

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

MISC APPLICATION NO. 475/2019 IN O.A 925/2017

With

MIS APPLICATION NO. 569/2019 IN O.A 925/2017

DISTRICT : PUNE

Shri D.K Gunjal)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Ms Neha Bhide with Shri C.T Chandratre & Shri M.B Gawade,
learned advocate for the applicant.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for Respondents 1 to 6 and
84.

Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondents no 7 to 10, 13,
15, 16, 27, 29 to 31, 40, 47, 52, 53 and 84-A.

Shri G.B Solanke, learned counsel for Respondents no. 11, 14, 17,
32, 33, 36, 38, 41 & 42.

Shri S.J Pawar, learned counsel for Respondents no 18, 20, 35, 37,
43, 49 & 51.

CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)

Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)

DATE : 05.04.2022

PER : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)

ORDER


1. Heard Ms Neha Bhide with Shri C.T Chandratre & Shri M.B
Gawade, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar,
learned C.P.O for Respondents 1 to 6 and 84, Shri M.D Lonkar,

learned counsel for Respondents no 7 to 10, 13, 15, 16, 27, 29 to 31, 40, 47, 52, 53 and 84-A, Shri G.B Solanke, learned counsel for Respondents no. 11, 14, 17, 32, 33, 36, 38, 41 & 42 and Shri S.J Pawar, learned counsel for Respondents no 18, 20, 35, 37, 43, 49 & 51. Learned counsel submits that he is filing affidavit in reply along with Vakalatnama and states that he will file affidavit in reply within two weeks.

2. In M.A 475/2019, learned counsel for the applicant wants to amend the Original Application by adding Mr Devidas Balkrishna Kulal, presently working as Education Officer, Group-A and posted as Joint Secretary, SSC & HSC Board, Kolhapur Division, as Party-Respondent no. 84-A and also add Paras No. 68(a), (b) and (c) & (d)

3. The proposed Respondent no. 84-A Shri D.B. Kulal has filed affidavit in reply dated 5.3.2020 opposing his addition to the array of the Party-Respondents. Mr Lonkar, learned counsel for the applicant-Respondent no. 84-A to argue on the point of adding Shri D.B Kulal, as Party Respondent no. 84-A on the next date. Mr Lonkar, learned counsel for the private Respondents submits that out of the group he is representing, he wants to file reply on behalf of three Respondents and he seeks one weeks' time.

4. Pursuant to order dated 13.1.2022, produced by the learned counsel for the applicant, the learned C.P.O informs that no post is available from the post which were advertised by Notification dated 21.10.2010. The chart which is produced along with letter dated 13.1.2022, which is acquired by the applicant under R.T.I, shows that there are 28 vacancies. However, these vacancies as on 13.1.2022 cannot be taken into account so far as the relief claimed by the applicant in respect of the advertisement for the post notified on 21.10.2020.



5. Learned counsel Mr C.T. Chandratre, for the applicant submits that today he is withdrawing M.A 476/2019, seeking condonation of delay in filing the Original Application.

6. M.A 476/20129 is allowed to be withdrawn and is accordingly disposed of.

7. Some of the Respondents are not represented and therefore, they have to take note to appear before the Tribunal latest by next date, otherwise the matter will proceed without their representation.

8. Two weeks' time is granted to all the private Respondents to file affidavit in reply. If no reply is filed within two weeks, the matter will proceed without their reply.

9. S.O to 19.4.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai

Date : 05.04.2022

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.311 OF 2022**

Dr. (Smt.) Kirti D. Badave ..Applicant
Versus
The Secretary, MPSC ..Respondents

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar – Advocate for the Applicant

Ms. S.P. Manchekar – Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson
Smt. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)

DATE : 5th April, 2022

ORDER

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Ld. Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to add General Administration Department as party respondent no.2.
3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served on all concerned.
4. The applicant is working as Assistant Chemical Analyzer in the office of Regional Forensic Science Laboratory, Ganeshkhind, Pune-7. The applicant, who is aspiring to appear for interview for the post of Assistant Director, Directorate of Forensic Science Laboratory, General State Service, Group-A, challenges the undated order of March, 2022 passed by Respondent No.1-MPSC declaring the applicant as not eligible candidate

✓

for the interview, which is going to be conducted today i.e. 5.4.2022 and 6.4.2022. It is prayed that she is to be declared as eligible candidate and her name is to be included in the list of eligible candidates. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that she be allowed to appear for the interview, which is going to be conducted on 5th and 6th April, 2022, without prejudice to her claim in this matter.

