

**T.A.NO.29/2024 (W.P.NO.9485/2024)**  
**(Nandkishor Paydhan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V. K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S.Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Counsel for applicants on instructions seeks leave to correct the addresses of applicant nos.2, 24, and 33. So far as the applicant nos.2, 24 and 33 are concerned, even though their residence is shown as District Buldhana all of them are working in Tq. Jafrabad & Bhokardan of Jalna District. Applicant nos.2 and 24 are working in the Agriculture office of Tq. Jafrabad, Dist. Jalna. Applicant no.33 is working in the office of Panchayat Samiti, Jafrabad, Dist. Jalna. Leave is granted to correct their respective addresses in the title clause.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicants submits that applicant no.27 though is resident of Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar, he is working in the

=2= T.A.29/2024 (W.P.9485/2024)

Agriculture Office at Deulgaon Raja, Dist. Buldhana. Learned Counsel for applicants seeks leave to withdraw the O.A. in so far as the applicant no.27 is concerned with liberty to file the same before the appropriate Bench. Leave as prayed for is granted. O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn in so far as applicant no.27 (Shri Suhas Pratapsing Chungde) is concerned with liberty to file O.A. before the appropriate Bench.

4. Heard on the point of interim relief.

5. Applicants were appointed in Government service as nominees of Freedom Fighters in terms of Circular dated 04-03-1991. Subsequently, applicants' services were confirmed.

6. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28-08-2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the Circular dated 04-03-1991. By G.R. dated 28-08-2024, Government has also issued directions to the concerned authorities to terminate services of Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28-08-2024 is reproduced as below:

=3= T.A.29/2024 (W.P.9485/2024)

*“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become outdated, it is cancelled.*

*(ii) Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.*

*(iii) The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”*

7. The applicants apprehend that they may be terminated from services based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicants' concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicants in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासेक-९ dated 28.08.2024.**

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

=4= T.A.29/2024 (W.P.9485/2024)

[iii] Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25-09-2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.426/2024 IN O.A.NO.1018/2024**  
**(Balaji S. Pimaldare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V. K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**  
**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S.Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicants submitted that by way of present O.A. applicants are challenging G.R. dated 28-08-2024. They are having same cause of action and seeking similar relief. In view thereof and for the reasons stated by the applicants, M.A. for sue jointly is allowed. Applicants are permitted to sue the respondents jointly. M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1018/2024**  
**(Balaji S. Pimaldare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V. K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S.Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Applicants were appointed in Government service as nominees of Freedom Fighters in terms of Circular dated 04-03-1991. Subsequently, applicants' services were confirmed.

3. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28-08-2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the Circular dated 04-03-1991. By G.R. dated 28-08-2024, Government has also issued directions to the concerned authorities to terminate services of Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28-08-2024 is reproduced as below:

*“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become outdated, it is cancelled.*

*(ii) Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.*

*(iii) The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”*

4. The applicants apprehend that they may be terminated from services based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicants' concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicants in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वसैक-९ dated 28.08.2024.**

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete

paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25-09-2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**T.A.NO.30/2024 (W.P.NO.9476/2024)**  
**(Sanjay D. Narwade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V. K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Chetan T. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Applicants were appointed in Government service as nominees of Freedom Fighters in terms of Circular dated 04-03-1991. Subsequently, applicants' services were confirmed.

3. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28-08-2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the Circular dated 04-03-1991. By G.R. dated 28-08-2024, Government has also issued directions to the concerned authorities to terminate services of Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28-08-2024 is reproduced as below:

*“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become outdated, it is cancelled.*

=2= T.A.NO.30/2024 (W.P.NO.9476/2024)

(ii) *Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.*

(iii) *The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”*

4. The applicants apprehend that they may be terminated from services based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicants' concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicants in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वसैक-९ dated 28.08.2024.**

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete

=3= T.A.NO.30/2024 (W.P.NO.9476/2024)

paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25-09-2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A.NO.31/2024 (W.P.NO.9486/2024)**  
**(Anant B. Solunke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V. K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Chetan T. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Applicants were appointed in Government service as nominees of Freedom Fighters in terms of Circular dated 04-03-1991. Subsequently, applicants' services were confirmed.

3. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28-08-2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the Circular dated 04-03-1991. By G.R. dated 28-08-2024, Government has also issued directions to the concerned authorities to terminate services of Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28-08-2024 is reproduced as below:

*“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become outdated, it is cancelled.*

=2= T.A.NO.31/2024 (W.P.NO.9486/2024)

*(ii) Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.*

*(iii) The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”*

4. The applicants apprehend that they may be terminated from services based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicants' concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicants in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वसैक-९ dated 28.08.2024.**

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete

=3= T.A.NO.31/2024 (W.P.NO.9486/2024)

paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25-09-2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.423/2024 IN O.A.NO.1012/2024**  
**(Balu @ Balasaheb A. Chavan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.N.Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Office has raised certain objections in the matter. Applicant to remove office objection on today itself.

3. S.O. to 06-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.428/2024 IN O.A.NO.1020/2024**  
**(Bhagwan D. Chikalekar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicants submitted that by way of present O.A. applicants are challenging G.R. dated 28-08-2024. They are having same cause of action and seeking similar relief. In view thereof and for the reasons stated by the applicants, M.A. for sue jointly is allowed. Applicants are permitted to sue the respondents jointly. M.A. stands disposed of accordingly. No costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1020/2024**  
**(Bhagwan D. Chikalekar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND

Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Applicants were appointed in Government service as nominees of Freedom Fighters in terms of Circular dated 04-03-1991. Subsequently, applicants' services were confirmed.

3. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28-08-2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the Circular dated 04-03-1991. By G.R. dated 28-08-2024, Government has also issued directions to the concerned authorities to terminate services of Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28-08-2024 is reproduced as below:

*“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become outdated, it is cancelled.*

*(ii) Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.*

*(iii) The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”*

4. The applicants apprehend that they may be terminated from services based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicants' concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicants in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वसैक-९ dated 28.08.2024.**

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete

paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25-09-2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.416/2024**  
**(Kiran L. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B.Kakde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks' time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 21-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.685/2024**  
**(Satish S. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G.Salunke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Even though the last chance was granted, affidavit in reply has not been filed by the respondents.

3. List the matter for admission hearing with liberty to other side to file reply till then. S.O. to 19-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.14/2024**  
**(Swati J. Khatal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B.Bhise, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply of respondent nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record. Copy thereof is given to the learned Counsel for applicant today itself.

3. S.O. to 20-09-2024 for filing rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**  
YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.499/2019 IN M.A.NO.500/2019 IN  
O.A.NO.667/2019  
(Sanjay R. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.N.Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel holding for Shri Sudhir Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S.Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 22-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.491/2024**  
**(Ashwini S. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

**AND**

Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.More, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant on instructions submits that during the pendency of this O.A. appointment order in favour of the applicant has been issued. Learned Counsel thus submits that nothing survives for further consideration and he prays for leave to withdraw the O.A. In view thereof, leave as prayed for is granted. O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn. No costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**T.A.NO.20/2023 (W.P.NO.13026/2023)**  
**(Machindra B. Najanb & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks' time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Even though earlier time was granted as a last chance affidavit in reply is not filed. List the matter for admission hearing with liberty to other side to file reply till then. S.O. to 23-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.175/2024**  
**(Sangameshwar M. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.
3. S.O. to 24-10-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.460/2024**  
**(Yadav S. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for applicants seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. A week's time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 12-09-2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO.48/2023 IN O.A.NO.479/2021**  
**(Lotan D. Vishi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Yogesh M. Patil, learned Counsel for respondent nos.1 and 2.

2. Learned Counsel for applicant submits that during the pendency of the Contempt Petition, order passed in the O.A. has been complied with. In view thereof nothing survives in the contempt petition. Hence, Contempt Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.789/2024**  
**(Bappaheb L. Kokat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Rahul A. Shinde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that, inadvertently, amendment though permitted by the Tribunal, could not be carried out till date and therefore sought extension of time. Time is extended by a week to carry out the amendment. Amendment be carried out and applicant to serve copy thereof on the other side.

