

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Final Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE: <u>5/7/19</u></p> <p>CONAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>9/2/19.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p> | <p><u>05.07.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 792/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.P Patil & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C .P.O prays for two days' time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 9.7.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

05.07.2019

M.A 353/2019 in O.A 619/2019

Shri S.N Thembe & Others ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present M.A is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A is allowed.

DATE: 5/7/2019
CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) V/C - A
Hon'ble S.S. Dere
A
Shri/Smt. Swati Manchekar
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s
Adj./S.O. to M.A. is allowed

File

Sd/-
(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akm

Sd/-
(A.H Joshi, Chairman)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

05.07.2019

O.A 619/2019

Shri S.N Thembe & Others ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. In view of interim order dated 11.4.2019 passed in O.A 368/2019, no separate order is necessary. Two posts may be kept vacant for the applicants.

3. This O.A to come up for hearing with O.A 368/2019.

4. Learned advocate for applicant prays for leave to add affected party as Respondents. Leave granted.

5. S.O to 30.8.2019.

DATE: 5/7/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri P. N. Dixit (Member-A) V/C-A

APPEARANCE

Shri/S. S.S. Dere

Advocate Applicant

Shri/Smt. Swati Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 30/8/2019

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Alm

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi (J.))
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1079/2018

Shri D.M. Atre ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit of Respondent No.2 stating that the proposal made by Collector, Nashik on 02.11.2019 is under consideration of the Government. It is taken on record.
3. The issue in the present matter pertains to grant of benefit of Time Bound Promotion considering temporary service of the Applicant. The Applicant seems to be appointed without following due process of law, as his services were required for Kumbhmela. Besides, there is some break in his service. The Collector, therefore, forwarded a proposal on 02.01.2019 to the Government but the same is not yet decided.
4. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for final hearing for four weeks and meantime, the learned P.O. shall take instructions from the Respondents about the decision of Government on proposal of Collector dated 2nd January, 2019.
5. 5.O. to 5th October, 2019.

Sd/-

(A.Ā. Kurhekar)
Member-J
05.07.2019

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date : 05.07.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.609 of 2019</p> <p>Amjed M. NaikwadiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 11.06.2019 whereby he was transferred from Malegaon Centre to Beed Centre. The Applicant was serving as Chief Intelligence Officer with Special Intelligence Department, Malegaon at the time of impugned transfer. The Applicant contends that he had not completed normal tenure of three years at Malegaon and there being no compliance of Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005'). The impugned order is unsustainable in law.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant, therefore, prayed for Interim relief.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has produced the file for perusal of the Tribunal, which shows that the proposal of transfer was approved by the Civil Services Board (CSB) in view of frequent leaves of Applicant and his unwillingness to work in the department. However, there is no approval of the Competent Authority for such mid-term and mid-tenure transfer. Learned P.O. fairly stated that there is no approval of Competent Authority as contemplated under Section 6 of 'Act 2005'. However, she opposes to grant interim relief on the ground that the Applicant is already relieved from the post.</p> |

| Office Notes. Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p style="text-align: center;">-2-</p> <p>5. Admittedly, the impugned transfer order is mid-term as well as mid-tenure transfer. Furthermore, admittedly, there is no approval of competent authority as contemplated in the table of Section 6 of 'Act 2005'. Thus, prima-facie the impugned transfer order is not in consonance with law.</p> <p>6. In view of above, interim relief is granted in terms of Paragraph No. 11(a) of the O.A. and the Applicant be reposted on the post of Chief Intelligence Officer at Malegaon Centre.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.07.2019.</p> <p>8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admssion hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 31.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.401/2018</u></p> <p>Shri R.L. Boga ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar and Smt. A.B. Kotoigi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.12.2017 but his retiral dues are not released, and therefore, ne approached to this Tribunal. Today, the matter is fixed for final hearing but the learned Advocate as well as learned P.O. are not prepared in the matter and sought time for hearing.</p> <p>3. I have gone through the pleadings as well as reply which goes to show that because of proposal of initiation of D.E. against the Applicant, his retiral dues are withheld. However, though the period of more than one and half year is over, till date, no such charge-sheet seems to have been issued. The learned P.O. is unable to enlighten the Tribunal on this point.</p> <p>4. In view of above, it is necessary to find out the status of D.E, if any, so that the present O.A. can be disposed of expeditiously in appropriate manner and there is no need to keep it lingering for final hearing.</p> <p>5. The matter be kept on 8th July, 2019 to appraise the Tribunal about the initiation of D.E, if any, by the Respondents.</p> <p>6. The Respondent No.1 is directed to remain present on Monday i.e. 8th July, 2019 with record to apprise the Tribunal about the initiation of D.E. and to explain the delay as to why the retiral benefits of the Applicant are withheld.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 8th July, 2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 05.07.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 628 & 629 OF 2019
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.622 OF 2019