5. The claim of the applicant is rejected on the ground that she has not fulfilled the criterion of experience of seven years in research. Para 4.4 of the advertisement dated 28.8.2018 reads as under:

“4.4 have acquired training and analytical or research experience in a recognized laboratory for a period of not less than seven years.”


6. The applicant has filled up the form where she has given the details of her experience.

7. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submitted that the recruitment rules shall prevail over any circular or GR. If the recruitment rules i.e. para 4.4 of the advertisement, which is reproduced above, is read carefully, then the circular dated 3.7.2004 is found contrary. He relied on the experience certificate issued by the Head, Department of Chemistry, Savitribai Phule Pune University dated 28.8.2018 that the applicant has carried out 6 (six) years of Research and Analytical work of Ph.D. and she has completed her research paper i.e. Thesis. There are also peer reviewed research papers in her subject to her credit. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has further pointed out the experience certificate issued by the Principal, MES Abasaheb Garware College, Pune dated 14.9.2018 wherein the Principal has mentioned that the applicant has 3 (three) years 9 (nine) months of Research and Analytical experience at Department of Chemistry in the said college. She has completed Research Project funded by Board of

College and University Development. She has also published the Review articles and has guided six M.Sc. Projects. She has handled sophisticated analytical instruments viz. UV-Vis Spectrophotometer, Cyclic Voltammetry instrument from DST-FIST sponsored instrumentation laboratory. She has also worked on temporary basis for 10 (ten) months in the Department of Chemistry, Pune University as Teaching and operative duties.

8. Per contra, Ld. CPO pointed out that the circular dated 3.7.2004 is to be considered in order to decide which experience is to be counted as valid. As per the said circular the experience, 'on hour basis', 'periodical', 'part time', 'on stipend', 'visiting', 'contributory', 'without pay', 'incharge' and 'additional charge' is not a valid experience. Ld. CPO submits that applicant does not have requisite experience because in her application itself she has mentioned that she has worked periodically in MES's Abasaheb Garware College, Pune for three years and nine months. So that experience is not counted. Her experience in Savitribai Phule Pune University of six years and one month's is also not counted. Ld. CPO has submitted that the scrutiny of the application regarding experience is made by expert in the said field and the said list of eligible candidates is published. Ld. CPO therefore submitted that the ability of the expert cannot be questioned in the Court.

9. We have considered the submissions and also gone through all the certificates. In the rules the minimum educational qualification is considered a post graduate degree. Thereafter the applicant has completed 6 years research work in Ph.D. After securing Ph.D. she has worked at various places where she has received certificate of requisite experience. We fail to understand why expert has not considered the six years of Ph.D. work as research work and so also three years of her work at MES Abasaheb Garware College, Pune. Though she has mentioned as



Periodical it cannot be considered strictly as periodical unless meaning is explained by the respondent-department. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has written periodical as she was given the appointment for 11 months in these period of three years. In view of these facts and experience certificates which are produced by the applicant, prima facie, we are of the view that applicant be allowed to appear for the interview, however, her result is to be kept in sealed cover and results will be subject to the final outcome of this OA.

10. Ld. CPO submitted that expert is not accessible to anybody from MPSC but he is allowed to be contacted only by Deputy Secretary of MPSC or higher persons. Deputy Secretary is concerned person in this selection process. However, unfortunately there is a mishap in the house of Dy. Secretary and therefore he is not able to give instructions. GAD is directed to clarify what is meant by 'periodical' mentioned in the circular dated 3.7.2004, in view of the other criteria mentioned in the said circular of not valid experience. In view of the above, we pass the following order.