3. S.O. to 13-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.281/2024 IN O.A.NO.208/2023**  
**(State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs Suryabhan E. Pawar)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant in O.A./respondent in M.A. and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants in M.A./respondent authorities in O.A., are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant in O.A. submits say to the M.A. filed by the respondents for vacating interim relief. Same is taken on record. Copy thereof be served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 12-09-2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.71/2024**  
**(Dr. Dadasaheb W. Salunke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Even though the last chance was granted, affidavit in reply has not been filed by the respondents. List the matter for admission hearing with liberty to other side to file reply till then. S.O. to 20-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A.NO.923/2024 WITH CAVEAT NO.32/2024**  
**(Vivek V. Jamdhade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Ku. V.S.Patil, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Counsel for respondent no.4 & 6.

2. Ku. V.S.Patil, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Counsel has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.6. It is taken on record. Copy thereof be served on the other side.

3. Learned C.P.O. submits that the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents will be filed on tomorrow or so. If reply is filed by learned CPO, office is directed to accept the same.

4. Learned Counsel for the applicants prays for leave to delete respondent no.7 from the array of respondents. Leave granted. Respondent no.7 be deleted forthwith.

5. S.O. to 10-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**C.P.NO.61/2019 IN O.A.NO.533/2015**  
**(Shivaji P. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By consent of parties, S.O. to 20-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO.01/2022 WITH M.A.NO.337/2021 IN  
T.A.NO.02/2021 (W.P.NO.2612/2021)  
(Samiksha Chandrakar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri U.S.Patil, learned Counsel applicant in M.A.No.337/2021 is **absent**.

2. By consent of parties, S.O. to 20-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.843/2024**  
**(Shweta S. Sharnangat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Rahul M. Jade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Counsel submits that during the course of the day M.A. seeking amendment will be filed in the office.

3. S.O. to 23-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.274/2024 IN M.A.ST.297/2024 IN  
O.A.ST.NO.259/2024  
(Satish S. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 20-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A.NO.26/2024 (W.P.NO.7052/2024)**  
**(Kiran M. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kiran M. Salve, applicant in person and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Applicant appeared in person before this Tribunal and insisting for hearing the matter. Applicant - party in person is directed to appear before the Registrar of this Tribunal and after verification, Registrar shall file report assessing the legal acumen of the applicant to argue the matter.
3. S.O. to 06-09-2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A.NO.27/2024 (W.P.NO.7520/2024)**  
**(Rukmin B. Rathod @ Rukmin U. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Suvidh S. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 20-09-2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 20-09-2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 878/2024**  
**(Sanjay R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.S. Anerao, learned Counsel for the applicant for some time.

Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Presently we are not inclined to issue notice to the respondents.

3. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to take instructions with regard to communication dated 30.07.2024 issued by the Additional Director General of Police on behalf of the Director General of Police, Mumbai to all the Police Commissioners, Mumbai, all the Superintendent of Police (including Railway) (except Hingoli and Nagpur (Railway) and all the Commandants, SRPF, Group 1 to 19.

4. S.O. to 19.09.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 990/2024**  
**(Dr. Rajendra N. Khandagale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 06.09.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1014/2024**  
**(Shubham R. Magar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.N. Lute, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle., learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that this Original Application is filed only on the basis of certain online information. However, on perusal of the said online information (paper book page 23), it appears that, it is a overall list of the duties allotted to the Home Guards without referring to the name of any particular Home Guard. Learned counsel submits that the applicant already has filed application under Right to Information Act to provide information in connection with the Home Guard duties performed by respondent no. 05 for the period from 2019 to 2023 and it is still awaited.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that after receipt of the information under RTI, the applicant may file appropriate Original Application.

::-2-::

**O.A. NO. 1014/2024**

Learned counsel thus seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application with liberty to file fresh Original Application on the basis of the information received in connection with the application filed by the applicant under R.T.I., if it is adverse or it is against respondent no. 05. Leave granted.

4. The present Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant as above. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. NO. 67/2021 IN O.A. ST. 268/2021**  
**(Chikkahayakanahalli Doddaiah Krishnaiah Setty Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Mene, learned counsel for respondent no. 04, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 13.09.2024 for admission hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. NO. 353/2024 IN O.A. NO. 520/2022**

**(Satish B. Jadhav (Died) through Aasha S. Jadhav & Ors.  
Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 12.09.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. NO. 328/2021 IN O.A. NO. 42/2013**  
**(Rana Pratapsingh N. Chauhan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. The Original Application is of the year 2013 i.e. O.A. No. 42/2013. By order dated 15.12.2016, this Tribunal has dismissed the O.A. in default. The present Misc. Application bearing No. 328/2021 is filed for restoration of O.A. along with prayer for condonation of delay, which is 1505 days caused in filing the Misc. Application.

