

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.]

**ITRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

.....)

versus

ne State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

.....)

Coram, s or ders	05.12.2019 Tribunal's orders O.A 870/2019
	<p>Shri S.M Sathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard applicant in person and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant submits that at present he has not made Secretary, Labour as Respondent in the present O.A. He proposes to do so. Permission granted.</p> <p>3. He request adjournment for one week.</p> <p>4. S.O to 19.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p><small>Attn.</small></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="808 409 1325 439" style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.660 of 2019 in O.A. No.1064 of 2019</u></p> <p data-bbox="727 472 1377 566">S.S. Panajkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p data-bbox="727 600 1399 689">Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="727 723 1206 752">2. Issue notice returnable on 7.1.2020.</p> <p data-bbox="727 786 1399 875">3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p data-bbox="727 909 1399 1099">4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p data-bbox="727 1133 1399 1256">5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p data-bbox="727 1290 1399 1447">6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p data-bbox="727 1480 1399 1603">7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, MA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p data-bbox="873 1659 930 1693" style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1182 1659 1239 1693" style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="808 1727 984 1816" style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 5.12.2019</p> <p data-bbox="1068 1727 1287 1816" style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.12.2019</p> <p data-bbox="727 1821 781 1850">(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.663/19 in C.A. No.52/19 in O.A. No.560/18</u></p> <p>P.S. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed for restoring CA which was dismissed in view of conditional order dated 5.11.2019.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated therein, MA is allowed and CA is restored to file.</p> <p>4. CA adjourned to 8.1.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 5.12.2019 (sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.12.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 754/2019</u></p> <p>Shri V.V Sawant & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate submits that he would file his rejoinder shortly as he is not able to trace the same.</p> <p>3. Admit. To be heard in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

05.12.2019

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A 870/2019</u>
	<p>Shri S.M Sathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard applicant in person and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant submits that at present he has not made Secretary, Labour as Respondent in the present O.A. He proposes to do so.</p> <p>3. He request adjournment for one week.</p> <p>4. S.O to 19.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 367/19 in O.A 677/2019</u></p> <p>Shri H.T Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 18.12.2019 for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 620/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.C Bachhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today applicant as well as learned advocate for the applicant are absent.</p> <p>3. Remove from the Board.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><u>05.12.2019</u> Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. 768/2019</u></p>
	<p>Shri V.G Relekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 790/2019</u></p> <p>Dr R.M Jatkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files reply. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate seeks one weeks' time for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 19.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>05.12.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 289/2019 with M.A 158/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.T Joseph & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O produced communication from Director, addressed to the Dean, who is respondent no. 2 in this O.A to provide compassionate appointment to the applicant.</p> <p>3. In view of this communication, marked as 'X' and taken on record, nothing survives in the O.A.</p> <p>4. Hence, the O.A is disposed of accordingly. M.A 158/2019 does not survive.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N/Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.56 of 2019 in O.A. No.1265 of 2013

R.M. Kashelkar

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 17.12.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, CA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
5.12.2019

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
5.12.2019

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.62 of 2019 in O.A. No.464 of 2019

B.W. Wankhede ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for
the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting
Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 17.12.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, CA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. The respondents are directed to inform about the action taken on the order issued by the Tribunal on 22.7.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
5.12.2019

(sg)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
5.12.2019

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1181 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.K. Narale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 12.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.12.2019 5.12.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

05.12.2019

M.A 521/2019 in O.A 962/2016

Smt M.P Khadiikar

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for the applicant files his rejoinder today.

