(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 05.05.2022	
	O.A.No.315 of 2021	
	V.V. Pote & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharas	shtra & OrsRespondents.
	the Applicant and	R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned cer for the Respondents.
	2. Matter is part	heard.
	3. Adjourned to	15.06.2022.
	Sd/-	" Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)	/' (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	prk	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The Sta	te of Maharashtra and others		
			Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

,

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>05.05.2022</u> <u>0.A 288/2022</u>	
	Shri M.V Gaikwad Applicant Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents	
	 Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. Learned P.O on instructions from Ms Geeta Kulkarni, Deputy Secretary, G.A.D, submits that the file will be sent to the Home Department during the course of the day. Further she states that five other similarly situated persons senior to the applicant who have been exonerated in the departmental enquiry, their cases should be sent by the Home Department to G.A.D. 	
	3. As the applicant is retiring on 31.5.202, we direct the Home Department to complete the process of all the persons similarly situated along with the applicant latest by 13.5.2022.	
	4. The Respondent no. 1, Home Department, is further directed to issue necessary orders of promotion before 31.5.2022.	
	5. S.O to 6.6.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson	

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

T

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate	`	rippicatios
)	
	versus	
The State	e of Maharashtra a	and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
	05.05.2022	
	<u>C.A 0</u>	4/2022 in O.A 613/2018
	Shri A.V Mahajan Vs.	
	The State of Maha	
	l. Heard Shr applicant and Mrs Respondents.	ri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the s K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the
	4.5.2022 address	P.O produces copy of letter dated ed by the Home Department, to the of Police, M.S, Mumbai, to appoint the rk.
	3. Learned P. ntimate about the	O is directed to take instructions and progress in the matter.
	4. S.O to 7.6.2	11
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 05.05.2022	
	O.A. No.433 of 2022	
	C.S. LokhandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Perused application and record.	
·	 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. In this O.A. the Applicant has impugned the order whereby recovery is proposed to be affected from his pensionary benefits. Record shows that the proposed recovery is initiated after retirement of the Applicant. Record further shows that the Applicant retired on superannuation on 31.07.2017 and he was holding a group 'C' post. On the afore-stated ground it is prayed that by passing interim order proposed recovery to be stayed. 	
	6. On behalf of the Respondents attention of the Tribunal is invited to communication dated 27.02.2019 (Exhibit J) to contental that the Applicant was made aware long before initiating the action of recovery that he was liable to refund to the Department substantial amount which he had received in excess.	
	7. On considering rival submissions I prima-facie find that Clause 1 & 2 of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of (2015) 4 SCC 334 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer) are attracted thus a common case is made out to grant interim relief as per Para 10 (a) of the O.A. Hence, the [PT.O.	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Order	
	Effect and implementation of the impugne order dated 02.11.2021 (Exhibit A) is stayed ti returnable date only.	
	8. Issue notice before admission returnable o 08.06.2022.	
	9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal a this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall no be issued.	
	10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve or Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dub authenticated by Registry, along with complete pape book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposa at the stage of admission hearing.	
	11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.	
	12. The service may be done by Hand delivery speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.	
	13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.	
	14. S.O. to 08.06.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	

+

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.424 of 2022 B.P. Salunkhe Applicant Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar with Shri Shrishail Sakhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Perused the contents of applic	ation.
	3. In the OA the applicant has impugned order dated 22.4.2022 (Exh.A) whereunder he has been placed under suspension. It is submitted by Ld. Advocate for the applicant that representation dated 2.5.2022 (Exh.Y) filed by the applicant is pending before respondents no.2 & 3 and if said representation is decided by returnable date the matter can be disposed of early. It is pointed out that prayer to that effect is incorporated in OA (page 24 clause b). Case is made out to direct respondents no.2 and 3 to decide representation dated 2.5.2022 filed by the applicant as early as possible. Hence, the order.	
	4. Respondents no.2 and 3 sha of the applicant dated 2.5.2022 as ea	Il decide representation rly as possible.
	5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.	
	6. Issue notice before adr 13.6.2022. The respondents are dire	nission returnable on octed to file reply.
	7. Applicant is authorized an Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along w of O.A. Private service is allowed COVID-19 Pandemic situation. H notice that the case would be taken the stage of admission hearing.	date of hearing duly ith complete paper book I in view of this present Respondents are put to

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 o the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
	Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar)
	Member (J) 5.5.2022

.