3. The applicant and his counsel are not present today when the matter is called out. It appears that the applicant is not interested in pursuing the matter.

4. In the circumstances, the Misc. Application stands dismissed in default. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 491/2013**  
**(Devidas T. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant for some time.

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 09.09.2024. The matter be treated as **Part Head**.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 111/2013**  
**(Gajanan Shikare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri S.D. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

None appears for respondent nos. 03 and 04.

2. It appears from the previous orders that the applicant and his counsel remained absent when the matter was called out. It appears that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting his matter. The Original Application is of the year 2013 i.e. O.A. No. 111/2013.

3. In the above circumstances, the Original Application stands dismissed in default. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 498/2013**  
**(Shivraj Hawanna Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.09.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 262/2017**  
**(Trimbak Phasle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 19.09.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 16/2022**  
**(Vd. Piyush Gandhi & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicants, Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for respondent nos. 4 to 7, are present.

2. It appears that on the basis of incorrect submission of learned counsel though vide order dated 29.08.2024 O.A. No. 595/2022 was directed to be tagged along with present O.A. No. 16/2022, however, it appears that the said O.A. No. 595/2022 pertains to Single Bench. In the circumstances, detach O.A. No. 599/2022 from present O.A.

3. Registry is now directed to place present O.A. and O.A. No. 818/2021 together on 07.10.2024.

4. The interim relief, if any, granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 562/2021**  
**(Alka Naigaonkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.10.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 482/2024**  
**(Tushar Deore & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.P. Rodge, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.09.2024. The interim relief, if any, granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 676/2022**  
**(Balaji Tekale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply to the amended O.A. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 14.10.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1030/2024**  
**(Laxmikant D. Jamkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V. K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.V. Virdhe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Applicant was appointed as Lab Technician on the establishment of Government Medical College, Nanded on 09.07.1999 as a nominee of Freedom Fighter. Subsequently, applicant's services were confirmed and presently he is working as a permanent employee. Applicant was initially appointed on the basis of Freedom Fighter's nomination in terms of Circular dated 04.03.1991.

3. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28.08.2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the Circular dated 04.03.1991. By G.R. dated 28.08.2024, Government has also issued directions to the concerned authorities to terminate services of Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the

prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28.08.2024 is reproduced as below:

*“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become out-dated, it is cancelled.*

*(ii) Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.*

*(iii) The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”*

4. The applicant apprehends that he may be terminated from service based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicant's concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicant in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासेक-९ dated 28.08.2024.**

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25.09.2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1031/2024**  
**(Tanaji T. Potdar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V. K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.V. Virdhe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Applicant was appointed as Lab Technician on the establishment of S.R.T.R. Medical College & Hospital, Ambajogai on 03.06.1997 as a nominee of Freedom Fighter. Subsequently, applicant's services were confirmed and presently he is working as a permanent employee. Applicant was initially appointed on the basis of Freedom Fighter's nomination in terms of Circular dated 04.03.1991.

3. Respondent no.1 has issued a G.R. dated 28.08.2024 by which decision is taken to cancel the Circular dated 04.03.1991. By G.R. dated 28.08.2024, Government has also issued directions to the concerned authorities to terminate services of Freedom Fighters' nominees, who have not been appointed as per the prescribed procedure and the

prescribed mode of appointment. Relevant part of the G.R. dated 28.08.2024 is reproduced as below:

*“(i) As the G.R. dated 04.03.1991 has become out-dated, it is cancelled.*

*(ii) Those nominees of Freedom Fighters who are not selected & appointed through the prescribed procedure (directly appointed) in service, services of such employees shall be put to an end and the appointing authority should take the action.*

*(iii) The implementation of the said G.R. should be done immediately.”*

4. The applicant apprehends that he may be terminated from service based on the G.R. dated 28.08.2024. We find that the applicant's concern is well-founded and, therefore, we are inclined to grant interim relief. Accordingly, the following order is passed.

