

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.01.2023

O.A.No.28 of 2023

Kedar Laxman Vartak

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Sachin Salunkhe, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.01.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 13.01.2023
10. The O.A. be kept with O.A.No.768/2022 with other connected matters.
11. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions and to file the reply on next date so that matter will be heard finally since the issue involved is very small.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 06.01.2023

O.A.No.738 of 2018

C. V. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is revealed that Applicant's prayer is for direction to the Respondents to release the gratuity amount of Rs.3,29,670/- which are withheld by the Respondents. The Applicant has also challenged the recovery order for recovery of Rs.2,07,977/-.

3. During the course of hearing, when specific query was raised to learned P.O. as to why remaining amount more than recovery amount of Rs.2,07,977/- is withheld, she submits that in addition to recovery of Rs. 2,07,977/- there is another recovery on account of retention of service quarter. However, in this behalf, there is absolutely no pleading or contention in Affidavit in Reply when the claim is for direction to release gratuity of Rs.3,29, 670/-, it was incumbent on the part of Respondents to resist the O.A. raising all the grounds available to show that gratuity of Rs.3,29,670/- is withheld correctly. Surprisingly, no such pleading is forthcoming in reply.

3. When aforesaid aspect is realized by learned P.O., she sought one week time to file additional affidavit to explain about recovery in the interest of justice, one week time is granted to file affidavit in reply.

4. S.O. to 13.01.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.723 of 2022</p> <p>A.M. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri I.M. Koparkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for Final Hearing without Reply.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that despite enough chances Respondents did not bother to file Affidavit-in-Reply, and therefore matter was kept for Final Hearing without Reply by order dated 22.11.2022. Even thereafter also no Affidavit-in-Reply is filed today. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned P.O. again sought adjournment to file Affidavit-in-Reply which is opposed by learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>4. O.A. has been filed on 25.07.2022 and more than six months time is availed but no Reply is filed. It shows total causal approach of the Respondents in the Court matters, they seems to be not serious of the judicial proceeding and no regards to the Tribunal orders.</p> <p>5. However, in the interest of justice one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply subject to costs of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand Only) be paid as condition precedent for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 13.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- V (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 06.01.2023

M.A. No.712 of 2022 in M.A. No.754 of 2022 in
O.A. No.510 of 2021

S.J. Pendharkar @ A d/o. K. DoijadApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. P.V. Bodkepatil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. These M.As. are filed for condonation of delay and for restoration of O.A. which was dismissed in default on 14.07.2022. M.As were filed on 06.12.2022 & 21.12.2022 respectively.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that due to her post COVID-19 illness she was unable to remain present when the matter was listed for hearing. She has also tendered medical certificate. She submits that delay be condoned and O.A. be restored for decision on merit.

4. Respondents have not filed Affidavit-in-Reply though time was granted.

5. O.A. pertains to compassionate appointment. The reasons mentioned in the application for condonation of delay seems to be acceptable. Hence, in the interest of justice I am inclined to condone delay caused in filing M.A. for restoration and it would be better to restore O.A. and decide it on merit.

