

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 746/2022</u></p> <p>Shri K.S. Karale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.S Jadhav, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1, 2 & 3 and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks direction to quash and set aside the illegal promotion order dated 30th June, 2022,</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.9.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>10. S.O to 16.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 05.08.2022

**O.A.No.911 of 2021 with
O.A.No.969 of 2021 with M.A.No.39 of 2022**

R.K. Patil & Ors. (O.A.911/2021)
P.P. Bhosale & Ors. (O.A.969/21 W M.A.39/22)
....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.911/2021, Mr. Sachin B. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.969/2021 with M.A.No.39/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In two applicants O.A.No.911/2021 and five applicants O.A.No.969/2021 seek appointment to the post of Krushi Sevak through waiting list pursuant to the advertisement dated 02.01.20189 for Kolhapur Division.

3. Learned Advocate submits that wait list was prepared on 01.01.2020 and is valid for one year i.e. upto 31.01.2020. This fact is admitted in the affidavit-in-reply dated 13.06.2022 filed by the Respondent No.1, 2 & 3, through Mr. Ashok Gaikwad, working as the Administrative Officer in the office of Divisional Joint Director of Agriculture, Kolhapur Division. In the advertisement out of 97 posts, 14 posts were ear-marked for ex-serviceman. However, only 4 posts of ex-servicemen were filled-in. Learned Advocate pointed out Circular dated 16.04.1981 (page 17) and also Circular dated 14.05.1986 (page 22) wherein these two circulars are regarding concession to ex-servicemen for recruitment of Class-III and Class-IV posts.

4. Learned C.P.O. points out that along with these two O.As., two more matters i.e. O.A.No.48/2020 and O.A.No.74/2020 wherein the issue of the appointment to the reserved posts of ex-servicemen pursuant to the same advertisement is involved. We club all these four matters and adjourned to 18.08.2022 (H.O.B.) P.H.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 05.08.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.No.483 of 2022</p> <p>M.G. PrajapatApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.7.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to be continued till next date. Adjourned to 10.08.2022 as learned Advocate Ms, Mahajan is not available on 11.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1016 of 2021 with M.A.No.591 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KasarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to be continued till next date. We have stopped the respondents from taking any coercive action against the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.465 of 2022 in O.A. No.13 of 2020</u> <u>With</u> <u>O.A. No.325 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.S. Pawar A.M. Jadhao ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant in MA No.465/2022 in OA No.13/2020, Ms. Advaita Lonkar holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.325/2018 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant in MA No.465/2022 in OA No.13/2020 states that he has served copy of MA to the respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 5.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 5.8.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.650 & 651 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.B. Kamble S.P. Pawar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We direct the Ld. CPO to club all the matters pertaining to Multipurpose Health Workers.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 5.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 5.8.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.594 of 2022</p> <p>B.B. Gawde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry is directed to place this matter today before the Single Bench as the subject-matter pertains to Single Bench.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 5.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 5.8.2022</p> </div> </div>	



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.526 of 2022</u></p> <p>Sundari S.B. & Ors. . .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. . .Respondents</p> <p>Heard Parinam Law Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">Reply is already filed.Admit.S.O. to 10.8.2022 for final hearing. High on Board. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 5.8.2022 5.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Date: 05.08.2022

O.A. No.759 of 2022

S.D. KulkarniApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.12.2002 and that time one D.E. was pending against him. D.E. was completed after almost 11 years and by order dated 21.10.2013 Government imposed punishment of 100% permanent reduction of pension invoking Rule 27(1) of M.C.S. (Pension) Rule, 1982. Being aggrieved by it, he filed appeal before Hon'ble Governor which was pending for long time and finally it came to be decided by order dated 01.02.2022. Following is operative order passed by the appellate authority.

"A. The appeal of Shri Suresh D Kulkarni is partially allowed.

B. The punishment of cutting 100% monthly pension of the appellant Shri Suresh D. Kulkarni is reduced to cutting 50% monthly pension from the date of this order."

3. Now period of more than six months is over but pension is not paid in terms of the order passed above.

4. Now, since appeal is decided, Respondents are bound to take further action and release the pension in terms of order dated 01.02.2022. Indeed, Respondents ought to have initiated steps for taking further action but because of their failure the Applicant has to again approach this Tribunal by filing this O.A.

