

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.10.2019.

O.A.No.570 of 2019

P. B. Sure

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.

4. The matter is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission.

5. S.O. to 18.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.931 of 2019</p> <p>R. B. Markad <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4</li> <li>2. In O.A.No.931/2019, the Tribunal has granted interim relief on 19.09.2019. Later, M.A.No.514/2019 is filed by the Respondent No.4 to recall the order of interim relief.</li> <li>3. Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 submits that reply to O.A. will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</li> <li>4. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondent submits that reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 will be filed on 07.10.2019.</li> <li>5. In view of above, M.A. will be decided along with O.A. as the matter is going to be ready for final hearing.</li> <li>6. At this stage, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he wants to join the Inspector General of Registration and Controller of Stamps, Pune as Respondent No.5 so as to decide the O.A. appropriately. He undertakes to carry out the amendment during the course of day and also to serve the notice on Respondent No.5.</li> <li>7. Allowed to join the Respondent No.5. Amendment be carried out during the course of the day and notice be served on the Respondent No.5 so that composite reply will be filed by Respondent Nos.1 to 5, if necessary.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 07.10.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

4/10/19  
Reply filed by R.No.4.  
 on 4/10/19.

*[Signature]*

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000—3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 04.10.2019. Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.912 of 2019 with M. A. No.527 of 2019

Y. T. Daunde

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2
2. Today, Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.
3. One week's time is granted to learned C.P.O. for filing reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1.
4. S.O. to 10.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.10.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.537 of 2019 in O.A.No.938 of 2019</p> <p>U. R. Kavle .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant (Ori. Respondent No.2), Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.1 (Ori. Applicant) and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. This Misc. Application is filed to vacate the interim relief granted by this Tribunal on 23.09.2019. Today, the matter is taken on circulation for orders in M.A.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to fix the date i.e. on 22.10.2019 for filing reply to M.A. as well as for reply in O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.881/2019

Dr. A.K. Parandwal ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit of Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry Department, wherein he stated that the file is placed before Hon'ble Chief Minister and the posting order will be issued after the approval.

3. In the present, the Applicant is left without posting since he was transferred by order dated 04.07.2019, and therefore, has filed the present O.A.

4. When the matter was taken for admission, this Tribunal has passed specific orders on 05.09.2019 and 27.09.2019 directing the Respondents to issue appropriate posting orders of the Applicant, as he is left without posting for about three months. However, till date, no posting order is issued.

5. The learned P.O. at this juncture submits that necessary orders will be issued soon and matter be adjourned shortly.

6. Adjourned to 7<sup>th</sup> October, 2019 for necessary compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal on 27.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
04.10.2019

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.974 of 2019</p> <p>V. D. Salokhe <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.10.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 24.10.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 04.10.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.893 of 2019</p> <p><b>B. B. Bodake</b> .....Applicant Versus <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This is the second round of litigation, challenging the suspension order dated 30.10.2018. Earlier the Applicant has filed O.A.No.984/2018 which was disposed of by the Tribunal on 12.02.2019 whereby the directions were given to place the matter before Review Committee for appropriate decision.</li> <li>3. In view of the directions given by this Tribunal, the matter was placed before the Review Committee on 05.04.2019 belatedly and the Committee found that Criminal Case is still under investigation, and therefore, it would be inappropriate to revoke the suspension. The Committee further held that review will be taken after three months or in case of filing of charge sheet in Criminal case, whichever is earlier.</li> <li>4. Learned Counsel for the Respondents has pointed that the period of three months is already over from the decision of the Review Committee in meeting taken on 05.04.2019 but till date no further action is taken.</li> <li>5. Learned C.P.O. seeks one week's time to file reply.</li> <li>6. Thus, what transpires that the Applicant is subjected to suspension for the period of one year and despite the decision of Review Committee dated 05.04.2019, no further review was taken within three months though the period is already over.</li> <li>7. In view of above, the matter needs to be decided expeditiously on its own merit.</li> <li>8. Learned C.P.O. is at liberty to file reply, if found necessary within a week with liberty to the Department to take review as it is contemplated in decision of Review Committee held on 05.04.2019.</li> <li>9. On the next date, the matter will be heard finally.</li> <li>10. S.O. to 11.10.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.555 of 2019</p> <p>M. R. Holkar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Shilpa Madki-Pawar holding for Shri H. Kadiani, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., enough chances are granted to file reply. However, today again the learned P.O. requested for short time to file reply.</p> <p>3. As already enough chances are granted, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>4. The matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission on 15.10.2019.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. may file the reply on the next date</p> <p>6. S.O. to 15.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 04.10.2019. Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>O.A.No.1019 of 2015</p> <p>J. V. Patil .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Ms Bhagyashree Upadhyay holding for Ms Khemka, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.5, 6 and 7.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has fled Affidavit-in-Rejoinder to an Affidavit filed by the Respondent Nos.5 and 7. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. seeks short time to file Sur-Rejoinder in view of the Affidavit in Reply filed by the added Respondents as well as by the Applicant.</p> <p>4. This is High Court remanded matter which needs to be decided expeditiously. Hence, one week's time is granted as a last chance.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.796 of 2018
	<p>S.V. Mohite ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sangita Dongare, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.10.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.336 of 2019</u>
	<p>S.S. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N./Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.667 of 2019
✓	<p>R.L. Tayade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO has filed reply on 14.8.2019.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.850 of 2019
	<p>B.M. Donglikar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that she has written to the respondents but no instructions have been received.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to remain present through responsible officer on 9.10.2019.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.10.2019. Steno copy granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.10.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.727 of 2019</u>
	<p>J.H.K. Inamdar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.11.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.<sup>1</sup> Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 4.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>