

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 490/2018
(Bhaudas Dhannodas Vaishnav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **04-08-2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ku. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is being heard day to day from 02-08-2022. On 02-08-2022, arguments were commenced and by consent of both parties matter was adjourned to 03-08-2022 and it was kept in the category of part heard matter. On 03-08-2022 again the arguments were heard for some time and when it was noticed that the order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court on 20-02-2004 is required to be placed on record, time was sought by the learned Counsel to place the said order on record. According to her request, the matter was kept for further hearing today.

3. Today, during the course of arguments, it is revealed that the applicant had filed O.A. before this Tribunal bearing O.A.No.559/1997. Same was dismissed on 18-01-2002. Against the said order the applicant preferred Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court and the same was also dismissed. It is the contention of the learned Counsel for the applicant that against the said order, applicant

preferred Special Leave Petition (SLP) before the Hon'ble Apex Court and the Hon'ble Apex Court disposed of the said SLP vide order passed on 09-07-2004.

4. It is the contention of the applicant that though the applicant was absorbed in the services by the respondents on the strength of the order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court on 09-07-2004, the applicant must be deemed to be in service from the date of his appointment i.e. from 11-04-1986, and based on this it is the further contention of the applicant that he was entitled for the first time bound promotion or in lieu of that the first benefit of assured career progression scheme (ACPS) in the year 1998 after completing 12 years' service and thereafter second time bound promotion in the year 2010. It is not in dispute that the applicant retired on 29-01-2011.

5. The request so made in the O.A. has been opposed by the respondents by filing their affidavit in reply. In the affidavit in reply, it has been contended that the benefit of ACPS has been granted to the Government servants who fulfill certain criteria like educational qualification, passing departmental examination, successful completion of training and minimum required performance in the Annual Confidential Reports for preceding 5 years. It is the contention of the respondents that the applicant did not work continuously for a period of 5 years before the date from which he is claiming the benefit of first time bound promotion. The record shows that the applicant was terminated on 05-12-1986, against which Writ Petition was filed by the applicant and interim relief was granted in the said Writ Petition on 03-01-1987. The Hon'ble High Court directed to maintain status quo as on the said date.

The record and pleadings further reveal that the applicant was again terminated on 27-11-1997, and against the said order, present applicant preferred O.A.No.559/1997. The said O.A. came to be dismissed on 18-01-2002, against which Writ Petition was preferred by the applicant, and the same also came to be dismissed in the year 2002, against which SLP was preferred and in the said SLP as mentioned hereinabove, the Hon'ble Supreme Court disposed of the said SLP on 09-07-2004 with following order:

“UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

It is now established that there are four vacancies in the cadre of Ropvan Kotwal. The respondent State, was directed by the order of this Court dated 20th February, 2004, to accommodate the four petitioners in the said cadre. They shall comply with the same at the earliest. Petitioners' seniority shall be counted according to their rank in the list.

The SLP is disposed of with the above direction.”

6. Since the reference is given in the aforesaid order of the previous order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court on 20-02-2004, we deem it appropriate to reproduce the said order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court, which reads thus:

“UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

On the facts of this case, we direct that next four vacancies in the cadre of Ropvan Kotwal in the Department of Social Forestry shall be made available to the petitioners herein who are not accommodated yet.

List the matters after three months.”

7. It is the contention of the learned Counsel for the applicant that on the basis of the order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court, the applicant shall be deemed to have been regularized in service from the date of his initial appointment i.e. from 11-04-1986 and on the said basis the benefits of first and second time bound promotion be granted to the applicant.

8. It has to be stated that the applicant has not filed on record the necessary documents either at the time of filing the present O.A. in the year 2018 or till this date. Whether the applicant was fulfilling the criteria for grant of time bound promotion or the benefit of the ACPS, is nowhere explained by the applicant. Applicant has not produced on record any information as to for how many days the applicant did actually work during the period between 11.4.1986 to 11.4.1998 and how was his performance during the said period if he had worked. Hence, the very contention that the order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court shall be interpreted to mean that the regularization was granted to the applicant and he shall be deemed to be in the service of the respondents w.e.f. 11-04-1986 is difficult to be accepted. Complete facts are not brought on record by the applicant.

9. As has been contended on behalf of the applicant, against the order of termination passed on 5.12.1986 the applicant had preferred Writ Petition bearing Writ Petition No. 201/1987 before Aurangabad Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High Court. It is the further contention of the applicant that interim relief was granted in the said matter in favour of the applicant. The copy of the interim order so passed by the Hon'ble High Court is placed on record which demonstrates that the interim relief in terms of prayer

clause (B) was granted by the Hon'ble High Court and thereby the operation of the impugned order of termination dated 5.12.1986 was stayed. However, it is not disclosed by the applicant whether the said Writ Petition was ultimately allowed or any other final order was passed in the said Writ Petition or is the said Writ Petition still pending.

