

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.422 OF 2019  
(Syed Khaja Syed MeeranVs. the State of  
Maharashtra&Ors.)**

**CORAM :Hon'bleShriV.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 4.9.2021**

**ORAL ORDER**

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant(**absent**). ShriB.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 6.10.2021 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 4.9.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1080 OF 2019  
(Ravindra S. PatilVs. the State of Maha.&Ors.)**

**CORAM :Hon'bleShriV.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 4.9.2021**

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 4 and Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned Advocate for the respondent nos. 2 & 3.

2. Record shows that the affidavit in reply has already been filed on behalf of No. 3.

3. The learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 4. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 4.10.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 4.9.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 505 OF 2021  
(Bhaskar V. Suryawanshi Vs. the State of  
Maha.&Ors.)**

**CORAM :Hon'bleShriV.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 4.9.2021**

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard ShriGirish N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), learned Advocate for the applicant andShriB.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2. None appears for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that residential address of the respondent No. 4 is changed. He seeks leave of this Tribunal to correct the address of respondent No. 4.

3. Leave as prayed for by the learned Advocate for the applicant is granted. The applicant to correct the address of respondent No. 4 within a period of one week.

4. After amendment, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 1.10.2021.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book

of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 1.10.2021.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.613 OF 2018  
(Sonelben D. PawarVs. the State of Maha.&Ors.)**

**CORAM :Hon'bleShriV.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 4.9.2021**

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard ShriNitin S. Kadarale, learned Advocate for the applicant andShri I.S. Thorat,learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 30.9.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 4.9.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 66 OF 2018  
(Dnyeneshwa P. KadamVs. the State of Maha.&Ors.)**

**CORAM :Hon'bleShriV.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 4.9.2021**

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard ShriA.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and ShriV.R. Bhumkar,learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 15.9.2021. The present case has already been treated as part heard.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 4.9.2021-HDD

**M.A.NO. 83/2020 IN O.A.NO. 1036/2019  
(Dr. Naresh S. ShindeVs. the State of Maha.&Ors.)**

**CORAM :Hon'bleShriV.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 4.9.2021**

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard Ms. AnghaPandit, learned Advocate holding for ShriS.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant,ShriB.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and ShriRakesh Jain, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

2. Record shows that the affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4 has already been filed on record.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12.10.2021 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 4.9.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.848 OF 2019  
(Jitendra B. Bagul&Ors.Vs. the State of Maha.&Ors.)**

**CORAM :Hon'bleShriV.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 4.9.2021**

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard ShriAvinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and ShriM.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that the applicant Nos. 10, 11 & 12 not wish to prosecute the present Original Application further. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed on record the application of the said applicants seeking deletion and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. In view of the same, names of the applicant Nos. 10, 11 & 12 are deleted from the array of the present Original Application.

3. S.O. to 13.10.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 4.9.2021-HDD



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.517 OF 2021  
(Manisha P. PaithaneVs. the State of Maha.&Ors.)**

**CORAM :Hon'bleShriBijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 4.9.2021**

**ORAL ORDER**

Heard ShriKakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and ShriD.R. Patil,learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On instructions received from Ramesh D. Lokande, Senior Clerk, Joint District Registrar, Class-I, Aurangabad, who is present today before the Court, learned Presenting Officer submits that the applicant has not been relieved from his present posting. He seeks further time for procuring the requisite documents as directed by this Tribunal vide its order dated 3.9.2021.

3. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 6.10.2021.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

**8. S.O. to 6.10.2021.**

**9. Interim relief granted earlier by order dated 3.9.2021 to continue till then.**

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.353 OF 2020  
(Shivraj V. Kangale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)  
DATE : 04.09.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sandip C. Swami Chakurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of respondent no.4 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any. Time is granted.
4. S.O. to 23.09.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.431 OF 2021**  
**(Sabirabi H. Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 04.09.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply by the Respondents.
3. S.O. to 14.09.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.211 OF 2020  
(Nilesh B. Dighe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)  
DATE : 04.09.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.S. Taur, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 24.09.2021 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**