

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.12.2018

O.A.No.523 of 2018

Z.G. VithobaApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.S. Deokar with Shri S.M. Kamtam, Joshi, the learned Advocates for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4.

2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.

3. Respondent No.5 is private party to whom the service by R.P.A.D. appears to be sent as submitted by learned Advocates for the Applicant. However, acknowledgement is not received.

4. Learned Advocates for the Applicant are directed to file affidavit of service of Respondent No.5 along with acknowledgement on the next date.

5. S.O. to 19.12.2018.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

4/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri V.S. Deokar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri Smt. Archana B.K.~~

~~P.O. for the Respondent/s No. 1 to 4.~~
Hoke Dack P-NO. 5

~~Adv. S.O. to~~

Reply filed by P.No. 1 to 4. 19/12/18

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.709 of 2018

V.V. Ghanwat ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 7.1.2019 by way of final chance.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
4.12.2018

(sgj)

DATE: 4/12/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice ~~Smt. H. Joshi~~ (Chairman)

Hon'ble ~~Smt. P. N. Dixit~~ Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.F. S.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 7.1.19. by way of
final chance

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>4/12/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. : <u>Panoram Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.D. Dole</u> C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s O.A. is admitted.</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>10/1/19</u> Rejoinder filed by Applicant.</p>	<p>Date : 04.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.905 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. D. SimonApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P. Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.1.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.12.2018

M.A.No.612 of 2018 In O.A.No.869 of 2018

S.M. NaikApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. M.A.No.612 of 2018 has filed for restoration of O.A.No.869 of 2018 which was dismissed in default for non-compliance of filing affidavit of service in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 27.9.2018.

3. Original Application pertains to retiral benefits.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that because of vacation, he could not make compliance of filing affidavit of service. Thus, matter was dismissed on technical ground.

5. In view of above, it would be better to decide the O.A.No.869 of 2018 on merit by expediting the proceeding.

6. M.A.No.612 of 2018 is allowed and O.A.No.869 of 2018 is restored to its original file.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

DATE : 4/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. M.B. Kadam

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri./Smt. S.D. Dole

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to M.A. is allowed and O.A. is restored.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>4/12/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. <u>A. B. Kadam</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. D. Dole</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>1/1/19</u></p> 	<p>Date : 04.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.869 of 2018</p> <p>S.M. NaikApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, In view of the order passed in M.A.No.612 of 2018, proceeding which was dismissed in default is restored to the file.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents sought four weeks' time to file affidavit-in-reply. Time granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.1.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sb</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>4/12/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri./Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri./Smt. <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>11/12/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 04.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.336 of 2018 with M.A.No.617 of 2018</p> <p>R.D. AkrupeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.617 of 2018.</p> <p>2. In original proceeding, challenge is to the suspension order dated 19.3.2018. However, during the pendency of this proceeding, proposal seems to have been moved for revocation of suspension and reinstatement of the Applicant in service.</p> <p>3. On the 10.12^{10.12} date, the matter was adjourned to inform the Tribunal about the revocation of suspension and reinstatement of the Applicant in service.</p> <p>4. Today, learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad on instructions received from Shri Vivek Sapkal, Section Officer submits that the Government has sanctioned the proposal for revocation of suspension. She further submitted that now posting order will be issued soon.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar pointed out that he has already filed Misc. Application No.617 of 2018 for impleading his client Shri Manik Jadhav as party Respondent in the O.A.No.336 of 2018 on the ground that after suspension of the Applicant, his client Shri Manik Jadhav has been posted in the place of Applicant.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further submitted that in case the Applicant in O.A.No.336 of 2018 is reinstated in the same place which he held proper to suspension, then the Applicant in M.A.No.617 of 2018 will be displaced and therefore it is necessary to implead Shri Manik Jadhav (Applicant in M.A.No.617 of 2018) as Respondent in O.A.No.336 of 2018.</p> <p>7. Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant appears in O.A.No.336 of 2018 requested for time to file reply to M.A.No.617 of 2018.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 11.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 04.12.2018 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1050 of 2018

S.B. LadiApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 03.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 03.01.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE : 4/2/18
CORAM
Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE
Shri/Smt. M. B. Kadam

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 3/1/19.