10. It is the further contention of the applicant that he was again terminated on 27.11.1997. The said order of termination was challenged by the applicant before this Tribunal by filing O.A. The applicant has however not disclosed the number of said O.A. As further contended by the applicant the said O.A. was dismissed by the Tribunal vide order dated 12.3.2002. In para 4 of the O.A. it is contended that the order of termination dated 27.11.1997 and the order passed by this Tribunal on 12.3.2002 are annexed with O.A. and marked as Annex. A-3 and A-4 respectively. On perusal however it is noticed that Annex. A-4 is some different document and is not the order passed by this Tribunal on 12.3.2002. The order dated 12.3.2002 is not found in the entire compilation.

11. It is the further contention of the applicant that against the said order passed by the Tribunal on 12.3.2002 the applicant had preferred the Writ Petition bearing Writ Petition No. 650/2002 before Aurangabad Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court. It is further averred that the said Writ Petition was dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court vide judgment and order dated 12.3.2002. It is surprising that the date of the order passed by the Tribunal is stated as 12.3.2002 and the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in the Writ Petition preferred against the said order is also stated to have been passed on 12.3.2002. Both the

orders cannot be of one and the same date. The applicant has not filed copy of the Writ Petition on record. The applicant has also not filed on record the copy of the order passed in Writ Petition No. 650/2002. It is however further contended that the Hon'ble High Court dismissed the said Writ Petition against which SLP was filed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the same came to be disposed of vide order dated 9.7.2004. The said order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court is also stated to be filed on record as Annex. A-5. On perusal of the said order it was revealed that the Hon'ble Supreme Court had directed the respondent State to accommodate 4 petitioners as was directed by it vide order passed on 20.2.2004. The said order dated 20.2.2004 was however not filed on record. The learned counsel for the applicant on 3.8.2022 sought time to file the same on record and accordingly filed it on record on 4.8.2022. Vide the said order the Hon'ble Supreme Court had directed the respondents to make available to the petitioners next 4 vacancies in the cadre of Ropvan Kotwals in the Department of Social Forestry. On the basis of the said order the applicant has preferred the present O.A. seeking directions against the respondents to grant to the applicant time bound promotion with deemed dates 11.4.1998 and 11.4.2010 with arrears and consequential benefits.

12. From the relief as has been claimed it appears that the applicant is requiring this Tribunal to hold that the applicant was in continuous service with the respondents without any break from 11.4.1986 and was also fulfilling all other requirements for grant of time bound promotions and in lieu of that benefits of Assured Career Progression Scheme (for short ACPS).

13. After having considered the pleadings of the parties and the documents filed on record it does not appear to us that on the basis of material on record it may be possible to accept the request of the applicant. The applicant has not filed even the material documents on record. In absence of the concrete and clear information as about continuous working of the applicant on the post of Ropvan Kotwal from the date of initial appointment and in absence of further record as about the performance of the applicant in the relevant period, the applicant cannot be held entitled for the relief as prayed by him. The applicant has failed to establish his entitlement for the relief claimed in the present OA. Hence, the following order :-

ORDER

The Original Application is rejected, however, without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.62/2020
(Dr. Maheshkumar Mane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.G.Salunke, learned Advocate Counsel for Shri S.K.Naikwade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. When the present matter is taken up for consideration, it is noticed that ample opportunities are already availed by the respondents for filing affidavit in reply. Till today, however, the reply is not filed and again time is sought by the learned CPO for filing affidavit in reply.

3. The record shows that on 25-11-2021, in the order passed by this Tribunal, it was specifically stated that time is granted by way of last chance. Thereafter, at least on 4 occasions leniency has been shown and time is extended. It appears to us that no more leniency is required to be shown.

3. Learned CPO submits that he is not feeling well today. In view thereof, S.O. to 10-08-2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.664/2021 WITH M.A.NO.77/2022
(Sanjay Gangawane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has tendered affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. Same is taken on record. Copy is given to the other side. List the matter for hearing.
3. S.O. to 11-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.799/2021
(Shirish Yadav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time on the ground that he is not well today. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 25-08-2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.807/2021
(Sahebrao Ghadge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ganesh R. Jadhav, learned Counsel holding for Shri M.B.Kolpe, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned CPO has sought time to file affidavit in reply. It is brought to our notice that on the previous date only the last chance was granted till today, however, reply is not filed.

