[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribu	nal's orders
	Date : (5.07.2022	1
		O.A.1	lo.386 of 2022
	R.P. Sat		Applicant
		/s. te of Maharas	htra & OrsRespondents
		leard Ms.	Punam Mahajan, learned
	Advocate	e for the App	licants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad,
			ficer for the Respondents.
	2. A	dmit. The T	ribunal has queries that are to
			espondents :-
	tl re o	ne seniority	er Mr. S.V. Pote has challenged list of 2011. Copy of the is to be provided on the basis ed date of 23.07.2009 as First o Mr. Pote.
	ar Aj sp th	r. K.L. Patil, nd Ms. S.K. I pplicant. W pecify whethe le Applicant a	ed fact that Mr. S.R. Phalke, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mali Mule / Patil are senior to the e call upon Respondents to r Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to and Mr. Pote on the basis of e cadre of Clerk.
	3. Ac	ljourned to 26	5.07.2022.
	S	d/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Mem)	/Gadgil) ber (A)	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
			[<i>P.T.O.</i>

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

•

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT Applicant/s
			Applications
(Advocate)		
	versu	0	
			-
The S	tate of Mahara	shtra and other	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cor Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribu	nal's orders
	05.07.2	2022	
		<u>O.</u>	<u>A 40/2018</u>
	Smt Mo	onali C Patil & Or	s Applicant
	The Sta	Vs. ate of Maharashtr	ra & Ors Respondents
			the applicants. Heard Ms Swati 2.0 for the Respondents.
.	2. 24.9.20		disposed of by this Tribunal on
		The Registry is is to be treated as	directed to remove this matter s disposed of.
		* 4	
		Sd/-	Sd/-
		a Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		•	
			[P.T.C

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

DISTRICT

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

Original Application No. of	20	DISTRICT Applicant/s
		·····
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State of	of Maharashtra and others	5
The State C		Respondent/s
	、 、	2005
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribu	nal's orders
	05.07.2022	
	<u>0.</u>	<u>A 63/2016</u>
	Shri A.B. Bansode	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharasht	ra & Ors Respondents
	1. None present fo Gaikwad, learned P.O fo	r the applicant. Heard Mrs K.S or the Respondents.
	2. Matter is placed for want of prosecution.	on 7. 7.2022. Kept for dismissal
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn	
		[<i>PT.O.</i>

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

I

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	
CTPurer the busies of the			Applicant/s	ł
	,			
(Advocate)			
	ver	sus		
The	State of Maha	arashtra and othe	ers	
			Respondent/s	3
)		
(Presenting Officer				-
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders o directions and Registrar's orde	Dr	Tril	bunal's orders	
	<u>05.0</u>	07.2022		
		Q	D.A 357/2018	
	Ms I	M.K Achare	Applicant	
	The	Vs. State of Maharash	ntra & Ors 🛛 Respondents	
			.R Jagdale, learned advocate for Irs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for	
	2. perr	This matter nission of the Cou	was already withdrawn with rt on 5.4.2021.	1
	3. and	The Registry i it is to be treated	is directed to remove this matter as disposed of.	:
		Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(Me Me	dha Gadgil) mber (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
			[P.1	. [•] .

.

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

	MUMBAI	
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT Applicant/s
	`	
Advocate)	
	versus	
The State	e of Maharashtra and o	
		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
	<u>05.07.2022</u>	
		<u>O.A 254/2019</u>
	Shri S.A Pedgaonka	ar Applicant
	Vs. The State of Mahar	ashtra & Ors 🛛 Respondents
		K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for
	High Court dated 4 Maharashtra & C Government could the applicant who	the decision of the Hon'ble Bombay 4.8.2017 in W.P 8452/2004, State of Ors Vs. Vijay Ghogre & Ors, the not issue the order of promotion of belongs to Scheduled Caste category. already retired on 31.3.2019.
	the Department i	unsel for the applicant submits that if s going to take some decision in ority list in future, liberty be given to ove this Tribunal.
	become infructuou	ne above, the Original Application has s and is disposed of with liberty to as earned counsel for the applicant.
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha ^V Gadgʻil) Member (A) ^{Akn}	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20

.