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders	
directions and Registrar's orders	M.A. No.201 of 2022 in O.A. N	No.26 of 2022
	A.K. Pawar & 18 Ors.	Applicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere with Ma learned Advocate for the Applicants an learned Presenting Officer for the Resp	pondents.
	statement that these vacancies are the the communication dated 27.4.2022 s OA bearing OA No.26 of 2022.	of Nasik City 13 posts e applicants makes a pre even as of today and specifically refers to this
	3. On the basis of contents of a it is submitted that respondent no.3 vacancies of Police Constable on the City as they are atleast till returnable submission. Hence, the order.	e establishment of Nasik
	<u>ORDER</u>	<u> </u>
	(i) 13 vacancies of Polic establishment of Nasik City shall date and till returnable date.	e Constable on the be kept as they are as on
	(ii) S.O. to 15.6.2022.	/ Sd/-
		M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 5.5.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

of 20

of 20

MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.262 of 20</u>	22
	S.A. Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents
	Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadeka the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikw Officer for the Respondents.	ad, fourier
	2. That so far ample opportunity respondents to file reply. One more file reply strictly as a last chance.	y has been given to the opportunity is given to
	3. S.O. to 7.6.2022.	t / / Sd/-
		1.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 5.5.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

of 20

MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Base Notes, Office Momoranda of Coran, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Tribunal's orders Still, Ayare Vs. Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.V. Bandiwadokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougele, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid. if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.6.2022 The respondents are directed to file reply. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve o Respondents itimation/notice of date of hearing dat authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this prese COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are part notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rul 1988, and the questions such as limitation and altern trencely are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spr post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registivit within one week. Applicant is directed to the affidavit compliance and notice. (MA.Lovekar)	FAILIN COL	1	
directions and Registrary orders 0.A. No.426 of 2022 S.H. Ayare Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.6.2022 The respondents are directed to file reply. 4. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve o Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boor of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this prese COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rul 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterni remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spe post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry of the set open. 7. Member (0) 5.5.2022	Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders	
 S.H. Ayare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.6.2022 The respondents are directed to file reply. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve o Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this prese COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternaremedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spp opst/courier and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regis within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. 	directions and Registrar's orders	<u>O.A. No.426 of 2</u>	
 Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.6.2022 The respondents are directed to file reply. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper box of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this preset COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Ruli 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterniremedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spe post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registivity in one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. 		S.H. Ayare	Applicant
 Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.6.2022 The respondents are directed to file reply. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this prese COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Ruli 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternaremedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spe post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registion on eveck. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. 		Vs	Respondents
 court-fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.6.2022 The respondents are directed to file reply. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve or Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this prese COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rul 1988, and the questions such as limitation and altern remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ sp post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regis within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. 		Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadel the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chou Officer for the Respondents.	guie, learnee
 The respondents are directed to the reply. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dual authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this prese COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rul 1988, and the questions such as limitation and altern remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ sp post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regisivithin one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. 		court-fees to be paid, if not already	paid.
 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dui authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper box of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this prese COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rul 1988, and the questions such as limitation and altern remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ sp post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regi within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. 		The respondents are directed to file	repry.
 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rul 1988, and the questions such as limitation and altern remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ sp post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regi within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar)		Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along of O.A. Private service is allow COVID-19 Pandemic situation. notice that the case would be tak the stage of admission hearing.	with complete paper boo ed in view of this prese Respondents are put ten up for final disposal
post/courier and acknowledgement be built produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regi within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavi compliance and notice. Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 5.5.2022		the Maharashtra Administrative 1988, and the questions such remedy are kept open.	as limitation and altern
Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 5.5.2022		post/courier and acknowledg produced along with affidavit of within one week. Applicant is	f compliance in the Regi directed to file affidavi
(M.A. Lovekar) - Member (J) 5.5.2022		compliance and man	
(sgj)		-	(M.A. Lovekar) - Member (J)
		(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.425 of 20</u>	022
	S.H. Ayare	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadeka the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chouge Officer for the Respondents.	ule, learned resenting
	2. The office objections, if any, court-fees to be paid, if not already pa	are to be removed and aid.
	3. Issue notice before admission The respondents are directed to file r	returnable on 7.6.2022.
	4. Applicant is authorized and Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along with of O.A. Private service is allowed COVID-19 Pandemic situation. He notice that the case would be taken the stage of admission hearing.	ith complete paper book in view of this present Respondents are put to
	5. This intimation/notice is on the Maharashtra Administrative Tr 1988, and the questions such as remedy are kept open.	(himal (Floccuure) reme»
	6. The service may be done post/courier and acknowledgen produced along with affidavit of c within one week. Applicant is d compliance and notice.	compliance in the Registry
	ŧ V	(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 5.5.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