ORDER

[i] Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 25.09.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not take any coercive action against the applicant in light of impugned Government Resolution bearing No. नामनि-२०२४/प्र.क.७२/स्वासैक-९ dated 28.08.2024.**

[ii] Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

[iii] Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

[iv] This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[v] The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

[vi] S.O. to 25.09.2024.

[vii] Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**M.A. NO. 609/2019 IN O.A. ST.NO. 2372/2019**  
**(Radhabai wd/o Kachru Kedare Vs. State of Maharashtra &**  
**Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. There is delay of 02 years and 06 months caused in filing the accompanying Original Application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the husband of the applicant was working as Supervisor in the Education Department and during his service tenure he got 1<sup>st</sup> benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme (for short 'ACPS). However, 2<sup>nd</sup> benefit of ACPS is concerned, G.R. dated 01.04.2010 was issued by the Government by giving back effect to the same from the year 2006. Learned counsel, however, submits that in the year 2011 the husband of the applicant has suffered from paralysis attack and he remained bedridden from the year of 2011 till the year 2015. In the month of

July, 2015 husband of the applicant died. In the year 2015 itself the applicant got family pension. The applicant has filed another O.A. bearing No. 128/2016 before this Tribunal challenging recovery of certain amount from the pensionary benefits and the said O.A. was allowed by this Tribunal vide order dated 02.09.2016. Learned counsel submits that delay occasioned in filing the accompanying Original Application is not intentional and deliberate. Applicant is seeking remedy in connection with the services rendered by her husband while in Government service and said relief is monetary relief not affecting interest of any third party. As such, learned counsel submits that there is no inaction on the part of the applicant. In the circumstances, learned counsel submits that delay may be condoned.

4. Learned Presenting Officer has strongly resisted the Misc. Application. Learned P.O. submits that husband of the applicant during his service tenure had never requested for second benefit of ACPS and even after his death the applicant, though approached this Tribunal against certain actions taken by the department of recovery of certain

amount from the pensionary benefits, cannot be said to have no knowledge about the legal provisions. Learned P.O. submits that Original Application suffers from delay and laches. There is total inaction on the part of the husband of the applicant and also on part of present applicant herself. Learned P.O., therefore, submits that the M.A. seeking condonation of delay is thus, liable to be dismissed having no substance.

5. It appears that the applicant is claiming certain reliefs which ultimately have consequence on monetary benefits not affecting rights of any third party. Further it appears that husband of the applicant has suffered with paralysis attack in the year 2011 and he remained bedridden till year 2015. In the month of July, 2015 husband of the applicant died. Though second benefit of ACPS was due in the year 2008, however, as rightly pointed out by learned counsel for the applicant, in view of G.R. dated 01.04.2010 Government has given effect to the second benefit of ACPS after the period of 24 years to the concerned employees from the year 2006. In view of the same, though there is inordinate delay in approaching this Tribunal, however, the said delay is

:: - 4 - ::

**M.A. NO. 609/2019 IN  
O.A. ST.NO. 2372/2019**

not intentional and deliberate one. There is no inaction on the part of the applicant. Considering all aspects of the matter we are inclined to condone the delay caused in filing the accompanying O.A., subject to certain costs to be paid by the applicant. Hence, the following order: -

**ORDER**

- (i) The Misc. Application No. 609/2019 is hereby allowed.
- (ii) The delay of 02 years and 06 months caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned, subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1000/- (One Thousand Only) to be paid by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be paid to the M.A.T. Bar Association within a period of one month from the date of this order.
- (iii) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.
- (iv) The M.A. accordingly disposed of.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 2372/2019**  
**(Radhabai wd/o Kachru Kedare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 05.09.2024

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Upon registration of O.A., issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 14.11.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 14.11.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**CP NO. 29/2020 IN O.A. NO. 1014/2019  
C.P. NO. 1/2022 WITH M.A. 337/2021 IN T.A.  
2/2021 (W.P. 2612/2021)  
O.A. NOS. 158/2019, 177/2019, 405/2021,  
791/2021, 792/2021 AND 794/2022**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Due to paucity of time, the present matters cannot be taken up for hearing today. The Board stands discharged.

