

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>05.11.2019</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 829/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.M Deshmukh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>Issue notice returnable on 17.12.2019</li> <li>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>S.O to 17.12.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memorande of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.11.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 449/2019 in O.A 829/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.M Deshmukh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A is filed to sue jointly. The M.A to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.11.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.850 of 2019 (Subject : Leave Encashment)</p> <p>B.M. Donglikar .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed the present O.A. for grant of retrial benefits.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered letter dated 04.11.2019, which is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification. It shows that the amount of GIS, gratuity and GPF is already paid. It further shows that the leave encashment bill of Rs.10,49,456/- is already submitted to the Treasury on 04.11.2019. As such the Applicant will get the same soon.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly concedes that her client is getting regular pension also. However, she submits that the Travelling Allowance on retirement is not paid. As such Travelling Allowance on retirement is only remained to be paid and all other retiral benefits have been already released.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, O.A. is disposed of as all the grievances except Travelling Allowance is redressed. So far as Travelling Allowance to be paid for home journey after retirement is concerned, Respondent No.2 is directed to take appropriate steps in this regard and release Travelling Allowance as per his entitlement, if found entitled to the same under Rules, within two months from today.</p> <p>6. O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.906 of 2019 with O.A.No.927 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. Magdum (O.A.906/2019) R.P. More (O.A.927/2019) .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 in O.A.No.906 of 2019.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.738/19</u></p> <p><b>Shri S.B. Kusalkar</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2019 contending that the same is illegal and malicious.</p> <p>3. Today, however, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered the copy of order dated 19<sup>th</sup> October, 2019 issued by Respondent No.2 – Deputy Commissioner of Police, Pune whereby the suspension of the Applicant is revoked and he is reinstated in service. The said order is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.</p> <p>4. As such, the grievance of the Applicant about suspension stands redressed in view of reinstatement in service.</p> <p>5. The Original Application is, therefore, disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 05.11.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.925 of 2019</p> <p>G.G. Nagtilak .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.11.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.589 of 2019 in O.A.No.1035 of 2019 With O.A.No.1035 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. P.B. Thakare &amp; Ors. ....Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present M.A. is filed to challenge the orders dated 22.10.2019 passed by the Respondents after filing the present O.A. The Applicant, therefore, seeks permission to amend the original O.A. so as to incorporate pleadings for challenging the orders dated 22.10.2019.</p> <p>3. The proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A., hence, M.A. is allowed. Amendment be incorporated within three days.</p> <p>4. M.A. is disposed of and O.A. is adjourned to 26.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="760 322 948 349"><b>Date : 05.11.2019</b></p> <p data-bbox="971 384 1187 411"><b>O.A.No.963 of 2019</b></p> <p data-bbox="760 446 1328 473"><b>A.M. Pujari</b> .....Applicant.</p> <p data-bbox="760 482 834 508"><b>Versus</b></p> <p data-bbox="760 513 1344 539"><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="760 575 1403 716">1. Heard Shri Abhiman Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.M. Savagave, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li data-bbox="760 760 1403 827">2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.12.2019.</li> <li data-bbox="760 871 1403 968">3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li data-bbox="760 1013 1403 1234">4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li data-bbox="760 1278 1403 1420">5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li data-bbox="760 1464 1403 1641">6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li data-bbox="760 1685 1403 1862">7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li data-bbox="760 1906 1052 1933">8. S.O. to 03.12.2019.</li> </ol> <p data-bbox="1159 1950 1224 1977" style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1104 2017 1273 2074" style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="766 2083 802 2110">prk</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.11.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1049 of 2019</p> <p>A.R. Shaikh .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.12.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 03.12.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1048 of 2019</p> <p>J.M. Lihitkar .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.12.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is allowed to annex the order of Appellate Authority.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 03.12.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ork</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.442 of 2019</p> <p>T.F. Nagvekar .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 05.11.2019</b></p> <p><b>R.A.No.16 of 2019 in O.A.No.340 of 2018 with R.A.No.17 of 2019 in O.A.No.343 of 2018 with R.A.No.18 of 2019 in O.A.No.344 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>R.M. Shivsharan &amp; Ors. (R.A.16/2019 IN O.A.340/2018) L.S. Kamble &amp; Ors. (R.A.17/2019 IN O.A.343/2018) L.V. Babar (R.A.18/2019 IN O.A.344/2018) ....Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed affidavit-in-reply in R.A.No.16/2019. It is taken on record. She further submits that reply filed in R.A.No.16/2019 should be treated as reply in R.A.No.17/2019 and R.A.No.18/2019.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted for hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 13.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</b></p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.11.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.532 of 2019 in M.A.No.531 of 2019 in M.A.No.340 of 2019 in M.A.No.266 of 2019 in O.A.No.1042 of 2018</p> <p>S.S. Patil .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.M. Savagave, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A.No.1042/2018 was dismissed in default for non-compliance of conditional order of collecting notices within the stipulated time and its service. Thereafter M.A.No.340 /2019 along with M.A.No.266/2019 were filed for condonation of delay and restoration of O.A.No.1042/2018. Notices were issued in these Miscellaneous Applications, but again these M.As. were dismissed in default for non-compliance of conditional order of collecting notices within the stipulated time. M.As. were dismissed for non-compliance of order dated 08.07.2019. Now, again these two M.As. are filed for condonation of delay and for restoration of O.A.No.1042/2018.</p> <p>3. Apparently there is negligence on the part of the Applicant to take necessary steps for issuance of notices and the explanation sought, was given by learned Advocate that due to inadvertence the steps could not be taken, is nothing but lame excuse which itself shows that the Applicant is not vigilant in proceeding with the matter.</p> <p>4. However, in my considered opinion, it would be appropriate to restore the O.A. so as to decide it on merit subject to costs.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.530/2019 and M.A.No.531/2019 is allowed subject to costs of Rs.10,000/- to be payable in the office within two days. If the cost is paid within two days i.e or before 07.11.2019, O.A.No.1042/2018 be restored and it be listed on the board of 08.11.2019.</p> <p>6. If the cost is not paid within two days, these M.As. are deemed to have been <del>stand</del> <del>dismissed</del></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 1355 OF 2009**

