

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 05.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1618 of 2023</p> <p>D.M. KokareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Transfer of the Applicant from the post of 'Range Forest Officer (Territorial) Malshiras, Solapur Forest Division, District Solapur' to the post of 'Range Forest Officer, (Social Forestry) Indapur, Social Forestry Division; District Pune' Transfer Order has been issued invoking provision of Section 4(4)(ii) and Section 4(5) of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. produces a copy of the 'File Notings' of the Office of 'Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Hoff), Nagpur' which indicates that Applicant has been transferred on grounds of complaints received from 'Peoples Representatives'. The proposal to transfer the Applicant was submitted for these reasons by the 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial) Pune' to 'Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Hoff), Nagpur' However this seems to at variance from contents of the report submitted by 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, Solapur Forest Division' District Solapur dated 16.06.2023 to 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial) Pune'.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

4. The 'Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Hoff), Nagpur' has nonetheless approved the 'Transfer Order' of Applicant relying on 'Revenue and Forest Division' Notification dated 28.07.2021 by which 'Statutory Powers' of 'Mid-Term' transfers have been delegated to the 'Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Hoff), Nagpur'.

5. The 'Principal Secretary, (Forest) Revenue & Forest Department' has been advised earlier in other cases about the legal infirmity in exercise of 'Statutory Powers' at the level of 'Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Hoff), Nagpur' by relying on 'Revenue and Forest Division' Notification dated 28.07.2021; as it is '*prima-facie*' issued in contravention of provisions of law under Section 4(4)(ii) and Section 4(5) of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.

6. The 'Principal secretary (Forests) Revenue & Forests Department' is informed to act with alacrity and undertake an 'Urgent Review' of delegation of 'Statutory Powers' under Section 4(4)(ii) and Section 4(5) of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' to 'Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Hoff), Nagpur' by 'Revenue and Forest Division' Notification dated 28.07.2021.

7. The Transfer Order dated 04.12.2023 Applicant from post of 'Range Forest Officer (Territorial) Malshiras, Solapur Forest Division, District Solapur' to the post of 'Range Forest Officer, (Social Forestry) Indapur, Social Forestry Division; District Pune' is thus stayed. The Applicant by way of 'Interim Relief' is permitted to serve on post of 'Range Forest Officer (Territorial) Malshiras, Solapur Forest Division, District Solapur' till 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is filed by the 'Principal Secretary (Forests), Revenue & Forests Department' regarding 'Urgent Review' of 'Revenue and Forest Division' Notification dated 28.07.2021.

8. S.O. to 24.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.350 of 2023</p> <p>N. K. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has not complied the order dated 21.07.2023. He is directed to remain present on next date otherwise matter will be dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.01.2024.</p> <p align="center">sd/- sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p align="center">Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.423 of 2023 to O.A. No.426 of 2023</p> <p>A.M. Kamble G.Y. Shelke K.G. Wamane S.D. BiranjeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' was directed to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' regarding Policy Decision about conversion of the cadres of 'Constables' and 'Constables-Cum-Driver' from 'District Level' to 'State Level' and regarding representations submitted by Applicants to be posted back on the establishment of 'Superintendent of State Excise; Pune'.</p> <p>3. The 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' thus to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in any case before next hearing after appropriate 'Modification / Corrigendum' has been issued in respect of 'Transfer Order' dated 14.08.2023; wherein it had been mentioned in 'Para 1' that the transferred 'Constables' and 'Constables-Cum-Driver' would be placed at the bottom of Seniority List.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