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Date : 05.07.2022 O.A.No.386 of 2022 R.P. Satpute Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents 1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Admit. The Tribunal has queries that are to be answered by the Respondents :- (a) Whether Mr. S.V. Pote has challenged the seniority list of 2011. Copy of the representation is to be provided on the basis of which deemed date of 23.07.2009 as First Clerk is given to Mr. Pote. (b) Admitted fact that Mr. S.R. Phalke, Mr. K.L. Patil, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mali and Ms. S.K. Mule / Patil are senior to the Applicant. We call upon Respondents to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to the Applicant and Mr. Pote on the basis of merit list in the cadre of Clerk. 3. Adjourned to 26.07.2022.	ffice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders			nal's orders	
 R.P. SatputeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Admit. The Tribunal has queries that are to be answered by the Respondents :- (a) Whether Mr. S.V. Pote has challenged the seniority list of 2011. Copy of the representation is to be provided on the basis of which deemed date of 23.07.2009 as First Clerk is given to Mr. Pote. (b) Admitted fact that Mr. S.R. Phalke, Mr. K.L. Patil, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mali and Ms. S.K. Mule / Patil are senior to the Applicant. We call upon Respondents to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to the Applicant and Mr. Pote on the basis of merit list in the cadre of Clerk. 3. Adjourned to 26.07.2022. 		Dat	e : 05.07.2022	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Admit. The Tribunal has queries that are to be answered by the Respondents :- (a) Whether Mr. S.V. Pote has challenged (a) Whether Mr. S.V. Pote has challenged the seniority list of 2011. Copy of the representation is to be provided on the basis of which deemed date of 23.07.2009 as First Clerk is given to Mr. Pote. (b) Admitted fact that Mr. S.R. Phalke, Mr. K.L. Patil, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mali and Ms. S.K. Mule / Patil are senior to the Applicant. We call upon Respondents to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to the Applicant and Mr. Pote on the basis of merit list in the cadre of Clerk. 3. Adjourned to 26.07.2022.			O.A.I	No.386 of 20	22
 The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Admit. The Tribunal has queries that are to be answered by the Respondents :- (a) Whether Mr. S.V. Pote has challenged the seniority list of 2011. Copy of the representation is to be provided on the basis of which deemed date of 23.07.2009 as First Clerk is given to Mr. Pote. (b) Admitted fact that Mr. S.R. Phalke, Mr. K.L. Patil, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mall and Ms. S.K. Mule / Patil are senior to the Applicant. We call upon Respondents to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to the Applicant and Mr. Pote on the basis of merit list in the cadre of Clerk. 3. Adjourned to 26.07.2022. 		R.P.	-	Ap	plicant
 Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Admit. The Tribunal has queries that are to be answered by the Respondents :- (a) Whether Mr. S.V. Pote has challenged the seniority list of 2011. Copy of the representation is to be provided on the basis of which deemed date of 23.07.2009 as First Clerk is given to Mr. Pote. (b) Admitted fact that Mr. S.R. Phalke, Mr. K.L. Patil, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mali and Ms. S.K. Mule / Patil are senior to the Applicant. We call upon Respondents to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to the Applicant and Mr. Pote on the basis of merit list in the cadre of Clerk. Adjourned to 26.07.2022. 		The		shtra & Ors.	Respondents
 Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Admit. The Tribunal has queries that are to be answered by the Respondents :- (a) Whether Mr. S.V. Pote has challenged the seniority list of 2011. Copy of the representation is to be provided on the basis of which deemed date of 23.07.2009 as First Clerk is given to Mr. Pote. (b) Admitted fact that Mr. S.R. Phalke, Mr. K.L. Patil, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mali and Ms. S.K. Mule / Patil are senior to the Applicant. We call upon Respondents to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to the Applicant and Mr. Pote on the basis of merit list in the cadre of Clerk. 3. Adjourned to 26.07.2022. 					
 learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Admit. The Tribunal has queries that are to be answered by the Respondents :- (a) Whether Mr. S.V. Pote has challenged the seniority list of 2011. Copy of the representation is to be provided on the basis of which deemed date of 23.07.2009 as First Clerk is given to Mr. Pote. (b) Admitted fact that Mr. S.R. Phalke, Mr. K.L. Patil, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mali and Ms. S.K. Mule / Patil are senior to the Applicant. We call upon Respondents to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to the Applicant and Mr. Pote on the basis of merit list in the cadre of Clerk. 3. Adjourned to 26.07.2022. 		Advo	ocate for the App		-
 be answered by the Respondents :- (a) Whether Mr. S.V. Pote has challenged the seniority list of 2011. Copy of the representation is to be provided on the basis of which deemed date of 23.07.2009 as First Clerk is given to Mr. Pote. (b) Admitted fact that Mr. S.R. Phalke, Mr. K.L. Patil, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mali and Ms. S.K. Mule / Patil are senior to the Applicant. We call upon Respondents to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to the Applicant and Mr. Pote on the basis of merit list in the cadre of Clerk. 3. Adjourned to 26.07.2022. 					
 be answered by the Respondents :- (a) Whether Mr. S.V. Pote has challenged the seniority list of 2011. Copy of the representation is to be provided on the basis of which deemed date of 23.07.2009 as First Clerk is given to Mr. Pote. (b) Admitted fact that Mr. S.R. Phalke, Mr. K.L. Patil, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mali and Ms. S.K. Mule / Patil are senior to the Applicant. We call upon Respondents to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to the Applicant and Mr. Pote on the basis of merit list in the cadre of Clerk. 3. Adjourned to 26.07.2022. 		2.	Admit. The T	ribunal has c	queries that are t
 the seniority list of 2011. Copy of the representation is to be provided on the basis of which deemed date of 23.07.2009 as First Clerk is given to Mr. Pote. (b) Admitted fact that Mr. S.R. Phalke, Mr. K.L. Patil, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mali and Ms. S.K. Mule / Patil are senior to the Applicant. We call upon Respondents to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to the Applicant and Mr. Pote on the basis of merit list in the cadre of Clerk. 3. Adjourned to 26.07.2022. 		be a			
Mr. K.L. Patil, Mr. S.V. Pote, Mr. S.K. Mali and Ms. S.K. Mule / Patil are senior to the Applicant. We call upon Respondents to specify whether Mr. Sunil Awale is senior to the Applicant and Mr. Pote on the basis of merit list in the cadre of Clerk. 3. Adjourned to 26.07.2022. Sd/- Sd/-			representation of which deem	list of 2011 is to be prov led date of 23	L. Copy of the rided on the basis
Sd/- Sd/-			Mr. K.L. Patil, and Ms. S.K. Applicant. W specify whethe the Applicant	, Mr. S.V. Po Mule / Patil /e call upon er Mr. Sunil A and Mr. Pote	te, Mr. S.K. Mal are senior to the Respondents to wale is senior to on the basis of
Sd/- Sd/-		3.	Adjourned to 2	6.07.2022.	
			Sd/-]	Sd/-
(Medha/Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson		N	edha/Gadgʻil) Iember (A)		
		, ;			[P:7