3. In the interest of justice, we grant two weeks' time to file reply, however, subject to costs of Rs.2000/- to be deposited along with the affidavit in reply on the next date.

3. S.O. to 23-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

C.P.NO.13/2022 IN O.A.NO.130/2017
(Priyanka Dongre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B.Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. No one is present for the applicant.
3. S.O. to 28-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.166/2022
(Dharamsing Singal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.M.Chate, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. In the present matter on the previous date i.e. on 21-07-2022, the following order was passed:

"2. The record of the present O.A. shows that after filing of the present O.A. no one has sincerely attended the present O.A. On previous four dates none appeared for the applicant. Today also none appeared for the applicant. However, in the interest of justice the matter is adjourned after two weeks by way of last chance. If the matter is not proceeded further on the given date the same shall be dismissed for want of prosecution."

3. Today also no one has caused appearance in the present matter. It appears to us that applicant has lost interest in prosecuting the matter. Hence the following order:

ORDER

O.A. is dismissed for want of prosecution.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.678/2022
(Chandrakant Shrawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.P.Shinde, learned Counsel for the applicant and *Shri* M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that he will file application for condonation of delay in the present matter and therefore sought time. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 29-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.1104/2022
(Ashok Pandagale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.M.Hajare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Counsel on instructions received from the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to approach the appropriate forum. Leave is granted.

3. O.A. is disposed of being withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.1105/2022
(Swarupchand Dabhade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.M.Hajare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Counsel on instructions received from the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to approach the appropriate forum. Leave is granted.

3. O.A. is disposed of being withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.364/2019 IN C.P.NO.43/2018 IN O.A.NO.838/2015,
122/2018, 558/2018 & 376/2019
(Ramdas T. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P.Bhumkar, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 22-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.870/2019
(Dr. Devrao Dakhure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Arguments are heard for some time. Part heard.
3. S.O. to 23-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.532/2020
(Hemant Kinhikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket Joshi, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 29-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.512/2022
(Sunil Magre & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.A.Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant seeks leave to place on record the judgments passed by Hon'ble Rajasthan High Court and the Hon'ble the Apex Court. Both judgments are taken on record.

13. S.O. to 12-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

C.P.NO.19/2021 IN O.A.NO.890/2018
(Shaikh Hajrahee V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 10-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.83/2017
(Sayyad Jarinabai Raisodding & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.A.Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant
and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting
Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 10-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.566/2017
(Rajendra Mane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. On request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 23-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.960/2018
(Sunil Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. No one is present for the applicant. The matter pertains to year 2018 and it has to be heard on priority. In the interest of justice, S.O. to 30-08-2022 by way of last chance. Parties to note that if the matter is not proceeded further on the next given date necessary orders would follow:

3. S.O. to 30-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.246/2019
(Shriniwas Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B.Solanke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. In the present matter, affidavits in reply are already filed. Learned P.O. submits that, inadvertently on previous date he sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Both sides prayed for keeping the matter for hearing on the next date.

3. S.O. to 05-09-2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.556/2019
(Dr. Shamkant Gawande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. While allowing the respondents to file reply cost was imposed. Learned P.O. today has brought to our notice that reply in the said matter was already filed.

3. In view of the submissions so made and in view of the fact that the affidavit in reply is already there on record, the earlier order imposing costs is recalled. List for hearing on next date.

4. S.O. to 08-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.573/2019
(Dr. Eknath Male V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for respondent no.3, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant has tendered across the bar communication received to him from the applicant in the present matter, wherein the applicant has instructed the learned Counsel to withdraw the present O.A. The communication is taken on record.

3. In view of the submissions of the learned Counsel for the applicant, O.A. stands disposed of since withdrawn without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1044/2019
(Tukaram Katare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.A.Bide, learned Counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant. In the interest of justice, S.O. to 16-09-2022, by way of last chance. If the matter is not prosecuted further on the next date, same shall be dismissed for want of prosecution.
3. S.O. to 16-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.451/2020
(Shrihari Solanke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Kuldeep S. Patil/P.D.Hajare, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 22-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.511/2021
(Dr. Sujitkumar Randive V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D.Sugdare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. In the present matter, on the previous date i.e. on 21-07-2022 following order was passed:

“2. In the present matter the learned Presenting Officer has again sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Learned counsel for the applicant brought to our notice that several opportunities are already availed by the respondents. However, in the interest of justice by way of last chance time of two weeks is granted to file affidavit in reply.