Office Notes, Office Memorenda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 04.12.2018
Tribunal's orders
O.A.No.1048 of 2018

V.M. OulkarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 09.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 09.01.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE : 4/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri/Smt. G.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 9/1/19

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 04.12.2018 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.1035 of 2018

S.C. SherikarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri L.K. Kalel, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 08.01.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 08.01.2019.

DATE : 4/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri/Smt. L.K. Kalel

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 8/1/19.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>4/12/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. : <u>Punma Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>A.J. Chougale</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn.</p>	<p>Date : 04.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.729 of 2018</p> <p>V.V. DokeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punma Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered letter written by the Applicant informing her that the Government has revoked the suspension and accordingly he has been reinstated in service.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is also present in person and submitted that he has already joined on 22.11.2018 and wants to withdraw this proceeding.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, Original Application has become infructuous and accordingly disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>4/12/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate absent Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>8/1/19</u> Reply filed by R.No.1. </p>	<p>Date : 04.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.571 of 2018</p> <p>V.S. KhalateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The Respondent No.2 is served in view of the service affidavit filed by the Applicant who is absent.</p> <p>4. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 8.1.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>gba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>4/12/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. : <u>Applicant and Advocate absent.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>Ms. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>9/1/19.</u></p>	<p>Date : 04.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.1057 of 2018</p> <p>B.A. PatilApplicant VersusRespondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 09.01.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 09.01.2019. <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 04.12.2018
Tribunal's orders
O.A.No.971 of 2018

N.K. BirajdarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 08.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 08.01.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE : 4/12/18
CORAM
Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE
Shri/Smt. V.V. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 8/1/19

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>4/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri./Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri./Smt. <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>19/12/18.</u></p>	<p>Date : 04.12.2018</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.873 of 2018</p> <p>R.R. TatkareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In terms of order dated 02.11.2018 passed by this Tribunal directions were given to consider the representation of the Applicant to transfer at the place of his choice in view of the fact that he had already completed two years tenure as Police Inspector in Tribal and Naxalite area in Gondia and therefore he is entitled for posting at the place of his choice in terms of Government Resolution.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents informed that the representation made by the Applicant has been rejected.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents therefore wants to file affidavit-in-reply so that this Original Application can be decided on merit.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.12.2018 for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/12/18</u> CORAM Honble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>19/12/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 04.12.2018</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.970 of 2018</p> <p>D.K. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, leaned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered the letter issued by office of Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division dated 28.11.2018, where it is mentioned that review committee has decided to continue the suspension of the Applicant as departmental enquiry is contemplated.</p> <p>3. In Original Application, challenge is to the suspension order dated 1.6.2016. However, the Respondents have not filed reply till date.</p> <p>4. As the review committee seems to have decided to continue the suspension, now the Original Application needs to be decided on merit.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to file reply to the O.A. within two weeks.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 19.12.2018.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.12.2018

O.A.No.1016 of 2018

H.R. DasApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri H.R. Das, Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that the reply is being filed during the course of the day on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It be taken on record.

3. S.O. to 07.1.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

DATE: 4/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. H.R. Das
Applicant in person.
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri./Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 7/1/19

sb

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.12.2018

M.A.No.590 of 2018 in O.A.No.991 of 2018

A.S. KambleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.S. Tamhane, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 08.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 08.01.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE : 4/12/18
CORAM
Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE
Shri/Smt. A.S. Tamhane

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 8/1/19

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Curam, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.12.2018

O.A.No.637 of 2018

D.C. PawarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 18.12.2018 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

DATE : 4/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri/Smt. V.V. JOSHI.....