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)			
	versu	8		
Tł	ne State of Mahara		iers	
			R	espondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	s or	Tr	ibunal's orders	
	<u>05.07.</u> 2	2022		
		Q	D.A 416/2022	
	Shri A.	S Jage Vs.	Appli	cant
	The Sta	te of Maharas	htra & Ors 🛛 Respo	ondents
	for the		A Bandiwadekar, learn Ms Swati Mancheka lents.	
		ntation of the	ents are directed to e applicant dated 6.5.2	
	3.	S.O to 26.7.20	22.	
		Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(Medha Membo	(Gadg/I)	′ (Mridula Bha Chairpe	
			·	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 05.07.2022	nal's orders
	C.A.No.3 of 202	0 in O.A.No.406 of 2021
	A.M. Labdhe	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharasl	htra & OrsRespondents
		Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar the Applicant and Ms. K.S Presenting Officer for the
	dated 04.07.2022 app	eate submits that the by order plicant has been reinstated ir said order is taken on record t-1.
	3. In view of com C.A. and the same sta	pliance nothing remains in the nds disposed of.
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		[27

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The S	State of Maharashtra	and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cor Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	<u>05.07.2022</u>		
		O.A 571/2022	
	Miss Tanuja	A. Jagtap Vs.	. Applicant
	The State of I		. Respondents
	1. Heard applicant and the Responde	l Shri A.B Hotkar, learne 1 Ms Swati Manchekar, ents.	ed counsel for the learned C.P.O for
	application al	ed counsel for the appli long with affidavit of the a hat she wants to withd	applicant, wherein
	3. Origin withdrawn.	al Application stands	disposed of as
			Sd/-
	Sd/-	· /	
	Member (A)	•	la Bhatkar, J.) hairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
'I'r	ne State of Maharashtra	and others	/
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's ord	sor	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>05.07.2022</u>		
	<u>M.</u>	A 638/2018 in O.A 88	7/2018
	Shri J.R Bank	Vs.	Applicant
	The State of M		Respondents
	1. Shri applicant is no P.O for the Re	J.N Kamble, learned o more. Heard Shri A.J spondents.	counsel for the Chougule, learned
	2. Registr applicant to a matter if he wa	ry is directed to iss appear in person and ants to.	ue notice to the proceed with the
	3. S.O to	26.7.2022.	
	Sd/-		Sd/- ,
	(Medha Gadgi Member (A)	•	ula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
			[<i>P.T.O</i> .
	1		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	
				Applicant/s
(Advocate)			
	versus			
The Stat	e of Maharasl	htra and others		
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribun	al's orders	
	05.07.20	022		
		<u>O.A (</u>	333/2017	
	Shri Colo	nel P.N Dhole & Vs.	Ors Apj	plicants
	The State	of Maharashtra	& Ors Res	spondents
	1. No Mancheka	one present for the ar, learned C.P.C	he applicants. He) for the Respond	eard Ms Swati ents.
	2. In of Dismis	all fairness mat sal'.	ter is fixed unde	r the 'Caption
	3. S.	O to 8.7.2022.		
	Sd/-		Sd	/-
	(Medha G Member	, adgil) (A)	́(Mridula B Chairj	batkar, J.) person
		•		
				[<i>P.T.O</i> .