3. It is clarified that if the affidavit in reply is not filed within given period, the concerned responsible officer will be saddled with personal costs of Rs.5000/-.”

3. In spite of the order as aforesaid, today learned P.O. has again sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the State Government authorities stating that parawise reply is already forwarded for approval of the concerned authority but the approval is not yet received.

4. Record reveals that due opportunities are already given to the respondents for filing their affidavits in reply. The order which we have reproduced above, in many words clarifies that, in any case, reply was to be filed by the respondents till today, else concerned officer will be saddled personally with costs of Rs.5000/-. We are not accepting the request of the learned P.O. to provide some more time on the ground that parawise reply is already forwarded, when the direction was to file affidavit in reply, it must have been filed on record by the respondents.

5. In the circumstances, though we are giving time to the respondent State authorities to file affidavit in reply, the condition in the earlier order has to be complied with by the concerned officer, who is responsible for delay in filing the affidavit in reply and he/she is subjected to pay an amount of Rs.5000/- along with affidavit in reply to be filed till next date.

6. Now, Shri V.M.Vibhute, learned Counsel files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.5 (District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Osmanabad). It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

7. S.O. to 17-08-2022.

MEMBER (A)

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.444/2022
(Bibhishan Hawale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.V.Thombre, learned Counsel holding for
Shri S.S.Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicant
and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting
Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 05-09-2022. In the meanwhile, if
contingency arises, it would be open for the applicant
to move the matter for interim order.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.397/2021 IN O.A.NO.139/2021
(Sunil R. Base V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
DATE : 04-08-2022
ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.N.Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Vivek U. Rathod, learned Counsel for respondent nos.6 and 7, are present.

2. Affidavit in rejoinder is tendered by the learned Counsel for the applicant. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side. Pleadings are complete. List the matter for hearing.

3. S.O. to 13-09-2022.

MEMBER (A)
YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 04-08-2022

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.644 OF 2022
(Dr. Bhausahab N. Chalak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vinod M. Vibhute, learned Advocate holding for Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 08.09.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 08.09.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.698 OF 2022
(Ahmed Khan Inyat Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri W.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri Girish N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 08.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.349 OF 2020
(Prakash S. Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed a **leave note**. Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 08.09.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.248 OF 2021
(Sunil S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1,5 & 6 and Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 4.

2. Record shows that the pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to interest on delayed payment. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 12.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.432 OF 2021
(Mayadevi S. Khadiwale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the respondent No.2.

2. Learned Advocate for the respondent No.2 submits that the respondent No.2 adopts the affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.1.

3. S.O. to 24.08.2022 for hearing at the stage of admission.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.449 OF 2021
(Dr. Arun S. Shirurkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.08.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.451 OF 2021
(Dr. Suresh M. Betkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.08.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.601 OF 2021
(Ramesh M. Darekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to regularization as per G.R. dated 24.04.2001. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 29.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.41 OF 2022
(Nagesh D. Harne & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to appointment on compassionate ground. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 26.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.591 OF 2019 IN M.A.ST.NO.2308 OF 2019 IN
M.A.NO.308 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1257 OF 2018
(Bapurao D. Pattewale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant originally filed O.A.St.No.1257/2018 along with delay condonation application bearing M.A.No.308/2018 for seeking to challenge the recovery order dated 16.06.2017 issued by the respondent No.3. The said M.A.No.308/2018 was dismissed for default.

3. Thereafter, the applicant filed Misc. Application St.No.2308 of 2019 seeking restoration of the above said proceedings and as there was delay, he also made application bearing M.A.St.No.2307 of 2019 for condonation of delay.

4. I have heard the arguments advanced by Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents on other hand.

5. Learned P.O. for the respondents opposed the application.

//2//

6. It is the contention of the applicant that he is 64 years old and he is suffering from paralysis central disc herniation since 2017. To substantiate the said contention, learned Advocate for the applicant placed on record the report of MRI of Cervical Spine with Screening Brain of the applicant. It shows that the applicant is suffering from mild cerebral atrophy.

7. The restoration application along with delay condonation application is filed on or about 27.11.2019. In view of same, there is delay of about one year in filing the said application. Considering the date of dismissal dated 25.10.2018.

8. Considering the reason stated in the application, in my opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay by taking liberal view and restore the O.A.St.No.1257 along with original delay condonation application. I, therefore, proceed to pass the following order:-

ORDER

- (A) M.A.St.No.2307/2019 and M.A.St.No.2308/2019 are allowed.
- (B) The delay is condoned and the M.A.No.308 of 2018 along with O.A.St.No.1257 of 2018 are restored to file for disposal in accordance with law.
- (C) No order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.592 OF 20196 IN O.A.ST.NO.2196 OF 2019
(Arvind A. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.P. Bakal, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder in M.A.

