

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.12.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1173 of 2022</p> <p>R.A. BadekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The O.A. is filed claiming relief of direction to the Respondents to pay arrears of salary for the period from May 2022 to July, 2022 in terms of 7th Pay Commission as per relief clause Para No.9 (a). Whereas, as per Prayer Clause 9(b), the Applicant sought direction to Respondents to continue regular payment of salary and the order of continuation in service as held by the Tribunal in O.A.Nos.240 & 336/2015, decided on 12.07.2016. 3. The perusal of record reveals that as per last appointment order dated 30.08.2021, the Applicant was appointed for 11 months on temporary basis till availability of MPSC candidate whichever is earlier in pay scale of Rs.56,100 – 1,77,500/-. Thus, it is in terms of 7th Pay Commission. 4. Insofar as the decision of this Tribunal in O.A.Nos.240 and 336/2015 , decided on 12.07.2016 is concerned, all that Respondents are directed by the Tribunal to continue the Applicant till such time as the regularly appointed MPSC candidate becomes available to replace the Applicants and subject to their satisfactory performance. If there is any disobedience of the order passed by the Tribunal, the remedy is to file contempt petition. 5. Admittedly, the Applicant is not in service onward August 2022 since his tenure as per last appointment order has come to an end. Therefore, the question remains of pay and allowances of three months i.e. May, June and July, 2022 as claimed in relief clause No.9(a) of O.A. 6. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that as soon as the grant is received, the salary for the months of May, June & July, 2022 will be paid. 7. In view of above, nothing is to be adjudicated in the matter and O.A. deserves to be disposed of with suitable directions. 8. O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to pay arrears of salary for May, June and July, 2022 to the Applicant within six weeks from today failing to which, the Respondents will be liable to pay interest at the rate applicable to GPF from the date of default till actual payment. 9. No order as to costs. Sd/- <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.103/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.A. Sayyad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that the representation is received by Director General and Inspector General of Police on 02.12.2022 and decision will be taken within two weeks. The period of two weeks would expire on 16.12.2022.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. be kept on 19.12.2022 awaiting the decision of Director General and Inspector General of Police.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19th December, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 05.12.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.931/2022</u></p> <p>Shri B.G. Sagare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. is directed to produce concern file to see the applications made by the Applicant, etc.</p> <p>3. One week's time is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12th December, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ^ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 05.12.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.269 of 2021</p> <p>P. G. SaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Jyoti Ghorpade holding for Shri B. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Ghorpade, learned Counsel states that the matter is being handled by Shri Kulkarni from Aurangabad but today he is unable to remain present and request for short time to argue the matter.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing to 20.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.30 of 2022</p> <p>D. V. Wadhel ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply to amendment made by the Applicant in O.A.. It is taken on record. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing. 4. S.O.13.12.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1023 of 2022</p> <p>V. R. GosaviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.738 of 2018</p> <p>C. V. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note, the matter is adjourned to 12.12.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.12.2022.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.563 of 2022</p> <p>V. D. PanvalkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P. Walimbe, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. has been filed along with M.A. for condonation of delay which is allowed today.</p> <p>3. This O.A. therefore requires to be decided on its own merit since the Respondents appeared by learned P.O. in M.A., notices of M.A. is dispensed with.</p> <p>4. Four weeks' time is granted to learned P.O. to file reply on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O.to 20.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 356 of 2022</p> <p>R. S. Kore through legal heirs S. R. Kore And A.R. KoreApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit in Reply to the amendment made by the Applicant to O.A. will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant raised grievance of non-payment of GPF and leave encashment in terms of order passed by the Tribunal on 18.10.2022. 4. However, learned P.O. submits that since the deceased Government servant was on supernumerary post, leave encashment cannot be paid right now at least up to the decision of O.A. 5. However, as regard to GPF, there seems to be no issue for withholding the same. 6. Learned P.O. is therefore, directed to ensure that the amount of GPF be paid before next date. 7. S.O. to 14.12.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- W^m (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.472 of 2021</p> <p>P. B. ChawdhariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 4 and Shri Sakhare, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.5 and 6.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing to produce recruitment rules, G.R. etc.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.16/2022 with O.A.No.74/2022 with O.A.No.750/2022 with O.A.No.751 of 2022</p> <p>A. S. Unavane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, short time is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>3. In connected O.A.s rejoinder is taken on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.12.2022.