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribur	nal's orders
	05.07.2022	
	<u>O.A</u>	267/2016
	Dr S.M Nilange & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra	& Ors Respondents
		m Mahajan, learned advoca ri A.J Chougule, learned P
	2. Suo moto G.A.D no. 5.	is added as Party Responde
	Applicants seek regulariz date of their inception, applicants are regulariz services rendered by t working on ad hoc basis a of counting the total term	for the applicants submits th ation of their services from t However, the services of t red from 2015. The earling the applicants as they we are not counted for the purpo are of service. Naturally, it has cuniary benefits for which the
	P.O that the advertisem Officer was not issued in no advertisement was ev State till 2015. We under available in the State and done, then the State advertisement and recrui the Respondent-State co continuous long period or	e been informed by the learned nent for the post of Medic the year 1995 and thereafted rer issued by the Responden rstand that when the posts a the work is also required to 1 has obligation to issue th t the persons accordingly ar annot keep the persons for a d hoc basis. Putting person a long time without justifiab
	that the posts are not ca are appointed on ad hoc h to 30 years when there We are informed by the Administration Departme did not take any decisio	retary take note of this issu- ncelled, however, the person pasis for long period i.e. from is a provision of recruitmen- learned P.O that the Gener ent and Finance Departmen n in this matter because the gh the positive proposal was Department.
	and Finance Department	ect Principal Secretary, G.A. Respondent nos 3 & 5 to tal matter and pass final order the date of this order.
	7. S.O to 11.10.2022.	<i>.</i>
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s (Advocate) versus The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s (Presenting Officer.....) Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A.189/2020 Mr. S.A. Warang ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate 1. for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, 2. three weeks' time is granted, since he wants to challenge the order of punishment dated 17.06.2016 which is confirmed in review recently by order dated 18.01.2022 (Page No.72 of P.B.). According to him, this O.A. also needs to be heard along with challenge to the order of punishment З. S.O. to 28.07.2022 Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 05.07.2022 (skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 05.07.2022 O.A.No.305, 306, 307 & 308 of 2022 with O.A. 412 of 2022 & O.A. 428 of 2022
	M. P. Patil & OrsApplicants
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh holding for Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is
	granted for filing reply by way of last chance.
	3. S.O. to 25.07.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm
	<u>L.O.</u>
	In afternoon session, learned Advocate for the Applicant appeared and requested for continuation of interim relief.
	The perusal of record reveals that there is interim relief in O.A.Nos.305/22, 307/2022, 308/2022 and 412 of 2022. Interim relief to continue till next date.
	S.O. to 25 th July, 2022. Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J (Skw) 05.07.2022 [<i>P.T.O.</i>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 05.07.2022	
	O.A.No.269 of 2021	
	P. G. SaliApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent Smt.Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.	
	2. Perusal of record reveals that the matter was before	
	another bench which heard the matter but order was not	
	passed and it was kept for hearing.	
	Accordingly, today for the first time, it is listed before	
	this bench.	
	4. Since, today the Applicant and his Counsel are absent,	
	in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to give one	
	opportunity.	
	5. S.O. to 20.07.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	

ł

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 05.07.2022
	O.A.No.798 of 2020
	S. G. KambleApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri Mohan Kumar holding for Shri S. K. Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	 Learned P.O. submits that the Applicant is already reinstated in service by order dated 12.08.2021 and in D.E. punishment of withholding increment for two years with cumulative effect has been also imposed on 12.08.2021. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought short time to take instructions from the Applicant as to whether he wants to continue with O.A. in view of subsequent order of reinstatement. S.O. to 08.07.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) sm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

. . .

WOMBAI		
Original Application No.	of 20 DISTRICT	
	Applicant/s	
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The Stat	te of Maharashtra and others	
	Respondent/s	
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	, Tribunal's orders	
	<u>0.A.172/2022</u>	
	Dr. S.V. Kachare Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.	
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. submits that similar issue is pending before the Tribunal in other 3/4 matters and requested to keep the matter with those connected matters.	
	3. Office is directed to keep this O.A. along with connected O.As.	
	4. S.O. to 19 th July, 2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 05.07.2022 (skw)	
•		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

. . ·

Original Application No.	of 20	Dist	RICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
Ine St	ate of Maharashtra a	na otners	Pagnondont/a
(Presenting Officer)	Respondent/s
	T	•)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coran Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	n,	Tribunal's ord	lers
		<u>0.A.397/2</u>	<u>021</u>
	Mr. N.G. Shaikl Vs.	h	Applicant
	The State of Ma	ah. & Ors.	Respondents
	holding for Shri	C.T. Chandratre	surkar, learned Advocate , learned Advocate for the ugule, learned P.O. for
		n'ble High Cour	ocate Shri Chandratre is t, and therefore, time is
	3. Adjourne	ed to 12 th July, 20	022.
			Sd/-
	(skw)		P. Kurhekar) Member-J 05.07.2022

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

, +

Original Application No.	of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>0.A.175/2020</u>
·	Mr. M.J. Mohite Applicant Vs.
	The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri S.A. Khatake, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought adjournment on the ground that Advocate Bhavake is unwell.
	3. S.O. to 27 th July, 2022.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 05.07.2022
	(skw)
· · ·	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

e 🔉 🗣

MCMBAI		
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State	of Maharashtra and oth	ers
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tril	ounal's orders
	<u>0</u>	.A.1184/2019
	Mr. D.J. Sonawane	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Mah. & O	rs Respondents
	Applicant and Shri ARespondents.2. On the request	Pathak, learned Advocate for the A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for of learned P.O, two weeks' time is f deposition of witnesses recorded
	0. 0.0. 10 19 0 Uly	, 2022.
		Sd/-
	(skw)	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 05.07.2022
· · ·		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribuna	's orders
	<u>M.A.398/2022 in</u>	O.A.244/2022
	Mr. D.S. Patil Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents
	holding for Shri Sumant Des the Applicant, Shri A.J. Respondent Nos. 1 & 2, Sh	an Joshi, learned Advocate shpande, learned Advocate for Chougule, learned P.O. for ri Ajay Pazasnodkar, learned 3 and Ms. Pragathi B. Malle, lent No.4.
	seeking direction to the Gor record. Respondent No.4 is in Application was rejected ear dated 02.05.2022 against w preferred Writ Petition No. Court by order dated 22.0 passed by the Tribunal ar Application. Accordingly, R O.A. and thereafter filed th	uring the pendency of O.A. vernment to produce certain intervener whose Intervention lier by the Tribunal by order which Respondent No.4 had 7138/2022. Hon'ble High 6.2022 set aside the order and allowed the Intervention espondent No.4 is added in is M.A. for direction to the record relating to suspension
	 Learned P.O. submit necessary record to substanti 	s that he is ready to file ate the suspension order.
· · ·	4. In view of statemer M.A.398/2022 is disposed of.	nt made by learned P.O,
	5. Three days' time is produce the relevant record on its own merit.	granted to learned P.O. to so that O.A. could be heard
	6. S.O. to 08.07.2022.	Sd/-
• •	(skw) ·	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 05.07.2022