<u>O.A. No.428 of 202</u>	<u>22</u>
N S. Lahamge	Applicant
Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learn Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule Officer for the Respondents.	ed Advocate for the e, learned Presenting
2. The office objections, if any, court-fees to be paid, if not already pa	are to be removed and id.
3. Issue notice before adm 14.6.2022. The respondents are direc	ission returnable on ted to file reply.
Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along with of O.A. Private service is allowed COVID-19 Pandemic situation. R notice that the case would be taken	th complete paper book in view of this present espondents are put to
1	nunal (Flocenare) reales,
post/courier and acknowledgem produced along with affidavit of co within one week. Applicant is di	ompliance in the Registry
	Sd/-
· v \	M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 5.5.2022
(sgj)	
	 N.S. Lahamge Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learn Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, court-fees to be paid, if not already paids. 3. Issue notice before administration of the respondents are directed. 4. Applicant is authorized and Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along with of O.A. Private service is allowed COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Risting of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is on the Maharashtra Administrative Tril 1988, and the questions such as remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done produced along with affidavit of con- within one week. Applicant is di- compliance and notice.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.412 of</u>	<u>2022</u>
	D.K. Narode	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned F Respondents.	Advocate for the Applicant Presenting Officers for the
	 It is pointed out by Ld. Advocate for the applicant that communication at Exhibit C, respondent no.4 has informed t applicant can be accommodated on the establishment respondent no.4. It is submitted that in case this vacancy is fil up the purpose behind filing this OA would be defeated. Respondent No.4 is directed not to fill up one vaca communicated by Exhibit C till the next date. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and coffees to be paid, if not already paid. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.6.2 The respondents are directed to file reply. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper box O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVI Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of adminimention. 	
	Maharashtra Administrative Tribi and the questions such as limitatio open.	
	8. The service may be do post/courier and acknowledgeme along with affidavit of compliar week. Applicant is directed to f notice.	one by hand delivery/ speed ent be obtained and produced nee in the Registry within one ile affidavit of compliance and
		Sd/-
		(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 5.5.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019) MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
directions and negistral o	M.A. No.22 of 2022 in O.A. N	0.33 of 2022
	P.P. Dhumal	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere holding for Ms. P.H. Hen learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The office objections, if any, a court-fees to be paid, if not already paid	are to be removed and id.
	3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnab 29.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.	
	4. Applicant is authorized and Respondents intimation/notice of authenticated by Registry, along wit of MA and O.A. Private service is present COVID-19 Pandemic situation to notice that the case would be taken the stage of admission hearing.	h complete paper book allowed in view of this on Respondents are pu
	5. This intimation/notice is ord the Maharashtra Administrative Trib 1988, and the questions such as remedy are kept open.	limitation and alternat
	6. The service may be done for post/courier and acknowledgemer produced along with affidavit of constitution one week. Applicant is discompliance and notice.	multiance in the Regist
	F ∨ (I	M.A. Lovekar) Member (J) 5.5.2022
	(sgj)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>M.A. No.271 of 2022 in O.A. No.417 of 2022</u>	
	Smt. Ranjana P. Gage Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	 Heard Ms. Vaidehi Deshmukh holding for SH Vinod Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant at Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for t Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed a court-fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable 27.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing d authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper be of MA and O.A. Private service is allowed in view of the present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposat the stage of admission hearing. 	
	5. This intimation/notice is ord the Maharashtra Administrative Trib 1988, and the questions such as b remedy are kept open.	unal (Procedure) Rules,
	6. The service may be done be post/courier and acknowledgement produced along with affidavit of con- within one week. Applicant is dire- compliance and notice.	npliance in the Registry
	compliance and notices	Sd/-
		1.Å. Lovekar) Member (J) 5.5.2022
	(sgj)	

