

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>5/5/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>            (Vice-Chairman)            Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b>            Shri <del>Smt.</del> <u>G.A. Bandiwadekar</u>            Advocate for the Applicant            Shri <del>Smt.</del> <u>A.J. Chougule</u>            C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><del>Adv. To</del> <u>s.o. to 9/6/16</u></p>	<p><b><u>M.A.158/16 in O.A.1520/2009 with M.A.159/16 in O.A.245/2010</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.A. Pagar &amp; Shri B.N. Gavande</b> ... Applicants  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned P.O. Shri Chougule seeks an adjournment for Affidavit-in-reply. Mr. Bandiwadekar objects and points out that a number of adjournments have been given. Objection considered. These two MAs are now adjourned for arguments making it clear that on the next date, when the MAs are called out for arguments, if the Affidavits-in-reply are tendered to <sup>with</sup> <del>which</del> a copy having been given to the learned Advocate for the Applicants at least two days in advance, the Affidavits-in-reply will be taken on record and then the arguments will be heard <del>but</del> <sup>and</sup> no further adjournment will be granted for reply.</p> <p>S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> June, 2016.</p> <p>Sd/-            (R.B. Malik)            Member (J)            05.05.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>5/5/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b>  Shri/Shri <u>N.P. Potbhare</u>  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Shri <u>A.T. Chougale</u>  C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 10/6/16</u></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.734 of 2014</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri D.D. Meshram</b> .... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.T. Chougale, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned P.O's request for time is granted. It is made clear that this being a matter involving the provisions of Disabilities Act, the Respondents will have to move with due dispatch. The OA is set down for Affidavit-in-reply to 10<sup>th</sup> June, 2016. It is also made clear that a copy of the Affidavit-in-reply must be furnished to the learned Advocate for the Applicant latest by 8<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 and it is possible that on that day itself, the OA shall be finally heard.</p> <p align="center">S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> June, 2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  05.05.2016</p> <p align="left">(skw)</p>

2

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

(Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.987/2015**

**Shri K.B. Waghela** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Applicant and Advocate absent. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

On 6<sup>th</sup> April, 2016, the OA was finally adjourned for Rejoinder. The Rejoinder has not been filed. The OA is formally admitted. It be placed before the appropriate Single Bench for hearing / dismissal on 10<sup>th</sup> June. 2016.

DATE: 5/5/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL~~  
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate absent.~~  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

O.A. is formally Admitted.

S.O. to 10/6/16.  
before appropriate single Bench for hearing/dismissal.

*[Signature]*

Sd/-

*[Signature]*  
(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
05.05.2016

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.68/2016**

**Shri P.N. Chinchghare ... Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri R.K. Mendadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Not on Board. Taken on Board.

Heard. It is clear that on 11.4.2016 when the Board was discharged, the matter stood adjourned to 7.5.2016 which is a non-working day. Therefore, the matter stands now adjourned to 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 and it is directed that the interim relief granted by the Single Bench presided over by me on 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2016 shall continue till then.

S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2016. Hamdast.

**DATE:** 5/5/16

**CORAM:**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~  
~~(Vice Chairman)~~  
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. R.K. Mendadkar  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

~~CAO/P.O. for the Respondents~~  
~~holding for K.S. Gaikwad.~~  
P.O.

Adj. To S.O. to 14/6/16.

Hamdast:

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
05.05.2016

(skw)

F4  
*[Signature]*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.828/2015**

**Shri K.B. Majajan & Ors. ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Applicants and Advocate absent.  
Heard Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Shri Chougule, the learned P.O. makes a grievance that the copy of the OA from pages 33 to 48 have not been served on the Respondents by the Applicants. The Applicant must comply and in the event of non-compliance, the OA shall be appointed for dismissal. For the present, adjourned to 8<sup>th</sup> June, 2016.

Sd/-  
✓ OJ  
(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
05.05.2016

(skw)

DATE: 5/5/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

~~Applicant and  
Advocate absent.~~  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougule  
C.P.O. for the Respondents

~~Adj. To~~ s.o to 8/6/16

2

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.344 of 2016**

**Shri V.M. Honde**

**... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & ors.**

**... Respondents**

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit-in-reply. The Respondents have been served.

S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2015. Learned P.O do waive service.