Shri Baban J. Tejankar (O.A.628/2019))
Dr. Deepali D. Kshirsagar (O.A.629/2019))
Shri Shrikant D. Surve (O.A.622/2019))...Applicants

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)...Respondents

Mr. D.B. Khaire, Advocate for Applicants (O.As.628 & 629/2019)

Mrs. Punam Mahajan, Advocate for Applicant (O.A.No.622/2019)

Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, Presenting Officer for Respondents (O.As.628 & 629/2019)

Ms. N.G. Gohad, Presenting Officer for Respondents (O.A.622/2019)

CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J

DATE : 05.07.2019

ORDER

1. In the present O.As, the challenge is to the transfer order dated 04.07.2019 on the ground that though it is mid-term transfer, the same is not in consonance with Section 4(5) of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfer and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as the Transfer Act).



2. Admittedly, the Applicants have completed their tenure but the transfer orders were issued on 4th July, 2019, and therefore, it comes within the term of 'mid-term transfer'.
3. When the matter was placed before the Civil Services Board (CSB), the name of the Applicant in O.A.628/2019 was considered and she was proposed for transfer at Khadki. Whereas, the Applicant in O.A.629/2019 was proposed to be transferred to Delwadi, Tal. : Daund. In so far as O.A.622/2019 is concerned, the CSB recommended the Applicant for transfer at Sangole.
4. However, no such orders of transfer were issued but they were transferred by order dated 4th July, 2019 on different places. The Applicant in O.A.628/2019 was transferred to Khadgaon, Tal. Bhudargad, District Kolhapur. Whereas the Applicant in O.A.629/2019 was transferred to Jat, District : Sangli and Applicant in O.A.622/2019 was transferred to Gargoti, Tal. Budargad, District Kolhapur.
5. The learned P.O. has produced a file for perusal, which shows that the Hon'ble Chief Minister has only approved regular transfer and in respect of mid-term transfer, the Hon'ble Minister was asked to take decision at his level.
6. Thus, what emerges from the record that there is no approval of Hon'ble Chief Minister to mid-term transfers dated 04.07.2019. It appears that earlier powers of general transfer were delegated to the Secretary but it was withdrawn and Hon'ble Minister himself took over the powers. In that event also, the impugned transfer order being mid-term transfer, there has to be approval of preceding Competent Authority, as per Table attached to Section 6 of 'Transfer Act 2005', which is admittedly not complied with. This being the position, prima-facie, the impugned orders are not in accordance with the provisions of 'Transfer Act 2005'.

7. In view of above, status quo is granted to the impugned transfer order qua the Applicants.
8. Issue notice returnable on 30th July, 2019.
9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
10. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
11. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
12. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
13. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
14. S.O. to 30th July, 2019. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
Member-J

