

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 05.02.2024

O.A. No.423 of 2023 to O.A. No. 426 of 2023

A.M. Kamble  
G.Y. Shelke  
K.G. Wamane  
S.D. Biranje .....Applicants  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned PO produces copy of the 'Modification/Corrigendum' dated 31.01.2024 issued by 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State Mumbai' by which contents of 'Para 1' of the 'Transfer Order' dated 14.08.2023 has been amended in respect of Applicants as well as others 'Constables' and 'Constable-cum-Drivers' who are now directly serving under 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.
3. Learned PO granted 'One Week' to file 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' to place on record the copy of 'Modification/Corrigendum' dated 31.01.2024 issued by 'Commissioner of States Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.
4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant thereupon states that the OA No. 423/2023 can disposed off consequent to the 'Modification/Corrigendum' dated 31.01.2024 issued by 'Commissioner State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.
5. The grievances of all Applicants in O.A. No. 423/2023 to O.A. No. 426 /2023 have now been completely redressed. Hence O.A. No. 423/2023 to O.A. No. 426 /2023 are disposed off. 'No Order as to Cost'.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p>Date: 05.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.810 of 2023 with O.A. No. 911 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. Vaishnav &amp; Ors., Vaibhav Pilare .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. Golambade, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants relied again on the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on 22.01.2024 on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue) Revenue and Forest Department' to reiterate that out of 65 officers from cadre of 'Tahsildars' there are 54 officers who were transferred to adjoining districts or even within same district in the allotted 'Revenue Divisions'. Further in respect of the remaining 11 officers from the cadre of 'Tahsildars' there are (i) 4 Officers who have cases registered by ACB (ii) 2 Officers who have joined on their New Posts (iii) 1 Officer who has not joined on 'New Post' and (iv) 4 Officers who are Applicants.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicants further states that on ground of implementation of directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 02.12.2023, again 5 Officers from the cadre of 'Tahsildar' who are amongst the 54 Officer were transferred within same district or to adjoining district in allotted Revenue Division have now been transferred once again in the same way as earlier in those 'Revenue Division'. No consideration however has been given to representation submitted by the Applicants.</p>

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p>4. Learned PO seeks some time to go through the 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' dated 22.01.2024, filed on behalf of Applicants and seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue) 'Revenue and Forest Department'.</p> <p>5. Learned PO to include in the 'Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder' the information about the new contentions of discrimination made by Applicants following latest 'Transfer Orders' dated 31.01.2024 by which 5 Officers from cadre of 'Tahsildars' have ostensibly been posted back within the 'Revenue Division' on grounds of implementation of directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 02.12.2023'.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 21.02.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1465 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. Jatur .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No. 1 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No. 2.</li><li>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai was informed to make some temporary arrangements as may be possible; so as to assist 'Additional Director General of Police &amp; Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' to complete implementation of 'Transfer Orders' dated 30.09.2022, 22.10.2022 and 02.06.2023.</li><li>3. Learned PO seeks time to obtain information from 'Deputy Commissioner of Police, Police Commissioner &amp; Information Technology South Zone, Mumbai' about temporary arrangements which may have been made by 'Commissioner of Police Greater Mumbai'.</li><li>4. Learned PO to file Additional 'Affidavit-in-Reply' as to by when the large number of vacant posts of 'Police Head Constables' Wireless Operators' are proposed filled by 'Additional Director General of Police &amp; Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' and particularly those which are under 'Deputy Commissioner of Police, Police Commissioner &amp; Information Technology South Zone, Mumbai'.</li></ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

5. Learned PO seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Director General of Police & Director Wireless and Transport, Maharashtra State, Pune' with regard to schedule finalized if any, for recruitment of 'Police Head Constables' Wireless Operators'. Time of 'Two Weeks' granted to learned PO.

6. S.O. to 20.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.02.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1173 of 2023</p> <p>P.S. Renusha .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri. A.S. Patil learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant points out that Applicant was given 'Show Cause Notice' on 20.07.2023 about not performing his 'Official Duty'.</li><li>3. Learned Advocate also points out that Applicant has received 'Commendation Certificates' for outstanding work done in 'Vadgaon Police Station, District Kolhapur'.</li><li>4. Learned PO seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</li><li>5. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</li><li>6. S.O to 21.02.2024</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 05.02.2024

O.A. No.03 of 2024

S.D. Lande

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that 'Additional Director General of Police, Anti-Terrorist Squad (A.T.S.), Maharashtra State, Mumbai' has already filed 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' on 29.01.2024. Further as the 'Transfer Order' of the Applicant was issued on 15.10.2023 by 'Additional Director General of Police' (Establishment) in the office of 'Director General of Police, Maharashtra State'; it would be necessary for 'Affidavit-in-Reply' to also be filed on behalf of 'Director General of Police, Maharashtra State'.

