

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 04.09.2024

O.A. No.922 of 2024
(Speaking to Minutes)

Sanjay V. Shinde ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions about 'Speaking to Minutes' with respect to Order dated 31.07.2024 in O.A. No.922 of 2024.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that in (i) 'Para 2' in 'Fifth line' and (ii) 'Para 4' (inadvertently typed 'Para 3') in 'First Line' of Order dated 31.07.2024 instead of (a) 'Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records, Maharashtra State, Pune' and (b) 'Deputy Director of Land Records, Pune Region, Pune' it should be corrected as (a) 'Additional Chief Secretary Revenue & Forest Department, Government of Maharashtra' (b) 'Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records, Maharashtra State, Pune' and (c) 'Deputy Director of Land Records, Pune Region, Pune'.

4. Learned Advocate for Applicant further submits that in (i)'Para 2' in 'Ninth line' (b)'Para 3' in Third Line of Order dated 31.07.2024 instead of 'Time Bound Promotion' to posts of (i) 'Maintenance Surveyor' & (ii) 'Head Quarter Assistant' it should be read as 'Time bound Promotion' / 'Functional Promotion' to posts of (i) 'Maintenance Surveyor' & (ii) 'Head Quarter Assistant' and (iii) 'Deputy Superintendent of Land Records'.

5. The Order dated 31.07.2024 in O.A. No. 922/2024 stands corrected as above through 'Speaking to Minutes' with penultimate 'Para 3' to be substituted as 'Para 4' and 'Para 4' to be substituted as 'Para 5' and they be accordingly read by Applicant & Respondents.

6. The 'Speaking to Minutes' is accordingly disposed off in O.A. No. 922/2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1121/2024</u></p> <p>G.S Padwale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the order dated 31.8.2024 passed by Respondent No. 1, transferring the applicant from the post of Forester, Padga Forest Range, Dist-Palghar to the post of Forester, Chahade, Palghar Forest Range, Dist-Palghar and transferred Respondent No. 3 in her place.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel has challenged the transfer order on the following grounds:-</p> <p>(i) The applicant is retiring on 31.7.2025 that is within one year. Thus, there is violation of Section 5 of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005.</p> <p>(ii) The applicant relied on G.R dated 9.4.2018 regarding option and that the applicant has given only one option.</p> <p>(iii) The 23rd old daughter of the applicant is suffering from mental disability and so preference is ought to be given to the applicant.</p> <p>(iv) The order is not passed by the Competent Authority.</p> <p>(v) The applicant is not relieved till date.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>5. As there is violation of Section 5 of the ROT Act, 2005, the impugned transfer order dated 31.8.2024, qua the applicant is stayed till filing of reply.</p> <p>6. S.O to 3.10.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.09.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.659 of 2023 with O.A. No.660 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. Beldar & Ors., N.K. Zanke & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that he will place copy of 'Order' dated 02.08.2024 of 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Thane' regarding transfer of the Applicants to posts of 'Forest Guards' in areas under 'PESA ACT: 1996' within 'Shahapur Forest Division' of 'Thane District'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time of 'One Week' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Chief Conservators of Forest (Territorial), Thane'. Time as prayed for is granted to the learned P.O.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024 O.A.No.847 of 2024</p> <p>B. M. FegadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
vsm	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1217 of 2023</p> <p>S. V. LonkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chogule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.11.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.51 of 2024</p> <p>V. S. BoraleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that parawise remarks are received from the concerned department and seeks time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.09.2024 as a last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.439 of 2024 With O.A.No.441 of 2024</p> <p>M. V. Chaudhari S. S. NadafApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that he has received instructions from the concerned department that they are taking necessary steps as per earlier order dated 28.08.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.09.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.622 of 2023 In O.A. No.984 of 2023</p> <p>A. A. SasaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 03.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.3024</p> <p>M. A. No.499 of 2023 in O.A.No.969 of 2023</p> <p>S. D. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. B. Vijaymane , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time to file reply by way of last chance. Earlier last chance was granted.</p> <p>3. No further time is granted and matter will be heard without reply on next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.09.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.844 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. KulkarniApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.09.2024 for filing rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.611 of 2024</p> <p>T. M. A. KhanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO on instructions from Smt. Sumitra Sawant, Principal, Elphinstone College, Fort, Mumbai submits that the provisional pension proposal for the period from June 2023 to November 2023 is forwarded to A.G. office and after sanction of provisional pension for six months next proposal will be forwarded to AG. He further submits that GPF and GIS is already paid to Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.09.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 43/2024 in O.A 1480/2023 with C.A 44/2024 in O.A 1481/2023</u></p> <p>S.R Chate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Dinesh J. Barhale, Desk Officer, P.W.D is present.</p> <p>3. When matter was called out Shri Bandiwadekar is not present.</p> <p>4. S.O to 12.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20



IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1105/2022</u></p> <p>A.V. Gadge ...Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents are present. 2. The Applicant was appointed in Government Service on 31st May, 2018 as an 'Agriculture Assistant'. 3. The Applicant had participated in 'Power Lifting Senior State, 2015' on 04.07.2015 and 05.07.2015. 4. The Advertisement for post of 'Agriculture Assistant' came to be issued on 29.12.2015 by Respondents and on completion of selection process, the Applicant came to be appointed as 'Agriculture Assistant'. 5. The verification report of Sports Certificate of Applicant is dated 20.02.2018. The Sports Certificate was later sent for re-verification. The Respondents cancelled Sports Certificate of Applicant by Order dated 01.08.2022 of 'Deputy Director of Sports & Youth Services, Amravati' which is impugned in this OA. Based on this Order dated 01.08.2022, the Respondent Nos. 4 & 5 terminated the services of the Applicant by (i) Order dated 12.10.2022 and (ii) Order dated 14.10.2022. 6. The termination order of Applicant was issued based on Order dated 01.08.2022 of 'Deputy Director of Sports & Youth Services, Amravati'. However, 'Deputy Director of Sports & Youth Services, Amravati' by letter dated 28.06.2024 addressed to 'Joint Director of Sports and Youth Services, Pune' which is produced and marked as 'Court Exhibit '1', has informed that Order dated 01.08.2022 stands cancelled and made specific affirmation of "अमोल विश्वनाथ गाडगे यांना ५ टक्के खेळाडू आरक्षण अंतर्गत दिनांक २०/०२/२०१८ रोजी गट 'क' व 'ड' करिता देण्यात आलेले वैधता पडताळणी प्रमाणपत्र आणि फॉर्म नं.३ वैध जाहीर करण्यात येत आहे. या पत्राच्या आधारावर दि.२०/०२/२०१८ रोजीचे गट 'क' व 'ड'

