p>10. Before passing further order, I am inclined to give two days time to learned P.O. to file reply to the show cause notice by Commissioner, State Excise Department on affidavit, explaining why he failed to submit the same today and also to explain why appropriate steps were not taken in the matter of grant of final retirement benefits to the Applicant though he stands retired on 31.07.2019. If the explanation found unsatisfactory, the Tribunal will be constrained to pass further stern appropriate order in the matter.</p> <p>11. Hamdasnt granted.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 08.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.St.No.333/2021

[A'bad Bench through Video Conferencing]

Shri D.A. Ubale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned C.P.O. for Respondents.
2. On the request of learned CPO, the matter is adjourned to 26th April, 2021 for filing reply.
3. Issue notice returnable on 26th April, 2021.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A.St.Nos.310 & 311/2021 in O.A.101/2020</u></p> <p><u>[A'bad Bench through Video Conferencing]</u></p> <p>Shri M.V. Nakade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned C.P.O. for Respondents.2. On the request of learned CPO, the matter is adjourned to 16th April, 2021 for filing reply.3. Issue notice to the Respondents returnable on 16th April, 2021.4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.8. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Miscellaneous

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 16th April, 2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (V.D. Dongre) Member-J 06.04.2021</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.St.No.161/2021

[A'bad Bench through Video Conferencing]

Shri B.N. Kokate ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned C.P.O. for Respondents.

2. On the request of learned CPO, the matter is adjourned to 16th April, 2021 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(V.D. Dongre)
Member-J
06.04.2021

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.No.592/2020

[A'bad Bench through Video Conferencing]

Dr. S.B. Dhage ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned C.P.O. for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has not received his due salary in spite of grant of interim relief by this Bench earlier.

3. The learned CPO submits that he would seek necessary instructions from the Department and will place facts on record and reply accordingly. Hence, seeks adjournment.

4. The matter is adjourned to 16th April, 2021 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(V.D. Dongre)
Member-J
06.04.2021