**DISTRICT : SOLAPUR**

Shri Mehmud K. Subhedar )...**Applicant**

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra & Others )...**Respondents**

Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 to 3.

Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate appearing for Respondents no 4, 5, 8, 32, 54, 57 & 59.

Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for Respondents no. 11, 18, 20, 68 & 82.

Shri Patil, learned advocate for Respondents no 13, 17, 19, 43 & 76.

Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 14

**CORAM** : **Shri P.N Dixit (Vice-Chairman) (A)**  
**Shri A.P Kurhekar (Member)(J)**

**DATE** : **05.11.2019**

**PER** : **Shri A.P Kurhekar (Member)(J)**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant. Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 to 3, Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate appearing for Respondents no 4, 5, 8, 32, 54, 57 & 59, Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for

Respondents no. 11, 18, 20, 68 & 82, Shri Patil, learned advocate for Respondents no 13, 17, 19, 43 & 76 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 14

2. This is High Court remanded matter which needs to be decided in view of the directions given by the Hon'ble High Court in judgment passed on 29.10.2018 in W.P 620/2015 with connected Writ Petitions.

3. This Tribunal has earlier disposed of this Original Application by judgment dated 2.4.2014 whereby entire selection process for the post of Clerk-Typist pursuant to the order dated 22.3.2008 was quashed and set aside. However, some of the candidates who were not party to the Original Application, filed Writ Petitions before the Hon'ble High Court which has been decided by order dated 29.10.2018 and the matter was remanded back to the Tribunal.

4. While remanding back to the Tribunal, Hon'ble High Court gave specific directions in para 10 that the Tribunal will follow the procedure analogous to Rule 8 Order I of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 by issuing public notice at the cost of the petitioners in the Original Application.

5. After remand of the matter, Respondent no. 7 to 99 who were before the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petitions were added as Respondents. It was made clear by the Hon'ble High Court that these added Respondents will be at liberty to file reply to the O.A and separate notice to them will not be required. Further directions were given to them to appear before this Tribunal on 30.11.2018.

6. When the matter was taken up for hearing today, it is noticed that there is no compliance of the directions given by the Hon'ble High Court in para 10(v) of the order, which interalia direct for issuance of public notice. It seems that public notice was intended to be issued to put other candidates likely to be affected on notice as there might be some more candidates who were not before the Hon'ble High Court.

7. In view of the above, applicant is directed to issue public notice in widely circulated News Papers of institution of this Original Application as contemplated under Rule 8, Order I of CPC.

8. It is made clear that no further adjournment will be given for hearing and the matter will be finally heard on 5.12.2019.