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>करीता देण्यात आलेले प्रमाणपत्र हे यापुढे वैध असल्याचे समजण्यात यावे, ही विनंती.”</p> <p>7. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that as Order dated 01.08.2022 of 'Deputy Director of Sports & Youth Services, Amravati' now stands cancelled which was the basis of termination from service of Applicant, therefore, Orders of termination should also be set aside as prayed for in this OA No.1105/2022.</p> <p>8. Under such circumstances, we quash and set aside the termination of Applicant effected by (i) Order dated 12.10.2022 and (ii) Order dated 14.10.2024 issued by Respondent Nos.4 & 5.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 6th September, 2024 as 'Part Heard'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 04.09.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 04.09.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.19 of 2024 in O.A. No.417 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.P. Gage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri Ameya Tawde holding for Ms. Vaidehi Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that they are opposing the interest on delayed payment which has occurred on account of procedural delay. On that point sur-rejoinder is filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to make a statement on this point alone.</p> <p>4. Written arguments of Ld. Advocate for the applicant are on record. Ld. Advocate for the applicant does not want to argue. Arguments of Ld. PO will be heard.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1444 of 2023</u></p> <p>T.A. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board. The matter is already scheduled to 22.11.2024 on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that in view of the order dated 30.7.2024 passed by the respondents the applicant is exonerated in the DE. As final decision is taken the grievance of the applicant is redressed. The order dated 30.7.2024 is taken on record and marked as Court Exhibit-1 for identification.</p> <p>47. In view of the above, the OA is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.61 of 2024 in O.A. No.64 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.M. Kadam & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO is filing reply today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.9.2024 4.9.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.103 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.G. Chavan & 21 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri K.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.10.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.9.2024 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.108 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.B. Rajmane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri Y.P. Morbale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.4 states that he will file reply after the reply is filed by other respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.10.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.9.2024 4.9.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>OA.185/2024, MA.361/2024 in OA.542/2024, OAs.840, 827, 828 & 829/2024</u></p> <p>S.B. Raul & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Tushar Wankhede i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.10.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.9.2024 4.9.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.261 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.M. Kale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.10.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.9.2024 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.535 of 2024</u></p> <p>K.S. Adagale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.608 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Shejal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3.10.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.614 of 2024</u></p> <p>J.H. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 25.9.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024</td> </tr> </table>	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024
Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.615 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.R. Shirke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 25.9.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.9.2024 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.617 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.K. Gule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 11.9.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.9.2024 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.785 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.B. Dhiwar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.9.2024 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.853 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.G. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is filing reply today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.9.2024 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.854 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.A. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is filing reply today.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.9.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.916 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Cheke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conferencing and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.959 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.V. Abhang ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A.55 of 2024 in OAs.758/2019 & 404/2022</u></p> <p>R.B. Bhosale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO makes an oral application for speaking to minutes of the order dated 3.9.2024. She states that in para 2 of the order the date "26.8.2024" it to be corrected as "30.8.2024".</p> <p>3. Order be corrected and read accordingly.</p> <p>4. Shri Santosh Kale, Desk Officer and Shri Palve, ACE are personally present in the Court. Ld. PO on instructions states that seven days' time is required by Treasury office for disbursement of amount.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.607 of 2022 in O.A. No.465 of 2020</u></p> <p>G.Y. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that DPC has taken place for the post of Joint Commissioner, Animal Husbandry. The post of Joint Director, Animal Husbandry is created in Goseva Ayog and the applicant is considered for the said post. The matter is in process which will take six weeks' time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.9.2024 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.271 of 2024 in O.A. No.576 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.589 of 2024</u></p> <p>H.R. Kurhade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri R.B. Khot, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply is filed on 30.8.2024.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.542 of 2024 in O.A. No.1114 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.P. Sawant & 14 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgi)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1114 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.P. Sawant & 14 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.10.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 4.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1090/2024</u></p> <p>D.S Khutwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondent No. 1 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 2.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Lonkar for Respondent No. 2, on instructions from Ms Shweta H. Suryavanshi, Section Officer, G.A.D, submits that the I.A.S officer Mr Kuhsal Jain, who was posted in place of the applicant will be given another posting and the applicant will be continued on the same post.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement made by Shri Lonkar, the Original Application stands disposed of.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1100/2024</u></p> <p>S.A Khande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned counsel for Respondent No. 1 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for the Respondent No. 2.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri Lonkar for Respondent No. 2 seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.9.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.09.2024</u></p> <p>ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 1050, 1051, 1057 & 1063/2024</p> <p align="center">DISTRICT : THANE</p> <p>Anil G. Jagtap [O.A 1050/2024] C.M Godse [O.A 1051/2024] A.D Padwal [O.A 1057/2024] S.P Dhande [O.A 1063/2024)...Applicants</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...Respondents</p> <p>CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)</p> <p>DATE : 04.09.2024</p> <p align="center"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.M Nagargoje, learned advocate for the Applicants in O.A 1050, 1051 and 1057/2024, Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 1063/2024 and Shri A.J Chougule, and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants, working as Police Inspector challenge the impugned transfer order dated 22.8.2024, transferring the applicants from Thane City to Mumbai City.</p> <p>3. The Respondents have filed short affidavit in reply dated 3.9.2024 of Sulbha Mahadeo Patil, Assistant Commissioner of Police, in the office of Commissioner of Police, Control Room, Thane City. The relevant para 4 of the affidavit in reply is reproduced below:-</p> <p>"4. I say that in the office of Respondent No. 4, 'Muster Register' and Duty Batavada Register (कामाचे वाटप रजिस्टर) are available at Control Room. Though all the applicants were joined at Control Room, Thane City on 29.10.2021 and on other dates, they were not given work due to excess posts. Further, it is stated that whatever duties are assigned to them the entries are made accordingly in the Muster as well as Duty Batavada Register (कामाचे वाटप रजिस्टर). Further from 4.12.2021 and 21.12.2021 the names of applicants are on record available with the control room as their entries are made on 4.12.2021, 21.12.2021. Copies of the 'Muster Registers' of Applicants are attached herewith annexed herewith Exhibit R-2s colly and Duty [PTO.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Batavada Registers (कामाचे वाटप रजिस्टर) are attached herewith annexed herewith Exhibit R-3s colly".