skw

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 05.07.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.621 of 2019</p> <p>N. G. GhuleApplicant Versus The State of Manarashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the impugned transfer order dated 03.07.2019. The Applicant was serving as Superintendent, State Excise, Thane and transferred to the post of Superintendent, State Excise, Dhule. The Applicant had completed hardly one year at Thane. The Applicant, therefore, contends that it is a mid-term and mid-tenure transfer not in consonance with Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005') and, therefore, prayed for interim relief.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered the file for perusal of the Tribunal which shows that the Civil Services Board (CSB) did not recommend the name of the Applicant for transfer but recommended the transfer of other five officials. However, when the file was placed before the Hon'ble Minister he added the names of seven officials for transfer and the same has been approved by the Hon'ble Chief Minister. While adding the name of seven officials for transfer, the Hon'ble Minister stated that their transfers are necessary on administrative grounds to achieve targets.</p> <p>4. However, the fact remains that the name of the Applicant not at all placed before the CSB for transfer. The names of five officials were placed before the CSB for transfer and Smt. Snehlata Shrikar was amongst them who was posted in place of the Applicant on her request.</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>5. Thus what transpired from the record that admittedly that name of the Applicant was not placed before the CSB. This being the position, <i>prima-facie</i>, the impugned transfer order is not in consonance with the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>T.S.R. Subramanian & Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors. reported in (2013) 15 SCC 732</i>. Secondly, the Applicant seems to have been displaced only to accommodate Smt. Snehlata Shrikar (Resp.No.2).</p> <p>6. In view of above, <i>prima-facie</i>, the impugned transfer order is not in consonance with Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of the 'Act 2005'. I am, therefore, inclined to grant interim relief.</p> <p>7. In view of above, interim relief is granted as prayed, in terms of Paragraph No. 10(a) of the O.A.</p> <p>8. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.</p> <p>9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.07.2019.</p> <p>10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>13. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>14. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>15. S.O. to 30.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p data-bbox="755 453 966 482">Date : 05.07.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="971 508 1201 537">O. A. No.582 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="755 564 1323 592">P. F. Gangave Applicant</p> <p data-bbox="755 619 836 648">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="755 685 1339 714">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="747 752 1421 1957" style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="747 752 1421 851">1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.<li data-bbox="747 884 1421 951">2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.07.2019.<li data-bbox="747 984 1421 1083">3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.<li data-bbox="747 1117 1421 1305">4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.<li data-bbox="747 1338 1421 1470">5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.<li data-bbox="747 1504 1421 1692">6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.<li data-bbox="747 1725 1421 1891">7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.<li data-bbox="747 1924 1023 1957">8. S.O. to 17.07.2019. <p data-bbox="1112 1979 1177 2023" style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1071 2068 1242 2134" style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="730 2123 771 2156">vsm</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p data-bbox="743 405 948 432">Date : 05.07.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="963 461 1187 488">O. A. No.615 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="751 517 1308 544">Dr. A. R. KambleApplicant</p> <p data-bbox="743 573 818 600">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="743 640 1328 667">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="743 707 1406 1928" style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="743 707 1406 813">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.<li data-bbox="743 846 1406 909">2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.07.2019.<li data-bbox="743 943 1406 1048">3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.<li data-bbox="743 1081 1406 1267">4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.<li data-bbox="743 1301 1406 1440">5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.<li data-bbox="743 1473 1406 1648">6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.<li data-bbox="743 1682 1406 1865">7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.<li data-bbox="743 1899 1024 1928">8. S.O. to 31.07.2019. <p data-bbox="1130 1966 1187 1993" style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1081 2033 1247 2096" style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="743 2101 781 2128">vsm</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date : 05.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.617 of 2019</p> <p>L. Y. VanaskarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri N. Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.07.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 31.07.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p data-bbox="760 517 964 548">Date : 05.07.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="976 577 1203 609">O. A. No.608 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="764 629 1321 660">Dr. M. V. PandeApplicant</p> <p data-bbox="760 689 829 721">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="760 750 1344 781">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="760 817 1419 1973" style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.07.2019.3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.8. S.O. to 31.07.2019. <p data-bbox="1127 2056 1187 2087">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1068 2130 1235 2190">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 05.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.608 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. M. V. PandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 31.07.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 31.07.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date : 05.07.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No.582 of 2019</p> <p>P. F. GangaveApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.07.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 17.07.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|--|
| <p>DATE : 5/2/19.</p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : none for the Applicant</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad</p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to : Head</p> <p>order passed in Tribunal Column - S.O to 10/7/19. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted.</p> | <p>05.07.2019</p> <p>C.A 109/2015 in O.A 353/2014</p> <p>Dr K.S Ramamurthy ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> None for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. Hon. H.C has passed the order that reads as follows:- Now it is thus clear that while coercive steps cannot be taken but order of this Tribunal has not been stayed by Hon. High Court. In this background, Secretary, Public Health is directed to file affidavit on following points:- Under what authority of law he is entitled to disobey the order of this Tribunal and what precluded the Respondents from implementing the order of this Tribunal subject to outcome of W.P filed by the State. Affidavit be filed before 10.7.2019. Secretary is also directed to calculate the dues payable to the applicant as per the order of this Tribunal. S.O to 10.7.2019. Steno copy and Hamdast. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, Chairman)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

05.07.2019

C.A 20/19 in O.A 877/2017

Dr M.B Londhe ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O prays for time till Monday.
3. S.O to 9.7.2019.