J N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1191 of 2023</p> <p>U. B. SankpalApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Pursuant to order dated 04.01.2023, today Shri Nitin Patil, Special Commissioner, GST is present before the Court. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant presses for interim relief to stay Departmental Enquiry. However, we have noticed that inquiry report is submitted by Enquiry Officer where Applicant is held guilty. The said report is required to be processed for further action through proper authority and channel. 3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time as four months are required for completion of process of Departmental Enquiry. 4. We agree that there is inordinate delay in this Departmental Enquiry as far as huge revenue loss of Rs. 650 crores to the Government is involved. 5. At this stage, the matter is of a very serious nature and we want that enquiry should get over within short time. 6. S.O. to 24.01.2024. Within that period, department has to complete all the procedures till the stage of serving notice to the Applicant. 7. S.O. to 24.01.2024 <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.09 of 2024</p> <p>L. M. KhalaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant stated that pursuant to Advertisement dated 10.05.2023 issued by Respondent No.3, the Applicant has appeared for Written Examination for post of Pharmacist. He further stated that Applicant had passed Written Examination. However, on 09.12.2023, when the Respondent No.3 published the Lists of 'Eligible Candidates and Non-Eligible Candidates', the Applicant was placed at Sr. No.58 in the list of 'Non-Eligible Candidates' because he had not produced 'Registration Certificate' as 'Pharmacist' with 'Maharashtra State Pharmacy Council'. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Applicant cannot be declared as 'Non Eligible Candidate' by Respondent No.3 on the ground that he was not registered as 'Pharmacist' with 'Maharashtra State Pharmacy Council'. He further submits that registration of 'Pharmacist' is necessary under Section 29 of the 'Pharmacy Act, 1948'. Under this, there is mandatory requirement of registration of 'Pharmacists'. Learned Counsel submits that Applicant was registered under Section 29 of the 'Pharmacy Act 1948' with 'Gujrat State Pharmacy Council'. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant further submits that as per the requirement of this Section 29 of the Pharmacy Act 1948, it is not necessary that Applicant must be registered with 'Maharashtra State Pharmacy Council'. He is to be eligible for the post of 'Pharmacist' pursuant to eligibility prescribed in Sr. No.9 on 'Annexure-B' of "Rules & Procedure

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

for New Recruitment and Application Form & Information Brochure" of Respondent No.3 which reads as "Have valid registration under the Pharmacy Act 1948". The Applicant holds valid Registration Certificate which is to be taken into account for holding him eligible for appointment to post of 'Pharmacist'.

5. Learned Counsel of the Applicant then submits that on 04.01.2024, the Select List of recommended candidates was published and Appointment Orders were immediately given to selected candidates. He presses that by way of 'Interim Relief' 'One Post' under 'OBC (General)' Category is to be kept available since Applicant is at Sr. No.58 of the 'Merit List'.

6. Learned C.P.O. submits that Select List of recommended candidates was published on 04.01.2024 at 6.00 PM and all recommended candidates were issued Appointment Orders at 10.30 PM and entire process is thus over.

7. On query, learned CPO informed that Total Posts of Pharmacist which were advertised by Respondent No.3 are 169. Out of which 130 candidates of Select List were recommended and Appointment Orders were issued to them on 04.01.2024. Learned C.P.O. submits that 10 posts for 'OBC (General) Category' are filled up. The Applicant has secured 149 marks out of 200 marks. 'Cut-of Marks' for 'OBC (General)' Category is 147 and for 'General (Open)' Category, the Cut-of Marks is 152. From the information of 'Cut-of Marks' provided, it appears that Applicant is at Sr. No.7 in the merit of 'OBC (General) Category' and there are Three Candidates below him.

8. Learned C.P.O. submits that candidates in OBC (General) Category who are given Appointment Orders at Sr. Nos.8, 9 and 10 are from Reserved Categories of 'PWD' and 'Orphan' (PWD-2 and Orphan-1). Therefore, those Three Candidates cannot be disturbed. The Candidate at Sr. No.7 - Shri Vijay Sapkale has secured equal marks as the Applicant i.e. 149.95255.

9. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that age of the Applicant is 35 years. Hence, we direct that appointment of candidate at Sr. No.7 of Shri Vijay Sapkale who is from OBC (General) Category is to be kept in abeyance subject to outcome of this O.A.

10. Service of Notice is waived for the Respondents.

11. The matter is adjourned to 09.01.2024 at the Stage of Admission.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.12 of 2024</p> <p>Shri N. P. Patil & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharte, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants states Applicants are Government Servants who aspire for appointment to the post of Principal/Vice-Principal in Government Industrial Training Institute (Group-A) (Technical) (Junior) (Gazetted). The Applicants have challenged Advertisement No.396/2023 issued by MPSC, dated 15.12.2023. Learned Counsel submits that all Applicants are 'Diploma' holders and 'In Service Candidates'. Applicants challenge is to Rule (9)(a) of the "Director of Vocational Education and Training (Group A) (Gazetted) (Recruitment) Rules 2023", dated 06.09.2023 for appointment to posts of Principal (Junior Scale). 3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to take instructions from the Respondent No.1. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that Last Date of filing of Application Form is 09.01.2024. He prays that matter be heard expeditiously as it involves important issues relating to the 'Union List' and 'Concurrent List' of Constitution of India. 5. We make it clear that some time have to be given to State Government to explain the position as Rule (9)(a) of the Director of Vocational Education and Training (Group A) (Gazetted) (Recruitment) Rules 2023, dated 06.09.2023 which is challenged. 'Prima-facie', if substance is found in the case of the Applicant then last date for filing of Application Form may be extended beyond 09.01.2024.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Final's orders

6. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.01.2024.
8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
11. In case notice is not collected within seven days of service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
12. S.O. to 09.01.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 05.01.2024 Tribunal's orders
	<p>M. A. No.15 of 2024 In O.A.No.12 of 2024Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharate, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.15/2024 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

MHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

of 20

No.