5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to release pension of the Applicant in terms of order of appellate authority dated 01.02.2022 within a month from today, ~~Failure to~~ ^{Failure to} which, amount while carry interest at the rate of 9% from the date of default till date of actual payment.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.379 of 2022 in O.A. No.563 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. PanvalkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate of the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file M.A. If, M.A. is filed within two weeks then this proceeding be listed before this Bench and in failure to do so, proceeding will stand disposed of without reference to the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. ^{to} to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.763 of 2021</p> <p>Y.R. HansekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sonali Humane, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Though this O.A. has been filed in the office on 01.10.2021, it is listed before the Bench for the first time today. The perusal of record reveals that file was pending in the Registry for removal of objection. The office has also raised objection for delay and not filing M.A. for condonation of delay. Still M.A. is not filed.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Date: 05.08.2022

O.A. No.731 of 2022

P.N. DekhaneApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 25.02.2022 whereby his claim for compassionate appointment has been rejected on account of delay of seven months in making application.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.08.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 26.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.261 of 2022 in O.A. No.404 of 2022</p> <p>R.B. Bhosale & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.414 of 2019 in O.A. No.758 of 2019</p> <p>R.B. Bhosale & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. No.414/2019 for Condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. The Applicant has filed another M.A. No.262 of 2022 for amendment in the O.A. which will be considered only after decision of M.A. No.414/2019.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.271 of 2022 in O.A. No.417 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. GageApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.466 of 2022 in O.A. No.689 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. ChandaliyaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is for amendment and permission to Annexed some additional documents in the O.A. which is filed for compassionate appointment. By proposed amendment the Applicant want to clarify his pleading and also want to place documents on record.</p> <p>3. Considering the nature of O.A. and proposed amendment, I am inclined to allow the same.</p> <p>4. Proposed amendment be carried out in the O.A. forthwith.</p> <p>5. The documents from pg. 7 filed in M.A. be annexed to O.A. with next pagination so that it could form record of O.A.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 19.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1037 of 2019 with O.A. No.1039 of 2019 to O.A. No.1042 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. G.B. Taware Dr. V.A. Nadimath Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar Dr. N.B. Masaram Dr. V.P. DoijadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. O.A. No.1237/2019 to O.A. No.1241/2019 be kept along with these O.As.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.135 of 2022</p> <p>A.D. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.739 of 2022</p> <p>Y.B. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has tendered order dated 04.08.2022 passed by Respondent No.2 – The Additional Tribal Development Commissioner thereby cancelling relieving order dated 07.07.2022 and continued the Applicant on the same post at Takipathar, Taluka: Shapur, District: Thane.</p> <p>3. Thus, the grievance of the Applicant is redressed. Copy of order dated 04.08.2022 is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification purpose.</p> <p>4. O.A. is therefore disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.575/2022

Shri J.A. Bodekar

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Mr. V.B. Rane, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents 1 to 4 and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 is present.

2. Applicant is Forester and presently posted at Mandvi, Thane Division. He made representation on 20.10.2021 for transfer at Vashi citing family difficulties, but it was not responded. Therefore, Applicant has filed the present O.A. for direction to transfer him to Vashi.

3. Admittedly, Applicant was not due for transfer and requested for mid-term transfer citing family difficulties. It is for the Department/Respondents to consider the representation in accordance to Rules. The Applicant cannot directly approach the Tribunal without there being decision on the representation.

4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.3 – Chief Conservator of Forest [Territorial], Thane being Competent Authority, to consider the representation made by the Applicant and to pass appropriate order within six weeks from today.

5. The decision, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.

6. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

03.08.2022

5
2

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.822/2021</u></p> <p>Shri R.N. Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Mrs. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant on instructions sought permission to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw O.A. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 08.08.2022 S 2</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.747/2022

Shri V.H. Sawant ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.

2. This O.A. is filed for direction to Respondent Nos.1 and 2 to allow the Applicant to join the post of Sheristedar on the Establishment of Respondent No.2.

3. The perusal of record reveals that Respondent No.4 by letter dated 01.06.2022 deputed the Applicant as Sheristedar on the establishment of Respondent No.2 - S.R.A. and accordingly, he came to be relieved on 02.06.2022. However, when Applicant went to the Office of Respondent No.2 on 03.06.2022 for joining, he was not allowed to join. Resultantly, Applicant is left without posting or work from 03.06.2022.