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.219 of 2021</p> <p>R.M. Tayade & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel files vakalatnama on behalf of private Respondents No.5, 8, 15, 31, 7, 10, 3, 16, 11, 21, 33, 26, 37, 25, 22, 17, 4, 20, 12, 13, 6, 14, 9, 27 and 24. He seeks two weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Ms. Manisha Patil, Law Officer, Palghar, Collector Officer is present. She mentions that reply has been filed on last date. The learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule submits that reply is not traceable, however, the same will be traced and will be filed along with proper pagination.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.559 of 2020</p> <p>S.T. Buye ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 will be filed within a week.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate files affidavit-in-rejoinder the reply of Respondent No.2. Taken on record. Copy is served to learned P.O.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-) Sd/- (P.N¹Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.140 of 2021 with O.A.No.147 of 2021 with O.A.No.163 of 2021 with O.A.No.1056 of 2019 with M.A.No.329 of 2020</p> <p>S.S. Atkekar & Ors. (O.A.140/2021) G.R. Chavan & Ors. (O.A.147/2021) I.A.K.A. Mahgami (O.A.163/2021) G.A. Agale (O.A.1056/2019 with M.A.329/2020) ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.140/2021, O.A.No.147/2021 and O.A.No.163/2021, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.1056/2019 with M.A.329/2020 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer with Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A.No.1056/2019 with M.A.329/2020 learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale mentions that he has submitted application for discharge. The Applicant is present in person and he acknowledges the same. The learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale is allowed to be discharged.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. informs that the relevant details for affecting promotions are under collection. She seeks 4 weeks for informing the progress. The learned C.P.O. is directed to furnish updated timetable of the action being proposed.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 04.05.2021 for intimating the progress.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.169 of 2004 in O.A. No.846 of 2003</u></p> <p>Smt. Vijaya A. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chhogule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO points out that the applicant has earlier filed CA No.50 of 2019 in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Now we verify the record of CA No.50 of 2019. In the cause title of the said CA we find the name of Smt. Vijaya Anandrao Pawar who is the same applicant in CA in hand. CA No.50/2019 was filed along with other applicants against the same respondents and in prayer clause 19(a) the applicant has sought initiation of contempt proceedings against the contemnor-respondents in view of willful disobedience of the order dated 2.7.2004 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.846 of 2003 and also the order dated 14.6.2018 of the Hon'ble High Court in W.Ps. No.9449 of 2004 and 9453 of 2004. We verify that OA No.846 of 2003 was finally decided by order dated 22.7.2004. Thus the date is wrongly mentioned as 2.7.2004.</p> <p>4. The Division Bench of this Tribunal by order dated 26.2.2020 has disposed off CA No.50 of 2019 on production of communication dated 26.2.2020 by the Ld. PO showing compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal and providing final seniority list.</p> <p>5. Thus, we find that applicant has filed two CAs i.e. 50/2019 and present CA No.169/2004 for compliance of the order dated 22.7.2004 in OA No.846 of 2003. We suggested the Ld. Advocate to withdraw the earlier CA No.169/2004 as order is already complied in his subsequent CA. However, he wants to seek instructions from the applicant. No instructions are required in such matters and hence we dismiss it in view of the earlier order. CA No.169/2004 is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 6.4.2021 6.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Appeal No.8982 of 2015 Chairman and Managing Director, FCI & Ors. Vs. Jagdish Balalram Bahira & Ors. Thus the services of the applicant are terminated since 29.10.2020.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.4.2021.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. Ld. CPO seeks time to take instructions and file reply.</p> <p>11. We direct the respondents to file short affidavit considering the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in the writ petition filed by the applicant wherein his caste certificate was declared as valid caste certificate.</p> <p>12. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for interim relief and states that applicant is going to retire on 30.6.2021. However, we are not in a position to decide the issue of interim relief unless affidavit in reply is filed.</p> <p>13. Liberty granted to amend the prayer clause 9(a).</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">6.4.2021 6.4.2021</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.133 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. Nawalsing Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays for second benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme from the date on which he had completed 20 years of service i.e. April 2017 and also prays that applicant be given higher pay scale. Applicant is directed to serve copy of OA in the office of CPO.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.6.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 6.4.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.597 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.B. Panari & ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that she needs time to go through and seek instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.6.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 6.4.2021 6.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1210 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.K. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.6.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 6.4.2021 6.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.125 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.P. Khaire ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant does not wish to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.4.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 6.4.2021 6.4.2021</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.874 of 2019</p> <p>R.B. Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Two weeks time sought by learned P.O. to answer the documents which were filed on the last date by the applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.04.2021. P.H.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.544 of 2018 with O.A.No.513 of 2019</p> <p>V.B. Shende (O.A.544/2018) S.V. Rane (O.A.513/2019) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.544/2018, Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.513/2019, Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.544/2018, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.513/2019, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Chandrakant Harshal Baviskar, Private Respondent No.4 present in person.