DATE : 5/7/19

COBAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
Shri K.R Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

S.P. Murchhala
C.P.O./D. for the Respondents

Adl./S.O to 9/7/19.

l

Akn

Sd/-
(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

05.07.2019

O.A 571/2017

Shri M.Y Sate & Others ... Applicants
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

DATE : 5/7/19
CORAM :
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
 Shri G. Sadavarte
 Advocate for the Applicant
 Miss S.P. Manelekar
 C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s
 Adj./S.O. to 9/7/19

1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O states that affidavit assuring compliance and stating time limit is finalized and the same would be filed on Monday.
3. S.O to 9.7.2019.

Sd/-
 (A.H Joshi, J)
 Chairman

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| <p>DATE : <u>5/7/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>Ganesh Sadgore</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Miss S.P. Navalekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adi./S.O. to <u>Head</u></p> <p>order passed in Tribunal Column 5.07.2019 steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2</p> | <p>Date : 05.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.612 of 2019</p> <p>S.P. Mhaisdhune & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Dr. Gunaratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents relies on the text of the Government Resolution dated 22.04.2019, copy whereof is at page 83 and relevant text is appearing at page 86, that the appointment of 636 candidates as PSI are not likely to be made forthwith and rather would be made as and when vacancies arises. 3. Learned C.P.O. further states that petition being pre-mature needs to be dismissed. 4. According to the contents of the O.A. 636 candidates have been subjected to the process of medical examination etc. required to be completed for appointment. 5. Learned C.P.O. disputes the proposition / submission of learned Advocate for the Applicant and states on instructions that time may be granted for filing affidavit to the effect that posts which are advertised, to whom Applicants purport to representation shall be filled-in only first in sequence to filling in of 636 posts and not now. 6. In view of the statement of learned C.P.O. it is clear that appointments of 636 candidates are not going to be done immediately. 7. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O. 9. S.O. to 11.07.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, (C)) Chairman</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

DATE : 5/7/19.

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Madhavi Ayyappa for M/S Talekar & Associates Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 3

Adl./S.O. to Heard

Dr. G. Sadavarte Adv for the Respondent/s -

Shri A.A. Desai Adv for the Respondent no. 4

Shri Bha. R. Bhandari with Shri Ravindra Adsule Counsel for the Respondent no.

Order passed by Tribunal Column. S.O. to 11/7/19. Steno copy and Haudast is allowed.

(with 08/07/19) 2

Date : 05.07.2019.

O.A.No.445 of 2019 with O.A.No.197 of 2019

M.T. Metake & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyappa holding for M/S. Talekar & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3, Dr. Gunaratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Private Respondent, Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4, Shri R. Bhandari with Shri Adsule, learned Counsel for the Respondent.
2. Learned C.P.O. prays for time for filing reply on the ground that instructions are awaited.
3. As Home is called to show cause as to why he should not be personally saddled with costs of Rs.25,000/- for failure to give instructions. Reply be filed on personal affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary, Home, on or before 11.07.2019
4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O. for communication with direction to communicate this order.

5 S.O. to 11.07.2019.

Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

DATE : 5/7/19.

CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Advocate :
Shri A.S. Deshpande

Advocate :
Ms. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to :
107/19 of HC
request of W.C.P.O.

Date : 05.07.2019.

O.A.No.973 of 2018 with M.A.No.652 of 2018


Dr. M.K. Patil Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 10.07.2019.


Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

DATE: 5/2/19
CORAM:
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCES:
 Shri R.M. Kolge
 Ms. S.P. Manchekar
 P.P.O. for the respondents

Adj. CO. to: 30/8/19 at the
 request of adv
 for the applicant.

Date : 05.07.2019.

C.A.No.53 of 2014 in O.A.No.44 of 2009

R.G. Joshi Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 30.08.2019.