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

Original Application No.	of 20	Dis	rrict Applicant/s
(Advocate)		F F
The Stat	vers	us rashtra and others	
	te of Mana	rashtra and others	Pagnondont/g
(Presenting Officer)	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	\$5.05.2	Tribunal's or 022	ders
		<u>O.A 1051/201</u>	.9
	Shri P.S	Mane Vs.	Applicant
	The Stat	e of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
		one for the applicant. e, learned P.O for the Resp	
	2. A	dmit.	
	3 . Р	lace for final hearing on 2.	8.2022.
	 Sc	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Sd/-
	(Medha (/ /	ridula Bhatkar, J.)
	Member Akn	r (A)	Chairperson
			[PTO.

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000–4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

	MUMDAI		
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTI	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The Stat	e of Maharashtra	and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's ord	lers
	0 5.05.2022		
	<u>M.A 2</u>	270/2022 in O.A 9	060/2021
	Shri S.S Ali Nusi Vs		Applicant
		arashtra & Ors	Respondents
		and Ms Swati	n, learned advocate Manchekar, learned
	Department is o		te the review of the
	3. S.O to 14	.6.2022.	
	l Sd/-	Ņ.	Sd/
	Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mr	idula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn		
			[<i>P.T.O</i> .

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 05.05.2022
	C.A.No.2 of 2022 in O.A.No.1047 of 2016
	P.P. BirajdarApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere & Asso, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Adjourned to 07.06.2022. Last chance granted to learned P.O. for filing affidavit-in-reply.
	Sd/
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson
	prk

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u> 05.05.2022</u>		
	<u>0.A 46/2022</u>		
	Shri Vijaysing J. Chavan Applicant Vs.		
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents		
	Heard Shri V.R Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.		
	2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.6.2022.		
	8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.		
	4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.		
	5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.		
	5. S.O to 28.6.2022. Sd/- Sd/		
	Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson		
	[P.T.O.		

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

Original Application No.	of 20	District Appl	icant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The Stat	e of Maharashtra	a and others	
		Respor	ndent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	05.05.2022		
	<u>M.</u>	<u>A 131/2022 in O.A 46/2022</u>	
	Shri Vijaysing	J. Chavan Applicant Vs.	
		vs. Iaharashtra & Ors Respondents	
	. Heard applicant and Respondents.	Shri V.R Patil, learned advocate for Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for	the the
	there is a d Application of	d counsel for the applicant states lelay of 18 days in filing the Orig due to Covid-19 Pandemic and e supplied to the applicant late.	ginal
		ed P.O opposes the Misc Application of delay.	ation
	condone the	lering the short duration of the delay Misc Application seeking condonatio the Original Application is allowed.	r, we m of
	 Sd/-	Sd/-	2
	(Medha Gadgi Member (A)	il) (Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson	J.)
			1 10 17 12
			[<i>P.T.O</i> .

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
0 11			Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	0 5.05.2022 Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A Nos 431 & 432/2022</u>
	T.P Sonawane T.R Shete Applicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate or the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.6.2022.
	3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are but to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
	б. S.O to 16.6.2022.
	Sd/- / Sd/-
	Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson
	Akn

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The	e State of Maharasht	ra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders		Tribunal's orders	