FARAD CONTINUED

Memoranda of Decisions
Administrative Tribunal
Mumbai

O.A.153/2023

A.S. Deshmukh ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. NOC is given by Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant.
3. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate files Vakalatnama.
4. S.O. to 7th February, 2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(~~Debashish Chakrabarty~~) /
Member-A
05.01.2024

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
05.01.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.787 of 2023</p> <p>A. R. DeokarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 and 4.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 16.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.651 of 2023 In M.A.No.717 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V. P. WahaneApplicant</p> <p>H. N. DalaviIntervener</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Intervener.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.01.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 05.01.2024

O.A.No.13 of 2024

N. P. Patil & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharate, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.02.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 02.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.01.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.16 of 2024 In O.A.No.13 of 2024</p> <p>N. P.Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharate, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.16 /2024 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

J N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 05.01.2024

O.A.No.1423 of 2023

A. A. ChavanApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The concerned officers from the office of the M.P.S.C. is directed to remain present with answer on next date.
3. S.O. to 11.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

Date : 05.01.2024 Final's orders

O.A.No.7 of 2024

Dr. Girish Thakur Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Manish Kelkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The Applicant is ENT Professor at present posted at Government Medical College, Alibag holding additional charge at Govt. Medical College, Jalgaon. He apprehends that pursuant to Advertisement dated 15.12.2023, he will be transferred from Alibag and new person will be appointed at his place.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.01.2024.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 17.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1375/2023</u></p> <p>S.P Pujari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1371/2023</u></p> <p>C.N Pisal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1364/2023</u></p> <p>S.R Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O to 16.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1105/2021</u></p> <p>A. Karwande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 31.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.367 of 2023</p> <p>N.B. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer present on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant and Applicant himself have not remained present consistently and therefore if the learned Advocate for Applicant or the Applicant do not remain present on the 'Next Date'; appropriate orders may be passed in O.A. No.367/2023</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.01.2024</p> <p>R.A.No.15/2023 in M.A.No.780/2023 in O.A.No.414/2021</p> <p>Dr. N.S. PadmapaniApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. Kranti L.C. along with Mr. Gidh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.01.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Misc. Application shall stand dismissed. 8. Meanwhile applicant is at liberty to file detailed chronology. Learned C.P.O. to file reply. 9. Adjourned to 19.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1607 of 2023</p> <p>D.J. Amberkar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that O.A. No.1607/2023 may be heard on 08.01.2024 along with O.A. No.840/2023 & O.A. No.841/2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>4. The O.A. No.1607/2023 as well as O.A. No.840/2023 & O.A. No.841/2023 be admitted and kept for Final Hearing (P.H.).</p> <p>5. S.O. to 08.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1546/2023</u></p> <p>A.T Masal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.01.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.103/2023 in O.A.No.972/2022</p> <p>H.S. AlaspureApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted that Contempt Application can be disposed of as the order the Tribunal has been complied with.</p> <p>3. In view of above, C.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.01.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.41/2023 in O.A.No.297/2015</p> <p>U.N. YadavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that during the course of the day reply will be filed.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 09.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1173/2017</p> <p>B.J. BorseApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 12.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 05.01.2024

**R.A.No.15/2023 in M.A.No.780/2023 in
O.A.No.414/2021**

Dr. N.S. PadmapaniApplicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Kranti L.C. along with Mr. Gidh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.01.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Misc. Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Meanwhile applicant is at liberty to file detailed chronology. Learned C.P.O. to file reply.

9. Adjourned to 09.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 05.01.2024

C.A.No.3/2024 in O.A.No.752/2022

N.R. GarjeApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. has informed that the promotion order was issued on 16.11.2022 pursuant to the order of this Tribunal dated 29.09.2022.

