

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.10.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.917 of 2022</p> <p>N. M. Rathod .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and also filed separate reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. By order dated 15.09.2022 the Tribunal has taken note of disobedience of the order passed by the Tribunal and directed issuance of notices to the Respondents as to why <i>suo motu</i> contempt proceeding should not be initiated against them.</p> <p>4. The Respondent No.2 is the Disciplinary Authority who was bound and in obligation to comply the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.705/2021, dated 28.09.2021. Directions were given to Disciplinary Authority to complete the D.E. including passing final order from the date order. Though the period of one year is over, D.E. is not completed, therefore, taking serious view of the matter, the Tribunal had issued notices by order dated 15.09.2022. Therefore, it was incumbent on the part of Respondent No.2 – Inspector General of Registration and Controller of Stamps to file affidavit to explain the inordinate delay in completing D.E. And also to explain why action of contempt should not be initiated. However, surprisingly affidavit in reply is filed by Deputy Inspector General of Registration in which there is no explanation about the delay. On the contrary, Respondent No.2 sought to raise certain objections about non joining of DDO which is totally irrelevant. It is obvious that Respondent No.2 has no regard to the order passed by the Tribunal and prima-facie there is neglect and omission to comply the order passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. requested for two days time to file fresh affidavit of Inspector General of Registration and Controller of Stamps, Pune.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.10.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.990 of 2022</p> <p>Smt. Smita Zagade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1, Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 and Shri U. V Bhosale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. The Government by order dated 13.09.2022 appointed the Applicant as Additional Commissioner, Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation in place of Shri Vikas Dhakane. However, by order dated 22.09.2022 the Government cancelled the appointment of the Applicant as Additional Commissioner and continued her as Deputy Commissioner which post she was holding prior to her appointment as Additional Commissioner, Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation. Later, the Government by order dated 22.09.2022 appointed Respondent No.2 Shri Pradeep Jambhale-Patil as Additional Commissioner, Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation.</p> <p>3. It is on the above background, the Applicant is seeking interim relief to impugned order dated 22.09.2022.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. and learned Counsels for the Respondents sought short time to file reply. It appears that Respondent No.2 has already taken charge on the post of Additional Commissioner, Pimpri-Chinchwad Municipal Corporation by virtue of his transfer order dated 22.09.2022. The Applicant did not challenge the order dated 22.09.2022 immediately and filed this O.A. after ten days. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant interim relief.</p> <p>5. One week time is granted to Respondents to file reply.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 11.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.991 of 2022</p> <p>Sachin Ghodke <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 11.04.2022 whereby he is suspended by the Government in contemplation of D.E. invoking Rule 4(1)(a) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline &amp; Appeal) Rules, 1979.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that neither review is taken nor charge sheet is served upon the Applicant. Therefore, prolong suspension after 90 days is bad in law in term of the decision of the Hon'ble High Court in <i>(2015) 7 SCC 291 (Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India &amp; Anr.)</i>.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. on instructions admits that neither review is taken nor charge sheet is served. However, he wants to file affidavit on record.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept for final disposal.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 06.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.10.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.989 of 2022</p> <p>Shri Rahul Neware &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter pertains to inter district transfer from the establishment of CP, Mumbai to establishment of S.P. Aurangabad (Rural) on the applications made by the Applicants. Response of SP, Aurangabad (Rural) was called. He gave 'No objection Certificate' by his communication dated 02.06.2022 and 11.08.2022. However, the Applicants were not relieved. Now, the Respondent No.2 -SP, Aurangabad (Rural) by communication dated 15.09.2022 directed the concerned establishment including CP, Mumbai to relieve the Police Personnel whose request for inter district transfer has been accepted on or before 10.02.2022 and further stated that he will not accept any Police Personnel's request after 20.10.2022. the Applicants have, therefore, prayed for interim relief since the dates mentioned in letter dated 15.09.2022 are approaching fast. If the interim relief is not granted, the purpose of filing O.A. would be defeated.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. sought time and requested to place the matter with connected O.As on 06.10.2022.</p> <p>4. In view of above, <del>at this stage</del> by way of interim relief the effect of implementation of order dated 15.09.2022 qua the Applicants is stayed till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.698 of 2022</p> <p>B. P. Chavan &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed affidavit on behalf of S.P. Ratnagiri. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. time is granted to file reply on behalf of SP, Palghar and additional reply on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022 M.A. No.34 of 2021 with M.A. No.418 of 2021 in O.A. No.55 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. Savlekar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 &amp; 2. Shri M.S. Lagu, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.3 &amp; 4 is absent.</p> <p>2. The Applicants have filed O.A. No.55/2021 challenging communication dated 10.01.2017 issued by Respondent No.3 giving benefit of pay scale of Canal Inspector, Gate Operator etc. w.e.f. 16.11.2016 instead of 29.09.2003.</p> <p>3. M.A. No.34/2021 is filed for condonation of delay caused in challenging order dated 10.01.2017.</p> <p>4. Whereas, M.A. No.418/2021 is filed for production of documents.</p> <p>5. Insofar as M.A. No.418/2021 for production of documents is concerned, by this M.A. the Applicant wants to produce on record representation made by the Applicants which was not earlier filed in O.A. This representation is necessary for the adjudication of the matter. Hence, M.A. No.418/2021 is allowed and disposed of with no order as to costs. Annexure filed with M.A. No.418/2021 be annexed with O.A. with proper pagination.</p> <p>6. The perusal of record reveals that the Tribunal has decided the issue in earlier round of litigation filed by similarly situated persons. Therefore, it is necessary to know why the said benefits should not be given to the Applicants.</p> <p>7. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to take necessary instructions.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 12.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p data-bbox="776 783 1000 814">Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p data-bbox="1008 852 1273 883">O.A. No.660 of 2022</p> <p data-bbox="776 929 1511 1051">Dr. Y.M. Kokadwar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="781 1123 1511 1419" style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</li><li>3. S.O. to 18.10.2022.</li></ol> <p data-bbox="1179 1540 1382 1656" style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="792 1707 837 1733">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.473 of 2022</p> <p>D.D. Harale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.552 of 2022</p> <p>N. K. Pardeshi <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of the Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has also filed additional affidavit. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Respondents about there is any difficulty for relieving the Applicant as per his request.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.305, 307, 308 &amp; 412 of 2022</p> <p>M. P. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants has filed additional affidavit on behalf of the Applicants to be considered as common in O.A.Nos.305, 307, 308 and 412/2022. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matters are adjourned to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.575 of 2022 in O.A. No.978 of 2022</p> <p>R.S. Andhale &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gakwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