Date : 05.07.2022

O.A.No.106 of 2017

R. V. Pawar & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

Tribunal's orders

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicants are claiming benefit of their fortuitous service rendered prior to their regular appointment. It appears that the Applicants services were regularized in terms of G.R. dated 08.03.1999 since they were falling amongst 3761 employees whose services were regularized as one time major subject to condition mentioned in G.R. It is on basis of G.R. dated 08.03.1999, the Applicants were appointed in 1999 onwards. Now they are claiming benefit of their earlier services with condonation of break.

3. In G.R. dated 08.03.1999, there are specific stipulation that earlier fortuitous services cannot be counted \sqrt{r}^{2} any other service benefits and their services will be treated as regular employee from the date of regular appointment only. This being specific stipulation in G.R. dated 08.03.1999, the Applicants *prima-facie* cannot claim benefit of earlier service unless they challenge the said stipulation in G.R. dated 08.03.1999. However, there is no challenge to the said stipulation of G.R. dated 08.03.1999. When this aspect brought to the notice of learned Counsel for the Applicants, he sought time to take instructions from his clients to take further appropriate steps.

4. In case of challenge to G.R., the matter will have to be heard by the Division Bench.

5. One week time is granted to take necessary steps.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

• • •

	MUMDAI		
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRI	ст
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The Stat	e of Maharashtra a	nd others	
			Respondent/s
)	Wespondenws
(Presenting Officer	·····	.,	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orde	rs
			•
• •		O.A.103/202	21
	Mr. R.M. Sathe		Applicant
	Vs. The State of M	ah. & Ors.	Respondents
	for Shri Vinoo Applicant and S 2. Since, e amended O.A, I	d Sangvikar, lear omt. A.B. Kololgi, P. nough time is grad am not inclined to	arned Advocate holding rned Advocate for the .O. for Respondents. nted to file reply in the grant further time. ng on 20 th June, 2022.
	(skw)	I	Sd/- 5. Kurhekar) Member-J 5.07.2022
· .			

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

• ,

iginal Application No.	of 20	I	District Applicant/s
lvocate)		
	versus	3	
The St	ate of Mahara	shtra and others	
resenting Officer)	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coran Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	n,	Tribunal's	orders
		<u>0.A.52</u>	5/2022
	Ms. P.P	. Patil Vs.	Applicant
	The Sta	vs. te of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents
		nt and Shri A.J. (gle, learned Advocate for the Chougule, learned P.O. for
	permiss		for the Applicant sought present O.A. He has a'so nt to that effect.
	3. 1	n view of above, allow	ved to withdraw the O.A.
	4. costs.	The O.A, therefore, di	sposed of with no order as to
			Sd/-
	(skw)	-	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 05.07.2022
	-		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	 Date : 05.07.2022 M. A. No.201 of 2022 in O.A.No.26 of 2022 A. K. Pawar & OrsApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing. 3. S.O. to 18.07.2022.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member()

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 05.07.2022 O.A.No.305, 306, 307 & 308 of 2022 with O.A. 412 of 2022 & O.A. 428 of 2022 M. P. Patil & OrsApplicants
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh holding for Shri S. S. Dere , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.
	3. S.O. to 25.07.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 05.07.2022 O.A.No.388 of 2022		
	L. S. LahaneApplicant		
	Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted		
	to file reply by way of last chance.		
	3. S.O. to 12.07.2022.		
	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm		

ł

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 05.07.2022		
	O.A.No.269 of 2022		
	M. G. JadhavApplicant		
	Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	1. Heard Shri S. B. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted		
	to file Affidavit in Reply.		
	3. S.O. to 12.07.2022.		
	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)		
	vsm		

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	bunal's orders or Tribunal's orders		
	Date	: 05.07.2022	
	O.A.No.299 of 2022		
	R. B. I	Pawar	Applicant
	Versu	S	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
		Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwade pplicant and Shri A. J. Cho r for the Respondents.	
	2.	Enough time is granted for t	filing reply but same is not
	filed.		
	3.	However, on request learn	ed P.O. two days time is
	grant	ed to file reply in the office wit	th copy to other side.
	4.	O.A. be kept for hearing at t	he stage of admission.
	5.	S.O. to 26.07.2022.	
			Sd/-
			P. Kurhekar) lember(J)
	vsm		

ł

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 05.07.2022		
	O.A.No.151 of 2022		
	S. K. Lendave & OrsApplicants		
	Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	 Heard Shri S. N. Biradar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 		
	2. Enough time is granted for filing reply but the same is not filed.		
	3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.		
	4. O.A be kept for hearing at the stage of admission		
	without reply.		
	5. S.O. to 02.08.2022.		
	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 05.07.2022

O.A.No.628 of 2022

V. R. Kumbhar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 22.04.2022 whereby Applicant's claim for substitution of hair in the waiting list for appointment on compassionate ground stands rejected.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.08.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original / Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 01.08.2022.