6. In view of above, both M.As. are allowed with no order as to costs.

7. O.A. No.510/2021 is restored to the file.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.510 of 2021</p> <p>S.J. Pendharkar @ A d/o. K. DoijadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. P.V. Bodkepatil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. was dismissed in default on 14.07.2022. However, it is resorted today in view of the order passed in M.A. No.712/2021 & M.A. No.754/2022. In O.A. Reply is already filed before the matter was dismissed in default. O.A. now needs to be heard on merit be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.449 of 2022 in O.A. No.940 of 2021</p> <p>S.D. SavaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A. Tribunal passed order on 22.07.2022 that the Applicant to file M.A. for condonation of delay within one week and failing to which O.A. with stands disposed of without reference to the Tribunal. Accordingly, the Applicant has filed Condonation of delay in the office on 28.07.2022. However, surprisingly it remained unattended in the office for more than six months and today for the first time it is listed in view of Circular / precipice filed by the Applicant's Counsel.</p> <p>3. The Tribunal has come across several instances were matters are not listed before the Tribunal within reasonable time. Repeatedly instructions are given to the I/C. Registrar but in vain.</p> <p>4. In view of above, I/C. Registrar to explain why M.A. is kept pending in the office for six months. Report to be placed on record on Monday.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 09.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.162 of 2022 with O.A.No.163 of 2022</p> <p>M.A. Inamdar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. W. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.30 of 2022</p> <p>D. V. WadhelApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit along with annexures to show that quarter in question falls in Ward 'A' of B.M.C. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned P.O., three days time is granted for final hearing. 4. S.O. to 11.01.2023. <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- ^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1104 of 2022</p> <p>S. V. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. V. Shinde, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The Applicant has been suspended by way of deemed suspension w.e.f. 23.12.2019 invoking Rule 4(2) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. Whereas in O.A., the Applicant has pleaded that he was arrested on 24.12.2019 and released on bail on 25.12.2019. Thus, according to Applicant, he was not in the custody for more than 48 hours. The Applicant's Counsel has filed bail order dated 25.12.2019. However, he has not produced any document to show that he was actually released on bail which is necessary to find out as to whether the Applicant was in custody (Police Custody and Magistrate Custody) for 48 hours. He sought time to produce the document to that effect.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 1172 of 2022</p> <p>Omkar R. ManeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 06.10.2022 whereby he was suspended in contemplation of D.E. Since the period of 90 days is over by tomorrow, it was necessary for the Respondents to show what steps they have taken in D.E. or for review the suspension.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., two days time is granted to file short affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.01.2023 at 2.30 P.M.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- ^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.301 of 2020</p> <p>S. S. KanganeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1187 of 2022</p> <p>K. A. Sonawane ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that department had already prepared noting to withdraw the impugned order dated 23.11.2022 and accordingly the impugned transfer order will be withdrawn with the order of competent authority. He, therefore, submits to dispose of O.A.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement made by learned P.O., the grievance of the Applicant stands redressed since the Government is withdrawing the impugned transfer order.</p> <p>4. Necessary order to that effect be issued by Monday.</p> <p>5. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 423/2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.D Zambare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K Hande, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, holding for Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for Respondent no. 7.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has taken NOC from the earlier advocate and he seeks time to file rejoinder in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 27.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 251/2020</u></p> <p>Shri R.R Pol ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 3.3.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 620/2021</u></p> <p>Shri H.B Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 3.3.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 423/2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.D Zambare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K Hande, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, holding for Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for Respondent no. 7.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has taken NOC from the earlier advocate and he seeks time to file rejoinder in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 27.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 818/2021</u></p> <p>Shri V.S Hipparkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 27.1.2023. Interim relief to continue till then.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 385/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.K Hankare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 358/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.R Narale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 3.3.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- /(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1145/2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>Shri Kunal R. Bhavsar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Asim Sarode, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that in the order dated 3.1.2023 passed in O.A 1145/2022, while dismissing the matter, Hon'ble High Court has remanded back two Writ Petitions 15551/2022 and 15757/2022 to the Tribunal, but in the order only W.P no. 15757/2022 is mentioned and there is no mention of W.P 15551/2022, which should be taken on record.</p> <p>3. In O.A 1145/2022, the Hon'ble High Court has remanded back W.P Nos 15551/2022 and 15757/2022. Ordered accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1169/2022</u></p> <p>Shri K.A Devkate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Assistant Director has written a letter to the learned C.P.O that the issue is required to be addressed to the Higher Committee and hence two months is sought by the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Affidavit to that effect was necessary. However, in all fairness, we grant two months to the Respondents from the date of the earlier order, i.e. 15.12.2022 to hold the meeting and to verify whether the applicant has necessary experience of 90 days and send report to the District Malaria Officer Thane.