

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.03.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.599 of 2020 With O.A.No.600 of 2020</p> <p>T. D. Mundhe N.B. Thorat <span style="float: right;">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondents in both the matters. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since both the matters are arising from common situation, it would be heard together.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 05.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.554 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Kamble</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhijit Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Sarode, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned holding Advocate Shri Abhijit Pawar states that Advocate Shri S.D. Saorde is not well and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. \_\_\_\_\_

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 05.03.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A.No.98 of 2021 in M.A.No.99 of 2021 in O.A.No.1183 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. H. More</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. A. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These Misc. Applications are filed for condonation of delay for 45 days and for restoration of O.A. which was dismissed in default by order dated 15.12.2020 due to absence of the Applicant and his Counsel.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he is staying at Nashik and due to travel restrictions, he could not attend the matter. He further pointed out that the Applicant is Police Naik and because of duties entrusted to him, he too could not remain present. He resides at Malegaon.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents requested for grant of time to file reply to M.A.</p> <p>5. It appears that due to lockdown and consequent restrictions, the Applicant and his Counsel could not remain present in the Tribunal.</p> <p>6. I am, therefore, inclined to condone the delay and to restore O.A.No.1183/2019.</p> <p>7. In view of above, both Misc. Applications are allowed and O.A.No.1183/2019 is restored to file.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm <span style="float: right;">[P.T.O.]</span></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.03.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1183 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S. H. More</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. A. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is restored in view of the order passed today in M.A. Nos.98/2021 in M.A.No.99/2021.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is ready and tendered the same. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, O.A.1183/2019 is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 05.03.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.180 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>F.Md. I. Souraj</b> .....<b>Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...<b>Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt.Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for direction to repost the Applicant at his original posting at Solapur. However, learned P.O. has pointed out that by order dated 31.05.2016, the Applicant is promoted to the post of Aval Karkoon and his services were deputed for inquiry of Saswad, Mali Sugar Factory Ltd., Mali Nagar. Later by order dated 31.05.2019, he was transferred as Aval Karkoon at Tahasil office, Malshiras but his service seems to have been deputed for inquiry purpose.</p> <p>3. O.A. is silent about the transfer order dated 31.05.2019. Thus, when the Applicant is already transferred to Malshiras by order dated 31.05.2019, the question of reposting him at Solapur not survive and O.A. itself appears not maintainable.</p> <p>4. However, learned Counsel for the Applicant requested for one week time to take instructions and to do the needful.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.03.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 05.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.408 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D.R. Jadhav</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vaibhav Birmole, learned Advocate holding for, Shri D.V. Sutar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that Shri D.V. Sutar Advocate for the Applicant is out of station and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 05.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.439 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>R.R. Awale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant is absent.</li> <li>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondent is present.</li> <li>Initially, the O.A. was filed by Shri P.G. Kayande on behalf of Applicant. However, he has withdrawn his Vakalatnama after giving notice to the Applicant and accordingly, he was discharged in view of order passed by this Tribunal on 21.01.2020. Thereafter, the matter was adjourned to 27.01.2020. On 27.01.2020, Applicant was again absent, but in interest of <del>the State</del>, the matter was adjourned.</li> <li>However, today, again, Applicant is absent. It appears that he is not interested in the matter.</li> <li>In view of above, the O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 05.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.717 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>V.B. Waghmare</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant is absent, <sup>he</sup> <del>who</del> was represented by Advocate Shri J.N. Kamble who passed away last month.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondent is present.</li> <li>3. Adjourned, awaiting the appearance of the Applicant or somebody else in place of Shri J.N. Kamble.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 01.04.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p align="center"><b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b></p>	<p align="center"><b>Tribunal's orders</b> <b>Date : 05.03.2021</b></p>
	<p align="center"><b>O.A.No.279 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S. A. Shinde</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter, notices were issued on 09.07.2020 but till date no reply is filed. By order dated 19.01.2021, last chance was granted but in vain.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matter to proceed without reply. It be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 05.03.2021</p>
	<p>O.A.No.1172 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. S. K. Walinjkar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. V. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks short adjournment on the ground that learned C.P.O. is busy in another court. She requested to keep the matter on 10.03.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.03.2021 at 2.30 PM.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 05.03.2021
	<p align="center"><b>O.A.No.583, 584, 585, 586 &amp; 587 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>B.R. Lokhande &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., short time is granted for filing Affidavit of Secretary, Tribal Development Department, Thane.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 05.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M. A. No.114 of 2020 in O.A.No.65 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S. P. Kapdne</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. They appear not interested in the matter.</p> <p>2. Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Hence, M.A.No.114/2020 is dismissed in default with no order as to costs. Accordingly, O.A. is disposed of.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.03.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.585 of 2019 in M.A.No.226 of 2019 in O.A.No.761 of 2018</p> <p>M. G. Kadam .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Anil Jaiswar holding for Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.585/2019 is filed for restoration of M.A.No.226/2019 which was for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A.No.761/2018.</p> <p>3. M.A.No.226/2019 was dismissed in default for non compliance of conditional order of issuance of notice dated 24.04.2019.</p> <p>4. Now, this M.A.No.586/2019 is filed for restoration of M.A.No.226/2019 which is not within limitation of one month. However, no application is made for condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted to take necessary steps as a last chance.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 12.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p style="text-align: center;">Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 05.03.2021 Tribunal's orders</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.668 of 2020</p> <p><b>Dr. D. P. Mane</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Makarand Kale holding for Shri S. R. Ghanavat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the order dated 20.10.2020 whereby he was temporarily deputed at Pune from Kolhapur.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. has pointed out that it is not transfer order but temporary deputation for short period and the Applicant is also due for retirement by the end of July, 2021.</li> <li>4. Whereas, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that order dated 29.10.2020 is in contravention of law.</li> <li>5. In view of above, it would be appropriate to explore the possibility to know willingness of the Applicant to work at Pune for two weeks and to stay at Kolhapur for remaining period.</li> <li>6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant stated that he would take instructions from the Applicant to that effect without prejudice to the conditions raised in O.A.</li> <li>7. The matter is adjourned for a week.</li> <li>8. Interim relief be continued till next date.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 12.03.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>
--	--