3. S.O. to 29.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.284 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.823 OF 2021
(Sandipan G. Kare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.08.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.427 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1816 OF 2021
(Gajanan B. Dangde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is closed for order.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.748 OF 2017
(Parasram N. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Anaga Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1,2, 4 to 6 and Smt. S.S. Bhuse, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent all the parties, S.O. to 18.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.528 OF 2019
(Dr. Kishor H. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., S.O. to 11.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.445 OF 2020
(Narsing N. Mudiraj V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., S.O. to 29.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.237 OF 2021
(Kakasaheb K. Thote V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 06.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.532 OF 2007
(Amir Khan Ibrahim Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the Criminal Revision No.20/2006 is still pending before the Hon'ble High Court.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.721 OF 2017
(Subhash K. Parlikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. S.A. Dhongde (Upadhyay), learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.49 OF 2018
(Ravindra V. Sapkale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Harshal P. Randhir, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.894 OF 2018
(Shivaji B. Satote V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 & 4.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 12.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.54 OF 2019
(Varsha S. Pawara V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prakashsing B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2. Shri V.C. Patil (Ashtekar), learned Advocate for the respondent No.3, is **absent**.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.122 OF 2019
(Shaikh Ahmed Abdul Satter Mujawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Prakashsing B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.427 OF 2019
(Ranjeet S. Savale (Dhangar) V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.984 OF 2019
(Dr. Prithviraj K. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 25.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1080 OF 2019
(Ravindra S. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 4 and Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.160 OF 2020
(Shrirang P. Jarhad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shrirang P. Jarhad, the party in person, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 to 3 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.293 OF 2020
(Vilas U. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.20 OF 2021
(Ramraje S. Chandane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed a **leave note**. Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.34 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.122 OF 2021
(Vijay R. Shringare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 07.09.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.103 OF 2021
(Dr. Harishchandra T. Kokani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri Rakesh N. Jain, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 12.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.359 OF 2021
(Hajrabee Nurbee Shaikh Nijam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ravindra V. Gore, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1,5 & 6, Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 4 and Shri Abed M. Pathan, learned Advocate for the respondent No.7.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 29.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.921 OF 2019
(Dr. Aasma Kalim Siddiqui V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Kendre, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Adgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 25.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.75 OF 2020
(Jitendra V. Sarde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1. None present on behalf of the respondent No.2, though duly served.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.1.

3. S.O. to 24.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.154 OF 2020
(Venkatesh V. Joshi @ Varsha V. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Mujahed Hussain, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the respondent No.4, one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.4.

3. S.O. to 26.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.173 OF 2020
(Dr. Santosh S. Palve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.M. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.3 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4.

2. Record shows that notices are not served on the respondent Nos.1 & 2.

3. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.08.2022 for taking necessary steps.

4. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondent No.3 and learned Advocate for the respondent No.4, one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

5. S.O. to 23.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.298 OF 2020
(Ramraje G. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.08.2022 for complying the order dated 20.01.2021.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.456 OF 2020
(Parvatibai B. Mali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 29.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.309 OF 2021
(Dinesh R. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Pramod D. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.96 OF 2022
(Nagraj S. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 25.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.419 OF 2022
(Dr. Bharat R. Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.420 OF 2022
(Dr. Rajashri B. Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.506 OF 2022
(Mangala V. Donde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Amit S. Savale, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 25.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.595 OF 2022
(Dr. Dinesh S. Ravtale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 06.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.622 OF 2022
(Sharda M. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 06.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.84 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.263 OF 2021
(Raju P. Korde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri N.P. Bangar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 to 6.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.3 to 6 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 08.09.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.320 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.326 OF 2021
(Kalpana B. Padwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Ware, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.346 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.904 OF 2021
(Kantabai C. Narwade & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri U.P. Giri, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondent Nos.4 & 5.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 07.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.351 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1391 OF 2021
(Dr. Surekha S. Totale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.09.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.252 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.954 OF 2022
(Pallavi E. Bhand V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.O. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 07.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.579 OF 2020
(Dr. Shaikh Ambreen Fatima Abdul Hafiz V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Gate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that on 24.06.2022 most last chance was granted to the respondents to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. Today also learned P.O. seeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply.

4. In view of same, though the learned P.O. for the respondents seeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply, the respondents are not entitled for seeking time.