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1244/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.G Kochure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant Smt K.S Gaikwad, holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is retiring on 29.2.2024 and he is deprived of his promotion.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that parawise remarks is submitted for approval to the Government and hence prays for three weeks' time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 27.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 10/2023</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Bhusale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O, on instructions from Shri N.S Ingle, Desk Officer, Finance Department submits that the proposal is sent by F.D to G.A.D on 24.11.2022 and G.A.D has raised some objections by letter dated 14.12.2022. The objections raised by G.A.D is forwarded to the State Tax Commissioner on 4.1.2022 for their reply. Hence, the Respondents want three weeks' time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1154/2022</u></p> <p>A.K Kadam & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>3. Last chance is given to the learned P.O to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 18.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 661/2022 in O.A 1154/2022</u></p> <p>A.K Kadam & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 587/2022</u></p> <p>Smt U.N Madane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad holding for Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 2.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 686/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.K Gulhane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K Hande, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 3.3.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 931/2021</u></p> <p>Smt R.U Bhosale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. S.O to 20.1.2023. H.O.B.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.73/2022 in OAs.119/2019, 705/2020 & 576/2020</u></p> <p>Ashvini T. Sakore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Ashvini T. Sakore, Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person states that Writ Petitions No.24330/2022 & 24455/2022 filed in the Hon'ble High Court are now posted on 9.1.2023.</p> <p>3. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate that his name may not be shown in the appearance for applicant in CA in OA No.576 of 2020.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.76 of 2022 in O.A. No.489 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. R.R. Asawa ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Dr. R.R. Asawa, Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO to produce copy of the stay order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.82 of 2022 in O.A. No.1165 of 2022</u></p> <p>K.N. Tadvi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that W.P. (St.) No.550 of 2023 is filed in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.303 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Patil & 15 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants prays for interim relief as the DPC is going to be held and promotion orders may be issued in the near future. The applicants pray for quashing of the seniority list dated 28.12.2021 published by respondent no.6 and pray for deemed date of appointment in their seniority.</p> <p>4. Affidavit in reply is filed and rejoinder is filed by the applicants. However, time is sought for filing sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>5. Time is granted by five weeks. However, promotions granted, if any, on the basis of seniority list are subject to outcome of this OA.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1043/2021</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Jaiswal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits on instructions from Shri D.H Bembade, Joint Sales Tax Commissioner and Shri Naresh S. Ingle, Desk Officer, Finance Department that by letter dated 19.12.2022 G.A.D, has informed the department that the name of the applicant is to be deleted from the list of "doubtful integrity". Accordingly, the Respondent-Department has acted upon and submitted the proposal to the Finance Department on 3.1.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks four weeks' time to complete the entire process of giving approval and considering the applicant for promotion.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, matter stands adjourned to 10.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.22/2022 in O.A.No.200/2021</p> <p>S.R. Bagde & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit based on the chart dated 16.12.2022 submitted by learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on instructions from Mr. Ashok Karse, Superintendent Engineer submits that generally Government pay 100% pension if the process of commutation as demanded by the Government servant is not complied and therefore there is no issue of granting interest on the amount which is commuted of that particular period.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to filed affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 20.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridulā Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 397/2022 in O.A 189/2022</u></p> <p>Dr Vivek S. Abnave ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This being subject matter of time bound promotion, the same be placed before Single Bench under the caption of "Due Admission".</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 720/2022 in O.A 783/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Vaman R. Maule & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Learned counsel for the applicants absent. Mr Vaman R. Maule in person present. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad holding for Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O to 13.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">MCA 1</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>6.01.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 733/2022 in O.A 312/2022 with M.A 738/2022 in O.A 577/2022</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>Shri Rahul N. More & Ors ... Respondents (Ori Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwd, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants (Ori Respondents) and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the Respondents (Ori Applicants).</p> <p>2. The present Misc Applications are filed by the Applicants (Ori Respondents) seeking extension of time of two months to comply with the order of this Tribunal dated 21.10.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the Respondents (Ori Applicants) submits that already the issue of lapsing of the waiting list during the Covid-19 Pandemic period was dealt with by this Tribunal and appointment order in those matters are already issued. Thus, the ratio is no more res-integra.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that the Respondent-State wants two months' time to comply with the order of this Tribunal dated 21.10.2022.</p> <p>5. In view of the above submissions, two weeks' time is granted to the Respondents to comply with the order of this Tribunal dated 21.10.2022.</p> <p>6. S.O to 20.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 876/2021</u></p> <p>Shri H.A Redekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Matter called out. None present for the applicants. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O points out that the matter is already adjourned on 13.1.2023 and reply is already filed by the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1267/2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>Shri Sachidanand D. Tekam & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Asim Sarode, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants in Schedule-I in the M.A 05/2023 is not added as party Applicants in the title of the Original Application No. 1267/2022.</p> <p>3. The applicants in Schedule-I in M.A 05/2023 in O.A 1267/2022 be added as party Applicants in the title of the Original Application No. 1267/2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.21 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.J. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that case of the applicant is covered under the judgment and order dated 22.11.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.1235 of 2019 along with group of matters.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO to examine the same and accordingly make a statement.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.1.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.20 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.P. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that case of the applicant is covered under the judgment and order dated 22.11.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.1235 of 2019 along with group of matters.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO to examine the same and accordingly make a statement.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.1.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.19 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.A. Banne ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that case of the applicant is covered under the judgment and order dated 22.11.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.1235 of 2019 along with group of matters.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO to examine the same and accordingly make a statement.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.1.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.2 of 20 2023</u></p> <p>M.K. Sirsat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.1.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply. <u>To be show under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'</u>.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1320 of 2022</u></p> <p>Deepak B. Mane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the above OA as this Tribunal has no jurisdiction in respect of services of the Corporation. He submits that he wants to file the matter before the Hon`ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1282 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.A. Fadtare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that directions be given to the respondents to decide the appeal received by the office of respondent no.2 on 29.9.2011.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that minimum three months time be given to decide the appeal.</p> <p>4. The respondents are directed to decide the appeal of the applicant on or before 15.4.2023. OA disposed off.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.776 & 779 of 2022</u></p> <p>Sumit Kisan Rathod Sham Laxman Takle ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Amruta Kharade i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants has filed application dated 6.1.2023 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 23.12.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OAs. No.775, 776 & 779/2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate prays that in para 2 of the order, the words, "Applicants are from Latur and Nanded District" is to be replaced by "Applicants are from Bhandara Distict".</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate further prays that in para 3 & 4 the words, "M.A.T. Aurangabad Bench" is to be replaced by "M.A.T. Nagpur Bench".</p> <p>6. Order be corrected and read accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.811 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.S. Deshmukh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. Dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.234 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.R. Wagh & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Suresh Pakale with Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that case of the applicants is squarely covered in the judgment and order dated 6.10.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court Bench at Aurangabad in W.P. No.12009 of 2021 and judgment and order dated 23.12.2022 passed by the Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal in OAs No.739 of 2021 on the point of pension.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO seeks time to verify and make a statement.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.2.2023. Ld. CPO to make statement on the next date.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1317 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.R. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Mahalakshi Ganapathy with Ms. Sayee Sawant and Ms. Savani Vare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces affidavit of service and prays that service of notice be waived.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that applicant be considered for appointment in reserved category of OBC for the post of Assistant Professor in Biochemistry pursuant to advertisement issued by MPSC on 13.8.2021. It is further prayed that directions be given to respondent no.2 to accept the Non-Creamy Layer Certificate (NCL Certificate) submitted by the applicant as valid for the year 2021-22 and she is to be considered under OBC category.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that select list was published on 11.11.2022 and thereafter inadvertently W.P. (St.) No.37656/2022 was filed in the Hon'ble High Court seeking relief and which was withdrawn on 18.12.2022. This OA is filed on 22.12.2022.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate submits that there are 6 posts of Assistant Professor in Biochemistry. The applicant stands at Sr. No.6 in the merit list which was published on 11.11.2022. Though the applicant has applied in OBC Female category she is shown as competing in Open category. Ld. Advocate submits that she has applied for NCL Certificate before she filled the online application form and when she appeared for the interview she was having the NCL Certificate. However, it appears that it is not considered.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.1.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply. <u>To be shown under the caption of "Urgent Admission"</u>.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 6.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.625 of 2022 in O.A. No.327 of 2017</p> <p>A.S. AmbudkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. This M.A. is filed for restoration of O.A. No.327/2017 which was dismissed in default on 27.09.2022. The perusal of record of O.A. reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate were continuously absent and therefore O.A. came to be dismissed. Today again when the M.A. is called, Applicant and his counsel both are absent. Thus they seem not interested in the matter. Hence, M.A is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right"><u>L.O</u> →</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

L.O.

1. In Afternoon session, learned Advocate for the Applicant Ms. S. Suryawanshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi appeared and requested to restore M.A, which was dismissed in default in morning.

2. In the interest of justice, order of dismissal is recalled and M.A.No.625/2022 be kept for hearing on 25.01.2022.

3. S.O. to 25th January, 2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
06.01.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1184 of 2019</p> <p>D.J. SonawaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Nitesh Gupta, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Pathak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed counter Affidavit to the Additional Affidavit filed by Respondents on 24.11.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. requested for time for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.424 of 2020 with O.A. No.398 of 2021 with O.A. No.172 of 2022 with O.A. No.80 of 2021 with O.A. No.81 of 2022 with O.A. No.632 of 2021 with O.A. No.685 of 2022 with O.A. No.713 of 2022 with O.A. No.784 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. K.B. Batte Dr. B.M. Dahiphale Dr. S. V. Kachare Dr. J.K. Pagare Dr. A.R. Patil Dr. A.S. Thakre P.B. Rathod Dr. V.N. Musale & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, leaned Advocate for the Applicant in 424/2020, Shri U.V. Bhosle, leaned Advocate for the Applicant in 398/2021, Shri K.R. Jagdale, leaned Advocate for the Applicant in 172/2022, Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding Shri S.S. Dere, Advocate for the Applicant in 685/2022, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. Misal, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4. Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.80/21, 81/21, 632/21 & 713/22 is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the Writ petition is before Hon'ble High Court for hearing on 10.01.2023 and requested for adjournment. All these O.As were adjourned from time to time awaiting the decision of Hon'ble High Court since similar issue is involved in these Group of O.As.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1136 of 2022 with O.A. No.1137 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. A.A. Upadhyay Dr. P.A. MeshramApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Anita Kolpekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 in O.A. No.1136/22 & No.5 in O.A. No. 1137/2022 and. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. On request of learned Respondents two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.697 of 2021</p> <p>B.P. SatputeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. was before Division Bench and recently transferred to this Bench. Today for the first time it is listed before me. 3. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1,3 & 4 though enough time is granted. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 4. Two weeks time is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file Rejoinder. 5. S.O. to 20.01.2023. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.944 of 2022</p> <p>V.V. MeshramApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 06.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1037/2019 with 1039/2017 with 1040/2019 with 1041/2019 with 1042/2019 With O.A.Nos.1237/2019 with 1238/2019 with 1239/2019 with 1240/2019 with 1241/2019</p> <p>Dr. G. B. Taware & Ors. C. G. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan and Smt. Prachi Hendre holding for Shri K. B. Bhise, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the issue involved in the present Ori. Applications is subjudice before the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.230/2019 and requested to adjourned the matter.</p> <p>3. In order dated 07.11.2022 passed by the Tribunal, the Tribunal observed that O.A.s are required to be decided after the decision of W.P. pending before the Hon'ble High Court since the issue involved is common.</p> <p>5. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 03.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.15 of 2023</p> <p>S.K. KuklareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Om M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed representation on 09.12.2020 ^{vide} referred to Respondent No.3 - The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai for Inter District Transfer on the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Aurangabad in terms of regulation dated 26.10.2017 but it is kept pending without any action. He therefore sought direction to the Respondents to consider the same.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. sought two days time to take instruction as to why application is kept pending.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.02 of 2023 in O.A. No.04 of 2023</p> <p>D.D. Jagtap & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dr. R.R. Asawa, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 06.01.2023