∖Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

 \mathbf{H}

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 05.07.2022	
	O.A.No.617 of 2022	
	D. B. GoreApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.	
	2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit in Reply is under	
	preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.	
	3. Shri Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2 submits that the reply will be filed within a day or two. Statement is accepted. it be taken on record. The copy be served to other side.	
	4. Original Application be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.	
	5. S.O. to 26.07.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
Crighten - Fr		Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

.

ppearance, Tribunal's orders or rections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 04.07.2022	
	O.A.No.6	520 of 2021
	H.B. Shinde Vs.	Applicant a & OrsRespondents
	1. Heard Mr. Bh learned Advocate for t	ushan A. Bandiwadeka he Applicant and Ms. S.I ief Presenting Officer for th
	 At the request of learned Advocate mataken on today's board for continuation of i relief till next date. Interim relief to be continued till finaffidavit-in-reply. 	
	4. Adjourned to 29	.07.2022.
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J. Chairperson
	prk	

04.07.2022

O.A 633/2022

Shri N.U Patil

Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant seeks directions to the Respondents to grant him promotion to the post of District Superintendent Jail Class-II with all consequential service benefits.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.7.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption **<u>'for Dismissal'</u>** and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

9. S.O to 18.7.2022

Sd/-

Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)

) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	Namaua		
	versus		
The Sta	te of Maharasi	ntra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	,	Tribuna	l's orders
	04.07.202	22	
		<u>O.A 8</u>	5/2019
	Shri A.V M		Applicant
	The State	Vs. of Maharashtra &	Gors Respondents
			ne applicant. Heard Ms S.P for the Respondents.
	have bec		mits that this matter might in view of the prayer of
			Learned counsel for the agin present on the next date.
		Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha G Member	adgil)	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn		

Date : 05.07.2022

O.A.No.631 of 2022

P.V. Topale Vs.

....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. M.P.S.C. is directed to provide address of Respondent No.3 to the learned Advocate.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.08.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affide.vit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 02.08i2022. To be tagged with O.A.No.451/2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

prk

Sd/-(MedKa Gadgil)

Member (A)

Date : 05.07.2022

O.A.No.597 of 2022

S.B. Ingole & Anr. Vs.

....Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate seeks interim relief challenging provisional seniority list published on 20.05.2021 and also representations dated 05.08.2021 and 09.05.2022 which are not yet decided.

3. Learned C.P.O. to take instructions as to why the said representations are remained to be decided.

4. The Tribunal is of the opinion that no promotion in the cadre which is the subject of the O.A. should be affected till the said representations are decided.

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.07.2022.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

11. Adjourned to 12.07.2022.

Sd/-(Medha/Gadg/l) Member (Å) prk

Sd/-

1×1

.H.,

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

	MU	VIBAI	
Original Application No.	of 20		District Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	υ	ersus	
The S ⁴	tate of Ma	harashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	ım,	Tribuna Date : 05.07.2022	l's orders
		M.A.No.369 of 202	22 in O.A.No.597 of 2022
		S.B. Ingole & Anr. Vs.	Applicants
			tra & OrsRespondents
		1. Heard Mr. D.B.	Khaire, learned Advocate for
			1s. S.P. Manchekar, learned
		Chief Presenting Office	r for the Respondents.
		2. This is an appli	cation for leave to sue jointly.
		3. Considering the	e cause of action pursued by
		••	mon, concurrent and usual,
		the cases are not requi	red to be decided separately.
		4. In this view of	the matter, the present Misc.
		••	subject to Applicants paying
		requisite court fees, if	not already paid.
		5. M.A. is allowed.	
		Sd/-	Sd/-
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

[*P.T.O.*

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The Sta	te of Maharashtra	a and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	,	Tribunal's orders	
	04.07.2022		
		<u>0.A 1114/2019</u>	
	Shri R.K Sako		. Applicant
	The State of M	Vs. Iaharashtra & Ors	. Respondents
		Smt Punam Mahajan, int and Ms Swati Ma Respondents.	
	the upgradat	d counsel for the appli ion of C.R of the ap his name has been sen	plicant has been
	withdraw the	d counsel for the applica Original Application if i he same grounds in the	t is not ultimately
	4. Origina liberty.	al Application is dispos	sed of with such
	Sd/-		Sd/-
	(Medha/Gadgi Member (A) _{Akn}	•	ıla Bhatkar, J.) hairperson

.