5. In view of above, the prayer for granting time is rejected. The matter to proceed further in accordance with law.

6. The burden is upon the applicant to produce on record the requisite documents necessary for deciding the said Original Application.

7. S.O. to 29.08.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.31 OF 2022
(Vijay R. Bangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4. Shri Girish B. Kadlag, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5, is **absent**.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.3 and 4 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side. In spite of grant of several opportunities, no affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

3. S.O. to 30.08.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.422 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1760 OF 2021
(Abhijeet G. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1, 4 & 5 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 & 3 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1,4 & 5.

4. S.O. to 08.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.502 OF 2022
(Mohammad Siddiq Mohammad Sarwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri W.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent.

3. S.O. to 07.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.17 OF 2022
(Baburao M. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Gate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.08.2022 for making necessary application.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.584 OF 2021
(Malti A. Khairnar @ Arunkumar N. Khairnar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.1.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder to the affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 to 4.

4. S.O. S.O. to 25.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.178 OF 2021
(Nandkishor C. Ramdin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 06.09.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.130 OF 2021
(Sunil P. Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 07.09.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.18 OF 2022
(Ashok S. Nikam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 04.08.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 07.09.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 709 OF 2022

(Jyoti B. Ghadoje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai-

1. Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on **06.09.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **06.09.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

KPB – REGISTRAR NOTICE

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 706 OF 2022

(Suhas T. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai-

1. Shri P.G. Rodge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on **05.09.2022**. The case be listed for admission hearing on **05.09.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 313 OF 2019
(Dr. Mahendra A. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Chaitanya Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 12.09.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 336 OF 2019
(Anil S. Navale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.R. Tapse, learned counsel for the applicant
(**Absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer
for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for
filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time
granted.

3. S.O. to 12.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 349 OF 2019
(Dadasaheb M. Kewat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 13.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 501 OF 2019
(Kashinath G. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Amol Gandhi, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 761 OF 2019
(Vitthal G. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Siddarth Shinde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 13.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 850 OF 2019
(Shrikant K. Madilwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.N. Farooqui, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 14.09.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 987 OF 2019
(Bhimrao B. Shirshipurkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.R. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1076 OF 2019
(Ramling S. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 15.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 352 OF 2022
(Rajaram C. Sevalikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 16.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 458 OF 2022
(Dr. Suresh R. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 19.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 461 OF 2022
(Sunil P. Vispute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 19.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 524 OF 2022
(Anil C. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.R. Zambre, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 20.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 537 OF 2022
(Namdeo M. Wade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 20.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 621 OF 2022
(Dattatray A. Kakade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Swaraj Tandale, learned counsel holding for Shri P.C. Kale, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 20.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 97/2021 in O.A. St. No. 329/2021
(Baban R. Zagade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 94/2022 in O.A. St. No. 329/2021
(Dipak S. Shrkhane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.J. Kore, learned counsel for the applicant
(**Absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for
the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for
filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
Time granted.
3. S.O. to 06.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 95/2022 in O.A. St. No. 109/2022
(Sanjay V. Mhaske V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.

3. S.O. to 07.09.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 612 OF 2014
(Vidya T. Sakhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.L. Kute, learned counsel for the applicant
(**Absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting
Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 12.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 42 OF 2016
(Jailal G. Kasliwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 626 OF 2016
(Savita U. Hake V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 226 OF 2017
(Baliram S. Kakade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 13.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 829, 830 & 831 ALL OF 2017
(Dattatraya D. Jaybhay & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.D. Godbharle, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. (**Absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As., is present.

2. S.O. to 14.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 831 OF 2017
(Chudaman D. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shrikant Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 15.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 674 OF 2018
(Emam N. Mirza V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 15.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 695 OF 2018
(Prakash B. Phule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 729 OF 2018
(Satish N. Shrimangle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Dilip Mutalik, learned counsel holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 16.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 731 OF 2018
(Ramesh J. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Prakashsingh B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 774 & 777 BOTH OF 2018
(Narayan D. Nagtilak & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.P. Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicants in both the O.As. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the O.As., are present.

2. S.O. to 20.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 900 OF 2018
(Bhika M. Pathare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 907 OF 2018
(Prabhakar A. Satdive V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 76 OF 2019
(Nilesh S. Badgajar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.V. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 24.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 464 OF 2019
(Dayand F. Gange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 925 OF 2018
(Kisan D. Pawar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

S/shri A.B. Rajkar & A.C. Deshpande, learned counsels for the respective applicants in respective O.As. and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the O.As., are present.

