

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.386 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. SatputeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. The Tribunal has queries that are to be answered by the Respondents :-</p> <p>(a) Whether Mr. S.V. Pote has challenged the seniority list of 2011. Copy of the representation is to be provided on the basis of which deemed date of 23.07.2009 as First Clerk is given to Mr. Pote.</p> <p>(b) Admitted fact that Mr. S.R. Phalke, Mr. K.L. Patil, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mali and Ms. S.K. Mule / Patil are senior to the Applicant. We call upon Respondents to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to the Applicant and Mr. Pote on the basis of merit list in the cadre of Clerk.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>05.07.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 40/2018</u></p> <p>Smt Monali C Patil & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was disposed of by this Tribunal on 24.9.2019.</p> <p>3. The Registry is directed to remove this matter and it is to be treated as disposed of.</p>

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 63/2016</u></p> <p>Shri A.B. Bansode ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is placed on 7.7.2022. Kept for dismissal for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>05.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 357/2018</u></p> <p>Ms M.K Achare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was already withdrawn with permission of the Court on 5.4.2021.</p> <p>3. The Registry is directed to remove this matter and it is to be treated as disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Médhã Gãđgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>05.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 254/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Pedgaonkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 4.8.2017 in W.P 8452/2004, State of Maharashtra & Ors Vs. Vijay Ghogre & Ors, the Government could not issue the order of promotion of the applicant who belongs to Scheduled Caste category. The applicant has already retired on 31.3.2019.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that if the Department is going to take some decision in respect of the seniority list in future, liberty be given to the applicant to move this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, the Original Application has become infructuous and is disposed of with liberty to as prayed for by the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.386 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. SatputeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. The Tribunal has queries that are to be answered by the Respondents :-</p> <p>(a) Whether Mr. S.V. Pote has challenged the seniority list of 2011. Copy of the representation is to be provided on the basis of which deemed date of 23.07.2009 as First Clerk is given to Mr. Pote.</p> <p>(b) Admitted fact that Mr. S.R. Phalke, Mr. K.L. Patil, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mali and Ms. S.K. Mule / Patil are senior to the Applicant. We call upon Respondents to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to the Applicant and Mr. Pote on the basis of merit list in the cadre of Clerk.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 416/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Jage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Respondents are directed to decide the representation of the applicant dated 6.5.2022 before the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.7.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 05.07.2022</p>
	<p>C.A.No.3 of 2020 in O.A.No.406 of 2021</p> <p>A.M. LabdheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that the by order dated 04.07.2022 applicant has been reinstated in service. Copy of the said order is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-1.</p> <p>3. In view of compliance nothing remains in the C.A. and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 571/2022</u></p> <p>Miss Tanuja A. Jagtap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.B Hotkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files copy of application along with affidavit of the applicant, wherein she states that she wants to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>05.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 638/2018 in O.A 887/2018</u></p> <p>Shri J.R Bankapure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri J.N Kamble, learned counsel for the applicant is no more. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry is directed to issue notice to the applicant to appear in person and proceed with the matter if he wants to.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 333/2017</u></p> <p>Shri Colonel P.N Dhole & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In all fairness matter is fixed under the 'Caption of Dismissal'.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.7.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 267/2016</u></p> <p>Dr S.M Nilange & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Suo moto G.A.D is added as Party Respondent no. 5.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that Applicants seek regularization of their services from the date of their inception. However, the services of the applicants are regularized from 2015. The earlier services rendered by the applicants as they were working on ad hoc basis are not counted for the purpose of counting the total tenure of service. Naturally, it has adverse effect on their pecuniary benefits for which they are entitled to.</p> <p>4. On query, we have been informed by the learned P.O that the advertisement for the post of Medical Officer was not issued in the year 1995 and thereafter, no advertisement was ever issued by the Respondent-State till 2015. We understand that when the posts are available in the State and the work is also required to be done, then the State has obligation to issue the advertisement and recruit the persons accordingly and the Respondent-State cannot keep the persons for continuous long period on ad hoc basis. Putting persons on ad hoc basis for such a long time without justifiable reason is illegal.</p> <p>5. Let the Chief Secretary take note of this issue that the posts are not cancelled, however, the persons are appointed on ad hoc basis for long period i.e. from 3 to 30 years when there is a provision of recruitment. We are informed by the learned P.O that the General Administration Department and Finance Department did not take any decision in this matter because the matter is pending, though the positive proposal was sent by the Public Health Department.</p> <p>6. We, therefore, direct Principal Secretary, G.A.D and Finance Department Respondent nos 3 & 5 to take necessary steps in the matter and pass final orders within three months from the date of this order.</p> <p>7. S.O to 11.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