Sd/-
 (A.H. Joshi) *[Signature]*
 Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

DATE : 5/2/19.
CORAM :
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
 Shri/Smt. Y.P. Deshmukh
 Advocate for the Applicant
 Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougale
 C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s
 Adj./S.O. to Removed from Board.

Date : 05.07.2019.

C.A.No.38 of 2018 In O.A.No.48 of 2017


R.P. Gage Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Removed from board.

Sd/-
 (A.H. Joshi, 
 Chairman

prk

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|---|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders O.A. No.1036 of 2018 |
|---|---|

S.V. Sawant ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2. None for Respondent No.3.

2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.

3. Ld: Advocate for the applicant states that matter may be heard by Division Bench at the earliest.

4. Liberty to circulate.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
5.7.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 5/7/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jeath (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) V/C

APPEARANCE

Shri S.S. Dere

Advocate for Applicant

Shri S.P. Manchekar

C.I. for the Respondent/s 1 & 2

Adl/S.O. to Liberty to circulate

13/6

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p> | <p>Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.52 of 2019</u></p> |
|--|--|

P.V. Shelke Patil & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 5. None for Respondent No.6.

2. Ld. CPO submits that respondent no.2 proposes to file reply and seeks one week's time.
3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time to file rejoinder.
4. S.O. to 25.7.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
5.7.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 5/7/2019
Hon'ble Justice (Chairman)
Hon'ble Justice (A) VIC-A
APPEAR
Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere
Advocate for Applicant
Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s
Adj./S.O. 25/7/2019

(Handwritten signature)

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|--|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders O.A. No.848 of 2018 |
|---|--|

R.S. Salunkhe & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicants and Advocate for the Applicants are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply is already filed.
3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

DATE: 15/7/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Just (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri (V/C-A)

APPEAR:

Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate for

the Applicant are absent.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

to Admit

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
5.7.2019

(sgj)

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p> | <p>Tribunal's orders <u>M.A. No.342 of 2019 in O.A. No.602 of 2019</u></p> |
| <p>DATE: 5/7/2019 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice P. N. Dixit Member (A) - V.C. A APP: Shri Sushant Valimbe Advocate Applicant Shri/Smt.: S. P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adl/S.O. to: MA disposed off according to. BTD</p> | <p>A.R. Sanap & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sushant Valimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this MA, the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted. subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes. Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance. Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders O.A. No.1118 of 2018 |
|---|--|
| <p>DATE: <u>5/7/19</u></p> <p>FORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri H. J. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. ... Member (A) <u>J.C. A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Mr/Smt. <u>N.Y. Chavan</u>.....</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Mr/Smt. <u>S.P. Manojkar</u></p> <p>C.P./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>ALL S.O. to <u>18/7/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>File</i></p> | <p>S.G. Wadekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manojkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests that this matter may be heard with OA No.666/2017 and seeks adjournment till 18.7.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.7.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p> |

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|--|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.581 of 2019 with M.A. No.324 of 2019</u> |
|---|--|

Y.D. Kale & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned to 10.7.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
5.7.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 5/7/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice P. N. Dixit Member (A) - v/c - A

APPEARANCE:

Shri S.S. Dere.....

Adv. for Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adt./S.O. to 10/7/2019 at the

request of Ld. Adv. for the Appl.

BTE

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders O.A. No.73 of 2019 |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE: <u>5/7/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. ... Member (A) V/C-A Hon'ble Justice Shri <u>Bhasark</u></p> <p>Advocate of the Applicant Shri <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.F. P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj/S.O. to <u>Admit</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Bh</i></p> | <p>V.R. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p> | <p>Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.1127 of 2018</u></p> |
|--|---|

S.B. Kadam ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.T. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Id. PO seeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 19.7.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N.† Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
5.7.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 14/7/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice P.N.† A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Justice P.N.† Member (A) v/c - A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.T. Bhosale

Advocate for Applicant

Shri/Smt. Neelima Gohad

S.P. for the Respondent/s

Adt/ S.O. to 19/7/2019

Handwritten signature

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders O.A. No.23 of 2019 |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE: 17/2/19</p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P. N. T. Member (A) v/c - A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Wasnik.....</p> <p>..... Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manjhariker</p> <p>..... P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to 19/7/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BT</p> | <p>Dr. N.L. Chutke ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.P. Wasnik, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manjhariker, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri S.P. Wasnik, Ld. Advocate submits that he has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant and seeks one week's time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">5.7.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p> |