*2016 (H) 5-5-16*

**DATE:** 5/5/16

**CGRAM:**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~  
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

CAO/P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. to 13/6/16

*For Reply*

*[Signature]*

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
05.05.2016

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.344/2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri V.M. Honde</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p><u>Later on :</u></p> <p>Mentioned again by Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Smt. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. is present.</p> <p>Shri Bandiwadekar invites attention to my order of 18.4.2016 when last chance was granted for reply. This aspect of the matter was apparently not brought to my notice earlier in the day when the OA stood adjourned to 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2016. The learned P.O. requests for one more date i.e. 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 for Affidavit-in-reply. None is present from the Respondents office. On this request of the learned P.O, the matter remains adjourned as before for reply as a last chance.</p> <p>Another aspect of the matter is <sup>just</sup> of Shri Bandiwadekar informs that the copy of the OA was sent by Courier to the Respondent No.1 after personal service was declined by the said Respondent No.1. But that packet has also come back with the endorsement of, "Not accepted". On the face of it, it appears that it is a serious matter. I shall consider taking an appropriate action on the next date, but in the meanwhile, the learned P.O. is requested to make sure that the said authority should be informed not to decline Court/Tribunal processes. She undertakes to do so by tomorrow.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Thereafter, the Applicant may visit the  
office of the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent on or after 9<sup>th</sup>  
May, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
05.05.2016

(skw)

**Later on :**

Mentioned by Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the  
learned P.O. Shri Bandiwadekar, the learned  
Advocate apparently is not available. The  
learned P.O. informs that she had a  
telephonic talk with Mr. Sukte, Office  
Superintendent in the office of Divisional  
Joint Director of Agriculture, Nashik. The  
said Office had received a copy of the OA  
about 2/3 days ago. This statement is  
recorded and will be taken into consideration.

DATE: 5/5/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL~~

~~(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Sd/- G. A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Sd/- K. S. Gaikwad

CPO/P.O. for the Respondents

Atty. To 13/6/16

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
05.05.2016

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>O.A.414 &amp; 415 of 2015</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.M. Chikhalikar &amp; 1 Anr.... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Shri Deshmukh and Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocates for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 7.6.2016.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> June, 2016. Learned P.O do waive service.</p> <p>It is made clear that the pendency of these OAs should not be taken as a ground to prevent the Applicants from filing additional</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

DATE: 5/5/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL~~  
(~~Chief Chairman~~)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri U.P. Deshmukh  
with J.P. Potbhare (u.p. filed)  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.P. Blise

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~  
order passed on the

~~Adj. To Tribunal's~~  
~~codebook.~~

S.O. to 7/6/16.

*[Signature]*

replies to the charge-sheet. It is also made clear that the mere pendency of these OAs by themselves will be no ground to stay the D.E. However, if a request is made in this regard, the concerned authorities including the Enquiry Officer will be free to take his own decision in this behalf as per law.

Sd/-

~~(R.B. Malik)~~ 05.05.16  
Member (J)  
05.05.2016

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.405 OF 2016**

**DISTRICT : THANE**

Smt. Preeti H. Wig.

**)...Applicant**

**Versus**

1. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

**)...Respondents**

**Shri M.D. Lonkar, Advocate for Applicant.**

**Ms. N.G. Gohad, Presenting Officer for Respondents.**

**P.C. : R.B. MALIK (MEMBER-JUDICIAL)**

**DATE : 05.05.2016**

**ORDER**

1. The matter has been placed before me for consideration of urgent relief. The Applicant has been placed under suspension against which the order, she has preferred an appeal to His Excellency Hon'ble Governor of Maharashtra on 25<sup>th</sup> April, 2016. The learned P.O. raised the issue of entertainment of this OA without waiting for



the outcome of the appeal. Even as it will be open to the Respondents to pursue this issue if according to them it arises, but the connotation of the word, "ordinarily" in Section 20 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, in my view, does not place a total bar on entertainment of such an OA in the circumstances like the present one. No doubt, the manner of exercise of jurisdiction is equally important as is the existence of the jurisdiction itself. But as of today, regard being had to the moment and seriousness of the matter including the fact that the Applicant who has been working as Matron has been placed under suspension, the consideration of her request at least cannot be needlessly postponed.