9. Place for final hearing on 5.12.2019.

Sd/-

**(A.P Kurhekar)**  
**Member (J)**

Sd/-

**(P.N Dixit)**  
**Vice-Chairman(A)**

**Place : Mumbai**

**Date : 05.11.2019**

**Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.**









**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.11.2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 764/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.S Nayakwadi &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply from Res. No. 2 (MPSC) will be filed within a period of two weeks.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O also produces communication from the Commissioner, Transport, which is marked as 'X'.</p> <p>4. S.O to 19.11.2019.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Karhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.11.2019</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1047/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.R Kale ..... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ..... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice to the returnable on 19.12.2019</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 19.12.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(P.N'Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Aku</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>05.11.2019</b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1043/2019</u></b></p> <p>Smt S.V Lendave ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice returnable on 12.12.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O to 12.12.2019.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Member (J)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p>







**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.980 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.D. Shelke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 27.11.2019 for filing reply.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td></tr><tr><td style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 5.11.2019</td><td style="text-align: center;">(P.N! Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.11.2019</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 5.11.2019	(P.N! Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.11.2019
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 5.11.2019	(P.N! Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.11.2019				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.                      of 20                      DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.11.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 498/2019 in O.A 818/2019</u></p> <p>Shri J.L Mondkar                              ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors      ...Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice returnable on 4.12.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O to 4.12.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-    Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar)    (P.N Dixit) Member (J)    Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn <span style="float: right;">[P.T.O.</span></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.11.2019</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 768/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.G Relekar ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Utkarsh Desai i/b Shri P.S Bhawake, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O adj to 27.11.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(P.N. Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.11.2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 754/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.V Sawant &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that reply would be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adj to 5.12.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: left;"><small>Akn</small></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.11.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 709/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri N.J Khillare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate states that rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 21.11.2019.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O is directed to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>05.11.2019</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 958/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.R Karande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant as well as learned advocate are absent. Heard Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that copy is not served on the office of learned C.P.O.</p> <p>3. Remove from the Board.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akr</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>05.11.2019</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 982/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.K Bhosle ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for applicant submits that objections raised by the office will be removed within a period of one week.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 12.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 12.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Member (J)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.11.2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 852/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri N.D Dharade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant as well as learned advocate for the applicant are absent. Heard Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice to the Respondents 19.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 19.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.11.2019</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 489 &amp; 490/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.V Joshi [O.A 489/2019] Shri D.S Swami [O.A 490/2019] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant as well as learned advocate for the applicant absent. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that copy of O.A has not been served on the office of the learned C.P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.12.2019</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.11.2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 966/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.W Malode ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 10.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. Respondents are directed to clarify if there is any legal impediment in considering the prayer made by the applicant.</p> <p>9. S.O to 10.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhakar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>05.11.2019</b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 485/2019 in O.A 677/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.K Bhosle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O adj to 27.11.2019 for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N<sup>l</sup>Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>05.11.2019</b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 546/2019 in M.A 147/2019 in O.A 302/2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.R.S Munir ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Vidya Kamble, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is M.A for condoning delay in the prayer to modify the order dated 2.5.2019. There is a delay of 5 months.</p> <p>3. The delay is condoned and the order dated 2.5.2019 reads as under:</p> <p align="center">"4. M.A is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to file fresh O.A."</p> <p>4. Instead fresh order is issued as under:- "M.A is disposed of as with drawn with liberty to the applicant to file fresh M.A".</p> <p>5. M.A is accordingly disposed of •</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N<sup>1</sup>Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.11.2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 967/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri Satish C. Bhosale ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard applicant in person and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 19.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 19.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">N Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- 7</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>05.11.2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 853/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.A Sonarikar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice to the Respondents returnable on 17.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 17.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) <span style="float: right;">(P.N Dixit)</span> Member (J) <span style="float: right;">Vice-Chairman (A)</span></p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.11.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 10/2019 in O.A 957/2011</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.L Karangale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO produces communication intimating receipt of retirement benefits as directed by this Tribunal. The same is taken on record and copy provided to the learned advocate for applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant proposes to seek instruction from the applicant and come before this Tribunal again.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>05.11.2019</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 576/2019 with M.A 320/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.P Patil &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O adjourned to 26.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>05.11.2019</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 574/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.P Nagamwar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O by way of last chance adjourned to 21.11.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>05.11.2019</b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 338/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri C.S Bharude ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N Kamble, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. To be heard in due course.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(P.N Dixit)</b> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b></p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.420 &amp; 421 of 2018</u></p> <p>L.S. Mohan M.Y. Phalke Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned to 8.11.2019 to find out factual position in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.952 of 2019</u></p> <p>N.P. Swami ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the applicant are absent. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registrar is directed to examine the subject-matter of the OA and place the above matter before appropriate bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.11.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.596 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.B. Choudhari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place the above matter for final hearing on 6.11.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.11.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>