3. We are informed by learned C.P.O. on instructions that yesterday the file reached to G.A.D. Department from Revenue Department for implementing the order regarding deemed date of promotion with respect to the Applicant. As per our order dated 29.09.2022 the decision in respect of deemed date was to be taken on or before 15.12.2022. However, such decision is not taken even after one year. Hence, we would like to know who are the persons from the Revenue Department responsible to take the decision in respect of deemed date and move the file within Revenue Department and thereafter to G.A.D. and the persons who are responsible for not moving the file and not taking the decision are directed to remain present.

4. Adjourned to 09.01.2024 at 10.30 a.m.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 05.01.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.499/2022 in O.A.No.31/2022</p> <p>Y.B. WaghApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. Y.B. Wagh Applicant in person is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 09.01.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.398 of 2017

H.B. Desai & 7 Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants pray for revision of pay scale at par with the pay scale of Gardner (Mali). The applicants are working as Assistant, Group-D (Class-iv) in the Catering Department of Raj Bhavan, Mumbai in pay scale of Rs.4440-7440 with GP of Rs.1300. It is prayed that considering their qualification and nature of duties their services the pay scale to be brought equivalent to the pay scale of Gardner (Mali) i.e. Rs.5200-20200 with GP of Rs.1800/-. To be an Assistant the applicants are required to acquire the qualification of 12th standard and one year certificate or Diploma course in Hospitality or Hotel Management. To be a Mali one has to hold qualification of 7th standard and one year Diploma in Gardening or one year certificate course of Mali.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant pointed out that the pay scale of Mali was upgraded in 2015 by GR dated 12.10.2015. The pay scale of Mali was 4440-7440 with GP of Rs.1300 however by GR dated 12.10.2015 their pay scale was revised and Mali was given pay scale of Rs.5200-20200 with GP of Rs.1800.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that GAD being the Principal Department in deciding the appointments at Raj Bhavan, he be permitted to add GAD as party respondent in the array of respondents in the above matter.

5. Leave to amend to add GAD as party respondent is granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy of OA be served to all the respondents within four days.

6. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.

7. S.O. to 2.2.2024. Part heard.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
5.1.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
5.1.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.220 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.S. Hingmire ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 5.1.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 5.1.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.104 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.W. Devrukhkar & 33 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the applicants and Shri K.R. Jagdale, Ld. Advocate Respondent No.1 both are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.1.2024.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 5.1.2024 5.1.2024 (sgj) </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.668 of 2022 with O.A. No.533 of 2023

S.S. Misal

K.S. Dongare

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere holding for Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.668/2022, Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 (K.S. Dongare) in OA No.668/2022 and for Applicant (K.S. Dongare) in OA No.533/2023 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO files reply in OA No.533/2023.

3. Shri Arif Sayyed, Chief Administrative Officer, Office of Dy. Director, Health Services, Pune is present in the Court.

4. S.O. to 16.1.2024. Part heard.

Sd/-

(Medhā Gadgil)

Member (A)

5.1.2024

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson

5.1.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1060 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.S. Charankar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Prashant Suryawanshi holding for Shri G.M. Savagave, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that their brief is missing and she seeks permission to reconstruct the brief.</p> <p>3. Permission granted to reconstruct the brief.</p> <p>4. The applicant was working as Deputy Director of Small Savings. He retired on 30.6.2008. He challenged the order dated 1.1.2016 passed by the Principal Secretary, Finance Department. The applicant faced a DE for the charge of bogus claim of incentive grant. The applicant was saddled with the punishment of an amount of Rs.6,52,108/- to be recovered as one time due to the loss suffered by the Government and permanent reduction of 10% from the pension. The applicant challenged the order dated 13.6.2016 of disciplinary authority before the Government in appeal and the Hon'ble Governor at the relevant time delegated the charge to the Hon'ble Minister of Higher and Technical Education. The Hon'ble Minister partly allowed the appeal though recovery of Rs.6,52,018/- was maintained. Second clause of the punishment i.e. about permanent reduction of 10% in pension was cancelled.</p> <p>5. A responsible officer from the Finance Department is required to remain present in the Tribunal to assist the Tribunal and the Ld. PO.</p> <p>6. S.O. 8.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 5.1.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 5.1.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 729/2023</u></p> <p>A.N Upadhay ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.W Sawant holding for Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 1.3.2024.</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Sd/- _____</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 762/2023</u></p> <p>V.H Bharti & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 29.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 275/2023</u></p> <p>S.B Belunkhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 848 with 997/2022</u></p> <p>V.P Saravade A.T Joseph ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 408/2022</u></p> <p>A. Nazirkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O for the Respondents adjourned to 8.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1286/2023</u></p> <p>J.N Gosavi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1275/2023</u></p> <p>K.K Sangh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwd, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1208/2023</u></p> <p>S.C Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.1.2024.</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 967/2023</u></p> <p>M.B Magar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 891/2023</u></p> <p>M.P Raut ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel request for one weeks' time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.1.2024.</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Sd/- _____</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 847/2023</u></p> <p>M.A Jare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 30.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ <i>Jin</i></p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1456 & 1429/2023</u></p> <p>G.P Girhe B.D Devkate ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that reply is filed in O.A 1429/2023 and seeks time to file reply in O.A 1456/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ <i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1426/2023</u></p> <p>A.B Mesare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 19.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ ↑ r v v -</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1376/2023</u></p> <p>S.B Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1352/2023</u></p> <p>A.A Bhosale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.B Kamble, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that M.P.S.C is not providing address of the private Respondents.</p> <p>4. M.P.S.C is directed to provide address of the private Respondents to the learned counsel for the applicants before 10.1.2024.</p> <p>5. S.O to 30.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>1205/2022</u></p> <p>A.M Sapkal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel files Written Notes of Arguments. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O to 16.1.2024.</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  11/2 (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>05.01.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1546/2023</u></p> <p>A.T Masal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