O.A. No.04 of 2023

D.D. Jagtap & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Dr. R.R. Asawa, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This O.A. is filed for grant of increment due on 01st July to which the Applicants are deprived of because of retirement on 30th June of the concerned year.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.02.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 03.02.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.625 of 2022 in O.A. No.327 of 2017</p> <p>A.S. AmbudkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. This M.A. is filed for restoration of O.A. No.327/2017 which was dismissed in default on 27.09.2022. The perusal of record of O.A. reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate were continuously absent and therefore O.A. came to be dismissed. Today again when the M.A. is called, Applicant and his counsel both are absent. Thus they seem not interested in the matter. Hence, M.A is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1311 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. GhandankushApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2021 from the post of Sr. Police Inspector but his retiral benefits are not paid except provisional Pension for some period. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that there was no D.E. or criminal prosecution pending on the date of retirement and there was absolutely no hurdle to release his retiral benefits. If it is so, it is really disturbing as to why retiral benefits are withheld. In such situation Respondents may be liable for interest.</p> <p>3. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instruction and apprise the Tribunal why retiral benefits are not paid.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept on 10.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1312 of 2022</p> <p>N.C. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pardhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Reply in O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.762 of 2022 in O.A. No.1312 of 2022</p> <p>N.C. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pardhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to incorporate certain additional pleading to explain the tenure and posting of the Applicant at various places before impugned transfer order. It would not change the nature of O.A. It seems necessary for the proper adjudication of O.A. Amendment is allowed. M.A. is accordingly disposed of. It be incorporated in O.A. within three days.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.176 of 2021</p> <p>S.C. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. This O.A. is recently transferred from Division Bench to this Bench and today for the first time it is listed before me. In the interest of justice, adjourned for Final Hearing. 4. S.O. to 30.01.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.472 of 2022 in O.A. No.780 of 2021</p> <p>S.M. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 4. M.A. is kept for hearing. 5. S.O. to 25.01.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2023</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.22 of 2022 in O.A. No.496 of 2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus A.B. Baviskar & Ors., (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant). 2. This Review is filed by Original Respondents. 3. Today, learned Advocate for the Original Applicants has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in R.A. It is taken on record. 4. R.A. be kept for hearing. 5. S.O. to 20.01.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 06.01.2023

O.A. No.16 of 2023 with O.A. No.17 of 2023

S.H. Ghuge

M.M. Bhalekar

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicants are seeking Inter District Transfer from the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to the Superintendent of Police, Nashik (Rural) and the Commissioner of Police, Pune respectively.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.02.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 01.02.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A. NOS. 144, 145, 146, 167, 203, 300, 301, 321, 790, 791, 830, 793, 796 OF 2022 WITH M.A. NO. 12/2023 IN O.A. NO. 144/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Amit H. Daphale & Ors. ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard S/Shri Sandip Dere, B.A. Bandiwadekar, P. Avad with D. Naval, D.B. Khaire, Smt. Madhavi Ayyappan, learned Counsel appears for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for Applicants in respective applications and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO. Shri A.B. Moon, learned Counsel in intervention application i.e. M.A. No.12/2023. In O.A. Nos. 300 & 301 of 2022, no one has caused appearance.</p> <p>2. Intervention Application bearing No. 12/2023 be kept pending and it will be decided at the time of disposal of all these OAs.</p> <p>3. When today the present matters are taken up for consideration, the order passed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Writ Petition Nos. 224 & 226 both of 2023 yesterday i.e. on 5.1.2023 is placed on record. After having gone through the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court, it appears to us that there may not be</p>



[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>any impediment for proceeding with hearing of these matters.</p> <p>4. During course of submissions advanced yesterday, it was informed that there are only 54 candidates, who got the benefit of the orders passed by this Tribunal at Principal Seat, as well as, at Benches at Nagpur & Aurangabad. Such information was given by the learned CPO. However, according to shri Dere, learned Counsel appearing for Applicants in some earlier OAs, the number is much more than 54 and according to him, number of such candidates may be around to 250. We are further informed that training of such selected candidates has been commenced at different Centres. There are about 10 Training Centres where the candidates are deputed for training.</p> <p>5. In view of varying information received as about number of candidates, who got the benefit of the orders passed by this Tribunal, we direct the learned CPO to ascertain correct information from the authorities concerned with all necessary particulars and file a short Affidavit in that regard by 12.1.2023. We further direct the learned CPO to ensure that all such candidates are duly noticed as about the hearing of present OAs by the Full Bench on 17th of January, 2023.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 17th January, 2023. It is clarified that the Full Bench will sit on 17.1.2023, as well as, on 18.1.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Smt. Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Jus. M.G. Giratkar) Vice Chairman (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Jus. P.R. Bora) Vice Chairman(J)</p>