4. It appears that there was no vacant post in Akrutibandh on the establishment of Respondent No.2 and on that ground, he was not allowed to join. If it is really so, then Applicant would not have been deputed in SRA. However, he was deputed by order dated 01.06.2022 and immediately relieved on 02.06.2022. Thus, apparently, there is no coordination between the Departments but the Applicant is sufferer since he is unpaid for two months for no fault on his part. The person responsible for creating this mess will be personally liable to pay salary and allowances of the Applicant.

5. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Respondents and to apprise the Tribunal why in absence of post, Applicant was deputed and relieved.

6. Affidavit-in-reply be filed on next date.

7. S.O. to 12th August, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

03.08.2022

5

6

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.707 of 2022</p> <p>B.S. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Astonishing to note that D.E. has been completed in 2014. Till date Respondent No.1 has not taken final decision in D.E. though period of more than 8 years is now over which shows total negligence and laxity on the part of concerned which needs to be taken seriously seriously.</p> <p>3. On previous date, learned P.O. was directed to take instruction from Respondent No.1 and to appraise the status. Today, all that learned P.O. submits that she has received letter from the Department stating that no decision is still taken.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to call the responsible concerned person from the office of Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department, Mumbai along with file of D.E. at 02.30 p.m. to see the status of file and the reasons why it is pending for 8 years for final order. Matter be kept at 02.30 p.m.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">P.T.O → [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

LATER ON

5. In afternoon session Mr. Pradeep K Mote, Office on Special Duty, Planning Dept. (EGS) appeared and stated that enquiry papers are received from the office of Additional Commissioner, Pune in 2015 and it is in process. This is all he can state.

6. As stated above, enquiry was completed in 2014 and the Applicant has already submitted his reply to the enquiry report. Thereafter, further action was required to be taken expeditiously so as to take the matter to the logical conclusion. The Applicant stands retired in 2014 itself but till date no final order is passed in D.E. which invariably shows inordinate and huge delay on the part of concerned as well as total negligence and laxity in the Department.

7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to add Additional Chief Secretary, Planning (EGS) Mumbai with whom the file is pending. He be added as Respondent No.5 forthwith.

8. Respondent No.5, who is added today, shall file Affidavit and to explain why final order is not passed in D.E. for last 8 years and also to explain who is responsible for such inordinate huge delay and negligence as well as what steps he proposed to take against such negligent officer. Affidavit should be filed on 10.08.2022 without fail.

9. S.O. to 10.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.764 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. SagareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 20.07.2022 whereby his charge of Additional post of Sub Divisional Engineer, Sangli is kept with Respondent No.3. His grievance is that he being Senior, this additional charge ought to have been given to him. He made representation on 22.07.2022 to the Respondent No.1 – The Superintendent Engineer, Pune. 3. Learned P.O. on instruction submits that the decision of his representation is already taken but it is not communicated to the Applicant. 4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.08.2022. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. [P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 29.08.2022.

11. Respondents are further directed to communicate the decision taken by them on representation dated 22.07.2022 to the Applicant so that the Applicant can take necessary steps, if so advised.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 05.08.2022

M.A.No.452 of 2022 in O.A.No.321 of 2018

State of Mah. & Ors.Appli (Org. Respd.)
Vs.
S.R. SonawaneRespd (Org. Appli.)