3. Learned PO accordingly seeks time of 'Two Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Director General of Police, Maharashtra State'. Time of 'Two Weeks' is granted to learned PO to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Director General of Police, Maharashtra State'.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he may like to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'; after 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is filed by 'Director General of Police, Maharashtra State'.

5. S.O. to 21.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.133 of 2024</p> <p>Promod A. Kshirsagar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that he has received a 'Whatsapp Message' from the Applicant which conveys that Applicant now desires to withdraw this O.A. No. 133 of 2024 and subsequently join on the post of 'Security Branch' as per 'Transfer Order' dated 31.01.2024 of 'SP, Pune' (Rural).</p> <p>3. The Applicant is thus permitted to withdraw OA No. 133 of 2024. 'No Order as to Cost.'</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 05.02.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.116 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>P.S. Joshi</b> .....<b>Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....<b>Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that Applicant is working as 'Stenographer (Lower Grade)' under 'R.T.O. Thane'. She has been shifted by 'Office Order' dated 25.04.2023 of 'R.T.O. Thane' to 'Old Office Building' of 'R.T.O. Thane', which is located far away near the 'Central Jail, Thane'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that she is the only employee under 'R.T.O. Thane' who has been shifted like this to 'Old Office Building' for reasons not known to Applicant. There are no 'Basic Facilities' which are required to be available in 'Government Offices' and further considering that Applicant is a 'Women Employee' it is unethical to direct her to work all alone in 'Old Office Building' of 'R.T.O. Thane' which is located far away near the 'Central Jail, Thane'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. informed to take instruction as to why such 'Office Order' dated 25.04.2023 of 'R.T.O., Thane' came to be passed in respect of Applicant; shifting only her to the 'Old Office Building' of 'R.T.O., Thane'.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.02.2024.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
11. S.O. to 20.02.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.135 of 2024 to O.A. No.137 of 2024</p> <p>A.C. Mahajan R.V. Sahane S.P. Jaitapurkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants states that they are 'Police Inspectors' working under 'Commissioner of Police, Pune'. Now all Applicants have been transferred by Order dated 24.01.2024 of the 'Commissioner of Police, Pune'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. produces 'Summary Sheets' showing various posts held by Applicants in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' after 30.06.2020.</p> <p>4. The 'Home Department' had in the meanwhile sought clarifications on 16.01.2024 with regard to directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023' from 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State'. Subsequently appropriate clarifications came to be issued by 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 19.01.2024 to 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' in the context of the earlier letter of 'Deputy Secretary' &amp; 'Joint Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' dated 09.01.2024 in which separate 'Annexure' was attached to indicate the directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023' and presumptions made by office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. In this 'Annexure' at 'Serial No.5' the presumption made by office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' was as to whether directions with regard to period of posting of 'Three Years' out of last</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

'Four Years' were also applicable to 'Police Officers' posted in 'Specialized Agencies' such as 'State Intelligence Department, Crime Intelligence Department, Anti-Terrorist Squad, Protection of Civil Rights, Police Training Centres, Highway Safety Patrols etc.' The presumption at 'Sr. No.5' made by the office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' therefore was about the extent of interpretation to be made about the phrase 'Functional Departments' used in the context of 'Computerization, Special Branch Training' etc. in 'Para 5.3' of 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023'.

5. The 'Election Commission of India letter dated 19.01.2024' addressed to 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' clarifies about these presumptions made by office of 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' by directing that entire tenure in 'Revenue District' should be counted irrespective of establishments of 'Police Commissioners' or 'Superintendents of Police' or even 'Branch of Posting'. Hence, from the nature of clarifications subsequently issued by 'Election Commission of India' on 19.01.2024 and again on 24.01.2024 regarding the presumptions made by office of 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' it becomes necessary to seek the presence of 'Deputy Secretary' & 'Joint Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' to ascertain as to how these revised clarifications are to be understood and implemented by 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' as well as 'Heads of Specialized Agencies' and by all field establishments of (i) 'Commissioners of Police' (ii) 'Special IGP's' and (iii) 'Superintendents of Police'.

6. Learned P.O. accordingly to urgently convey these observations to 'Deputy Secretary' & 'Joint Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. Till such time as final views of 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' are received through 'Deputy Secretary' & 'Joint Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' about expected course of action regarding subsequent clarifications made by 'Election Commission of India letters dated 19.01.2024' and 24.01.2024 read with standing instructions at 'Sr. No.5' of Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023' especially in respect of 'Police Officers' who are serving in 'Functional Departments' such as 'Computerization, Special Branches Training etc.; the

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

implementation of Order dated 24.01.2024 of the 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' to be held in abeyance 'Qua the Applicants'. In meanwhile the Applicants will not be directed to forthwith join at the places of their new postings. Further in respect of Applicant who have been formally relieved by handing-over charge or those who stand relieved without handing-over charge but are yet been replaced by incoming 'Police Inspectors'; such Applicants are to be permitted to join back and serve on their earlier posts. However, in cases where incoming 'Police Inspector' have joined in place of the relieved Applicants such Applicants will not be permitted to join back but shall not to be directed to forthwith join at the places of their new postings.