vsm

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 05.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.697 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>A.J.A.R. Shaikh</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.03.2021 for Rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 05.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.148 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S.D. Mane @ Jankar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Y.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This O.A. has been filed for direction to release the retrial benefits, which were withheld by the Respondents despite retirement of the Applicant on 31.05.2019.</li> <li>3. Notice was issued by order dated 20.02.2020 and enough chances were granted but, no reply was filed.</li> <li>4. This Tribunal by order dated 26.02.2021 especially noted indifferent attitude of the Respondents and matter was adjourned for hearing without reply at the stage of admission itself.</li> <li>5. Today, Learned P.O. all that stated that Respondent No.2 had informed her that provisional pension is being processed. However, learned P.O. has no instructions as to why other retrial benefits are withheld. She further states that though she tried to contact the Department, she has no further instructions and again requested for grant of time to file Reply.</li> <li>6. Since, the matter is already proceeded without reply long back, now the question of grant of further time to file reply do not survive.</li> </ol>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

7. Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2019. Admittedly, since Criminal Case is pending against him, he cannot claim Gratuity till the decision in Criminal case. However other benefits cannot be withheld.

8. It appears that in 2017, D.E. was initiated against him and punishment of imposing next increment for one year without cumulative effect was imposed by order dated 02.08.2017. Thereafter, Applicant who was under suspension was reinstated by order dated 03.08.2017 and later on retired on 31.05.2019.

9. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with suitable direction.

10. Respondent Nos.2 & 3 are directed to release retriability benefits of the Applicant other than the benefits, which are required to be withheld on the ground of pendency of Criminal Case and shall release the remaining retriability benefits as per the entitlement under Rules within two months from today.