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 18/12/18

Reply filed by R.No.1. *[Signature]*

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sb

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 4/12/18</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice S. P. N. Dixit (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. A. V. Bandiwadkar</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar</p> <p>C. P.C. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.U. to order passed in Tribunal Column</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1091 of 2016</u></p> <p>A.G. Phulsange ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant, on instructions, states that applicant wants to engage another advocate and he has given no objection to the same.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 4.12.2018</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Justice Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>12/12/18 for filing</u> <u>repl</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.683 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.D. Pagar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 12.12.2018 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 4.12.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 4/11/18
 CUR: _____
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
 Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
APPEARANCE:
 Shri/Smt. H. M. Dandekar
 Advocate for the Applicant
 Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad
 C.O. for the Respondent/s
 Adj./ S.O. to Head
 order passed in
 Tribunal column
 So to 8/11/19

O.A. No.625 of 2018

R.R. Patil ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri H.M. Inamdar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of I.d. Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 8.1.2019 for filing affidavit. He seeks permission to file affidavit regarding recognition of the association which have conducted the tournament. Permitted.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
4.12.2018

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri B.R. Deshmukh Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>7/1/19</u> order passed in Tribunal Column</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.438 of 2018</u></p> <p>D.B. Bamane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.R. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The respondents are directed to ensure that reply is filed before the next date. Ld. CPO to ensure that the respondents are informed suitably. Respondents No.3 & 4 to remain present during the next date of hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.1.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 4.12.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 4/12/18
 CORAM: ~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~
 Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
 APPEARANCE:
 Shri/Smt. None for the Applicant
 Advocate for the Applicant
Smt. Archana B.K.
 C.P.O. for the Respondent/s
 Adj. S.O. to 21.1.19 as the
request of ld p.o for filing
reply.
(with O.A. 390, 391, 392/18)

O.A. No.390, 391 & 392 of 2018

S.V. Mane & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 8.1.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
4.12.2018

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 4/12/18
 CORAM:
~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~
 Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
 APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ None for the applicant
 Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Miss S.P. Mandale
 C. P.O. for the Respondent/s
 Adj./S.O. to 19/12/18 at the request of Id CPO.

O.A. No.309 of 2018
 J.R. Pawar ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
 None for the Applicant. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
 2. At the request of Id. CPO adjourned to 19.12.2018.
 Sd/-
 (P.N. Dixit)
 Member (A)
 4.12.2018
 (sg)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.597 of 2018

V.B. Panari & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri P.J. Gavhane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that she does not want to replace the OA as prayed earlier. The Ld. PO proposes that the matter may be placed before the Division Bench.

3. Accordingly, the matter may be placed before available Division Bench at suitable time.

Sd/- _____

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
4.12.2018

(sg)

DATE: 4/12/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. P. J. Gavhane

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B. K.

Counsel for the Respondent/s

Adj. G.O. to Heard

order passed in Tribunal column.
matter may be placed before Division Bench at suitable time

P

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.12.2018

O.A.No.695 of 2018

N. K. Chavan & Ors. ... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 15.01.2019.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P. N. Dixit)
Member (A)

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

DATE: 4/12/18
CORAM: J.D. Kulkarni
Justice Shri A. H. Jaisi (Chairman)
Honble P. N. Dixit Member (A)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 15/1/19

Head.

order passed in Tribunal
column:

5070, 15/1/19

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.12.2018

M. A. No.384 of 2018 in O.A.No.695 of 2018

N. K. Chavan & Ors. ... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This O.A. is challenging the communication dated 28.10.2015 (Annexure 'A-1') vide which the proposal of the applicants have been rejected. The relevant paragraph of the said communication reads as follows:-

"तेव्हा आपण प्रस्तावित केलेल्या ६ शाखा अभियंत्यांनी सन १९९७ नंतर पदवी धारण केलेली असल्याने तसेच सेवाप्रवेश नियमात याबाबतची कोणतीही तरतूद नसल्याने, या ६ शाखा अभियंत्यांना ३/८ सेवेचा लाभ देय ठरत नाही.