2. The matters, in which the interim relief is operating, shall be placed on board on 09.10.2024 and till then the interim relief, if any, to continue.

**MEMBER (A)**  
ARJ ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 801 OF 2024**  
**(Sharad Pandurang Jare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Shri Debashish Chakrabarty, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

**Through Video Conferencing**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 19.09.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2//

O.A. 801/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 19.09.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 411 OF 2024**  
**(Jeevan S. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.K. Chavan, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. V.P. Choudhari, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 18.11.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2//

O.A. 411/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 18.11.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 646 OF 2024**  
**(Nasreen Abdul Karim Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Nasreen Abdul Karim Khan, party in person and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Party in person seeks leave to delete the prayer clauses B, E and G and presses the Original Application to the extent of remaining prayers. Leave granted.

3. The applicant to delete the prayer clauses B, E and G forthwith.

4. So far as the remaining prayers are concerned, the office has raised the objection that in view of prayer clause 'A', the Original Application appears to be barred by limitation. The party in person shall make the appropriate submissions in terms of the objection raised by the office on the next date.

5. S.O. to 13.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 706 OF 2024 WITH  
CAVEAT NO. 34 OF 2024**

**(Moni B. Sontakke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.B. Kingre, learned counsel holding for Shri P.S. Anerao, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri R.S. Patil, learned counsel for respondent No.5/Caveator, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 19.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 848 OF 2024**  
**(Shahu S. Jaswantsingh S. Huzurasingh Vs. State of**  
**Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.N. Pawde, learned counsel holding for Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The office has raised the objection that the Original Application is barred by limitation.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that today itself the applicant is going to file an application seeking condonation of delay.

4. List this matter along with Misc. Application seeking condonation of delay on 12.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 952 OF 2024**  
**(Vasant Z. Vanjari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Nivrutti Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri Amol S. Sawant, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 18.10.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2//

O.A. 952/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 18.10.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 953 OF 2024**  
**(Vasant L. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Nivrutti Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri Amol S. Sawant, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 18.10.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2//

O.A. 953/2024

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 18.10.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 988 OF 2024**  
**(Santosh G. Yewale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 10.09.2024 in **urgent admission category**.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1022 OF 2024**  
**(Dnyaneshwar B. Bhosale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 06.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 555 OF 2018**  
**(Nirmala S. Bhandari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. This is a part heard matter.
3. S.O. to 16.10.2024 for further hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 224 OF 2023**  
**(Bhumanna M. Achewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. This is a part heard matter.
3. S.O. to 16.10.2024 for further hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 428 OF 2023**  
**(Sanjiv P. Bodkhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sanjiv P. Bodkhe, party in person, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Mene, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 & 3, are present.

2. This is a part heard matter.

3. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 25.09.2024 for further hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1016 OF 2024**  
**(Vishwanath K. Zunjari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 06.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. No. 414/2023 in M.A. No. 360/2023**  
(Sachinsingh B. Chauhan Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 26.09.2024 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 05.09.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 576 OF 2021**  
(Atmaram M. Raut Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 20.09.2024 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 987 OF 2023**  
(Dr. Shaikh Salim Gulab Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 03.10.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**O.A. No. 19/2023 with O.A. No. 20/2023**

(Dr. Ajit D. Thorbole & Nanasaheb S. Agale Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.S. Mutalik, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants in both the O.As. and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the O.As., are present.

2. S.O. to 25.09.2024 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 498 OF 2023**  
**(Ratnakala M. Mohite Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 5. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 11.10.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**M.A. No. 258/2024 in O.A. St. No. 1214/2024**  
**(Baburao M. Kamble Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Swaraj Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. List the matter for hearing on 09.10.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 906 OF 2024**  
**(Savita B. Nath Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned counsel holding for Shri D.R. Kale Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of sole respondent. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 23.09.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1049 OF 2019**  
**(Pralhad L. Bhalerao Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Yashodeep Deshmukh along with Shri Anand Kawre, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned counsel holding for Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

2. The present matter is reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 110 OF 2023**  
(Balkrushna S. Lanjewar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 05.09.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri R.T. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No. 5, is **absent**.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 08.10.2024 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**