11. It appears that suspension period of the Applicant is not regularized by passing appropriate order. If it is so, necessary steps be taken in this behalf and appropriate orders be passed in accordance to law within two months from today.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member (J)**

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 05.03.2021**

**O.A.No.173 of 2021 with O.A.No.174 of 2021**

M.A. Tapase (O.A.173/2021)

R.M. Kalaskar (O.A.174/2021)

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Matter is not on today's board. At the request of learned Advocate it is taken on board.

3. Note for Speaking to the minutes.

In paragraph no.2, line no.4 of the order dated 02.03.2021 the word 'promotion' is to be substituted by word 'appointment'.

4. Adjourned to 23.03.2021.

Sd/-

**(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 05.03.2021**

**O.A.No.223 of 2002**

D.D. Jagtap ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. Pursuant to order dated 04.03.2021 the learned P.O. on instructions submits that the order of the Hon'ble High Court is complied. However prayer clause in the present O.A. and the prayed before the Writ Petition in Hon'ble High Court are different. Hence the matter is adjourned for the appearance of applicant or learned Advocate for the Applicant, otherwise the matter will be dismissed.

3. Adjourned to 08.03.2021.

Sd/-

**(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.19/2020 in OA.1158/16 with OA.352/17 with OA.353/17</u></p> <p>Smt. S.K. Goraksha &amp; 24 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that Government has received approval from the Finance Department on 2.3.2021.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matter is fixed after two weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.3.2021.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman  5.3.2021 </p> <p align="center">  Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  5.3.2021 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A. No.14 of 2020 in O.A. No.301 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.D. Likhari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.3.2021.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman  5.3.2021  (sgj) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  5.3.2021 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.44 of 2019 in O.A. No.1134 of 2016</u></p> <p>B.R. Nalawade &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that SLP is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and now it is fixed on 8.3.2021. She seeks time.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant relies on order dated 26.11.2019 passed by this Tribunal in the above CA wherein Ld. PO has made a statement that if the relief is not given in the SLP by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, then the State will comply with the order, subject to final outcome of the SLP.</p> <p>4. In view of these submissions and statement made earlier by the Ld. PO, the matter is fixed after three weeks.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 26.3.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 5.3.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 5.3.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.354 of 2009 in O.A. No.55 of 2009</u></p> <p>D.V. Vispute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one weeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.3.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 5.3.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 5.3.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.8 of 2020 in O.A. No.989 of 2018 with C.A. No.9 of 2020 in O.A. No.990 of 2018</u></p> <p>R. Y. Kadam V.S. Kadam Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p><u>Later on:</u></p> <p>2. Affidavits dated 5.3.2021 filed by Ms. Anita Shankar Deshmukh, Tahsildar (Revenue), Satara are taken on record. They are unsatisfactory and vague and such vague affidavits cannot be filed in Contempt Application. Hence, the Collector, Satara-Respondent No.3 is directed to file affidavit specifically stating when the order of the Tribunal will be implemented. The Collector to take note that this Tribunal has taken serious note of non-implementation of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.3.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 5.3.2021</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 5.3.2021 (sgj)</p> </div> </div>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A. No.39 of 2020 in O.A. No.50 of 2020</u></p> <p>B.G. Kshirsagar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to implement the order.</p> <p>2. Issue notice to all the respondents returnable on 19.3.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N.'Dixit) Vice-Chairman 5.3.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 5.3.2021</p>
	(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.34 of 2020 in O.A. No.404 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.P. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate with Shri M.L. Dhone, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to produce the order of the Hon'ble High Court in similar issue.</p> <p>3. We have made query whether the order dated 20.6.2019 for which CA is filed is challenged by the Government before the Hon'ble High Court. Ld. CPO stats that it is not challenged before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.3.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 5.3.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 5.3.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.26 of 2020 in O.A. No.1125 of 2019

P.S. Sakhare & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.

3. As per affidavit of Shri Dnyandev Shrirang Bhagat, Director (Administration), ESIS, Mumbai dated 10.12.2020 though the applicants were continued in service with technical breaks till 2017 the respondents have adopted due process of recruitment for the vacant posts under para medical and Staff Nurses cadre and therefore services of the applicants are discontinued from April, 2017 onwards.