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.02.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

13. S.O. to 09.02.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.02.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.130 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>G.P. Satpute</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant upon promotion was posted by 'Joint Director Vocational Education and Training, Pune' as 'Sr. Clerk' at 'ITI Shirala, District Sangli' on 08.12.2021.</li> <li>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that on account of the 'Daughter' of Applicant suffering from 'Cancer' he had requested the 'Joint Director Vocational Education and Training, Pune' to consider his request on compassionate grounds and post him to 'Vacant Post' of 'Sr. Clerk' in 'ITI Karad District, Satara'.</li> <li>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant had made representation on 16.12.2021 to 'Joint Director Vocational Education and Training, Pune'. Thereafter as no action was taken on his representation, the Applicant submitted 'Special Request Application' on 21.04.2023 and another 'Special Request Application' on 10.11.2023 to the 'Joint Director Vocational Education and Training, Pune' who had called for such 'Special Request Applications' from 'Sr. Clerk's</li> <li>5. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that subsequently 'Joint Director Vocational Education and Training, Pune' issued 'Transfer Orders' on 12.01.2024 of some 'Sr. Clerk's however name of Applicant was not considered although post was vacant of 'Sr. Clerk' in 'ITI Karad, District Satara'.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the 'Joint Director Vocational Education and Training, Pune' should have considered the fact that the 'Daughter' of Applicant is suffering from 'Cancer', and the request of Applicant should have been considered as per precedence provided at 'Serial No.6' of 'Statement No.1' under 'Annexure - 2' of 'G.A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018'.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. states that since last representation of the Applicant dated 10.11.2023 is still are pending she will seek information why it was not compassionately considered when 'Transfer Order' of many 'Sr. Clerk' were issued by 'Joint Director Vocational Education and Training, Pune' on 12.01.2024.</p> <p>8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.02.2024.</p> <p>10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>13. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<b>for Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>14. S.O. to 12.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.02.2024</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.706 of 2023 in O.A. No.1285 of 2023</b></p> <p>Dr. S.B. Chavan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms Ankita Doke learned Advocate for the Applicant holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant again reiterates that as Applicant is working under 'Director General, BARTI, Pune' which is under 'Social Justice and Special Assistance Department' and it is necessary for both of them to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of both 'Social Justice and Social Assistance Department' and 'Director General, BARTI, Pune'.</li> <li>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 13.02.2024.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.02.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.581 of 2023 in O. A. No.1165 of 2023</p> <p>K. N. Kulkarni ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N. G. Kabadi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of 1 Year and 11 Months caused in filing O.A. which is for direction to Respondents to release the benefit of ACPS with interest. Learned Counsel points out that cause of action arose on 16.10.2018. He states that delay is caused on account of age factor, covid-19 period and health issues, therefore the delay be condoned.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. opposes the delay condonation application on the point that cause of action arose on 16.10.2018 when she was given 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Time Bound Promotions. She states that delay is actually 4 years, 11 months &amp; 26 days. She therefore, states that applicant is not entitled to benefit of covid-19 pandemic period because the cause of action arose before covid-19 period. Learned P.O. points out that no medical evidence has been produced in view of medical condition of the applicant. She placed reliance on</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Chennai Metropolitan Water Supply and Sewerage Board and Others Vs. T.T. Murali Babu reported in 2014 (1) LLN 559 (SC)** in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court observed as follows:-

*" the doctrine of delay and laches should not be lightly brushed aside. A writ court is required to weigh the explanation offered and the acceptability of the same. The court should bear in mind that it is exercising an extraordinary and equitable jurisdiction. As a constitutional court it has a duty to protect the rights of the citizens but simultaneously it is to keep itself alive to the primary principle that when an aggrieved person, without adequate reason, approaches the court at his own leisure or pleasure, the Court would be under legal obligation to scrutinize whether the lis at a belated stage should be entertained or not. Be it noted, delay comes in the way of equity. In certain circumstances delay and laches may not be fatal but in most circumstances inordinate delay would only invite disaster for the litigant who knocks at the doors of the Court. Delay reflects inactivity and inaction on the part of a litigant – a litigant who has forgotten the basic norms, namely, "procrastination is the greatest thief of time" and second, law does not permit one to sleep and rise like a phoenix. Delay does bring in hazard and causes injury to the lis."*

4. Learned P.O. further points out that applicant has not made any representation for redressing her grievance. She states that applicant is not vigilant about her right.
5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the delay is condoned as a 'special case' subject to payment of cost of Rs.500/- to Registry within one week.
6. In view of above, M.A. is disposed of.