2. S.O. to 22.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 263 OF 2020
(Ravindra M. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 435 OF 2020
(Ratikant R. Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

C.P. No. 09/2021 in O.A. No. 17/2018
(Dadabhau T. Parte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 06.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 590 OF 2020
(Ankush B. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri S.R. Shirsat, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 25.08.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 46 OF 2021
(Pradhuman R. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.M. Shinde, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 22.09.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 128 OF 2021
(Pradeep M. Thakkarwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel holding for Shri G.V. Mohekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 6 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 15.09.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 212 OF 2021
(Dr. Rahul P. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.09.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 213 OF 2021
(Dr. Ashok S. Dhumal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.09.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 551 OF 2021
(Ram D. Anerao & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 22.08.2022 for filing affidavit in reply as a last chance.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 727 OF 2021
(Dipak D. Joshi & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Smt. A. N. Ansari, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 14.09.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 90 OF 2022
(Dr. Pravin K. Munde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.K. Chavan, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 22.09.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 222/2019 in O.A. St. No. 581/2019
(Namdeo M. Bawiskar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri B.G. Lathe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 30.08.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 79/2021 in O.A. St. No. 288/2021
(Pralhad V. Fiske V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.D. More, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 30.08.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 12/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1746/2021
(Keshavrao W. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04.08.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 12.09.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDERS 04.08.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.02/2020
(Prakash Pandurang More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 04-08-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.R.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By filing the present O.A. the applicant has questioned the order passed by the respondent no.2 Superintendent of Police, Nandurbar whereby he has removed the present applicant from the services and has also challenged the order passed by the Appellate Authority, which has confirmed the order passed by the Disciplinary Authority.

3. The applicant came to be appointed as Police Constable in the year 2007 on compassionate ground. He remained absent for quite a long period without obtaining prior permission and without making any application seeking leave and without submitting any

sick memo. The first period of absence was from 10-10-2010 to 28-01-2011. It seems that thereafter he was allowed to resume the duties and he reported for the duty and worked till 06-05-2011. However, from 07-05-2011 again he did not report for duty and remained absent without any intimation till 02-09-2011 for 119 days i.e. almost for the period of 4 months. The record reveals that even thereafter he was allowed to join duties, and accordingly, he reported for duties and worked only for a few days thereafter. From 08-10-2011, he again remained absent without any prior intimation. At this point of time, period of absence was quite long. He remained absent till 20-09-2013 for a period of about 713 days i.e. for almost two years. On the third occasion also he remained absent from duty without any intimation or without applying for leave.

4. In the circumstances, initially, preliminary enquiry was conducted against him and on the basis of the said preliminary enquiry, regular enquiry was also conducted against the applicant. In the said departmental enquiry, despite due opportunities given,

the applicant did not appear before the Enquiry Officer and did not participate in the enquiry proceedings. In the circumstances, on the basis of the evidence which came on record, more particularly, in regard to the period of absence of the applicant from duty and further bringing on record the fact that the applicant had remained absent on all the aforesaid occasions without any intimation to his office and without filing any leave application or without submitting any sick memo, the Enquiry Officer held the applicant guilty of the charge levelled against him. 'Frequent absence from duty for a long period without intimation or without obtaining leave' was the sole charge against the applicant.

5. Against the order passed by the Disciplinary Authority, the applicant preferred departmental appeal. The Appellate Authority also did not cause any interference in the order passed by the Disciplinary Authority and has endorsed the punishment imposed by the Disciplinary Authority i.e. removal of the applicant from services and dismissed his appeal.

After the appeal was dismissed by the Appellate Authority, the applicant availed an opportunity to file revision application before the next higher authority i.e. the Director General of Police but the said revision has also been dismissed by the said authority vide order passed on 22-10-2019.

6. While making the submissions, learned Counsel appearing for the applicant did not dispute the facts as referred hereinabove, more particularly, the fact that the applicant did not submit leave application nor gave prior intimation to his office nor submitted sick memo. The only contention which learned Counsel for the applicant has raised in the present matter is that, he could not participate in the enquiry proceedings for some genuine reasons, and as such, could not place on record the necessary documents and information justifying his absence in the relevant period. It is further argued that the applicant never remained absent without any cause or without any reason. It is further contended that the applicant remained absent because he was suffering from certain ailments which

prevented him from joining duties and which forced him to remain absent.