11. The MPSC is directed to call the applicant to appear for the interview on 6.4.2022. However, her result is to be kept in sealed cover. If the results are to be declared after the interview, MPSC to mention that, it is subject to the final outcome of the present OA.

12. S.O. to 18.4.2022.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
5.4.2022

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
5.4.2022

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>05.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 289/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Nitin T. Mhatre & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.6.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 8.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.194 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. A.S. BendreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.Y. Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. This O.A. be kept along with connected O.A. No.287 of 2020.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.305 of 2022 with O.A. No.306 of 2022 with O.A. No.307 of 2022 with O.A. No.308 of 2022</p> <p>M.P. Patil & Ors. M.P. Rao & Ors. G.D. Gaikwad & Ors. G.D. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents along with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.04.2022.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 19.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.931 of 2021</p> <p>B.G. SagareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.107 of 2022</p> <p>R.Y. PatelApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Snehal Vaidya, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.172 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. S.V. KachareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and the same will be filed by tomorrow in Office. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record and copy be served to other side.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.16 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. Unavane & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and the same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.177 of 2022 in R.A. No.06 of 2022 in O.A. No.666 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus S.P. Hadake (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and None for the Respondent (Org. Applicant). 2. This M.A. and R.A. are filed in respect of order passed by the Tribunal in O.A. No.666/2019, decided as per order dated 01.12.2020. 3. Issue notice to the Respondent (Original Applicant) before admission returnable on 02.05.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 02.05.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.124 of 2022 in O.A. No.844 of 2021</p> <p>C.S. LokhandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record. 3. In O.A. also Affidavit-in-Reply is filed. 4. Matter pertains to withdrawing of retiral benefits, and therefore it needs to be expedited. 5. M.A. and O.A. will be heard together. 6. S.O. to 18.04.2022. <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.186 of 2022 in O.A. No.305 of 2022 with M.A. No.187 of 2022 in O.A. No.306 of 2022 with M.A. No.188 of 2022 in O.A. No.307 of 2022</p> <p>M.P. Patil & Ors.Applicant M.P. Rao & Ors.Applicant G.D. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents along with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By these M.As., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	05.04.2022	Tribunal's orders
		<u>O.A 292/2022</u>
	Miss Aarti R. Kende Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors	... Applicant ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri Y.B Lengare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned C.P.O submits on instructions that the department will examine whether the case of the applicant fits in the order dated 3.3.2020 passed by the M.A.T, Nagpur Bench.	
	3. S.O to 7.4.2022.	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>05.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 170/2022 in O.A 289/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Nitin T. Mhatre & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>05.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 82/2021</u></p> <p>Shri R.D Mundhe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B Solanke, i/b Shri S.S Thombre, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that on the service of notice to Respondent no. 4, it is shown that it is delivered and he has filed affidavit of service. However, subsequently, it is informed that Respondent no. 4 is transferred to some other place.</p> <p>4. On instructions from the Respondents-Department, it is submitted that Respondent no. 4 is working at the same place.</p> <p>5. We, therefore, direct Respondent no. 2 to serve of the Original Application on Respondent no. 4 immediately and Respondent no. 4 is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>6. S.O to 19.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1053/2021</u></p> <p>Shri V.A Daingade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that she will file affidavit in reply by tomorrow</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 118/2022</u></p> <p>Shri P.S Pail & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.6.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.311 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. (Smt.) Kirti D. Badave ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>OPERATIVE PART OF THE ORDER</u></p> <p>The MPSC is directed to call the applicant to appear for the interview on 6.4.2022. However, her result is to be kept in sealed cover. If the results are to be declared after the interview, MPSC to mention that, it is subject to the final outcome of the present OA.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">S.O. to 18.4.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 5.4.2022 5.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>