4. In view of these the applicants were given the salary from the date they reported at the Control Room. However, all the applicants were given the regular posting and they joined on 4.12.2021 & 21.12.2021. Thus, the actual work of the applicants have started after 1.12.2021, which is considered as the cut-off date to issue the order of transfer of Police Officers as per the directions given by the Election Commission of India on 31.7.2024. In para 4 of the said affidavit-in-reply it is mentioned that they were not given work due to excess posts. Thus, it is very clear that the applicants were not given posting before 1.12.2021 and so the applicants have not completed three years and were not eligible for transfer as per the directions issued by the Election Commission of India. It is to be further noted that I am informed by the learned counsel for the applicant that for all over Maharashtra the date 1.12.2021 is considered as the cut-off date for transfer which is not disputed/countered by the Respondent-State.
5. In view of the above, the transfer order dated 22.8.2024 qua the applicants in the above Original Applications are quashed and set aside and the applicants to continue at their respective place of posting.
6. With the above directions, Original Applications stand disposed of.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1101 of 2023</p> <p>A. J. ChorgeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
vs **MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1474 of 2023</p> <p>K. D. PimpleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that he will file rejoinder during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

**(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
vsm

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.234 of 2024</p> <p>B. R. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sonali Pawar holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 & 5. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.10.2024 for filing rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.490 of 2024</p> <p>B. K. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G. B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned PO submits that he will reply positively on next date. 3. Time granted to file reply failing to which necessary order will be passed. 4. S.O. to 24.09.2024. <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.09.2024

O.A.No.1064 of 2024

N. S. BhandalkarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.10.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 09.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.09.2024

O.A.No.1053 of 2024

S. R. Darade

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.10.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 09.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.529 of 2024</p> <p>R. R. MurtiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.3 and 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.09.2024 for filing rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 04.09.2024</p>
	<p>O.A.No.560 of 2024</p> <p>B. D. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that retirement benefit i.e leave encashment is given to Applicant and proposal for other pensionary benefits is forwarded to A.G. Office. The necessary documents in this regard are produced by learned PO. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.516 of 2024</p> <p>H. D. KaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (A. _____ Sd/- Member (A) </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.866 of 2021</p> <p>S. M. BadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K.,learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned PO, the matter is adjourned to 07.10.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1018/2024</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>S.P Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 11.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1099/2024</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>A.S Adurkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.M Nagargoje, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1081/2024</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>A.S Darade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.D Gugale, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O seeks time. 3. Matter is adjourned and in the meantime the Respondents may take remedial steps. 4. S.O to 11.9.2024. Interim relief to continue. <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 541/2024 in O.A 964/2024</u></p> <p>P.S Deshmukh ... Applicant/ Intervenor</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents And</p> <p>V.N Bhabad ... Ori Applicant</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant-Intervenor, Shri A.D Gugale, learned P.O for the Respondents and Shri U.V Bhosle, learned counsel for the original applicant.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri Bandiwadekar submits that applicant-intervenor Shri P.S Deshmukh is transferred by order dated 14.8.2024 and his transfer is under challenge. Learned counsel submitted that if the Court is going to pass any order, the intervenor is going to be affected adversely.</p> <p>3. I had passed order on 27.8.2024 as to why suo moto contempt notice should not be issued to the Respondents and therefore at this stage Misc Application seeking intervention is not allowed as the original applicant has not challenged the order dated 14.8.2024 by which the applicant-intervenor is transferred.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that Respondent No. 1 has already filed reply and affidavit in reply of Respondent No. 2 is filed today.</p> <p>5. It is matter of breach of the order of this Tribunal dated 13.8.2024 and therefore the intervention application is not allowed.</p> <p>6. Misc Application seeking intervention is rejected. However, liberty is given to the applicant-intervenor to move fresh application if any cause of action arises.</p> <p>7. O.A 964/2024 to be placed on Board on 11.9.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1122/2024</u></p> <p>P.B Sonawane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant working as Awal Karkoon at Collector Office, Election Branch, Old Collector Compound, Siddheswar Peth, Solapur is seeking transfer to Valsang, Tahsil Office, South Solapur as he has completed five years at the present place of posting and working since 1.6.2019. Learned counsel further submits that he has given 10 choices of posting.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits on instructions that the applicant was not transferred because he is given election duty in Election Branch in Solapur and his services are required for the ensuing Assembly Election as his services is very much required and important for election work.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O further submits that he may transferred after the Assembly Elections are over.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit on the point whether the applicant will be transferred after the Assembly Elections are over and whether he will be transfer as per the 10 choice postings given by him.</p> <p>6. S.O to 10.9.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1020/2024</u></p> <p>R.R Suryawanshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents and Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 5. 2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply. 3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time. 4. Learned counsel Shri Bandiwadekar submits that he is not available on 6.9.2024. 5. Hence matter adjourned to 9.9.2024. <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1017/2024</u></p> <p>K.N Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.9.2024. Interim relief, if any to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1103 OF 2024 (S.B.)