</p> <p>2. In pursuance of the Tribunal's order on 22.03.2021 and 25.03.2021 learned C.P.O. has filed the short affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent no.1. Taken on record on the last date. Copy was given to the Private Respondent No.4 Mr. Baviskar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel Shri Bandiwadekar for Respondent no.3 seeks leave to file short affidavit in pursuance of the same. Accordingly, the leave is granted. The learned Counsel Shri Bandiwadekar to provide copy to learned Counsel for the Applicant, Private Respondent No.4 and learned C.P.O. in advance.</p> <p>4. The learned Counsel for the Applicant, learned C.P.O. and Private Respondent No.4 seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. Time granted. Adjourned to 08.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.178 of 2020</p> <p>C.T. Chaudhari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.1 is already filed and seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.04.2021 for filing reply of Respondent No.2.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.471 of 2020</p> <p>S.N. Sambargikar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks two weeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 20.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.91 of 2021 with O.A.No.128 of 2021</p> <p>K.B. Patil (O.A.91/2021) ... Applicants U.M. Vhatkar (O.A.128/2021) ... Respondents Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 2 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. We have perused the affidavit-in-reply dated 05.03.2021 on behalf of Respondents No.1 & 2, through Colonel Rajesh Sah, VrC, Deputy Group Commander & Chief Administrative officer in the office of NCC Group Headquarters, Kolhapur and also affidavit- in reply dated 05.03.2021, on behalf of Respondent No.3, through Shri Yourvraj Vasant Naik, Deputy Director, in the office of Sport and Youth Services, Kolhapur Region, Kolhapur. We have also gone through the G.R. dated 09.09.1987 in respect of the promotions given to the officers who are appointed in the office of NCC from the State Government Servants Department of Sports.</p> <p>3. After going through these affidavits and after considering the submission of learned Counsel and learned Presenting Officer, we are of the view that this issue is going to emerge again and again and there is a misunderstanding of the G.R. and also the rules regarding the constitution of the Committee of Departmental Promotion Committee. The respondent no.1 Mr. Rajesh Sah has highlighted some incidents of the promotions and transfers which has caused hardship to the Respondents no.1 & 2 in their functions. Such disputes can be resolved amicably by both the establishments by having the regular coordination meeting between the two.</p> <p>4. We therefore request Additional Chief Secretary who is incharge of School Education and Sports Department Ms. Vandana Krishna to take initiative and after having the coordination with Respondents no.1 and 2 such issues which are repeatedly coming before the tribunal can be resolved. So we request her to hold the meeting preferably in next three weeks and arrive at the solution and communicate the same to the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 30.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N^lDixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.110 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. R.M. Haridas ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that the enquiry has been completed and file is with the Hon'ble the Chief Minister. The learned P.O. is directed to inform the progress in the matter.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.04.2021. Meanwhile learned Counsel informs that he is receiving provisional pension at older rate and has received GPF and GIS.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 19.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- ^K 11</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.162 of 2021</p> <p>P.V. Parkhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. She has sent her leave note.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. today informs that the respondents have considered the pray^{er} made by the applicant to promote him and send the proposal to General Administration Department on 05.04.2021.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. is directed to intimate the progress regarding the same on next date.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 20.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.177 of 2021</p> <p>J.M. Mulani & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Datta Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. submits that she seeks three weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply</p> <p>3. Time granted. Copy of the reply be provided to learned Counsel in advance.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.165 of 2021</p> <p>J.K. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 05.04.2021 on behalf of Respondent No.1 through Shri Kishor Deoram Utale, Assistant Commissioner of Police, office of Deputy Commissioner of Police. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks adjournment for examining the reply and decide whether rejoinder is to be filed.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 19.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.73 of 2021 with O.A.No.74 of 2021</p> <p>R.M. Rathod (O.A.73/2021) S.B. Machale (O.A.74/2021) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vaibha Birmole, learned Advocate i/b Shri A.S. Kulkarni, learned for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel Shro Vaibha Birmole appearing for learned Advocate Shri A.S. Kulkarni prays that O.A.No.73/2021, O.A.No.74/2021 and O.A.No.75/2021 may be clubbed together.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks adjournment of three weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 29.04.2021. O.A.No.73/2021, O.A.No.74/2021 and O.A.No.75/2021 be tagged together.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.84 of 2021</p> <p>V.V. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks three weeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 28.04.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.705 of 2020</p> <p>A.T. Sakore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Balasaheb Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel submits that affidavit-in-reply has not been filed for considerable period of time.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. concedes that the Respondents would be contacted once again so that reply is filed by next date.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply. No extension will be granted thereafter.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 29.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.606 of 2020</p> <p>D.K. Landge ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Leave note is on record.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 29.04.2021, for rejoinder if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20