7. Learned Counsel has further submitted that had the applicant participated in the enquiry proceedings and submitted those documents on record, perhaps, the decision would have been different in the enquiry proceedings. Learned Counsel has further submitted that all the said documents were presented by the applicant before the Appellate Authority, however, the Appellate Authority has failed in giving due weightage to the said documents and has mechanically confirmed the order passed by the Disciplinary Authority. In the aforesaid circumstances, learned Counsel for the applicant has firstly prayed for setting aside the order of removal passed by respondent no.2 being in violation of the principles of natural justice i.e. without giving proper opportunity to the applicant and has alternatively prayed for direction against the Disciplinary Authority to reconsider the evidence produced on record by the applicant before the Appellate Authority. It has also been contended that this Tribunal may within its powers set aside the

enquiry conducted against the present applicant and direct the respondents to allow the present applicant to place on record necessary documents in support of his case and give him an opportunity of being heard.

8. The submissions so made are opposed by the learned P.O. Learned P.O. submitted that from the documents filed on record by the applicant himself, it is discerning that, every time an opportunity was given to the applicant, however, the applicant has failed in availing the said opportunities. Learned P.O. has strongly refuted the contention of the applicant that principles of natural justice are violated. Learned P.O. pointed out that even for participation in the departmental enquiry on three occasions, the notices were required to be issued against the applicant. However, despite receipt of the said notices the applicant remained absent before the Enquiry Officer and thus for his own wrong or mistake he has failed in availing the opportunities to put forth his case before the Enquiry Officer.

9. Learned P.O. further submitted that the respondents have not made any undue haste in

passing the order of removal against the applicant. Even, when the applicant did not show any amend after remaining absent for quite a long period on earlier two occasions, opportunity was given to the applicant and he was allowed to resume duties. However, thereafter also the applicant resorted to the same acts and remained absent without giving any intimation for a long period of more than 2 years. Learned P.O. submitted that the applicant being a part of disciplined force, if such a conduct is taken casually, it would be difficult to maintain discipline in the said force. For all these reasons, the learned P.O. submitted for rejecting the O.A.

10. We have carefully considered the submissions advanced on behalf of the learned Counsel appearing for the applicant and learned P.O. representing the respondents. We have also perused the documents on record, more particularly, report of the enquiry conducted against the applicant and the order passed by the Appellate Authority in appeal preferred by the applicant.

11. The only charge against the applicant is that he remained absent during the period specified in the chart, which has not been disputed by the applicant.

The said chart reads thus: (paper book page 34):

अ.क्र.	गैरहजर कालावधी	एकुण दिवस
०१	दिनांक १०/१०/२०१० ते २८/०१/२०११	१११ दिवस
०२	दिनांक ०७/०५/२०११ ते ०२/०९/२०११	११९ दिवस
०३	दिनांक ०८/१०/२०११ ते २०/०९/२०१३	७१३ दिवस
	एकुण	९४३ दिवस

12. To the charge levelled against the applicant, it is the defence of the applicant that for genuine reasons he was prevented from giving any pre-intimation or get sanctioned the leave for his absence in the said period. As has been contended by the learned Counsel for the applicant, the applicant was having some acute health problems which forced him to proceed on leave and the applicant could not submit any pre-intimation therefor, and also could not get the leave sanctioned for the said period. In so far as the non-participation of the applicant in the enquiry proceedings is concerned, no justification has been provided by the applicant. The opportunity was available to the

applicant to appear in the enquiry proceedings and to defend the charge levelled against him.

13. As we have noted earlier, the only charge against the applicant was his unauthorized absence from duty. It has come on record which has not been denied or disputed by the applicant that on three occasions notices were served upon the applicant for his participation in the enquiry initiated against him. Applicant has not provided any just or cogent reason for not responding to the said notices and resultantly for remaining absent in the enquiry proceedings. The applicant claims to have submitted certain documents to justify his absence from duty in the relevant period before the Appellate Authority but it appears that the Appellate Authority has not accepted the said reason.

14. The basic question arises that who prevented the applicant from communicating his office about his ailments in advance or during the course of his absence and submit proper certificates beforehand. In absence of any such explanation by the applicant, even before us, in the present proceedings, it is

difficult for us to accept the contention of the applicant that he did not willfully remained absent or that his absence from duty was for genuine and *bona fide* reasons.

15. It cannot be ignored that the applicant is a part of police services. It also has to be stated that the employees in the police force are required to be more disciplined than the other departments. For the aforesaid reasons and in absence of any such justification which could have been held cogent for accepting any of the prayers made by learned Counsel for applicant, we are not inclined to accept any of such requests. In our opinion, no error has been committed by the Disciplinary Authority or by the Appellate Authority in passing the order of removal against the present applicant. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

O.A. stands dismissed without any order as to costs.