4. Ld. CPO submits that she has received certain further instructions yesterday and she would like to file further sur-rejoinder and seeks time.

5. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that services of the applicants were discontinued in April-May, 2020.

6. Issue notice to both the respondents. Both the respondents are directed to file their personal reply within three weeks giving reasons why the order of the Tribunal was not implemented. Meanwhile the respondents to implement the order of this Tribunal.

7. S.O. to 9.4.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
5.3.2021

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
5.3.2021



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.8 of 2021 in O.A. No.848 of 2018

R.S. Salunkhe & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he has prayed in earlier OA for correcting the seniority list and also sought promotions. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that mistakes which were committed by the respondents in preparing earlier seniority list dated 2.1.2016 are again repeated while preparing seniority list dated 11.12.2020. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he will prepare a chart by highlighting the repeated mistakes committed in the so called corrected seniority list. He will file affidavit to that extent which may be allowed.


3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is allowed to file further affidavit along with such chart. It is to be filed within two weeks and copy be served on the respondents so that they can file their affidavit within two weeks thereafter.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicants to file reply with charge and serve on the respondents and in the office of Ld. CPO on or before 19.3.2021. And the respondents to file their reply on or before 9.4.2021 and serve copy on the Ld. Advocate for the applicants on or before 5.4.2021.


5. Liberty given to the applicants to move the Tribunal if at all his juniors are going to be promoted in near future.

Sd/-

6. S.O. to 9.4.2021.

  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
5.3.2021

(sgj)

  
Sd/-  
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
5.3.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 05.03.2021**

**C.A.No.21 of 2019 in O.A.No.848 of 2014**

R.B. Wadile ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Govind Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this matter the order of the Tribunal dated 22.09.2015 is challenged. However the order is not implemented since then. Affidavit-in-reply of Commissioner of Police, Thane is filed. The learned P.O. informs that she has no instructions.

3. In view of this, matter is fixed on 10.03.2021.

Sd/-

**(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>05.03.2021</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 158/2021 with M.A 82/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.M Dawane &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte with Dr Jayshree Patil, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for Respondents no 1 &amp; 2 Shri V. Sangvikar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 16, Shri M. Bhandari, learned advocate for Respondent no. 261, Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for Respondent no. 256.</p> <p>2. Shri V.Sangvikar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 16 has filed affidavit in reply. Learned C.P.O, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned counsel Mr Chandratre for Respondent no. 256 and Mr Bhandari for Respondent no. 261 seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Dr Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicants request that the State-Respondents to make statement as to when the recommended candidates that is Respondents are going to be sent for training. Learned C.P.O after taking telephonic instructions makes statement that till 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2021 the training of the Respondents is not going to commence. Learned C.P.O seeks time as the Assembly Sessions is going on and will get over on 10.3.2021.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O as well as learned advocate for the Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply by 19.3.2021.</p> <p>5. Liberty given to the applicants to move if at all the training is likely to start before 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2021 by giving notice to the Respondents.</p> <p>6. In view of this, matter is adjourned to 24.3.2021 at 2.30 pm.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**05.03.2021**

**M.A 28/2021 in O.A 96/2020**

Shri C.R Baviskar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply. Applicant is called upon to file rejoinder in respect of contentions raised and allegations made by the Respondents

3. S.O to 26.3.2021.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

Akn



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**05.03.2021**

**M.A 61/2021 in O.A 456/2020**

Shri Y.B Deshmukh ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar , learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel Mr Jagdale has filed the application on behalf of the applicant that he be added in the array of the Respondents. As per order dated 19.9.2020 interim relief was granted to the applicant by giving direction to Respondent, MPSC to keep two posts vacant, that means for two posts no names are to be recommended.

3. It is submitted by Mr Jagdale that overall 43 posts were advertised, 30 candidates were called for interview out of them 28 appeared and out of 28, only 22 candidates are recommended by MPSC. Thus as on today 21 posts are vacant, out of which three posts are kept reserved for differently abled persons. Thus today 18 posts are vacant. He further submits that applicant has given representation dated 28.12.2020 to MPSC requesting that his name be recommended because he stands at serial no. 21 in the merit list.