**M.A.No.783/2023 in O.A.No.481/2023 with
M.A.No.784/2023 in O.A.No.1391/2023**

R.B. Mote & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

Mr. Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants.
Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.5 to 7

**CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson
Ms. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)**

DATE : 05.01.2024

O R D E R

1. Learned Counsel has submitted that M.As. are filed seeking interim relief in O.As. Learned Counsel has submitted that the applicants aspire to be appointed on the posts of Craft Instructor pursuant to the advertisement No.1/2022 dated 17.08.2022. Learned Counsel has submitted that the provisional list of the selected candidates is published on 08.12.2023. Learned Counsel has submitted that 8 Applicants in O.A.No.481/2023 and 11 applicants in O.A.No.1391/2023 i.e., in all 19 Applicants in these two O.As. are working as Craft Instructors on clock hourly basis since 9 to 12 years. Now, on account of publication of Provisional select list regular candidates are going to be appointed on regular basis and the present

applicants are likely to be removed so he prays that the services of these applicants be protected.

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Talekar in support of his submissions has relied on the letter issued on 03.01.2024 by the Director, Vocational Education and Training, Pune. The said letter is addressed to the Principal, ITI coming under the said Department. Learned Counsel has submitted that by this letter it discloses that there is demand of Craft Instructors on clock hourly basis from some Institutes as the new term has started and students have taken admission. However, the posts are vacant in those Institutes coming under the Respondent-Department and therefore the Joint Director has given permission to appoint Craft Instructors on clock hourly basis on these posts by adhering strictly to the terms and conditions as mentioned in the G.R. dated 15.11.2011.
3. Learned P.O. has submitted that the Respondent-Department has issued advertisement for 1457 posts of Craft Instructor. Provisional select list of 1457 candidates is published for regular appointment. Learned P.O. on instructions has submitted that from 18.12.2022 to 22.12.2022 the document verification is over and now the list of eligible and non-eligible candidates out of the provisional select list of candidates will be published within two to three days. Learned P.O. has pointed out that interim relief is granted by order dated 17.08.2023 passed in M.A.No.507/2023 in O.A.No.481/2023.
4. We direct the Skills Development Department to file short affidavit answering the following queries :

- 1 As on today how many posts of Craft Instructors are sanctioned for the Maharashtra ?
 - 2 As on today how many posts are available on regular basis and how many posts are vacant ?
 - 3 How many posts are advertised ?
 - 4 How many posts the Department does not want to fill up ?
 - 5 Whether the Department wants to fill up the posts from outsourcing, if yes, how many of them ?
 - 6 Whether the Department wants to fill up the posts on clock hourly basis and how many of them ?
 - 7 As on today how many Craft Inspectors are working on clock hourly basis in all Institutes in the State of Maharashtra ?
5. Adjourned to 10.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 05.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1457 of 2023</p> <p>A.D. Nikose,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant. 4. S.O. to 12.01.2024. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 05.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1217 of 2023</p> <p>S.V. LonkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that she needs 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant. 4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 5. S.O. to 31.01.2024. <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 05.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.708 of 2023</p> <p>M.S. NaikApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms R.S. Sinhasane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that as per information received from the Applicant; 'District Collector, Kolhapur' is yet to pass order as per direction given on 13.12.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>