O.A.189/2020

Mr. S.A. Warang ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, three weeks' time is granted, since he wants to challenge the order of punishment dated 17.06.2016 which is confirmed in review recently by order dated 18.01.2022 (Page No.72 of P.B.). According to him, this O.A. also needs to be heard along with challenge to the order of punishment

3. S.O. to 28.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
05.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.305, 306, 307 & 308 of 2022 with O.A. 412 of 2022 & O.A. 428 of 2022</p> <p>M. P. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh holding for Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p><u>L.O.</u></p> <p>In afternoon session, learned Advocate for the Applicant appeared and requested for continuation of interim relief.</p> <p>The perusal of record reveals that there is interim relief in O.A.Nos.305/22, 307/2022, 308/2022 and 412 of 2022. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>S.O. to 25th July, 2022. Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 05.07.2022 [P.T.O.]</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.269 of 2021</p> <p>P. G. SaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt.Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the matter was before another bench which heard the matter but order was not passed and it was kept for ^{he} hearing.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, today for the first time, it is listed before this bench.</p> <p>4. Since, today the Applicant and his Counsel are absent, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to give one opportunity.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.798 of 2020</p> <p>S. G. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Mohan Kumar holding for Shri S. K. Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the Applicant is already reinstated in service by order dated 12.08.2021 and in D.E. punishment of withholding increment for two years with cumulative effect has been also imposed on 12.08.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought short time to take instructions from the Applicant as to whether he wants to continue with O.A. in view of subsequent order of reinstatement.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.172/2022

Dr. S.V. Kachare ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. submits that similar issue is pending before the Tribunal in other 3/4 matters and requested to keep the matter with those connected matters.

3. Office is directed to keep this O.A. along with connected O.As.

4. S.O. to 19th July, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
05.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

O.A.397/2021

Mr. N.G. Shaikh ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. It is informed that Advocate Shri Chandratre is busy before Hon'ble High Court, and therefore, time is sought. Time granted.
3. Adjourned to 12th July, 2022.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
05.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.175/2020

Mr. M.J. Mohite ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.A. Khatake, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought adjournment on the ground that Advocate Bhavake is unwell.
3. S.O. to 27th July, 2022.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
05.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

O.A.1184/2019

Mr. D.J. Sonawane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri P.S. Pathak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file copies of deposition of witnesses recorded in the enquiry.
3. S.O. to 19th July, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
05.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A.398/2022 in O.A.244/2022</u></p> <p>Mr. D.S. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Satyawan Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sumant Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2, Shri Ajay Pazasnodkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 and Ms. Pragathi B. Malle, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed during the pendency of O.A. seeking direction to the Government to produce certain record. Respondent No.4 is intervener whose Intervention Application was rejected earlier by the Tribunal by order dated 02.05.2022 against which Respondent No.4 had preferred Writ Petition No.7138/2022. Hon'ble High Court by order dated 22.06.2022 set aside the order passed by the Tribunal and allowed the Intervention Application. Accordingly, Respondent No.4 is added in O.A. and thereafter filed this M.A. for direction to the Government to produce the record relating to suspension of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that he is ready to file necessary record to substantiate the suspension order.</p> <p>4. In view of statement made by learned P.O, M.A.398/2022 is disposed of.</p> <p>5. Three days' time is granted to learned P.O. to produce the relevant record so that O.A. could be heard on its own merit.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 08.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 05.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.106 of 2017</p> <p>R. V. Pawar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants are claiming benefit of their fortuitous service rendered prior to their regular appointment. It appears that the Applicants services were regularized in terms of G.R. dated 08.03.1999 since they were falling amongst 3761 employees whose services were regularized as one time major subject to condition mentioned in G.R. It is on basis of G.R. dated 08.03.1999, the Applicants were appointed in 1999 onwards. Now they are claiming benefit of their earlier services with condonation of break.</p> <p>3. In G.R. dated 08.03.1999, there are specific stipulation that earlier fortuitous services cannot be counted any other service benefits and their services will be treated as regular employee from the date of regular appointment only. This being specific stipulation in G.R. dated 08.03.1999, the Applicants <i>prima-facie</i> cannot claim benefit of earlier service unless they challenge the said stipulation in G.R. dated 08.03.1999. However, there is no challenge to the said stipulation of G.R. dated 08.03.1999. When this aspect brought to the notice of learned Counsel for the Applicants, he sought time to take instructions from his clients to take further appropriate steps.</p> <p>4. In case of challenge to G.R., the matter will have to be heard by the Division Bench.</p> <p>5. One week time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.103/2021