Sd/-  
( Medha Gadgil )  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No

of 20

**FINAL CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda or Circulars,  
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or  
Directions and Registrar's orders

**O.A.12/2024 with O.A.29/2024**

**N.P. Patil & Ors.**

**M.M. Bidkar & Ors.**

**... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents. By consent heard Speaking to Minutes, as age relaxation point was not mentioned in the order.
2. The learned Advocate for the Applicants moves for 'Speaking to Minutes' and submits that Applicants have raised the issue of Degree and Diploma and Upper Age Limit and in support of other contentions supporting the issue of upper age limit, the learned Advocate for Applicants relied on GR dated 01.11.2023 issued by GAD in respect of age relaxation for in-service candidates. The learned Advocate for Applicants submits that these contentions are not dealt with by this Tribunal while passing the order on 31.01.2024. Hence, learned Advocate for Applicants has applied for 'Speaking to Minutes'.
3. The learned Advocate for Applicants submits that in OA No.29/2024, all the Applicants are degree holders. No upper age limit is applicable to in-service candidates where the experience is required. According to him, no condition of age limit is prescribed for in-service candidates. The learned Advocate for Applicants further submits that in OA No.12/2024, he relied on Government Circular dated 01.11.2003 where relaxation is age should be given upto maximum age limit plus period of experience. Therefore, these Applicants are entitled to get the benefit of age relaxation and are eligible to apply for Main Examination.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned CPO submits that such relaxation is not given by the State especially when specific age is mentioned in the Rules.</p> <p>5. In view of amended Recruitment Rules of 2023, we cannot give age relaxation to the Applicants in both the OAs as prayed by learned Advocate for Applicants.</p> <p>6. These Paragraphs are to be mentioned after Para 13 and before Para 14.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>5/1</i> <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b> <b>Member-A Chairperson</b> <b>05.02.2024 05.02.2024</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.58/2024**

**N.S. Chavan**

... Applicant

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.H. Pawar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. The Applicant who is 'Jailor Group-II' challenges the list dated 08.01.2024 published by Respondents of the candidates for the post of 'Jailor Group-I' is illegal and bad in law.
2. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that he is not pressing Prayer Clause 11(a). He is pressing Prayer Clause 11(b) and at present he seeks 'Interim Relief'. He submits that Applicant be promoted to post of 'Jailor Group-I' with all consequential service benefits.
3. The learned Advocate for Applicant further submits that Applicant was not promoted because of punishment. He submits that Applicant was found guilty in DE and by way of punishment, her one increment was stopped for one year in July, 2023. The said punishment period will get over on 30.06.2024.
4. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that as per GR dated 15.12.2017 and also GR dated 30.08.2018 as soon as Applicant will undergo the period of punishment, her name should have been considered for promotion in DPC which was held on 9<sup>th</sup> January, 2024.
5. We direct Respondents to go through GR dated 30.08.2018, especially Clause No.2 which is modified version of Clause 14 by which the post is required to be kept vacant of the candidates like Applicant.
6. S.O. to 9<sup>th</sup> February, 2024.

*SK*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)

Member-A  
05.02.2024

*SK*  
Chairperson  
05.02.2024

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Under the provisions of Section 14 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Act, 1987, the Tribunal is directed to issue orders in accordance with the directions and Registrar's orders.

**O.A.108/2024**

**S.B. Rajmane** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Yogesh P. Morbale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Not on Board. Taken on Board.
3. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks leave to correct the name of Respondent No.2 in the Title Clause of Original Application as 'Dy. Director, Commissionerate of Health Services' by deleting the name of 'Principal Secretary'.
4. Leave to correct the Title Clause is granted.

*Sd/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
Member-A Chairperson  
05.02.2024 05.02.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**M.A.No.719/2023 with O.A.No.1452/2023**

G.S. Ahankari .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. K.K. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant was not present when the matter was called out.

3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed.

4. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.

5. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar adjourned to 20.02.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.02.2024</p> <p>R. A. No.14 of 2023 in O.A.No.43 of 2013</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>S. B. Mosamkar ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Kranti GAikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori Respondents) and Shri R. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for Respondent (Ori. Applicant) states that this bench has no jurisdiction to decide this R.A. on the point of granting notional increment form 1<sup>st</sup> July. Learned Counsel relied on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of <i>B. R. Thakare V/s State of Madhya Pradesh</i>.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. opposes the same stating that learned Counsel for Respondent (Ori. Applicant) did not raise objection when M.A. was decided on point of delay. She submits that learned Counsel for Respondent (Ori. Applicant) has also filed Affidavit in Reply in the matter.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned Counsel for Respondent (Ori. Applicant) is directed to produce a copy of judgment referred above on next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ( Medha Gadgil ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1205/2023**

S.A. Jawale ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. N. Sawant holding for Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. By way of last chance adjourned to 04.03.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1180/2023 with O.A.No.1133/2023 with  
O.A.No.1134/2023**

R.A. Table & Ors. ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. A.U. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.1180/2023 with O.A.No.1133/2023 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Mr. A.V. Sakolkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.1134/2023 is absent.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that order passed on 01.09.2023 is not refusal of Interim relief, but it was only considered prima facie. Therefore, he insists that the matter is to be heard finally.

4. We are unable to admit these submissions of learned Counsel and we are of the view that it was rejected of interim relief.

5. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.

6. Adjourned to 04.03.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1095/2023**

S.A. Chavan .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned P.O. seeks time as she has received para-wise remarks. She further submits that today the officer is also present.

3. By way of last chance adjourned to 26.02.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1093/2023 with O.A.No.1094/2023**

R.N. Kini (O.A.1093/2023)

S.D. Dhumal (O.A.1094/2023) ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed.

4. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.

5. Adjourned to 04.03.2024 for rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1028/2023**

S.J. Thakur .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Admit. Place for final hearing.

3. Adjourned to 18.03.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1025/2023**

H.A. Marnoor ....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar,  
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P.  
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents

2. Admit. Place for final hearing.

3. Adjourned to 26.02.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.864/2023**

W.W.S. Sahabuddin ....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Applicant in person is absent.
3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 26.02.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 05.02.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1616 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>R. V. Purohit</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In present O.A., the Applicant who is working 'Pharmacy Officer' prays that the proposal submitted by Respondent No.2 on 13.11.2013 regarding absorption of the Petitioner on the post of Pharmacist/Pharmacy Officer with effect from 17.09.1997/16.04.1998 by way of implementing the decision of the learned Member, industrial Court No.2 Kolhapur rendered on 31.07.2008 in compliant (ULP) No.335/1998 be decided by the Respondent Nos.3 and 4.</p> <p>4. I, therefore, direct Respondents to take decision on proposal dated 13.11.2013 within two months and the decisions, as the case may be, be informed to Applicant within a week thereafter.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ( <del>Medha Gadgil</del> ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 05.02.2024

O.A.No.134 of 2019 with M.A.466 of 2023 with O.A.1076 of 2019 with M.A.467 of 2023 with O.A.1077 of 2019 with O.A.1078 of 2019 with M.A.468 of 2023

V.L.Karkile & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions. The concerned officer is directed to remain present before court on next date.

3. S.O. to 14.02.2024.

Sd/-  
( Medha Gadgil )  
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.02.2024</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.12 of 2023 in O.A.No. 198 of 2023 (Speaking to Minutes)</p> <p>The Commissioner, Women &amp; Child Devlp. Department &amp; Others <span style="float:right">....Applicants (Ori. Respondents 3, 4 &amp;5)</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>Shri B. N. Gite &amp; The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents. (No.1 -Ori. Applicant and 2 3 original Resp. No.1 &amp; 2)</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kailas S. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant) and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents).</p> <p>2. The matter is not on Board. It is mentioned and taken on Board for 'Speaking to Minutes' to correct some typographical mistakes crept in the order dated 02.02.23, which is as under :-</p> <p>(i) In Para No.4, Article is typed as 14 whereas it is Article 141.</p> <p>3. It being inadvertent mistake, It be corrected accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ( Medhā Gadgil ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1580/2023**

A.S. Jadhav

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Adjourned to 15.02.2024. To be placed along with connected matters.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1578/2023**

J.S. Bansode .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Applicant in person today file rejoinder.
3. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.
4. M.P.S.C. is directed to file reply.
5. Adjourned to 09.02.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1546/2023**

A.T. Masal .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. S.B. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned Counsel Adjourned to 23.02.2024 for filing rejoinder.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1541/2023**

S.S. Morey .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief  
Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Mr. S.S. Morey, learned Counsel for the  
Applicant is absent.

3. At the request of learned C.P.O. Adjourned to  
04.03.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1494/2023**

B.S. Shelar .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar,  
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J.  
Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to  
04.03.2024 for filing reply

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1238/2023**

Dr. H.A. Nadaf .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar,  
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P.  
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that during  
the course of the day reply will be filed.

4. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon  
the concern.