Hitesh Balkrishna Vispute

...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...**Respondent**

Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant.

Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

DATE : **04.09.2024**

O R D E R

1. Today at around 10.15 a.m. learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale has mentioned speaking to minutes of order dated 02.09.2024. Copy is served to learned P.O.
2. Not on board. To be taken on board at the request of learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale. Hence, kept back
3. Thereafter the regular matter was taken up for hearing in O.A.No.846/2024, S.V. Hitare Versus State of Maharashtra & Ors. which was on board at 10.15 a.m. The lawyers in the said matter Mr. Dere and Warunjikar were present. While the matter was going on at around 10.30 a.m. Mr. Ajit Chavan, Research Officer of M.A.T. came with the note asking my permission to produce one person. However, he wants some protection from the Tribunal. Therefore responding to note I nodded. Mr. Ajit Chavan, Research Officer went out and again he came back with one person who is Mr. Hitesh Balkrishna Vispute, Applicant in O.A.No.1103/2024.
4. The Applicant has prayed that Respondents be directed to stay the impugned transfer order dated 31.08.2024 of Respondent no.2,



which is issued by Respondent No.1, qua the Respondent No.2. Applicant further prays that Respondent No.1 be directed to transfer the Applicant on the post of Deputy Director (Finance & Accounts), Maharashtra Prathamik Shikshan Parishad, Charni Road, Mumbai.

5. At this point the Research Officer has handed over a note to me wherein it is stated that,

“दि. 1.9.2024
10.30 a.m.

मा. अध्यक्ष महोदय,
MAT
विषय : तक्रर बाबत

श्री सनोज यांनी मॅडम चे PA असे भासवून केस O.A.1103/2024 काम करून देतो. अन्यथा त्रास होईल. अशी भीती दाखवून पैशाची मागणी केली व रु.२५०००/- घेतले. याचा मला त्रास होत आहे. त्याबदल तक्रर देत आहे. स्वखुशीने.

Sd/-
हितेश विसपूते ”

It means in this matter Mr. Sanoj has pretended to be PA of the Chairperson and demanded Rs.25000/- for getting favourable orders and accordingly the Applicant has handed over Rs.25000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) under his fear.

4. In the open court learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale who is present is asked to confirm the identity of his client. Learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale identifies the person as Mr. Hitesh Vispute as Applicant in O.A.No.1103/2024. I have asked Mr. Hitesh Vispute the following questions :

(i) Are you Mr. Hitesh Balkrishna Vispute ?
Vispute : Yes, I am

(ii) Whether this note is written by you (Mr. Vispute) ?
Vispute : Yes, it is written by me (Mr. Vispute)

(iii) Is it your signature below the said complaint ?
Vispute : Yes.

(iv) Where did you write this note ?
Vispute : I wrote this note in the premises of the Tribunal today.

W

(v) Did you write this note at the behest of anybody ?
 Vispute : No. I have written this note of my own

(vi) Whether the facts mentioned in this note that Mr. Sanoj has posed as PA of Chairperson and has demanded money ?
 Vispute : Yes.

At this stage, Mr. Sanoj appears in the Court as he was called. In his presence the Applicant is asked

(vii) Who is he ? Is he Sanoj ?

Vispute : He is Sanoj, the same person.

(viii) Whether you (Mr. Vispute) handed over Rs.25,000/- to Mr. Sanoj as per demand made by Mr. Sanoj ?

Vispute : Yes

(ix) Why did you hand over Rs.25000/- ?