</p> <p align="right"> ⁿ Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.12.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.485 of 2022 in M.A. No.379 of 2022 in O.A.No.563 of 2022</p> <p>V. D. Panvalkar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P. Walimbe, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed O.A.No.563/2022 challenging communication dated 07.03.2017 issued by the Respondent No.2 thereby rejecting his claim for condonation of break in service. Initially the Applicant had filed W.P. No.4213/2018 before the Hon'ble High Court which he withdrew with liberty to approach the Tribunal. The Hon'ble High Court disposed of the W.P. on 25.03.2021 with liberty to file the proceeding before this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. It is on the above background, the Applicant has filed M.A.No.485/2022 for condonation of delay. The Respondents have not filed reply to M.A. though enough time is granted.</p> <p>4. Indeed, within a period of limitation the Applicant had challenged communication dated 07.03.2017 before the Hon'ble High Court but withdraw it with liberty to file the O.A. before the Tribunal on 25.03.2021. This being the position, the period spent in W.P. will have to be excluded while counting limitation in terms of Section 14 of the Limitation Act.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. For the aforesaid reason, the delay caused in filing O.A. is condoned. M.A.No.485/2022 is accordingly allowed and disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>6. Insofar as M.A.No.379/2022 is concerned, it is for amendment. Basically, it is for annexing one representation dated 28.02.2022 to O.A. which is filed in M.A.</p> <p>7. The representation dated 28.02.2022 (page no.12 of M.A.No.485/2022) be taken on record in O.A. and it be paginated accordingly.</p> <p>8. By the proposed amendment, the Applicant wants to add some grounds to demonstrate that he has rendered qualified service and entitled to service benefits. The proposed amendment is necessary for proper adjudication of the matter.</p> <p>9. Amendment be carried out within a week.</p> <p>9. M.A. No.379/2022 is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>Wk</i> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.12.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.904 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Rathod & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Talekar & Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the intervention.</p> <p>2. Suo Moto I.T. Department is added as party Respondent.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to add I.T. Department as Private Respondents in the array of the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>05.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 828/2022</u></p> <p>Shri N.G Kabadi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri N.G Kabadi, applicant in person and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder. 3. Place for final hearing on 23.1.2023. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 468/2021</u></p> <p>Shri V.A Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Shri R.A Naik, learned counsel for Respondent no. 4 absent.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 184/2022</u></p> <p>Smt Kavita E Waghmare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 5.1.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 850/2021</u></p> <p>Shri P.P Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 23.1.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(^UMedha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>05.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 818/2021</u></p> <p>Shri V.S Hipparkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to furnish the Medical Report.</p> <p>3. S.O to 9.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1213/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.M. Rakh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the order dated 21.3.2022 passed by the Respondent be quashed and set aside under which he set aside the order of removal from service passed by the Commandant, S.R.P.F, Group No. XI and reinstated the applicant on the reverted post of Police Constable on the basic pay for a period of 3 years and accordingly the applicant be granted all consequential service benefits as if the impugned order had not been passed.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.01.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O 16.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) ^u Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1211/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Prakash Doke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Poonja Mankoji i/b Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the orders dated 22.4.2019 and 306.2021 passed by Respondents no. 1 and 3 be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.01.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O 16.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>05.12.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1205/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Ashok M. Sapkjal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Amruta Karande, i/b Talekar & Associates, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that they will file short affidavit in reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks two weeks' time to file reply to the Original Application and to the short affidavit.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to ensure that hard copy of the short affidavit in reply is served on all the Respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O to 19.12.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1197/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Rajaram P. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji i/b Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the gradation list in the cadre of Agriculture Supervisor prepared by Joint Director as on 1.1.2022 be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.01.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O 09.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>