directions and Registrar's orders O.A 423/2022 Mr Sushil R. Waydande Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents I. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate fo the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.4 or the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable o 6.6.2022. 3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve o Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete pape book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of thi present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents ar but to notice that the case may be taken up for fine disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 1 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation an alternate remedy are kept open. 5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spee bost/courier and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in th Registry within one week before returnable date or o the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 5. S.O to 6.6.2022. 8d/- Meedha Badgil/ Member (A)	Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders		
Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.G for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 0.6.2022. 3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete pape pook of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents at put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 1 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation an alternate remedy are kept open. 5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spee post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in th Registry within one week before returnable date or othe same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 6. S.O to 6.6.2022. Sd/- Sd/- Medha stagitl/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) (Drairperson	directions and Registrar's orders).A 423/2022	
 Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.G for the Respondents. Issue notice before admission returnable of 6.6.2022. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete papebook of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for fine disposal at the stage of admission hearing. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 1 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation an alternate remedy are kept open. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spee post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or othe same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice. S.O to 6.6.2022. Sd/- Medha stagilf/ Member (A) 		Vs.		
 Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete papebook of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are but to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 1 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation an alternate remedy are kept open. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speepost/courier and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on he same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. S. O to 6.6.2022. 		1. Heard Shri K he applicant and M	X.R Jagdale, learned advocate	
Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dul authenticated by Registry, along with complete pape book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. A. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 1 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation an alternate remedy are kept open. 5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spee post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in th Registry within one week before returnable date or o the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 6. S.O to 6.6.2022. Sd/- Medha Gadgil/ Member (A) Medha Gadgil/			before admission returnable	
of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation an alternate remedy are kept open. 5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spee bost/courier and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in th Registry within one week before returnable date or o the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 6. S.O to 6.6.2022. Sd/- Medha Gadgil/ Member (A) Sd/- Chairperson		Respondents intimat authenticated by Re pook of O.A. Private present COVID-19 Pa put to notice that th	ion/notice of date of hearing du gistry, along with complete pap e service is allowed in view of the andemic situation. Respondents a ne case may be taken up for fin	
bost/courier and acknowledgement be obtained an produced along with affidavit of compliance in th Registry within one week before returnable date or o the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit o compliance and notice. b. S.O to 6.6.2022. Sd/- Medha badgil/ Member (A) Sd/- Chairperson		of the Maharashtra A Rules, 1988, and the	Administrative Tribunal (Procedu e questions such as limitation a	
Sd/- Medha Gadgil/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson		post/courier and ac produced along wit Registry within one the same date. App	cknowledgement be obtained a h affidavit of compliance in t week before returnable date or licant is directed to file affidavit	
Sd/- Medha Gadgil (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson		6. S.O to 6.6.202	22.	
Member (A) Chairperson		 Sd/-	Sd/-	
Akn		Member (A)		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>05.05.2022</u>		
	<u>(</u>	D.A 421/2022	
	Smt Swapnal S. Jopa	le Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharasi		
	1. Heard Shri A. applicant and Ms Ar- Respondents.	A Desai, learned advocate for the chana B.K, learned P.O for the	
	2. Learned couns the impugned show passed by Responden	sel for the applicant seeks stay to cause notice dated 19.4.2022 t no. 2.	
	of service of notice on	sel for the applicant produces copy the Respondent no. 1, P.W.D and ed C.P.O. Same is taken on record A'.	
	4. Learned P.O r wherein the practice discontinued.	refers to the G.R dated 7.5.1999 of giving Couple Certificate was	
	appointed on 19.11.1 was subsequently iss	ne fact that the applicant was 996 and the G.R dated 7.5.1999 sued, we grant interim relief as ant by way of stay to the show	
	6. The impugned stayed till the admission	order dated 19.4.2022 is hereby on of the Original Application.	
	7. Issue notice 1 28.6.2022.	before admission returnable on	
	Respondents intimatic authenticated by Regi book of O.A. Private present COVID-19 Pan	thorized and directed to serve on on/notice of date of hearing duly istry, along with complete paper service is allowed in view of this idemic situation. Respondents are a case may be taken up for final f admission hearing.	
	of the Maharashtra Ad	/notice is ordered under Rule 11 lministrative Tribunal (Procedure) questions such as limitation and ept open.	
	post/courier and ack produced along with Registry within one we	be done by hand delivery/ speed nowledgement be obtained and affidavic of compliance in the eek before returnable date or on cant is directed to file affidavit of	
	11. S.O to 28.6.202	2. , ,	
	Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	05.05.2022 Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A 393, 394 & 395/2013</u>
	K.R Shelke D.S Salve R.V Bansode Applicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.S Kamble, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned counsel for the applicants produces copy of order dated 28.5.2021, issued by Public Health Department, whereby Dr. K.R Shelke and Dr D.S Salve are promoted.
	3. Matter stands adjourned to 6.6.2022.
	Sd/- Sd/- Z
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson
	Akn