5. Adjourned to 04.03.2024 for rejoinder, if  
any.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.802/2023**

A.S. Jachak .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. S.H. Deshpande holding for Mr. A.R. Avachat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 12.02.2024 for filing reply

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

pik

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.575/2023 with O.A.No.538/2023 with  
O.A.No.539/2023 with O.A.No.559/2023 with  
O.A.No.694/2023 with O.A.No.695/2023**

K.R.Dighe & Ors. ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.575/ 2023, Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.559/2023, Ms. Bhoomika Vyas holding for Mr. Kranti L.C., learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.538/2023, O.A.No.539/2023, O.A.No.694/ 2023 & O.A.No.693/ 2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks time.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Kolge has submitted that the matter regarding not allowing double application is challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the same is pending

4. Adjourned to 22.02.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

pik

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.499/2023**

V.P. Gaikwad .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Mr. S. Katkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 is absent.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed.

4. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.

5. Admit. Place for Final Hearing, with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

6. Adjourned to 21.02.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

pik

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**C.A.No.108/2023 in O.A.No.623/2017**

S.G. Shinde .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel Ms. Pawar seeks adjournment.

3. Adjourned to 12.02.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**M.A.No.189/2022 in O.A.NO.613/2019 with  
O.A.No.494/2020 with  
M.A.No.465/2021 in O.A.No.214/2020 with  
O.A.No.603/2021 with  
M.A.No.239/2022 wit O.A.No.24/2022**

S.S. Chavan & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants in M.A. No.465/2021 in O.A.No.214/2020 with O.A. No.603/2021 with M.A.No.239/2022 with O.A. No.24/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants in M.A.No.189/2022 in O.A.No.613 /2019 with O.A.No.494/2020 was not present when the matter was called out.

3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that today Mr. Nitin Dhonge, O.S. is present on behalf of Respondent and he has submitted that the Department will take decision within two months in respect of the Applicant.

4. We make it clear that O.A. is pending since 2019. The matter appeared on board time to time and we have also noted certain facts and submissions in order dated 18.12.2023 wherein two months time was granted to the Respondent to decide the case of the Applicant.

5. At the request of learned C.P.O. we grant three weeks time to the Respondents to decide the case of the Applicant finally.

6. Adjourned to 28.02.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)**

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**M.A.No.597/2023 in O.A.No.1141/2023**

S.C. Chougule ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Paliavi Karanjkar holding for Mr. Ashok Misal, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant was not present when the matter was called out.
3. Learned Counsel Ms. Karanjkar has submitted that during the course of the day she will file reply in M.A.
4. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.
5. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 12.02.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**M.A.No.717/2023 with O.A.No.651/2023**

H.N. Dalavi (M.A.717/2023)

Dr. V.P. Wahane (O.A.651/2023) ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manohkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This matter was substantially heard by the II Division Bench, hence, matter is to be placed before II Division Bench.

3. Adjourned to 13.02.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.361/2022 with O.A.No.362/2022 with  
O.A.No.505/2022 with O.A.No.506/2022 with  
O.A.No.108/2020 with O.A.No.109/2022 with  
O.A.No.468/2020 with O.A.No.469/2020 with  
O.A.No.569/2022 with O.A.No.570/2022**

L.K. Shinde & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants is absent.

3. The following applicants are present today

Applicants from G.S.T. Department.

Mr. Pravin Popal Shinde, Applicant in O.A.No.469/22 & O.A.No.108/2022

Mr. Amit Ramesh Jagtap & Mr. Sachin Bhimrao K., Applicants in O.A.No.468/2022 & O.A.No.109/2022

Applicants from Police Department

Mr. Ramesh Shivaji Khapale, Applicant in O.A.No.569/2022

Mr. Laxman Krishna Shinde, Applicant in O.A.No.361/2022 & O.A.No.362/2022

Mr. Ranjit Chavan, Applicant in O.A.No.506/2022

4. The Applicants whose names are mentioned above are present today alongwith their respective original certificate

5. Learned C.P.O. informs that she would like to go through the certificates of these Applicants. She further states that Applicants order is issued only in respect of the Applicants who are from Police Department.

6. These applicants were asked whether they are aware that on 02.02.2024 learned Counsel Mr. Dere has shown his willingness to withdraw himself from all these matters. All applicants answered in affirmative that they are aware of this incident. However, on our query they said that they want to retain Mr. Dere as their Counsel.

7. Under such circumstances, we are of the view that Counsel cannot withdraw on his own. Once vakalatnama is filed without approval of the client Counsel cannot withdraw himself as the clients are willing to continue him.

8. Adjourned to 07.02.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1352/2023**

A.A. Bhosale & Ors. ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar holding for Mr. P.B. Kamble, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. We direct Respondent M.P.S.C. to arrange for a responsible officer to look into this matter. The concern Officer is directed to remain present along with addresses.