Vispute : I handed over cash of Rs.25000/- to Mr. Sanoj who is present and pretended himself as a PA, Chairperson.

(x) When and Where did you handover Rs.25000 to Mr. Sanoj ?

Vispute : I handed over cash of Rs.25000 to Mr. Sanoj on 1st September, 2024 at Vashi.

5. At this moment Mr. Sanoj himself refused the occurrence of such incident and wanted to give more explanation. However, he will be given opportunity as it is clear that administrative decision is required to be taken in this matter as it has occurred suddenly in the open court hall. Nothing will be decided unilaterally.

6. Learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale has filed speaking to minutes in order dated 02.09.2024.

(a) Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant is seeking to delete the last line in para 3 which reads as follows :

“Ld Counsel has submitted that Applicant can be transferred to any of the following two posts : (i) Upasanchalak tatha Vittiya Niyantarak, Maharashtra Prathamik Shikshan Parishad, (MPSP), Charni Road, Mumbai (ii) Mukhya Vitta va Lekha Adhikari, Zilla Parishad, Nasik”



Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant has not pleaded to be transferred on either of the 2 posts in the OA hence be deleted, rather the Applicant seeks transfer on the post of Upasanchalak tatha Vittiya Niyantak, Maharashtra Prathamik Shikshan Parishad (MPSP), Charni Road, Mumbai.

(b) First line of the para No.4 be deleted which reads,

“Ld Counsel for the Applicant has submitted that Applicant’s transfer is mid-tenure and is passed in violation of Clause 12 of GAD notification dated 14.07.2021’

Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant is not transferred at all and is seeking transfer. Hence the said statement be deleted.

(c) Para No.4 the address be changed from ‘New Administrative Building’ to ‘Director of Accounts & Treasuries’.

(d) Para No.5 be deleted since the Applicant is seeking transfer on the post of Deputy Director (Finance and Accounts) Maharashtra Prathamik Shikshan Parishad (MPSP), Charni Road, Mumbai.

7. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.846/2024</p> <p>S.V. HitareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents and Mr. U.P. Warunjikar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. O.A.No.730/2024 is disposed of in view of the order dated 02.09.2024 passed in O.A.No.730/2024 with O.A.No.846/2024. Registry to take note that O.A.No.730/2024 is not to be shown on the board.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has submitted that Mr. Santosh Khorgade, Joint Secretary, Mantralaya and Mr. Milind Bhalerao, Divisional Joint Registrar, Kokan Division are present today for instructions.</p> <p>4. Pursuant to oral directions given by this Tribunal, the Joint Secretary, Mantralaya and Divisional Joint Registrar, Kokan Division on 03.09.2024, to try and resolve the issue as per five suggestions given in the report dated 22.08.2024 of Mr. Kishor Mande, District Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Thane went to the office of Applicant and Respondent No.4</p> <p>5. Today report dated 03.09.2024 is filed by Joint Secretary, Mantralaya and Divisional Joint Registrar, Kokan Division. It is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-1. Copy is served to all the concern. The Tribunal highly appreciates their efforts, promptness and efficiency in implementing the oral orders of the Tribunal by going to the office of Applicant and Respondent No.4 and having dialogue with both the parties and for submitting the report today.</p> <p>6. As of now the presence of Joint Secretary, Mantralaya and Divisional Joint Registrar, Kokan Division is exempted. If required they will be called for instructions.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. Learned Counsel Mr. Warunjikar has submitted that as the Tribunal in its order dated 03.09.2024 has mentioned that the oral directions given by the Tribunal will be incorporated in today's order, hence, he request that the same is to be done.

8. Accordingly it is incorporated as follows :
Joint Secretary, Mantralaya and Divisional Joint Registrar, Kokan Division who were present yesterday on 03.09.2024 were directed to verify the position and resolve the issue as per the five suggestions mentioned in the report dated 22.08.2024.

9. Learned Counsel Mr. Warunjikar has submitted that he wants to file counter report to the report dated 03.09.2024 which is filed today by Joint Secretary, Mantralaya and Divisional Joint Registrar, Kokan Division, because it is not the complete report in itself.

10. Time granted to learned Counsel Mr. Warunjikar. Copy is to be furnished to all the concern.

11. Adjourned to 05.09.2024 at 10.10 a.m.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk