

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 332/2021 in O.A 678/2021</u></p> <p>Shri J.D Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Kadam for the applicant seeks permission to replace page no. 10.</p> <p>3. Permission granted.</p> <p>4. S.O to 10.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>06.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 469/2012 with O.A 682/2013 & 8790/2015</u></p> <p>Dr Anilkumar R. Tarale & Ors ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p align="center"><u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Speaking to the minutes is moved by Smt K.S Gaikwad, the learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O stated that there are some corrections to be carried out in the order dated 4.1.2022.</p> <p>4. In the midst of para 9 it is mentioned as under:-</p> <p align="center">“the Government has issued the modification of Rules by Notification in November, 2012 and so also in the Recruitment rules in 2013.”</p> <p>5. However, the same should be read as under:-</p> <p align="center">“The Government has issued modification of Rules by Notification in 2013 in the Recruitment Rules 2000 and in meantime fresh Recruitment Rules of Group-B was issued in 2012/”</p> <p>6. Similarly in para 18 it is mentioned as under:-</p> <p align="center">“(Recruitment) Rules 2000 which were amended on 13.7.2013.</p> <p>7. However, the same should be read as under:-</p> <p align="center">“(Recruitment) Rules 2000 which were amended on 18.7.2013.”</p> <p>8. Learned counsel for the applicants has no objection for the same.</p> <p>9. Hence ordered accordingly.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn </p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson [PTO.] </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>06.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 409/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Pathak ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.2.2022.</p> <p align="right">11</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1053/2021</u></p> <p>Shri V.A Daingade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice made returnable on 10.2.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 10.2.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 603/2021 in O.A 1062 /2021</u></p> <p>Shri M.P. Devade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>06.01.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1062/2021</u></p> <p>Shri M.P. Devade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Issue notice made returnable on 27.1.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 27.1.2022.</p>

Sd/-

(Signature)
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p data-bbox="726 693 885 726"><u>06.01.2022</u></p> <p data-bbox="869 777 1316 815" style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 531/2021 in O.A 682/2021</u></p> <table border="0" data-bbox="726 853 1412 1082"> <tr> <td>Shri S.D Naikade & Ors</td> <td>... Intervenors/ Applicants</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Vs.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Dr K.J Kuwar & Ors</td> <td>... Respondents (Ori Applicants)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The State of Maharashtra & Ors</td> <td>... Respondents</td> </tr> </table> <p data-bbox="726 1108 1476 1261">1. Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the Intervenors/Applicants, has filed her leave note. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the original applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="726 1286 1476 1388">2. Learned counsel Shri Bandiwadekar, for the original applicants states that Respondent No. 2, MPSC has not filed affidavit in reply.</p> <p data-bbox="726 1414 1476 1490">3. Respondent No. 2, MPSC is directed to file affidavit in reply before the next date.</p> <p data-bbox="726 1516 1045 1541">4. S.O to 3.2.2022.</p> <div style="text-align: right; padding-right: 50px;"> <p data-bbox="1252 1567 1316 1605">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1157 1656 1460 1719">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> <div style="text-align: left; padding-left: 50px;"> <p data-bbox="821 1617 885 1656">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="742 1668 949 1719">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p data-bbox="742 1732 790 1758">Akn</p> </div>	Shri S.D Naikade & Ors	... Intervenors/ Applicants	Vs.		Dr K.J Kuwar & Ors	... Respondents (Ori Applicants)	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	... Respondents
Shri S.D Naikade & Ors	... Intervenors/ Applicants								
Vs.									
Dr K.J Kuwar & Ors	... Respondents (Ori Applicants)								
The State of Maharashtra & Ors	... Respondents								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1043/2021</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Jaiswal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice made returnable on 3.2.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 3.2.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/- /</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>06.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 586/2021 in O.A 1033 /2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Dabholkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

06.01.2022

O.A 1033/2021

Shri S.B Dabholkar & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice made returnable on 3.2.2022.
- 3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 6. S.O to 3.2.2022.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>06.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 571/2021 in O.A 993/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Ajitkumar R. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.A Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that temporary injunction be granted for staying the departmental enquiry which is scheduled tomorrow against the applicant who is facing charges of sexual harassment.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. At this stage, we cannot grant any interim relief.</p> <p>5. S.O to 13.1.2022. Learned counsel for the applicant can press for interim relief on the said date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>06.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 460/2021</u></p> <p>Shri M.D Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.A Madane i/b Shri C.K Bhangoji, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">/</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>06.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 255/2021</u></p> <p>Nikita K. Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Krishna Agarwal i/b Talekar & Associates, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that affidavit in reply will be filed by Respondent no. 3, MPSC during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 27.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.07 of 2022 with O.A. No.08 of 2022 with O.A. No.09 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. Hankare N.B. Patil V.V. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A. No.07/2022 the Applicant stands retired on 31.08.2009. However, that time D.E. was pending which is completed by order dated 25.09.2017 imposing punishment of reduction of 3% pension per month for one year. Thereafter, his retiral benefits were released in 2018, he made first representation on 16.08.2018 for interest on delayed payment of retiral benefits.</p> <p>3. In O.A. No.08/2022 the Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2020, that time D.E. was completed which was completed by passing final order dated 25.09.2017 thereby imposing punishment of reduction of 3% pension per month for one year. Accordingly, his retiral benefits were released in 2018, he made representation on 16.08.2018 for interest on delayed payment.</p> <p>4. Whereas in O.A. No.09/2022 the Applicant stands retired on 30.06.2010 but D.E. was pending against him which was completed by passing final order dated 25.07.2019 thereby imposing punishment of reduction of 3% pension per month for one year.</p> <p>5. Thereafter, retiral benefits were released in 2018. Applicant made representation on 17.12.2018 for interest of delayed payment. [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appellate Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. It is on the above background these 3 O.As. are filed for interest of delayed payment on retiral benefits.

7. As such, though representations were made long back, O.As were not file within limitation.

8. In O.A. vague statement is made that it is within limitation. Office ought to have scrutinized O.A. properly to find out as to whether O.A. is within limitation or otherwise. However, as per endorsement what is stated that scrutiny is done and found no objections, as seen in O.A. No.09/2022. Whereas there is no such endorsement of scrutiny in O.A. No.07/2022 and O.A. No.08/2022.

9. It is thus apparent that Office is not verifying and scrutinizing O.A. properly.

10. All these O.As be sent to I/C Registrar for securitizing afresh and it be listed after scrutiny.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.686 of 2021 ↳</p> <p>S.V. WaghApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is appointed in Government service as Child Development Project Officer (C.D.P.O.) and was posted at Harsul, Distrcit Nashik by order dated 12.06.2017. He has completed normal tenure of 3 years and was due for transfer in General Transfer of 2021. His options were called and accordingly he gave options in terms of circular dated 11.07.2000 and G.R. dated 06.08.2002 which <i>inter-alia</i> states that the Government servant who has worked in tribal area is entitled to claim choice posting completion of 2 years service in tribal area. Whereas, in present case the Applicant admittedly worked in tribal area for 3 years and due for General Transfer in 2021. He therefore gave options but it was not considered and she was given posting as C.D.P.O. (Rural) Akkalkuva, District-Nandurbar.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. sought to contend that the post of Akkalkuva also falls in tribal area and from the point of administrative exigencies the said post was required to be filled in.</p> <p>4. Undisputedly, in terms of G.R. dated 06.08.2002 a employee who has worked in tribal area for 2 years is entitled to choice posting. Accordingly, the Applicant has given options but his options were totally discarded.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Tribunal's orders

Thereafter, she again made representation on 09.08.2021 asking for some other place of choice in addition to options given by him at the time of general transfer. However, Government in defiance of its own G.R. dated 06.08.2002 declined choice posting to the Applicant. No such compelling reasons ^{are} forthcoming to deviate from G.R. dated 06.08.2002 to deprive the Applicant from getting posting as per choice in terms of G.R. dated 06.08.2002. Needles to mention once Government has taken policy decision to give benefits of choice posting to a Government servant who worked in tribal area sacrificing his or her comfort then Government should act in pursuance of its own policy in fair and transparent manner.

5. It is on the above background learned Advocate for the Applicant made fair submission that Respondents be directed to consider the representation of the Applicant for getting choice posting in terms of G.R. dated 06.08.2002 in ensuing general transfer of 2022, so that nobody is disturbed. This submission is quite reasonable and fair.

6. In view of above, I pass the following order.

Respondent is directed to consider the representation made by the Applicant on 09.08.2021 as well as the option given by the Applicant at the time of general transfer (general transfer 2021 pg 14 of P.B.) and shall take appropriate decision on it in the light of G.R. dated 06.08.2002 and the decision as the case may be shall be communicated to the Applicant.