3. Adjourned to 08.02.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1526/2023**

Dr. N.N. Ambadekar & Anr. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.
3. By way of last chance adjourned to 21.02.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.919/2018 with O.A.No.1049/2018**

Y.L. Belgiri (O.A.919/2018)

M.B. Pandit (O.A.1049/2018) ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Adjourned to 20.02.2024. To be shown under the caption 'Expedited Matter'.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.395/2023 with O.A.No.1369/2023**

Dr. Y. Shah & Ors. (O.A.395/2023)

Dr. A.M. Naik (O.A.1369/2023) ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Bhoomika Vyas holding for Mr. Kranti L.C., learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel Adjourned to 12.02.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.325/2018 with O.A.No.13/2020**

A.M. Jadhao (O.A.325/2018)

R.S. Pawar (O.A.13/2020) ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.325/2018, and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.13/ 2020 and Mr. C.T. Chandratre and Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for Respondent no.3 are absent.

3. Adjourned to 06.02.2024 at 10.30 a.m.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1583/2023**

P.P. Pardeshi .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A Bandiwadekar,  
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P.  
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to  
04.03.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 05.02.2024**

**O.A.No.1580/2023**

A.S. Jadhav .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Adjourned to 15.02.2024. To be placed along with connected matters.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.02.2024 O.A.No.1213 of 2023</p> <p>A. B. Jadhav .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that applicant who was working as Administrative Officer retired on 31.07.2019. He states that no D.E. was initiated against him at the time of retirement or till today. He states that applicant is not getting pension or provisional pension but has received other retirement dues. Learned Counsel therefore prays for expeditious hearing of the matter.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to file reply within two weeks by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ( Medha Gadgil ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 05.02.2024</b> <b>O.A.No.858 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>V. T. Patil</b> ....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. S. N. Vaidya, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply and go through the Affidavit filed by learned Counsel for Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O.to 26.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ( <del>Medha Gadgil</del> ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.02.2024 O.A.No.1613 of 2023</p> <p>K. P. Pawar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. Nirbhavane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 and 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ( Medha Gadgil ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.02.2024 O.A.No.1612 of 2023</p> <p>A. N. Atole .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. Nirbhavane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ( Medha Gadgil ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.02.2024 O. A. No.1298 of 2023</p> <p>G. D. Patil ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The Original Application is admitted. It be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ( Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.02.2024 O.A.No.1075 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. Tate .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Today, learned P.O. has produced unaffirmed copy of reply to learned Counsel for the Applicant.</li><li>3. The Original Applicant is admitted with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</li><li>4. S.O. to 14.03.2024.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ( Medha Gadgil ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.02.2024 O.A.No.960 of 2023</p> <p>H. R. Patankar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Office for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is Admitted with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ( Medha Gadgil ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.02.2024 O.A.No.890 of 2023</p> <p>V. A. Patil .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Original Application is admitted. It be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>Medha Gadgil</i> ( Medha Gadgil ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.02.2024 O.A.No.521 of 2023</p> <p>R. S. Gaikwad .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Original Application is admitted. It be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ( Medha Gadgil ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.02.2024 O.A.No.200 of 2023</p> <p>D. S. Chikkhale .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Original Application is admitted. It be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ( Medhā Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.48/2024</u></p> <p><b>Y.B. Gavade</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, Section Officer from Public Works Department and Section Officer from Energy Department is present though we have called Deputy Secretary of Energy Department and Joint Secretary of Public Works Department to remain present.</p> <p>3. We direct the concerned Officers mentioned in order passed by this Tribunal on 01.02.2024 shall remain present on tomorrow.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> February, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  Member-A  05.02.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i>  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b>  Chairperson  05.02.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Tribunal's orders
--	-------------------

M.A.69/2024 with O.A.119/2024

**S.V. Harmalkar & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

*sd*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
**Member-A Chairperson**  
**05.02.2024 05.02.2024**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.120/2024 with O.A.121/2024**

**T.N. Khandekar**  
**M.M. Chavan** ... Applicants  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for Applicants submits that Applicants in both OAs have already filed OA No.341/2023 and OA No.342/2023 thereby challenging the order in respect of passing of 'Departmental Examination'.
3. It is made clear that Candidates who are going to be promoted take note that if promotions are given, it will be subject to outcome of this OA.
4. Keep these OA No.120/2024 and O.A.No.121/2024 along with OA No.341/2023 and OA No.342/2023.
5. S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> February, 2024.