1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.08.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 26.08.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 05.08.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.No.705 of 2022</p> <p>V.P. PomaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sonali Humane holding for Ms. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate matter taken on board which is pending on the board of Registrar.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that she be permitted to withdraw the O.A. as the applicant has secured the appointment.</p> <p>4. Allowed to withdraw. O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 05.08.2022
	<p align="center">O.A.No.284 of 2020</p> <p>A.B. GadhaveApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 19.08.2022. To be shown under the caption 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.175 of 2022 in O.A. No.1097 of 2019</u></p> <p>Mr. Mohd. R.M.A. Sattarkhan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Krishna Agarwal i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking transfer of OA No.1097 of 2019 to Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal on the ground of jurisdiction as the applicant is posted at Nanded.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. MA is allowed. OA No.1097 of 2019 is transferred to Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal for further disposal according to law.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 5.8.2022 5.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 725/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Gaikwad & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants have cleared the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination in the years 2006l, 2007 and 2008 and orders dated 29.9.2021, 15.11.2021, and 10.5.2022 are issued by the Respondents for sending them for training. The applicants pray that the applicants be sent for training at Maharashtra Police Academy, Nasik in the batch commenced from 1.8.2022.</p> <p>3. We are informed by the learned counsel for the applicants that the training of the direct recruits for the post of P.S.I has commenced from 1.8.2022. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that the applicants are ready to give an undertaking in writing that they will complete whatever period is required for training which is applicable for the direct recruits also. Learned counsel for the applicants points out that 13 candidates who have cleared the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, but they were sent for training along with the direct recruits batch in the year 2018.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that nobody is available from the office of the Director General of Police. However, she has sought instructions from the Home Department. She produces copy of letter dated 15.11.2021 by which the present applicants are to be sent for training. The said letter is taken on record and marked as Exh. 1.</p> <p>5. Shri Ravindra Shengaonkar, Spl I.G.P, M.S, Mumbai, is hereby called to explain this particular dated 23.3.2022.</p> <p>6. S.O to 8.8.2022 at 10.30 am.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 736, 737 & 738/2022</u></p> <p>J.B Patil R.P Pawar M.R Vavhal ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.B Rajkar i/b Shri K.B Jadhav,, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants pray that the Tribunal be pleased direct the Respondents to count the temporary / ad hoc service rendered by the applicant as qualifying service as per Rule 30 of M.C.S (Pension) Rules and revise the pay of the applicant with all consequential benefits.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.9.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>10. S.O to 16.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>05.08.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 17/2018 in O.A 609/2014</u></p> <p>Shri S.T Taksale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Krushna Agarwal, i/b Talekar & Associates, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the departmental enquiry initiated against the applicant has been withdrawn.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, nothing remains in the Contempt Application.</p> <p>4. Contempt Application stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.378 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.V. Tate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Advaita Lonkar holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.9.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 5.8.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 5.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20


IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.176 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.C. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.M. Ranade holding for Shri S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.9.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 5.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 5.8.2022</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 732/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Shridhar P. Pole ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rajendra Jadhav holding for Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the impugned communication dated 7.3.2022 issued by Respondents wrongly interpreting the G.R dated 13.6.2018 only to deny the applicant his rightful claim and entitlement to the appointment.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.8.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>10. S.O to 19.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>05.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 51/2022 in O.A 693/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Arjun R. Tarke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that on-line application was opened for the applicant to fill the form. The applicant has filled up the form and the same has been accepted.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, nothing survives in the contempt application and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small> Sd/- 2 (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>05.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 48/2022 in O.A 110/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.H Pathan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits the order of this Tribunal dated 24.9.2021 is not complied with.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the juniors to the applicant have been promoted to the post of Awal Karkun after the order dated 24.9.2021 was passed by the Tribunal and further one post of Awal Karkoon has fallen vacant on 31.7.2022.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submitted that the meeting of them D.P.C was conducted pursuant to the order passed by this Tribunal on 11.3.2022 and them name of the applicant is at Sr No. 1, and his case was considered. Learned P.O further submitted that D.E was conducted and punishment was imposed on the applicant which continued till 30.6.2022. Learned P.O on instructions submitted that the post of Supply Inspector in the Food and Civil Supplies Department is being merged with the cadre of Awal Karkun in the Revenue and Forest Department and this process will take about one month's time.</p> <p>5. We, therefore, direct that one post of Awal Karkun should be kept vacant for the applicant.</p> <p>6. S.O 26.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">05.08.2022</p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 44/2019 in O.A 879/2018</u></p> <p>Smt T.R Apte & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Balasaheb Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter, the Misc Application filed by the Respondent-State seeking extension of time to comply the order of this Tribunal was rejected by this Tribunal by order dated 13.6.2022. Till today though more than 1 ½ months has lapsed, the order of this Tribunal has not been complied with.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks one weeks' time to take instructions in the matter, as today is the first date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 12.8.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.984 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. GopaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1179 of 2019</p> <p>C.P. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note adjourned to 26.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.622 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. JagdaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.500 of 2022</p> <p>P.B. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.492 of 2022</p> <p>D.M. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.474 of 2022</p> <p>D.G. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.471 of 2022</p> <p>K.G. PatkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.351 of 2022</p> <p>P.S. PandaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.40 of 2022</p> <p>N.L. PaithankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since, pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1061 of 2021</p> <p>B.G. KardeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. This O.A. be kept along with connected O.A. No.195 of 2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>