(Advocate

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p> | <p>Tribunal's orders</p> |
| <p>DATE: <u>5/7/2019</u> CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice P. N. Dixit Member (A) - V/C - A S.S. Dere Advocate for Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manjekar C.I. P.O. for the Respondent/s Adv/S.O. to <u>11/7/2019</u> </p> | <p><u>O.A. No.574 of 2019</u></p> <p>B.P. Nagamwar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to file reply. 3. S.O. to 11.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|--|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders O.A. No.596 of 2018 |
|---|--|

Dr. S.M. Gaikwad & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 26.7.2019.

DATE: 5/7/2019
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Justice P.N. Dixit Member (A) V/C - A
Shri/ M.D. Lonkar

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
5.7.2019

Advocate Applicant
Shri/ Ms. S.P. Manchekar
C.I. P.O. for the Respondent/s
Adj./S.O. to 26/7/19 at the
request of Ld. CPO.

(sgj)

Y.L.

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p> | <p>Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.88 of 2019</u></p> |
|--|--|

N.N. Waghmare ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he is ready to cooperate with the respondents and would provide the original documents immediately. He further prays that the respondents may be given three months to complete the process and OA may be disposed off.

3. Ld. CPO mentions that respondent no.3 held meeting on 27.6.2019 with all the concerned. As soon as the original documents are made available, necessary action would be taken to complete the service book.

4. In view of the above, OA is disposed off with a direction to the respondents to complete the service book of the applicant within a period of three months after getting the original documents from the applicant within a week.

DATE: 5/7/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice P. N. Dixit Member (A) - VIC - A

APPE:

Shri/Sm: Ashish Rajkar

Adv: Applicant

Shri/Sm: S.P. Manchekar

Chief P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adl./S.O. to CA is disposed off
with directions

[Signature]

(sgj)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
5.7.2019

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|--|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders O.A. No.226 of 2019 |
|---|--|

P.L. Khairnar & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicants and Advocate for the Applicants are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.3 and the same is taken on record.

3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
5.7.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 5/7/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) V/C-A

APPEARANCE

Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate
The applicant are absent.
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
C.P./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad/S.O. to Admit

File

Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders O.A. No.285 of 2019 |
|---|--|
| <p>DATE : <u>5/7/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice P. N. Dixit Member (A) V/C A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>G.A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for Applicant: Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>15/7/19</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Ble</i></p> | <p>S.K. Kalel ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that reply on behalf of respondent no.1 is already filed. She submits that she would like to revise the affidavit to examine whether OA is barred by limitation.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment for making his revised submissions.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 5.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|--|
| <p>DATE: <u>5/7/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Shri Member (A) v/c - K APPEARANCE: Smt Punam Mahajan Advocate for Applicant: Shri Swati Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./ S.O. to para 2 of order dated 14/3/19 be deleted. <i>[Signature]</i></p> | <p>05.07.2019</p> <p><u>M.A 104/2019 (decided) in O.A 245/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Ahamed & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Para 2 of order dated 14.3.2019 be deleted.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi (J.)) Chairman</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicants/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|--|
| <p>DATE: <u>5/7/2019</u></p> <p>CC: <u>Hon. Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>H: <u>Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>Mem: <u>Dist Member (A) V/C-A)</u></p> <p>APP: <u>Punam Mahajan</u></p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri Swati Manjhar</p> <p>C.I. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj/S.O. to <u>M.A. is also allowed.</u></p> <p><i>File</i></p> | <p><u>05.07.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 132/2019 in O.A 245/2018</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Ahamed & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Majahan ,learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that M.A for prining legal heirs on record is allowed, this M.A is also allowed.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.H Joshi, (J.) Chairman</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|--|---|
| <p>DATE: <u>05/07/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice P. N. Dixit Member (A) V.C. A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for Applicant Shri/Smt. Neelima Gohad C.F. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj/S.O. to M.A is allowed.</p> <p><i>M.T</i></p> | <p>05.07.2019</p> <p>M.A 352/2019 in O.A 1091/2016</p> <p>Shri A.G Phulsange ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Accepting reasons contained to be good and sufficient, M.A is allowed.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi (J.) Chairman</p> |

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

05.07.2019

O.A 616/2019 with M.A 351/2019

Shri R.R Divekar & Others ... Applicants
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned advocate for applicants pray for leave to amend by substituting memo of O.A as also memo of M.A.
3. Learned advocate undertakes to carry out substitution by Tuesday, i.e. 9.7.2019.
4. Liberty to ask for circulation after substitution.