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 05.05.2022 O.A.No.364 of 2021 R.G. Kadam & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 16.06.2022 at 2.30 p.m. (Part Heard). Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) Member(A) Member(A)

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

directions and Registrar's orders	-
Date: 05.05.2022 Date: 05.05.2023 A.Mo.483 of 2021 A.S. Murde Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent 1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, lear Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaika learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents produces 2. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents produces Superintendent Engineer, Pune, Irrigation C Stating that the Applicant should be given all pensionary benefits immediately. Taken on re and marked as Exhibit-A. 3. Adjourned to 15.06.2022. Sd/- Sd/- Medhal/Gadg11 / (Mridula Bhatka: Chairperson mt State	ed ad, ces the rcle his cord

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

Original Application No. of	20	Applicant/s
Advocate)	
	versus	
The State of	of Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	T	nal's orders
	<u>0.A</u> 2	204/2022
	Shri T.S Bitake Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra	
	applicant and Ms Swati the Respondents.	Dere, learned advocate for the Manchekar, learned C.P.O for
	2. Learned C.P.O se relief granted by this Tri	eeks modification of the interim bunal by order dated 7.3.2022.
	the persons who have has been held up becau on 7.3.2022.	states that appointments of all secured less than 141 marks use of the interim relief granted
	and it is antont th	eeks modification of the interim nat one post Police Constable, ot vacant till the final disposal of n.
	5. Learned counse the modification of the	el for the applicant opposes to interim relief granted earlier.
	by this Tribunal on Superintendent of Polic	above the interim relief granted 7.3.2022 is modified and the ce, Railways is hereby directed to ice Constable, Railways, in the t till the final disposal of the
	7. S.O to 8.6.202	2
	 Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		[<i>PT</i> .

.

DISTRICT

.

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

DISTRICT

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DIST	RICT Applicant/s
			rippiloana
(Advocate)		
(Advocate			
	versus		
The	State of Maharash	ntra and others	
			Respondent/s
)	
(Presenting Officer			
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders o directions and Registrar's orde	or ers	Tribunal's or	rders
	05.05.20	22	
		<u>0.A 183/20</u>	022
	Smt J.G	Tatkare & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The Stat	e of Maharashtra & Ors	s Respondents
	the appl	leard Shri R.R Asawa icants and Ms Swati M Respondents.	a, learned advocate for anchekar, learned C.P.O
	2. I reply is	earned counsel for the to be filed by G.A.D.	e applicant submits that
	3.	S.O to 24.6.2022.	
			Sd/-
	Sc	•	
	(Medha Memb	(Gadgil) er (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn		
			[P.T.O.
	1		

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000–4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of	20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The State	of Maharashtra and o	others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	05.05.2022		
		<u>O.A 83/2022</u>	
	Dr P.L Patil		Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maha	rashtra & Ors	Respondents
		i B.A Bandiw applicant and M	vadekar, learned Mr A.J Chougule,
		.0 is granted time	e to file affidavit in
	3. S.O to 6.7	.2022.	64/ '
	Sd/-)	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn	/ (Mr	idula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	Distric	т Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
T	he State of Maharashtra	and others	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda o Appearance, Tribunal's orde directions and Registrar's o	rsor	Tribunal's orde	rs
		<u>0.A 952 & 953/2</u>	2021
	M.P Repe N.P Gawade		Applicants
		Vs. Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1 Heat	d Shri S.K Hande, leand Smt K.S Gaikwad	arned advocate for the , learned P.O for
	2. Adm		
	3. Plac	e for hearing on 4.7.2	022. Sd/-
	Sd/-	/	
	(Medha Ga Member (Akn	······································	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙΝ

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's	s orders
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Date : 05.05.2022 O.A.No.3 S.K. Hankare & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtr 1. Heard Ms. P Advocate for the Applic learned Presenting Office 2. Learned Advoca interim relief by way of Class-IV vacant as the barred as they have crow issuance of G.R. dated that the select list for th prepared for the years 2 3. Learned P.O. for grant of interim relief	385 of 2022 Applicants ra & OrsRespondents. Punam Mahajan, learned ant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, er for the Respondents. ate for the Respondents. ate for the Applicant seeks keeping 10 posts of Kotwal, applicants have become age ssed 45 years of age after the 06.02.2019. She points out he promotions have not been
	4. Considering th relief to the extent that	tese facts we grant interim 10 posts of Kotwal should be the outcome of the O.A.
	5. Hamdast. Adjo Sd/-	ourned to 15.06.2022. Sd/-
	(Medha Gadyil) Member(A)	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	prk	
		[<i>P.T.O.</i>

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Driginal Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
Advocate)		
	versus		
т	The State of Maharashtra	and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda Appearance, Tribunal's orde directions and Registrar's o	ers or	Tribunal's order	s
	03.00.2022		
		O.A 1057/2019	2
	R.H Nikumbl		Applicant
	The State of	Vs. Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
		d Shri M.D Lonkar, I at and Smt K.S Gaiky	learned advocate for wad, learned P.O for
		ned P.O states the rep	ly will be filed during
	3. S.O	to 30.6.2022.	Sd/-
	Sd/-	<i>.</i>	
	(Medha Ga Member (A Akn		Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 05.05.2022 M.A.No.275 of 2022 in O.A.No.386 of 2022 D. D. Satpute
	R.P. Satpute Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. M.A. is filed seeking directions to the Respondents to take decision regarding the deemed date of her representations dated 01.07.2016 to 06.04.2022.
	3. Learned P.O. submits to the orders of this Tribunal.
	4. Respondents are directed to take decision on the deemed date within a period of one month from the date of this order.
	5. Hamdast. M.A. is allowed. O.A. adjourned to 06.06.2022. Sd/- Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson
	prk
	IPTO.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 05.05.2022 M.A.No.249 of 2022 in O.A.No.1043 of 2019 with	
	M.A.No.249 of 2022 in O.A.No.10 to of 202 O.A.No.1043 of 2019	
	S.V. LendaveApplicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate	
	holding for Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate	
	for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned	
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. M.A. is filed seeking early hearing of O.A. as there is short issue involved in the matter.	
	3. M.A. is allowed.	
	4. Learned Advocate submits that she has filed this O.A. in view that she is superseded to be appointed to the post of Warden, wherein her juniors were promoted by order dated 09.01.2019.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5. As per the rules of promotion of Warden she was required to possess the Post Graduate Degree in Social Welfare Association or Tribal Welfare Association. The Applicant acquired the said qualification of MSW in May 2009. However in the DPC meeting of 17.12.2018 she was superseded as she acquired the said qualification after her juniors were recruited.	
	6. Learned Advocate produces the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of R.B. Desai and Anr Versus S.K. Khanolker & Ors. reported in (1999) 7 SCC 54 wherein it is held that,	
	[PTO.	

office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	consideration for concerned have unless the ru advantage to eligibility, the dat over the date of consideration doe to the candidate and, in our opinio seniority has its and until the ru	pinion that if at the time of promotion the candidates acquired the eligibility, then le specifically gives an a candidate with earlier te of seniority should prevail f eligibility. The rule under es not give any such priority s acquiring earlier eligibility on, rightly so. In service law, own weightage and unless les specifically exclude this niority, it is not open to the pre the same."
	7. Learned Advoca	te further states that the
	case of the Applicant is	covered by the judgment of
	the Hon'ble Supreme (Court in R.B. Desai's case
	(supra) . Hence, she she	ould be granted seniority as
	per the seniority list date	ed 03.08.2018.
	8. Learned P.O. for	the Respondents opposes to
	the submissions of learr	ned Advocate.
	Court in R.B. Desai's o to the Applicant as pray of this O.A. There supersession of the 09.01.2019 is not justi the Respondents to o promotion for the post	dgment of Hon'ble Supreme case (supra), we grant relief yed for in prayer clause 9(a) fore we declare that the Applicant by order dated fied and therefore we direct consider the Applicant for of Warden and grant her all penefits within the period of e of this order.
	10. O.A. stands disp	osed of.
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	prk	
1		

Date : 05.05.2022

O.A.No.497 of 2021

Tribunal's orders

V. M. Chandan

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 15.07.2021 whereby he is transferred from Mundwa Police Station to Special Branch within Police Commissionerate, Pune City.