3. Learned P.O seeks time for one week.

4. Adjourned to 17.12.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 234/2018</u></p> <p>Shri P.R Wagh & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant and heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O has filed reply on 20.11.2019. The matter was adjourned at the request of learned advocate for the applicant. However, today the applicant as well as learned advocate for the applicant are absent.</p> <p>3. Removed from the Board.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">w</p> <p>(A.P. Karhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 973/2019</u></p> <p>Dr M.K Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Deshpande, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for hearing on 30.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J)</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 117/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.B Vaishnav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O submits that inspite of her efforts no instructions are received from Respondent no. 2. 3. In view of the same, matter is admitted and kept for final hearing. 4. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that he needs four weeks' time for filing rejoinder. 5. Admit. Place for final hearing on 9.1.2020. <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhakar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 549/2019</u></p> <p>Shri M.B Desai ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files reply on behalf of Respondent no. 2. The same is taken on record. She seeks adjournment for filing reply on behalf Respondent no. 1, (Public Health Department).</p> <p>3. Respondent no. 1 is directed to ensure that reply is filed positively within two weeks,</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 19.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 832/2019</u></p> <p>Dr S.B Rajure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has already retired in the month of March, 2019.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that she has received instructions and reply will be filed within a week.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 12.12.2019</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 955/2019</u></p> <p>Dr S.S Rajderkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. Sharma, learned advocate with Shri Rigved Kalekar, learned for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks two weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.12.2019. Interim to continue</p> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhakar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 657/2019 in O.A 955/2019</u></p> <p>Dr S.S Rajderkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. Sharma, with Shri Rigved Kalekar learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant filed M.A 657/2019 for enclosing two documents.</p> <p>3. M.A is allowed. He is allowed to enclose the documents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">n Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 119/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.T Sakore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar , learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that he has not been provided inspection of certain documents by Res.no. 5. He submits that list of such documents will be furnished to the learned C.P.O today.</p> <p>3. Res no. 5 is directed to ensure that relevant documents are made available for inspection of this Tribunal on 12.12.2019.</p> <p>4. S.O to 12.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">N Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 455/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.T Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files reply on behalf of Respondent no. 5. The same is taken on record. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply on behalf of other Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.R. KURNEKAR) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 472/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Kashid ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant and heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks adjournment for filing reply on behalf of Respondent no. 5.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Nates, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders ar directians and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's arders Date : 05.12.2019
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1355 of 2019</p> <p>M.K. Subedar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents No.1, 2 & 3, Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate appearing for Respondents No. 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 15, 16, 22, 25, 31, 32, 35, 41, 57, 67, 88, 89, 92 & 93, Shri Vivek Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.7, 9, 11, 12, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 27, 28, 30, 33, 34, 37, 38, 39, 45, 46, 48, 52, 55, 56, 65, 66, 68, 69, 71, 72, 74, 75, 77, 80, 82, 84, 85, 86, 90, 91, 96, 98 & 99, Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate appearing for Respondents No.11, 18, 20, 36, 68, 82 & 83, Shri Pratap V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.13, 17, 19, 43 & 76, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.14, Shri R.M. Patil who the power of attorney holder on behalf of Respondent No.44, Shri Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.51, 58, 70, 78 & 87 and Shri Mahesh Salunke, Respondent No.97 is appearing in person.</p> <p>2. In terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 05.11.2019, today learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar has filed affidavit of the applicant along with copy of newspaper 'Pudhari' to show publication of notice to added Respondents. As such there is compliance of issuance of notice as contemplated in order Rule 8, Order 1 of CPC.</p> <p>3. Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate appearing for Respondents No. 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 15, 16, 22, 25, 31, 32, 35, 41, 57, 67, 88, 89, 92 and 93 has filed affidavit-in-reply. It be taken on record and pagination be done accordingly.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Shri Vivek Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.7, 9, 11, 12, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 27, 28, 30, 33, 34, 37, 38, 39, 45, 46, 48, 52, 55, 56, 65, 66, 68, 69, 71, 72, 74, 75, 77, 80, 82, 84, 85, 86, 90, 91, 96, 98 and 99 has filed common reply on all the above Respondents he appeared. It be taken on record and pagination be done accordingly.</p> <p>5. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate appearing for Respondents No.11, 18, 20, 36, 68, 82 and 83 today has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.36 and 83 and submits that he is adopting the same on behalf of other Respondents also, i.e. Respondents No.11, 18, 20, 68 and 82. It be taken on record and pagination be done accordingly.</p> <p>6. Shri Pratap V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.13, 17, 19, 43 and 76 has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of all the Respondents he appeared. It be taken on record and pagination be done accordingly.</p> <p>7. Smt. K.S. Galkwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents No.1, 2 & 3 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.14 have already filed affidavit.</p> <p>8. Shri R.M. Patil who ^{is} the power of attorney holder on behalf of Respondent No.44 is appearing in person and has also filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.44. It be taken on record and pagination be done accordingly.</p> <p>9. Shri Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.51, 58, 70, 78 and 87 has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.58 and submits that reply on behalf of Respondents No.51, 70, 78 and 87 will be filed during the course of the day. The same be taken on record and pagination be done accordingly. Shri Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.51, 58, 70, 78 and 87 has also filed vakalatnama of behalf of these Respondents.</p> <p>10. Shri Mahesh Salunke, Respondent No.97 is appearing in person and has filed affidavit-in-reply. It be taken on record and pagination be done accordingly.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 16.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>