आपणास असेही कळविण्यात येते की, शासनास प्रस्ताव सादर करताना संबंधीत सेवा प्रवेश नियम/शासन निर्णय /शासन आदेश यामधील तरतूदी विचारात घेऊन, त्यानुसार शासनास प्रस्ताव सादर करण्याची कृपया दक्षता घेण्यात यावी."

(Quoted from page 7 of the M.A.)

3. This communication, however, was not addressed to the applicants. One of the applicant Shri P.P. Kulkarni seems to have then requested for information as per letter dated 03.05.2018 and he was intimated about the rejection of the proposal vide letter dated 07.05.2018, wherein, there is a reference of letter dated 28.10.2015. From this, the applicants came to know about the rejection of their proposal.

4. From the said communication, it seems that the application is within limitation.

5. Considering these aspects, the application for condonation of delay is allowed with interest of justice and equity.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

(J. D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

DATE: 4/12/18

Shri P. P. Kulkarni (G/O)
Hon'ble Mr. P. N. Dixit (Member (A))

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. C. T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Miss S. P. Manchekar
Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar
C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Heard

order passed in Tribunal
Column

MA is allowed with
interest of justice
and equity.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.487 of 2018

P.V. Suryawanshi ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2 I.d. PO mentions that she proposes to file reply within two weeks on the point prayed by the applicant.

3. S.O. to 8.1.2019 by way of final chance.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
4.12.2018

(sgl)

DATE: 4/12/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: P.V. Suryawanshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Miss Savita Suryawanshi

C. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. S.O. to 8/1/19 by way
of final chance

e

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 4/12/18
CORAM:
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
 Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
APPEARANCE:
 Shri. Punam Mahajan
 Advocate for the Applicant
 Miss S.P. Manchekar
 C. P.O. for the Respondent/s
 Ad/S.O. to Admit
due course

O.A. No.956 of 2017

S.D. Jadhav & Ors. ..Applicants
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.3 and states that reply on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2 is not necessary.

3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
 Member (A)
 4.12.2018

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Honble. Mr. J. B. Patil (Chairman)</p> <p>Honble. Mr. P. S. Patil (Member (A))</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri. Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri. Smt. <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>Adv. PO for the Respondents</p> <p>Adv. S.O. <u>7/1/19 by way</u></p> <p><u>to final chance of</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.710 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.B. Waghmare ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.1.2019 by way of final chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">4.12.2018</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 4/14/18

CORAM: ~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. P. V. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant
Miss N. G. Gohad
Shri/Smt. _____
C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 20/14/18

O.A. No.766 of 2018

H.G. Pandhare ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.
- S.O. to 20.12.2018.

Sd/-

(P.N! Dixit)
Member (A)
4.12.2018

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 4/11/18

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Shri M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Miss N. G. Gohad

C.P. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./ S.O. to 9/1/19

order passed in Tribunal column

O.A. No.912 of 2018

P.S. Nagargoje ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that the representation made by the applicant has been decided on 29.10.2018. He proposes to file MA seeking challenge to the said order. He seeks adjournment by four weeks.

3. S.O. to 9.1.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
4.12.2018

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 4/12/18
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. None for the Applicant
Advocate for the Applicant
Miss S. P. Manchekar
Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar
C.F. P.O. for the Respondent/s
Adj. S.O. to 19/11/18 as per
request of ld CPO

O.A. No.398 of 2017

H.B. Desai & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for the Applicants. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 19.12.2018 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
4.12.2018