3. The Applicant is serving in the cadre of API. He was posted at Mundwa on promotion by order dated 25.11.2019. However, on default report, he is transferred before completion of his normal tenure and posted in Special Branch as per the decision of the PEB.

4. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that O.A. be disposed of with liberty to Applicant to make representation to Commissioner of Police, Pune for transfer from Special Branch.

5. In view of above, the Original Application is disposed of with liberty to Applicant to make representation to Commissioner of Police, Pune who shall decide it on it's own merit at the time of general transfer.

6. The representation be made within a week.

7. No order as to costs.

1 Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

Date : 05.2022

O.A.No.921 of 2021

Tribunal's orders

R. P. Mhaskar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is noticed that short Affidavit is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.2 – Educational Commissioner, Pune only and no reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 3. The impugned order is passed by the Respondent No.3- Chief Executive Officer, ZP, Nagpur who is served but none appeared on behalf of the ZP.

3. In present matter, the issue of maintainability of O.A. without preferring an appeal as well as competency of the Chief Executive Officer, ZP to pass the order of punishment is involved. According to learned Counsel for the Applicant, the competent authority is Government since the Applicant is Class-I Government officer.

4. Therefore, reply of Respondent No.1 – Government as well as detailed reply of Respondent No.2 – Education Commissioner is required to decide the issue of jurisdiction.

5. On request of learned P.O. last chance is granted to file the reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 and 2.

S.O. to 15.06.2022.

A. Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

6.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	05 Date: 04.05.2022	
	O.A.No.935 of 2021	
	R. A. LokhandeApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Der learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougu learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. This O.A. is filed for appointment on compassiona	
	ground challenging the order dated 29.12.2020 whereby claim is rejected on the ground that the deceased had	
	child born after cut-off date i.e. 31.12.2001 in terms of G.	
	dated 28.03.2001.	
	3. In O.A., the Applicant states that his father w	
	infected with Covid-19 and suddenly died due to heart atta	
	and pneumonia. The Applicant, however, has not produce	
	medical papers to show the cause of death. If it is really	
	death due to Covid-19, in that event, the Government ca	
	consider the issue of relaxation of G.R. dated 28.03.2001.	
	4. The deceased Government servant died leaving	
	behind the widow and two daughters and one son. There	
	no earning member in the family since the son is taking	
	education and daughters are also unmarried. Therefore, it	
	necessary to find out whether the deceased Government	
	servant who was Room Boy died due to Covid-19 infection.	
	5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant requested for gran	
	of time to produce medical certificates of the decea	
	Government servant.	
	6. Time as prayed for is granted.	
	7. S.O. to 14.06.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar)	
	Member(J)	

+

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

MUMBAI					
Original Application No.	of 20	District Applicant/s			
(Advocate)				
	ve	rsus			
The S		arashtra and others			
		Respondent/s			
(Presenting Officer)			
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Con Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders			
		ల్ e: 9 4.05.2022			
		O.A.No.269 of 2021			
	P. C	6. PatilApplicant			
		sus			
	The	State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.			
		The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. hana B. K., Presenting Officer for the Respondents is sent.			
	2.	The matter is adjourned to give one opportunity.			
	3.	S.O. to 16.06.2022.			
		Sd/-			
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)			
	vsm				

-

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI						
Original Application No.	of 20	DIST	піст Applicant/s			
(Advocate)					
	vers	sus				
TI	ne State of Maha	rashtra and others				
			Respondent/s			
(Presenting Officer)				
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	sor	Tribunal's ord	lers			
	Date	: 05.05.2022				
	5.6	O. A. No.798				
		Kamble	Applicant			
	Versu		-			
	ine s	State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.			
		Heard Shri A. A. Jadhavar ed Counsel for the Applica ed Presenting Officer for the				
	2.	Learned Counsel for the	Applicant sought time to			
	produ	uce certain documents and re	equested for grant of time.			
	3.	S.O to 14.06.2022.				
			Sd/-			
	vsm		P. Kurhekar) Member(J)			