4. Learned C.P.O seeks one weeks' time to obtain instructions from MPSC as to why the name of the applicant was not recommended. Learned C.P.O is directed to take instructions from MPSC and also MPSC to decide the representation made by the applicant on 28.12.2020.

5. S.O to 19.3.2021.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

Akn



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 05.03.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.270 of 2020 with O.A.No.271 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>Dr. V. N. Dekate</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. O.A.No.270/2020 is filed to challenge the transfer order and O.A.No.271/2020 is filed to challenge the suspension order.</li> <li>3. Today, the matters are for final hearing.</li> <li>4. However, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant states that he has no instructions from his client.</li> <li>5. It appears that the Applicant is reinstated on other post, and therefore, he is not interested in the matter.</li> <li>6. In view of above, O.A.No.270/2020 with O.A.No.271/2020 have become infructuous and disposed of with no order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.03.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>M. A. No.114 of 2020 in O.A.No.65 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>S. P. Kapdne</b> <span style="float: right;">...Applicant</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. They appear not interested in the matter.</p> <p>2. Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Hence, M.A.No.114/2020 is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.03.2021</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.516 pf 2019 in O.A.No.950 of 2019</p> <p>S. K. Inamke <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, one week time as a most last chance is granted for filing reply to M.A. as well as O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.03.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.171 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>R. S. Gaikwad</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Ashok Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.04.2021.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 05.04.2021.</li> <li>9. In the meantime, the Respondents should consider the representation made by the Applicant dated 28.01.2020 and shall apprise the Tribunal by next date.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.408 of 2020</p> <p>N. K. More ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is taken on board in view of the circulation asked by learned Counsel for the Applicant since it is earlier adjourned to 18.03.2021.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is challenging suspension order dated 09.01.2020. In earlier round of litigation, directions were given to take review of suspension. Accordingly, review was taken and the Committee however recommended to continue the suspension.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the matter be heard early since the Applicant is subjected to suspension for more than one year.</p> <p>5. O.A. is already fixed on 18.03.2021. It will be heard on the same date.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 05.03.2021

M.A. No.17 of 2021 in O.A.No.636 of 2018

B. N. Ghadge .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Smt. M. M. Lohar, holding for Ms Preeti Walimbe, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 are present.

2. Perusal of record reveals that O.A.No.636/2018 was dismissed in default having noted frequent absence of the Applicant and his Counsel. O.A. was dismissed on 18.12.2020 and thereafter M.A. is filed for restoration but today again the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent, therefore, M.A.No.17/2021 is dismissed in default.

3. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

L.O.

4. At. 12.15 PM, learned Counsel for the Applicant appears and requested to restore M.A.No.17/2021 as well as O.A.No.636/2018 stating that on call he could not remain present.

5. Since, learned Counsel for the Applicant now appears, the order of dismissal in M.A.No.17/2021 is restored.

6. In so far as M.A.No.17/2021 is concerned, it is for restoration of O.A.No.636/2018. It is within limitation.

7. O.A. was dismissed on 18.12.2020. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that due to lockdown and traffic restrictions, he could not appear on 18.12.2020.

7. In the interest of justice, M.A.No.17/2021 is allowed and O.A.No.636/2018 is restored to file.

8. M.A. No.17/2021 is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.03.2021.</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.636 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>B. N. Ghadge</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. M. Katkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. was dismissed in default on 18.12.2020 but in view of the order passed in M.A.No.17/2021 today it is restored to file.</p> <p>3. O.A. is ready for hearing, it be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.03.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.251 of 2019</b></p> <p>D. S. Sabale <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. B. Nikte, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri S.S.Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. In view of the order passed by this Tribunal on 11.02.2021, today, learned P.O. is filing Affidavit during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsels, the matter is adjourned to 08.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.03.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.09 of 2021</p> <p>A. B. Patil <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghatge holding for Smt.Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">           Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>