7. Respondents is at liberty to call for additional options from the Applicant at the time of general transfer of 2022 and considering her additional options, shall pass appropriate orders so that there should be wider scope for giving posting to the Applicant as per his choice in terms of G.R. dated 06.08.2002.

8. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.962 of 2014</p> <p>D.B. ChitaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Liberty was granted to learned P.O. to file Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.1 but the same is not filed. 3. Now it is 04.00 p.m. and as per office order Court time is over in view of pandemic situation. 4. Learned P.O. therefore requested to adjourn the matter shortly. 5. S.O. to 13.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.289 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. GavandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.2 – Smt. N. Ambika, Deputy Commissioner of Police, Head Quarter – 1, stating that she was one of the Member of P.E.B. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for hearing at the stage of Admission as Part Heard.</p> <p>4. S.O. to.13.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.762 of 2021</p> <p>K.J. PatkiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. as well as learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 short time is granted for completion of hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1112 of 2019</p> <p>K.B. Solanki & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit on behalf of Applicant Nos.3 & 4. along with Annexure to produce allotment of quarter order which is received in R.T.I. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.999 of 2021</p> <p>A.R. BhagwatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is fixed for filing of Affidavit of Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Mantralaya Mumbai in terms of order passed by the Tribunal on 22.12.2021 to explain steps taken for completion of D.E. as well as to know what steps Secretary proposed to take against the concerned for sheer negligence and laxity for not completing D.E.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. time is granted to file Affidavit till 11.01.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.50 of 2019</p> <p>V.T. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Darshana Kambli, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Darshana Kambli learned Advocate submits that Shri. A.G. Sugdare, learned Advocate is unable to attend the matter, and therefore, requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.956 of 2021</p> <p>V.A. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to.20.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.904 of 2021</p> <p>K.N. ShinganatheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has invited Tribunal's attention to the order dated 18.11.2021 whereby directions were given to the Respondents to decide the representations made by the Applicant for transfer.</p> <p>3. However, learned P.O. submits that representation is not yet decided and it will be considered in next P.E.B. scheduled on 12.01.2022.</p> <p>4. She has therefore requested for grant of two weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.900 of 2021</p> <p>B.R. GirmeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. 3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 20.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- v' (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.877 of 2021</p> <p>N.S. DhudhalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. S.O. to.21.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.825 of 2021</p> <p>G.S. KaranjeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. Interim relief to continue till filing of Reply. 5. S.O. to 20.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.822 of 2021</p> <p>R.N. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Today, matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. S.O. to 31.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.820 of 2021</p> <p>S.D. ArjunApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Today, matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed. 4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 31.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.874 of 2021</p> <p>A.J.A.W. KaziApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.508 of 2021 in O.A. No.849 of 2021</p> <p>S.N. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Prema Agawekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 & 3.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 & 3 has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>4. S.O. to.21.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="margin-top: 100px;">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.02 of 2022 in M.A. No.360 of 2021 in O.A. No.808 of 2020</p> <p>B.R. SheelwantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Tanvi Sangle, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.A. Parab, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. No.360/2021 is filed for condonation of delay in filing O.A. No.808/2021 was dismissed in default on 03.12.2021. This M.A. No.02/2022 is therefore filed for restoration of O.A. and M.A. <i>inter-alia</i> contending that Advocate for the Applicant was busy in other Court, and therefore, could not attend the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. The ground that Advocate was busy in other Court, indeed cannot be termed as a sufficient ground for non appearance in the matter. It is for the Advocate to arrange the work accordingly and he should have taken care of the matter, at least he should have sent praecipe for adjournment.</p> <p>4. However, in the interest of justice O.A. No.808/2021 and M.A. No.360/2021 are restored, subject to cost of Rs.1,000/- be deposited in the Office within 7 days from today.</p> <p>5. If cost is deposited within period mentioned above M.A. No.360/2021 & O.A. No.808/2021 be listed before the Tribunal for hearing.</p> <p>6. In view of above, M.A. No.02/2022 is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.564 of 2021</p> <p>K.T. Bargaje & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 06.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.975 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. ZawareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This matter is taken on today's board, in view of praecipe for withdrawal. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw O.A. unconditionally. 4. Allowed to withdraw O.A. 5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>