*SK*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)** (Mridula Bhatkar, J)  
Member-A Chairperson  
05.02.2024 05.02.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>M.A.46/2024 with O.A.1500/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>Dr.(Mrs.) A.D. Nagre</b> ... <b>Intervener</b>  <b>And</b>  <b>S.G. Deokar</b> ... <b>Applicant</b>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... <b>Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Intervener, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Intervener submits that Intervener has not given the benefit in Female Category in NCL and therefore, challenges the process.</p> <p>3. In view of this, the Intervention Application is allowed.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate for Applicant to add Intervener in the array of Respondents as 'Respondent No.4' forthwith and serve the copy of OA on proposed Respondent No.4 immediately.</p> <p>5. Respondent No.4 shall file Affidavit-in-Reply within 3 weeks.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.</p> <p align="right"> <i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)  Member-A Chairperson  05.02.2024 05.02.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**M.A.56/2024 with O.A.231/2023**

**V.U. Lokhande** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for Applicant prays that Respondent No.1 – Joint Director of Health Services be directed to furnish the copies of R.T.I. Applications dated 2.2.2022, 26.12.2022 and 29.12.2022 within 2 weeks from today.
3. The prayer made by learned Advocate for Applicant is allowed and MA stands disposed of accordingly.

*sd/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J)**  
Member-A Chairperson  
05.02.2024 05.02.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.231/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>V.U. Lokhande</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 05.02.2024 05.02.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.119/2024

**S.V. Harmalkar & Ors.** ... Applicants  
Vs.  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. We direct the Respondents to consider the prayer in this matter in respect of computation of correct number of total vacancies in view of report of Respondents dated 23.10.2023.
3. Issue notice returnable on 16.02.2024
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="771 551 1487 757">8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p data-bbox="771 793 1539 865">8. S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> February, 2024 in 'Urgent Admission' Caption.</p> <div data-bbox="862 922 1430 1058" style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"><div data-bbox="862 922 1166 1058"><p data-bbox="987 922 1089 963"><i>skw</i></p><p data-bbox="862 963 1166 1058"><b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member-A 05.02.2024</p></div><div data-bbox="1177 922 1430 1058"><p data-bbox="1247 922 1349 963"><i>skw</i></p><p data-bbox="1177 963 1430 1058"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J)</b> Chairperson 05.02.2024</p></div></div> <p data-bbox="771 1051 815 1076">(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.02.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 877/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today on the second set of the Original Application, the Hon'ble Member (A) found a print out of the email addressed to the Chairperson sent by the applicant wherein some personal acquaintance is mentioned as Chairperson, i.e., Member (J) of this Bench. The email is dated 4.10.2023. This letter was not placed before the Chairperson and it was directly annexed to the second set of the O.A. Therefore, this letter is now shown to learned counsel for both the sides and if at all they have any objection to proceed with this Bench.</p> <p>3. After going through the letter, both the learned counsel submits that the matter can be taken up by this Bench.</p> <p>4. S.O to 8.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.02.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 555/2020</u></b></p> <p>D.N Lohkare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Ankita Doke i/b Talekar &amp; Associates, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.02.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 10/2021</u></b></p> <p>N.N Ghode ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 6.2.2024. Part Heard. At 10.15 am.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.02.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 349/2017</u></b></p> <p>K.V Patil ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sonali Pawar holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.02.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 837, 860, 941 &amp; 946/2022</u></b></p> <p>Dr V. Salunkhe Pawar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 20.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.02.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1414, 1436 &amp; 1437/2023</u></b></p> <p>A.S Shirsat &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned counsel for Respondents No 1 &amp; 2 and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned Special Counsel for the Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri Jagdale seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.02.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1415/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.P Itape ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 7.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>05.02.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1564/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.T Kedari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.144 of 2024 to O.A. No.155 of 2024 with O.A. No.156 of 2024</p> <p>A.G. Dhore &amp; Anrs., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A Nos.144/2024 to O.A. Nos.155/2024, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A Nos.156/2024 and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad along with Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocates for Applicants seek 'Interim Relief' on parity which was granted in O.A. No.114 of 2024 with O.A. No.115 of 2024 with O.A. No.122 of 2024.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. opposes grant of 'Interim Relief' on grounds of parity as sought by Advocates for Applicants and states that they will disclose such other 'Additional Information' which have not been placed on record in the batch of O.As. Hence, considering the contention made emphatically by learned P.O., this batch of O.A's will be heard tomorrow on the issue of 'Interim Relief'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. on being asked what set of 'Additional Information' will be disclosed, suggests the following:-</p> <p>A. Whether the other 'Police Officers' who are appointed in the place of 'Applicants' have joined before or after '30.01.2024'.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

- B. Whether tenures of Applicants and other 'Police Officers' in all 'Police Station' & 'Special Branches' have been considered for their transfer within the same 'Revenue District'.
- C. Whether any of the Applicants could have been exempted from transfer as prior to 25.01.2024~~4~~ they were serving in 'Functional Departments'.
- D. Whether Applicants came to be transferred only on grounds of serving in 'Home District'.
- E. Whether transfer of Applicants was effected only on basis of 'Default Report'
5. S.O. to 06.02.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

NMN