Mr. R.M. Sathe ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Akhil Nair, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vinod Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, P.O. for Respondents.
2. Since, enough time is granted to file reply in the amended O.A, I am not inclined to grant further time.
3. O.A. be kept for final hearing on 20th June, 2022.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
05.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

O.A.525/2022

Ms. P.P. Patil ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.C. Mangle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the present O.A. He has a'so tendered letter of the Applicant to that effect.
3. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the O.A.
4. The O.A, therefore, disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
05.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.201 of 2022 in O.A.No.26 of 2022</p> <p>A. K. Pawar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.305, 306, 307 & 308 of 2022 with O.A. 412 of 2022 & O.A. 428 of 2022</p> <p>M. P. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh holding for Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.388 of 2022</p> <p>L. S. LahaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.269 of 2022</p> <p>M. G. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. B. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.299 of 2022</p> <p>R. B. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing reply but same is not filed.</p> <p>3. However, on request learned P.O. two days time is granted to file reply in the office with copy to other side.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.151 of 2022</p> <p>S. K. Lendave & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. N. Biradar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing reply but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>4. O.A be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.628 of 2022</p> <p>V. R. KumbharApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 22.04.2022 whereby Applicant's claim for substitution of hair in the waiting list for appointment on compassionate ground stands rejected. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.08.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original / Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 01.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.617 of 2022</p> <p>D. B. GoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit in Reply is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2 submits that the reply will be filed within a day or two. Statement is accepted. it be taken on record. The copy be served to other side.</p> <p>4. Original Application be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.620 of 2021</p> <p>H.B. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate matter is taken on today's board for continuation of interim relief till next date.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to be continued till filing of affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 29.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 633/2022</u></p> <p>Shri N.U Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. The applicant seeks directions to the Respondents to grant him promotion to the post of District Superintendent Jail Class-II with all consequential service benefits. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.7.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed." 9. S.O to 18.7.2022 <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>04.07.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 85/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.V More ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that this matter might have become infructuous in view of the prayer of extension in retirement age.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.7.2022. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.631 of 2022</p> <p>P.V. TopaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. M.P.S.C. is directed to provide address of Respondent No.3 to the learned Advocate. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.08.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 9. Adjourned to 02.08.2022. To be tagged with O.A.No.451/2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 05.07.2022

O.A.No.597 of 2022

S.B. Ingole & Anr.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate seeks interim relief challenging provisional seniority list published on 20.05.2021 and also representations dated 05.08.2021 and 09.05.2022 which are not yet decided.

3. Learned C.P.O. to take instructions as to why the said representations are remained to be decided.

4. The Tribunal is of the opinion that no promotion in the cadre which is the subject of the O.A. should be affected till the said representations are decided.

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.07.2022.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

11. Adjourned to 12.07.2022.

ix H₁

Sd/-

(Medha/Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 05.07.2022

M.A.No.369 of 2022 in O.A.No.597 of 2022

S.B. Ingole & Anr.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>04.07.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1114/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.K Sakore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the upgradation of C.R of the applicant has been accepted and his name has been sent for consideration of I.A.S.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks liberty to withdraw the Original Application if it is not ultimately accepted on the same grounds in the present selection process.</p> <p>4. Original Application is disposed of with such liberty.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.07.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 930/23016</u></p> <p>Smt G.J Rajput ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges Rule 4(2) of the X-Ray Technician, X-Ray Assistant and Dark Room Assistant (Recruitment) Rules, 1999 as unconstitutional, illegal and bad in law. Applicant also further prays to declare condition no. 4.4, 4.5, 6.3 and 6.4 of the Information Brochure and application form MEDSS-CWT-2014.</p> <p>3. The applicant further seeks to stay the effect of the order dated 7.6.0216 and also to declare the applicant that he is eligible for the post of X-Ray Assistant.</p> <p>4. S.O to 18.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.625 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. AkaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant working as Police Inspector in the office of Motor Transport Department seeks promotion to the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police/ Assistant Commissioner of Police. He challenges select list of 17.06.2002 to the extent of excluding his name. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.07.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Respondents to come with the clarification why the name of the Applicant was excluded in the select list of 17.06.2022.