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble <u>Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Shri/Smt. D. V. Godbole</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant <u>Miss S P Manchekar</u></p> <p>C.P. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Heard</u></p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column S O 20 19/12/18</p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.777 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.P. Valvi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.V. Godbole, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 19.12.2018 for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant pleads for staying the departmental enquiry. The issue would be taken up on receipt of reply from the respondents.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 4.12.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/mt: <u>None for the Applicant</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/mt: Miss Savita Suryawanshi</p> <p>C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>19/12/18 as per request of Ld P.O.</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.110 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.B. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 19.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 4.12.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.12.2018

O.A. 498 of 2015 with O.A.No.505 of 2015

**V. V. Dharmadhikari
V. R. Salodkar ... Applicants**

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ...Respondents

DATE : 4/12/18
CCRAM : J.D. Kulkarni
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
 Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
APPEARANCE :
 Shri/Smt. : M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : P. J. Chougule
C.F. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./ S.O. to heard
 order passed by
 Tribunal Column
 so to 11/12/18 as a
 part heard.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that as per order dated 12.07.2007 , the applicants have been absorbed as a State Government employee though they earlier treated as Central Government employee under rehabilitation scheme. This order was passed in view of the judgment passed by the Hon' ble H.C. and Hon'ble S.C.

3. The Applicants have joined the service in 1983 and they were absorbed in State Government Service after the absorption.

4. Learned Advocate, therefore, is directed to place on record the first appointment order of the applicant in the year 1983 as well as the order or appointment on absorption.

5. S.O. to 11.12.2018 as a Part Heard.

Sd/-

(P. N. Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.12.2018

**M. A. No.596 of 2018 in
C. A. No.13 of 2017 in O.A.No.717 of 2012**

M. S. Nagulpelli ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

DATE: 4/12/18
 CORAM: J. D. Kulkarni
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
 Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
 APPEARANCE:
 Shri/Smt. N. V. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad
C. A. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj/S.O. to Heard

order passed in Tribunal
 column
 m/a stands dismissed
 with no order as to
 costs.

1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. M. A. is for claiming restoration of C.A.No.13/2017. It seems that C.A. No.13/2017 is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to agitate challenge to the compliance if after appropriate scrutiny and study applicant finds that some demands are yet to be agitated. The order was passed on 06.06.2017.

3. According to the learned Advocate, the Original Application No.717/2012 was allowed and the Respondents were directed to make necessary amendment in the list of seniority as mentioned in paragraphs 9 & 10 of the said order. It is stated that the said order is not complied fully and there was only a part compliance. Hence, the said non compliance was challenged by filing C.A. No.13/2017 and it was allowed to be withdrawn as already granted.

4. In view thereof, we do not find any fresh cause of action for restoring the C.A. which has already been withdrawn.

5. Hence the following order :-

(a) Misc. Application stands dismissed with no order as to costs.

Sd/- *[Signature]*

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 4/12/18
 CORAM: J.D. Kulkarni
 Hon'ble Justice Shri ~~A.H. Joshi~~ (Chairman)
 Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
 APPEARANCE:
 Shri/Smt. A.S. Tamhane
 Advocate for the Applicant
 Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
 C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s
 Adj./S.O. to 8/1/19

Date : 04.12.2018

O.A.No.988 of 2018

S. R. Kale ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A. S. Tamhane, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file application for condonation of delay.
3. S.O. to 08.01.2019.

Sd/- _____ Sd/-
 (P. N. DIXIT) (J.D. Kulkarni)
 MEMBER (A) Vice-Chairman

(vsm)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>G. A. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>11/12/18</u></p> <p align="right"><u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p align="center"><u>04.12.2018</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 28/2018 in O.A 199/2016</u></p> <p>Shri D.K.Solanki & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 11.12.2018.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- <u>[A.H Joshi, J.]</u> Chairman </p> <p align="left">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./B.A./O.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	04.12.2018 Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 4/11/18</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. K. R. Jagdale</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S. S. Suryavanshi C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj/S.O. to 3.1.19, at the request of learned P.O.</p>	<p>C.A 29/2017 in O.A 676/2015</p> <p>Shri B.M Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 3.1.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>Attn</p>