I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.641 of 2020</u></p> <p>G.B. Sukenkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. In this matter the applicant appeared for Inspector Certified School and Institutions/Organisation and Methods Officer/Lecturer/District Women and Child Development Officer/Superintendent/Statistical Officer, Group B Examination conducted by MPSC. It is contended that applicant and respondent no.3 are selected from OBC category having equal marks. Though applicant is older to respondent no.3 instead of considering him in merit, he was not given appointment. It is also pleaded in the OA that as per order of this Tribunal in OA No.124 of 2014 and earlier GR dated 5.10.2015, age is determining factor if the candidates secure equal marks.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that notice is not yet issued by this Tribunal. However, as it is already served by the applicant, he relies and produces The Maharashtra Public Service Commission Rules of Procedure, 2014. He pointed out Rule 10 regarding the Appointment of Interview Committee and declaration of result, wherein guidelines and the procedure is laid down. He points out sub rule (7) of Rule 10. For the purpose of ready reference said sub rule (7) of Rule 10 is reproduced as follows:</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><i>“(7) While preparing the final recommendation list for deciding the ranking of the candidates securing equal marks, the following criteria shall be made use of in a sequential manner one after the other:-</i></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><i>(i) Educational Qualification as on the last date of receipt of application in descending order as Ph.D., M.Phil, Post Graduation, Post Graduation by distance Education.</i></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><i>(ii) The date of acquiring higher qualification.</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>(iii) <i>Preferential qualification is so mentioned in the advertisement/notification/Rules of Recruitment.</i></p> <p>(iv) <i>If the experience is desired for the post in question then the experience on the last date of receipt of application.</i></p> <p>(v) <i>If a provision is made in the advertisement or notification or Rules of Recruitment then the backward classes candidates in ST, SC, DT(A), NT(B), SBC, NT(C) in that order.</i></p> <p>(vi) <i>Older in age.</i></p> <p>(vii) <i>Thereafter the ranking should be decided by the first letter of the surname as it appears alphabetically."</i></p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court- fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.4.2021.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In the circumstances, I.d. PO waives service of notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.247 of 2021</p> <p>Madan A. Amgaonkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is working as Sectional Engineer challenges the order dated 29.10.2020 passed by respondent terminating his services as his caste certificate was declared as invalid.</p> <p>3. The applicant states that his caste certificate was declared as invalid by the Caste Scrutiny Committee, Pune by order dated 6.6.1985. The applicant belongs to Halba Tribe. The applicant thereafter challenged the said decision by filing W.P. No.1361 of 1985 and the said petition was ruled in favour of the applicant wherein the Hon'ble High Court observed as under by order dated 19.9.1985:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"Caste Scrutiny Committee held that Halba Koshti is not Halba. The said order is quashed in view of our decision in the case of Milind Vs. State of Maharashtra and Others (Writ Petition No.2944 of 1984 decided on 4/5/6th September, 1985). The petitioner is declared to be belonging to Halba Tribe. His Caste Certificate is held to be valid. Respondent No.4, Principal, Polytechnic College, Nagpur is directed to admit the petitioner as a Scheduled Tribe candidate, if otherwise qualified. Rule made absolute accordingly. No order as to costs."</i></p> <p>4. The applicant thereafter entered service on 20.9.1997 and by order dated 29.10.2020 after 13 years of service the respondents informed him that he does not have valid caste certificate as his caste certificate was declared invalid prior to joining of the services. The respondents for this purpose have relied on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Appeal No.8982 of 2015 Chairman and Managing Director, FCI & Ors. Vs. Jagdish Balalram Bahira & Ors. Thus the services of the applicant are terminated since 29.10.2020.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.4.2021.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. Ld. CPO seeks time to take instructions and file reply.</p> <p>11. We direct the respondents to file short affidavit considering the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in the writ petition filed by the applicant wherein his caste certificate was declared as valid caste certificate.</p> <p>12. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for interim relief and states that applicant is going to retire on 30.6.2021. However, we are not in a position to decide the issue of interim relief unless affidavit in reply is filed.</p> <p>13. Liberty granted to amend the prayer clause 9(a).</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">6.4.2021 6.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.752 of 2020</p> <p>S.A. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Deven Jogdeo, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted as last chance for Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.05.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.764 of 2020</p> <p>P.P. HikkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.05.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.797 of 2020 with O.A. No.799 of 2020 with O.A. No.04 of 2021</p> <p>R.V. SolankheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan has sent her leave note. 3. Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Reply as last chance. 5. S.O. to 19.04.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.356 of 2020 in O.A.No.795 of 2020</p> <p>M. S. ShelakeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N. L. Rajguru, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted as a last chance for filing reply and no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.042021</p> <p>M.A. No.09 of 2021 O.A.No.25 of 2021</p> <p>W. B. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.042021</p> <p>M.A.No.10 of 2021 in O.A.No.26 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. BachhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.042021</p> <p>O.A.No.158 of 2020</p> <p>R. S. KalalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is fixed for filing Rejoinder but no Rejoinder is filed.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.05.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.042021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.182 of 2020</p> <p>Y.B. ShirkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are frequently remaining absent. Thus, they appear not interested in the matter.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Original Application is dismissed in default.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.733 of 2020</p> <p>S.H. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today matter is fixed for filing Rejoinder, but the same is not filed. 3. Interim relief is running in the favour of the Applicant, and therefore, matter needs to be expedited. 4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission, with the liberty to the Applicant to file Rejoinder, if any. 5. S.O. to 29.04.2021. <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.709 of 2020</p> <p>D.B. KarpeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 3. No Rejoinder is filed. 4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 04.05.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.042021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.211 of 2020</p> <p>C.D. TikekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri U. V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.04.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.042021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.301 of 2020</p> <p>S. S. Kangane ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">vvr (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.042021</p> <p align="center">O.A.Nos.309, 314, 315 with 316 of 2020</p> <p>S. V. Raut & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Enough time is granted for filing reply but the same is not filed. 3. On previous date, the most last chance was granted but in vain. 4. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant further time to file reply. 5. The matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply. 6. S.O. to 06.05.2021. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">vv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.042021</p> <p>O.A.No.312 of 2020</p> <p>S. K. NaraApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is fixed for filing rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.05.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.042021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.552 of 2020</p> <p>A. D. MehetreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, the matter is fixed for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder. 4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.. 5. S.O. to 26.04.2021. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. In view of above, issue notice before admission returnable on 03.05.2021.</p> <p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 03.05.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>