10. Learned Advocate submits that the Desk Officer, Mr. Amte from the office of Respondent No.2 is responsible for preparation of the list.

11. One post is to be kept till next date.
Adjourned to 07.07.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 05.07.2022 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.183 of 2022</p>
	<p>J.G. TatkareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.R. Asawa, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that G.A.D. should be directed to file affidavit-in-reply since G.R. is being challenged in the present O.A..</p> <p>3. G.A.D. to file reply accordingly. Adjourned to 02.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 05.07.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.142 of 2022</p> <p>N.N. GaikwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 05.07.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.No.118 of 2022</p> <p>P.S. Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.08.2022. 12 11</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 05.07.2022</p>
	<p>M.A.No.455 of 2018 in O.A.No.649 of 2018</p> <p>P.K. Karne & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As none appeared for the Applicant, O.A. to be placed under the caption 'For Dismissal'</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to correct the name of Advocate in the board copy.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 12.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 05.07.2022
	<p align="center">C.A.No.36 of 2021 in O.A.No.1029 of 2014 (O.A.No.678 of 2013 Nagpur) with C.A.No.37 of 2021 in O.A.No.1030 of 2014 (O.A.679 of 2013 Nagpur) with C.A.No.38 of 2021 in O.A.No.1031 of 2014 (O.A.680 of 2013 Nagpur) with C.A.No.39 of 2021 in O.A.No.1032 of 2014 (O.A.No.681 of 2013 Nagpur) with C.A.No.40 of 2021 in O.A.No.1033 of 2014 (O.A.438 OF 2014 Nagpur) with C.A.No.5 of 2021 in T.A.No.1 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. Bidkar (C.A.36/2021 in O.A.1029/ 2014) M.D. Sundarkar (C.A.37/2021 in O.A.1030/2014) S.S. Kinhekar (C.A.38/2021 in O.A.1031/2014) J.G. Pohankar (C.A.39/2021 in O.A.1032/2014) V.P. Ingole @ V.V. Shelke (C.A.40/2021 in O.A.1033/2014) K.C. Pawar (C.A.5/2021 in T.A.1/2020)Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Special Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. In view of the pendency of the matter before the Division Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High, matter is adjourned to 19.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.47 of 2021 in O.A.No.280 of 2017 with O.A.No.241 of 2019</p> <p>V.V. PunathilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that proposal is again sent to the office Accountant General. It is still under consideration. She further submits that copy of proposal has been submitted for incorporating paragraph 36 of the judgment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 12.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 325/2018 with O.A 13/2020</u></p> <p>Shri A.M Jadhao ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 325/2018, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for Respondent no. 2, and Shri C.T Chandratre, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3 in O.A 13/2020. Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 13/2020 has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the applicant request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.22 of 2021 in O.A.No.21 of 2017</p> <p>A.A. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that name of Ms. Sapna Kamble has been recommended by the M.P.S.C. on 19.07.2021 against the post of Open Female.</p> <p>3. The Joint Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department is directed to remain present on Monday, 11th July, 2022 at 10.30 a.m. as there is nobody from the office of Medical Education and Drugs Department to answer the queries made by the Tribunal and also officer from the office of M.P.S.C. to remain present.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 11.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.536 of 2021</p> <p>R.M. Manale & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that promotion order 01.01.2018 have already been issued in respect of the present Applicants.</p> <p>3. As such, the grievance of the Applicant about promotion is redressed in view of promotion order, O.A. stands disposed of, with liberty to the Applicants to make representation to the Government for deemed date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p align="center">Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="center">Tribunal's orders Date : 05.07.2022</p>
	<p align="center">O.A.No.275 of 2022 with O.A.No.276 of 2022 with O.A.No.277 of 2022</p> <p>S.P. Pawar (O.A.275/2022) M.S. Thorat (O.A.276/2022) V.K. Wagh (O.A.277/2022)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed in all O.As. Today she tenders reply in O.A.No.275/2022 to learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Admit and adjourned to 23.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 05.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.869 of 2021</p> <p>P.A. VidyagarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.G. Kudle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. to verify the list of S.C. candidates who got changed as a result of change in the SEBC category after the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Dr. Jaishri Laxmanrao Patil Versus. The Chief Minister & Anr. in Civil Appeal No.3123 of 2020 (SLP (C) No.15737 of 2019) dated 09.09.2020 wherein the reservation for Maratha Community (SEBC) quota was merged into open category and how it was merged in first select list.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.07.2022. P.H. at 1.00 p.m.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">prk</p>