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	MUMBAI	
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The S	state of Maharashtra	a and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cor Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	am,	Tribunal's orders
	04.07.2022	<u>'</u>
		<u>O.A 930/23016</u>
	Smt G.J Raj	
	The State of	Vs. Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	for the appli	d Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate icant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned e Respondents.
	Technician, (Recruitmen and bad in 2 condition no	applicant challenges Rule 4(2) of the X-Ray X-Ray Assistant and Dark Room Assistant at) Rules, 1999 as unconstitutional, illegal law. Applicant also further prays to declare o. 4.4, 4.5, 6.3 and 6.4 of the Information and application form MEDSS-CWT-2014.
	the order	applicant further seeks to stay the effect of dated 7.6.0216 and also to declare the hat he is eligible for the post of X-Ray
	4. S.O t	to 18.7.2022.
		Sd/-
	/Sd (Medha Gad Member (A	gil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
	Akn	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

DISTRICT of 20 Original Application No. Applicant/s (Advocate) versus The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s (Presenting Officer.....) Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Date: 04.07.2022 O.A.No.625 of 2022Applicant S.D. Akale Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, 1. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The Applicant working as Police Inspector in 2. the office of Motor Transport Department seeks promotion to the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police/ Assistant Commissioner of Police. He challenges select list of 17.06.2002 to the extent of excluding his name. The office objections, if any, are to be 3. removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4. 07.07.2022. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve 5. on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule б. 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice 7. to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. [*P.T.O.*

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>thre</u> days before returnable date, the Original Applicatio shall be placed on board before the concerne Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" an thereafter on the subsequent date the Origina Application shall stand dismissed.
	9. Respondents to come with the clarificatio why the name of the Applicant was excluded in th select list of 17.06.2022.
	10. Learned Advocate submits that the Des Officer, Mr. Amte from the office of Respondent No. is responsible for preparation of the list.
	 One post is to be kept till next date Adjourned to 07.07.2022.
	Sd/-
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson

Original Application No.

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

DISTRICT

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

	Applicant	
vocate)	
	versus	
The State of	f Maharashtra and others	
	Respondent	
esenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	Date : 05.07.2022 Tribunal's orders	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	O.A.No.183 of 2022	
	J.G. TatkareApplicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	1. Heard Mr. R.R. Asawa, learned Advocate fo	
	the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learne	
	Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned Advocate submits that G.A.D	
	should be directed to file affidavit-in-reply since	
	G.R. is being challenged in the present O.A	
	3. G.A.D. to file reply accordingly. Adjourned	
	to 02.08.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson	
	prk	

Original Application No.

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

DISTRICT

I

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State of	Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal	's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 05.07.2022	
		.142 of 2022
	N.N. Gaikwad Vs.	Applicant
		ra & OrsRespondents
	 None for the A Manchekar, learned Ch Respondents. 	Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. nief Presenting Officer for the
	2. Admit with liber	ty to file rejoinder.
	3. Adjourned to 02	.08.2022.
	Sd/- (Medha/Gadğil) Member (A) prk) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		[<i>P.T.O</i> .

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

ffice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registar's orders	Tribunal's ord Date : 05.07.2022	lers
	O.A.No.118	of 2022
	P.S. Patil & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & 0	Applicants DrsRespondents
		an A. Bandiwadekar oplicant and Ms. S.P
	2. Admit with liberty to	file rejoinder.
	3. Adjourned to 02.08.2	022. i~ 1.
	Sd/- (Medhal/Gađgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Spl.- MAT-F-2

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 05.07.2022 unal's orders
	M.A.No.455 of 2018 in O.A.No.649 of 2018
	P.K. Karne & OrsApplicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	 None for the applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. As none appeared for the Applicant, O.A. to be placed under the caption 'For Dismissal'
	3. Registry is directed to correct the name of Advocate in the board copy.
	4. Adjourned to 12.07.2022.
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson
	prk
	·
	[PT.O.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

C.A.No.36 of 2021 in O.A.No.1029 of 2014 (O.A.No.678 of 2013 Nagpur) with C.A.No.37 of 2021 in O.A.No.1030 of 2014 (O.A.679 of 2013 Nagpur) with C.A.No.38 of 2021 in O.A.No.1031 of 2014 (O.A.680 of 2013 Nagpur) with C.A.No.39 of 2021 in O.A.No.1032 of 2014 (O.A.No.681 of 2013 Nagpur) with C.A.No.40 of 2021 in O.A.No.1033 of 2014 (O.A.438 OF 2014 Nagpur) with C.A.No.5 of 2021 in T.A.No.1 of 2020
R.S. Bidkar (C.A.36/2021 in O.A.1029/ 2014) M.D. Sundarkar (C.A.37/2021 in O.A.1030/2014) S.S. Kinhekar (C.A.38/2021 in O.A.1031/2014) J.G. Pohankar (C.A.39/2021 in O.A.1032/2014) V.P. Ingole @ V.V. Shelke (C.A.40/2021 in O.A.1033/2014) K.C. Pawar (C.A.5/2021 in T.A.1/2020) Applicants Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav holding for Mr. R.G
Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms
K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for th
Respondents and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Specia
Counsel for the Respondent No.4.
2. In view of the pendency of the matter befor
the Division Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High, matte
is adjourned to 19.07.2022.
Sd/- Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal	's orders
	Date : 05.07.2022	
		n O.A.No.280 of 2017 with .241 of 2019
	V.V. Punathil Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharash	tra & OrsRespondents
	1. Heard Mr. B	hushan A. Bandiwadekar,
	learned Advocate for	the Applicants and Ms.
	Archana B.K., learned	d Presenting Officer for the
	Respondents.	
	sent to the office Acc under consideration.	ubmits that proposal is again countant General. It is still She further submits that copy submitted for incorporating dgment.
	3. Adjourned to 12	2.07.2022.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	prk	

..... Applicant/s

DISTRICT

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

Original Application No.