2. Shri Avinash Patil, an Administrative Officer of the Respondent No.2 is present. Mr. Lonkar, the learned Advocate made a submission about him which I need not place on record as of today. But the fact remains that the order of suspension dated 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 was made by the Respondent No.1 and there is no record from them and in fact none is present on their behalf. After some debate at the Bar, I think a fair via media is suggested on behalf of the Applicant and I request the learned P.O. to make sure that the appropriate authority from the Respondent No.1 should produce the record leading upto the order of



suspension of the Applicant. In the 1<sup>st</sup> half on 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2016, the learned Advocate for the Applicant be given the inspection thereof and the matter be placed for further consideration in the afternoon session on 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2016. A document styled as short note submitted on behalf of the Respondent No.2 is placed on record with a direction that a copy thereof is placed on record with a direction that a copy thereof be furnished to Mr. Lonkar. The learned P.O. reiterates her point with regard to the pending appeal. That aspect of the matter has already been dealt with hereinabove. The OA stands adjourned to 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 as set out hereinabove.

3. Issue notice returnable on 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2016.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'G. M.', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2016. Learned P.O. do waive service. Hamdast.

Sd/-  
**(R.B. Malik)**  
**Member-J**  
**05.05.2016**

Mumbai  
Date : 05.05.2016  
Dictation taken by :  
S.K. Wamanse.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>5/5/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> (DIB) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri H. K. Kulkarni (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar SPL.</u> C.P.O./E.O. for the Respondent's Counsel</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>Heard</u> <u>order passed in</u> <u>Tribunal Column</u> <u>5020 9/6/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p><b>Date : 5.05.2016.</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.04 of 2016 in O.A.No.260 of 2012</b></p> <p><b>Shri S.K. Pawar</b> ...Applicant</p> <p><b>Vs.</b></p> <p><b>Shri Sunil Porwal &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Special Counsel has tendered copy of order passed in Writ Petition No.4596 of 2016.</p> <p>3. It is seen that on the statement of learned Government pleader that the time limit fixed for taking action in paragraph No. 34 of the order passed in O.A. 987 of 2010 with O.A. 260 of 2012 stands enlarged to 31 May 2016, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has made a statement that he shall not press for the Contempt Application until 31.05.2016.</p> <p>4. In view of this development, hearing of C.A. is adjourned to 9.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 5.05.2016.**

**C.A.No.15 of 2016 in O.A.No.892 of 2014**

**Shri G.D. Salunkhe ...Applicant**

**Vs.**

**Shri Atul Patil, The Deputy Commissioner of Police & Ors. ...Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-

The Writ Petition has been filed challenging the order passed in O.A. before the Hon'ble High Court, and the W.P. is expected to come up for hearing on 6.6.2016.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants copy of Writ Petition. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that copy of the W.P. shall be served on the Advocate for the Applicant.

4. In view of the statement, adjourned to 24.08.2016 with liberty to circulate before due date if occasion arises.

DATE: 5/5/16

CORAM: (A.B.)

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikunra (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: Heard

order passed in Tribunal column  
24/8/16

*[Signature]*

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi/J.J.)  
Chairman



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others  
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>5/5/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>Heard</u> <u>order passed in</u> <u>Tribunal Column</u> <u>ad no 1018/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p><b>Date : 5.05.2016.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.No.911 of 2015</u></b></p> <p>Mr. H. M. Chikhale &amp; Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule; the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants shri K.R. Jagdale prays for time.</li> <li>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</li> <li>4. Adjourned to 10.08.2016.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others ..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>5/5/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> (01B) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>admit</u> <u>due course</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>e</u></p>	<p><b>Date : 5.05.2016.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.229 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>Mr. R.S. Bhapkar</b> ...Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Vs.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents No.3 and 4. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Admit. The O.A. shall come up for hearing in due course.</li> <li>4. Rejoinder may be filed only if it is imperative.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>5/5/16.</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> (A/B) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE :</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>Admit.</u> <u>due course.</u></p>	<p><b>Date : 5.05.2016.</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A.No.310 of 2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.D. Solanke ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The Additional Director &amp; Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. This O.A. shall come up for hearing in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi/J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 31/5/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) <del>Hon'ble Shri M. K. ... (Member) A</del></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri M. D. Lonkar Advocate for Applicant Shri S. N. K. Rajpurohit C.P.O. C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: Head order passed in Tribunal column S.O to 28/6/16.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 05.05.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.314 of 2016</p> <p>R.5. Patil .... Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors .... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has tendered reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri M.D. Lonkar prays for time to consider the contents of reply and file rejoinder, only if it is imperative.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others  
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 5.05.2016.

O.A.No.320 of 2016

Dr. K.S. Umale & Ors ...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondens states as follows:-

That the Respondent No.2 is examining the matter as to whether the issue involved in this case is covered by the decision of this Hon'ble Tribunal.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time to find out as to whether the reply is necessary.