Sd/-

(P.N'Dixit)
 Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi,
 Chairman

DATE: 5/7/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
 Hon'ble Justice Shri. Member (A) VC-A

APPEAL

Shri D.B. Khaire

Adv. Applicant

Shri/Sri Swati Manchekar

C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Liberty to ask
for circulation after
substitution.

[Handwritten signature]

05.07.2019

O.A 614/2017

Shri K.R Hire ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Shri S.S Dere, holding for Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 16.9.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O to 16.9.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

DATE: 5/7/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble

Hon'ble

APPE

Shri

A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Member (A) - vic - A

S.S. Dere

Adv.

Applicant

Shri/Sri

C.F. /P.O. for the Respondent/s

Neelima Gohad

Adj./S.O. to

Issue notice

returnable on 16/9/2019

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

05.07.2019

O.A 613/2019

Shri S.S Chavan ... Applicants
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for applicant prays for adding D.G.P as Res.no. 1A. Leave for adding party is granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.

3. In the present O.A the limited question that arises is the question pleaded by the applicant in para 6.21.3 relevant text read as follows:-

6.21.3. Even as per the G.R dated 15.12.2017, the applicant is to be considered for promotion as more than a period of 2 years has passed from the date of the last DPC in July, 2016, in which the applicant was found fit for promotion. If the Applicant is found fit for promotion, the applicant is eligible and entitled for 11 months promotion subject to the final decision of the Criminal case as well as the departmental enquiry.

4. D.G.P is called to respond. Affidavit limited to para quoted in foregoing text be relied, on or before 10.7.2019.

5. S.O to 10.7.2019. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
 Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
 Chairman

DATE: 05/7/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman).

Hon'ble Justice Shri P.N. Dixit Member (A) - V/C - A

APPEAL

Shri Punam Mahajan

Advocate Applicant

Shri/Smt A.J. Chougule

C.F. /P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./ S.O. to 10/7/2019

Handwritten initials

A.R. Sanap & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Sushant Valimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 31.7.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules. 1988. and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. Ld. CPO refers to Exhibit I page 55-65 of OA enclosing the information furnished by Advocate General, Maharashtra. She seeks clarification from where the same has been obtained. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant is directed to furnish details how the information has been obtained.

DATE: 5/7/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice N. Dixit Member (A) V/C A

APPEAR:

Shri Sushant Valimbe

Advocate Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adv/S.O. to Issue notice

returnable on 31/7/2019

File

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
5.7.2019

(sgj)

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.A. No.122 of 2019

S.N. Jadhav ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submitted that a detailed order was passed by this Tribunal on 3.4.2019. No reply has been filed except stating that one Shri Pralhad M. Shinde has filed Writ Petition No.459 of 2019 in the Hon'ble High Court, Bench at Aurangabad.

3. Reply given by the respondents is evasive. Respondent No.2 is directed to furnish specific plan of action along with legal impediment, if any.

4. S.O. to 26.7.2019. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. CPO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

DATE: 5/7/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice J. S. Patil (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri Justice (A) V. C. A.

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C. T. Chandratre

Advocate for

Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar

C.P./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adl/S.O. to 26/7/2019

Handwritten signature

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
5.7.2019

(sgj)

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 05.07.2019.

O.A.No.641 of 2018 with O.A.No.642 of 2018

M.V. Mengrati (O.A.No.641/2018)

S.B. Khadtare (O.A.No.642/2018) Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. With time available with the Tribunal this O.A. cannot be decided in short while. Hence, let this O.A. come up for hearing in due course.

3. Adjourned to 16.09.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, *sp*)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 5/7/19

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (V/C - A)

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. :.....Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. :.....S.P. Manchekar

C.P./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj/S.O. to :.....16/9/2019

P.N. Dixit