Date: 04.10.2022

O.A. No.975 of 2022 with O.A. No.976 of 2022  
with O.A. No.978 of 2022

A.S. Rohokale

N.B. Vanve

R.S. Andhale & Ors.

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. & Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. These O.As pertains to Inter District Transfer from establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to Ahmednagar, Pune & Thane (City).
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.10.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 06.10.2022.

  
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.577 of 2022 in O.A. No.981 of 2022</p> <p>P.A. Korade &amp; Ors. .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-              (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 04.10.2022

O.A. No.981 of 2022

P.A. Korade & Ors. ....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This O.A pertains to Inter District Transfer from establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Pune.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.10.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 06.10.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.461 of 2022 in O.A. No.554 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. P.P. Gaikwad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 18.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.572 of 2022 in O.A. No.179 of 2021</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus R.A. Marathe (Org. Appl.) .....Resps.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for extension of time for compliance of order passed by the Tribunal on 29.08.2022. Tribunal directed for payment of pay and allowances on the expiration of 90 days period of suspension and monetary benefits were to be paid within a month from the date of order. Thus the order was to be complied by 29.09.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that due to administrative difficulties, payment could not be made within stipulated time and matter is under process. She requested for two months time.</p> <p>4. Considering administrative difficulties, I am inclined to grant four weeks time from today for the compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal about payment of pay and allowances.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed and disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.749 of 2022 with O.A. No.750 of 2022 with O.A. No.751 of 2022</p> <p>K.S. Jaware T.A. Jadhav A.N. Ghodke .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. No.749/2022 on behalf of Respondent No.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Learned P.O. further submits that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed in O.A. No.750/2022 &amp; 751/2022 during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.800 of 2022</p> <p>R.A. Bhalerao .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri S. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 is absent.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.802 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. Shirgaonkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Since, pleading is already complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.822 of 2022</p> <p>S.N. Mahajan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. No separate Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 is filed.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.897 of 2022</p> <p>H.R. Kanoj .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On previous date last chance was granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.899 of 2022</p> <p>K.A. Salunke .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. This O.A. be kept along with O.A. No.561/2021.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.781 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. Demello .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt P. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is claiming benefit of temporary promotion which was given to him in two different spells. According to learned Advocate for the Applicant for calculation of pension 10 months' salary was to be considered which is inclusive of some period of temporary promotion.</p> <p>3. The issue of whether the Government servant holding temporary promotion is entitled to pension considering promotional post is <del>again</del><sup>being</sup> challenged before Hon'ble High Court challenging the decision rendered by the Tribunal earlier.</p> <p>4. In view of above, it would be appropriate to await for the decision of Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 07.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.476 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. A.M. Kadhikhaye .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D. Lokhande, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder with Annexure i.e. Appointment order etc.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. sought time to examine it and to file counter Affidavit if necessary.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.16 of 2022 in O.A. No.223 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.N. Kamble &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that she needs time as the department is following with the office of Accountant General.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgi) Member (A) 4.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.372 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.B. Yadav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Bhagyashri S. Maghade holding for Shri S.S. Randive, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.11.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.64 of 2022 in O.A. No.608 of 2019

Dr. M.V. Pande ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.11.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the CA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the CA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
4.10.2022

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.977 of 2022

S.R. Kadam ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.11.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
4.10.2022

(sgj)