4. Adjourned to 25.07.2016.

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

sba

DATE: 25/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramashankar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri J.S. Deshmukh,

Advocate Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: Heard

order passed in

Tribunal column

adj to 25/7/16.

*[Signature]*

MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

District

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>5/5/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>J.S. Deshmukh</u>, Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: <u>Head</u></p> <p>Order passed in Tribunal column n/o disposed off accordingly.</p>	<p>Date : 5.05.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.162 of 2016 in O.A.No.320 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. K.S. Umale &amp; Ors ...Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. The cause pursued by the Applicants in the O.A. is common and cocurrent.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) (Chairman)</p> <p>sba</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District  
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>5/5/16</u> (O/A)</p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri Lt. Rameshkumar (Member) A-</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>m. D. Bedekar for S.S. Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. : <u>A.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>4/8/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"></p>	<p>Date : 5.05.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.596 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri R.D. Sonawale ...Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri S.S. Dere prays for time.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi (J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>5/5/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri. Smt. <u>M.B. Kadam for S.S. Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./C.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>28/6/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 05.05.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.361 of 2016</p> <p>B.R. Pawar ..... Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ..... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard, Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents Shri N.K. Rajpurohit has tendered reply. It is take on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 28.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) / Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>5/5/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Kamesh Kumar (Member) A.</p> <p><b>APPEARANCES:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>M. B. Reddy for S.S. Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To. : <u>28/6/16.</u></p>	<p><b>Date : 05.05.2016.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.360 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>P.5. Misale</b> ..... Applicant.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors</b> ..... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard, Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. N.G. Gohad has tendered reply. It is take on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 28.06.2016.</p>

prk

Sd/-  
A.H. Joshi, J  
Chairman

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District, \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>5/5/16</u> (DIB)</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Stat. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Mrs. Borade for the Resd's -</u> Adj. To <u>Head</u> <u>order passed by Tribunal column.</u> <u>dt 20 24/8/16.</u></p>	<p><b>Date : 5.05.2016.</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.109 of 2015 in O.A.No.353 of 2014</b></p> <p><b>Dr. K.S. Ramamurthy</b> ...Applicant</p> <p><b>Vs.</b></p> <p><b>Smt. Sujata Saunik &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mrs. Borade, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mrs. Borad for the Respondent No.5 states as follows:-</p> <p>That the Writ Petition filed by Kalyan Dombivali Municipal Corporation before the Hon'ble High Court did not reach hearing when it was on yesterday's board.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mrs. Borade and learned P.O. for the Respondents pray for time.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 22.08.2016 with liberty to circulate before due date if occasion arises.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>Sd/-</b> <b>(A.H. JOSHI, J.)</b> Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 05.05.2016.

O.A.No.275 of 2016

R.S. Katkar ..... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ..... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad states as follows :-

- (a) Para-wise comments are received.
- (b) Four weeks time may be granted for preparing and filing of affidavit-in-reply.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 28.06.2016.

DATE: 5/5/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 28/6/16.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

prk

Date : 05.05.2016.

O.A.No.30 of 2016

D.E. Shinde

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

.... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Respondent No.2, Shri G.T. Birajdar who is present in person.

2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 is on leave.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar states that affidavit-in-reply filed by State on 11.04.2016 was received by him today because he was on leave due to the reason of his son's marriage. Therefore, he prays for short adjournment.

4. Respondent No.2 who is present in person states that due to pendency of O.A. the Government has not given him any posting, and prays for urgent hearing on interim relief or of the O.A. itself.

5. In view that only two working days including today are available before vacation, hearing of this O.A. is not possible. Hence hearing of this O.A. is adjourned to 14.07.2016.

6. However, it is clarified that if the State government decides, shall be free to give regular or transit posting to the Respondent No.2.

7. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad is granted steno copy and hamdast to communicate this order to the Respondents.

8. S.O. to 14.07.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

DATE: 5/5/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri. Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Respondent no. 2 present in person

Adj. To: Heard

order passed in  
Tribunal Column.  
S.O. 14/7/16.  
Hamdast ad  
steno copy allowed.

prk

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>5/5/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><del>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshchandar (Member) A</del></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>18/7/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>e</u></p>	<p>Date : 05.05.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.187 of 2016</p> <p>V.S. Nawale ..... Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ..... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 and 4.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad prays for six weeks time for filing reply. "</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.07.2016. <span style="float: right;"><u>J</u></span></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi, J.)</u> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>