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

05.07.2022 O.A 325/2018 with O.A 13/2020 Shri A.M Jadhao Mathematical Shri A.M Jadhao VS. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Colspan="2">Applicant VS. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Interstate of Maharashtra & Ors Interst	Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribun	al's orders
Shri A.M Jadhao Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 325/2018, Ms Swati Manchekaa learned C.P.O for Respondent no. 2, and Shri C. Chandratre, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3 i O.A 13/2020. Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 13/2020 has filed leave note. 2. Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere learned counsel for the applicant request for time. 3. S.O to 12.7.2022. Sd/- 		05.07.2022	
Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 325/2018, Ms Swati Manchekan learned C.P.O for Respondent no. 2, and Shri C. Chandratre, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3 i O.A 13/2020. Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 13/2020 has filed leave note. 2. Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere learned counsel for the applicant request for time. 3. S.O to 12.7.2022. Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) Member (A) Member (A)		<u>O.A 325/2018</u>	with O.A 13/2020
the applicant in O.A 325/2018, Ms Swati Manchekan learned C.P.O for Respondent no. 2, and Shri C. Chandratre, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3 i O.A 13/2020. Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 13/2020 has filed leave note. 2. Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere learned counsel for the applicant request for time. 3. S.O to 12.7.2022. Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson		Vs.	
learned counsel for the applicant request for time. 3. S.O to 12.7.2022. Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson		the applicant in O.A 325 learned C.P.O for Respo Chandratre, learned cour O.A 13/2020. Shri S.S I	5/2018, Ms Swati Manchekar ondent no. 2, and Shri C.' nsel for Respondent no. 3 in Dere, learned counsel for the
Sd/- Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson		5 5	
Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson		3. S.O to 12.7.2022.	
Member (A) ^U Chairperson			

..... Applicant/s

DISTRICT

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

Original Application No.

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 05.07.2022	
	C.A.No.22 of 2021 in O.A.No.21 of 2017	
	A.A. PawarApplicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadeka	
	learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S	
	Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for th	
	Respondents.	
	2. Learned P.O. submits that name of Ms	
	Sapna Kamble has been recommended by th	
	M.P.S.C. on 19.07.2021 against the post of Ope	
	Female.	
	3. The Joint Secretary, Medical Education an	
	Drugs Department is directed to remain present o	
	Monday, 11 th July, 2022 at 10.30 a.m. as there	
	nobody from the office of Medical Education ar	
	Drugs Department to answer the queries made I	
	the Tribunal and also officer from the office	
	M.P.S.C. to remain present.	
	4. Adjourned to 11.07.2022.	
	Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha G adgif)/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	
	Member (A) Chairperson	
	prk	
	[PT:	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

MUMBAI						
Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT Applicant/s			
(Advocate)					
	versus					
The St	ate of Maharasl	ntra and others				
			Respondent/s			
(Presenting Officer)				
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	n,	Tribuna	l's orders			
	Date	: 05.07.2022				
	C.A		n O.A.No.645 of 2017 with 5.509 of 2020			
		Damale Vs. State of Maharash	Applicant tra & OrsRespondents			
	Gaik	ed Advocate for	Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Presenting Officer for the			
	2. been	Learned P.O. s filed.	ubmits that reply has already			
	3. recor	Learned Advoc d. Copy served o	ate filed rejoinder. Taken on n learned P.O.			
	4.	Adjourned to 1	9.07.2022.			
		Sd/- edha Gadgill Member (Ay	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson			

H

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 05.07.2022 O.A.No.536 of 2021		
	R.M. Manale & Ors	Applicants	
	Vs. The State of Maharasht	ra & OrsRespondents	
	 Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned Advocate submits that promotion order 01.01.2018 have already been issued in respect of the present Applicants. As such, the grievance of the Applicant about promotion is redressed in view of promotion order, O.A. stands disposed of, with liberty to the 		
	Applicants to make Government for deemed	·	
		, uute.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
	prk		
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>	

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	(D. 1).		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 05.07.2022	al's orders	
	O.A.No.275 of 2022 with O.A	2 with O.A.No.276 of 2022 No.277 of 2022	
	S.P. Pawar (O.A.275/2 M.S. Thorat (O.A.276/ V.K. Wagh (O.A.277/2 Vs.	2022)	
		tra & OrsRespondents	
	1. Heard Mr. S.V	7. Kate, learned Advocate for	
		Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned	
	Presenting Officer for t	he Respondents.	
	2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed in all O.As. Today she tenders reply in O.A.No.275/2022 to learned Advocate.		
		urned to 23.08.2022.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gaffgil) Member (A)	[/] (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
	prk		
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 05.07.2022	2
	О.	A.No.869 of 2021
	P.A. Vidyagar Vs.	Applicant
		rashtra & OrsRespondents
	1. Heard Mr.	S.G. Kudle, learned Advocate for
	the Applicant ar	d Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned
	Presenting Officer	for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P	.O. to verify the list of S.C.
	candidates who go	t changed as a result of change in
	the SEBC categor	y after the judgment of Hon'ble
	Supreme Court	in the case of Dr. Jaishri
	Laxmanrao Patil	Versus. The Chief Minister &
		eal No.3123 of 2020 (SLP (C)
) dated 09.09.2020 wherein the
		ratha Community (SEBC) quota
	was merged into merged in first sele	open category and how it was ct list.
	3. Adjourned to 19.07.2022. P.H. at 1.00 p.m.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
		Chairperson