

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1139 OF 2023

Dr. S.K. Phule.

)...**Applicant**

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & 3 Ors.)...**Respondents**

Shri A.A. Desai, Advocate for Applicant.

Smt. S.P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 to 3.

Shri M.D. Lonkar, Advocate for Respondent No.4.

**CORAM : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson
Debashish Chakrabarty, Member-A**

DATE : 04.09.2023

ORDER

1. The Applicant has applied for the post of Deputy Director, Health Services, Maharashtra Medical and Health Service, Group-A. Pursuant to the Advertisement bearing No.107/2021, dated 08.10.2021. The Applicant is challenging merit list dated 23.08.2023. The name of the Applicant has appeared in the Merit List dated 23.08.2023 (Exh. 'F'). The name of the Applicant appearing at Serial No.6 and she has secured total 134 marks and at Serial No.26, the name of Babita K. Sonaji who has secured 97.50 marks has been selected against Open Female Category. The Applicant has also applied in Open Female Category. The Ld. Advocate for the Applicant submits that insofar as merit is concerned, the marks secured by the Applicant are higher and Applicant should have been given the priority. Accordingly, representation was



made by the Applicant on 24.08.2023 to MPSC. In the Advertisement, in Clause No.3.4.1, the experience of 4 years for Class-I post or in an equivalent thereto is required. Clause No.3.4.1 is as under :-

“3.4.1 Experience of Health administration, medical relief or family welfare under Government, Zilla Parishad or a Local Body for not less than five years in case of those holding post graduate degree and for not less than Seven years in the case of those holding post graduate diploma, gained after acquiring the post graduate diploma or the post-graduate degree, as the case may be and out of which experience for four years shall be in class I post or in an equivalent therefore.”

2. Ld. Advocate points out Clause No.6.6.3, which is as under :-

“6.6.3 अद्ययावत नॉन क्रिमीलेअर प्रमाणपत्र / आर्थिकदृष्ट्या दुर्बल घटकातील असल्याबाबतचा पुरावा म्हणून सक्षम प्राधिका-याने वितरित केलेले व प्रस्तुत जाहिरातीस अनुसरून अर्ज सादर करण्याच्या अंतिम दिनांकास वैध असणारे (वित्तीय वर्ष २०२१-२०२२) प्रमाणपत्र सादर करणे आवश्यक आहे.”

3. Ld. Advocate relies on the G.R. dated 4th May, 2023 thereby cancelling the condition of production of NCL Certificate.

4. Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.4 points out the order passed by this Tribunal dated 11.08.2023 in respect of the same selection process in O.A.No.957/2023. By that order, this Tribunal has refused to grant any interim relief to those Applicants who wanted to participate in the selection process and declared ineligible for interview.

5. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant submits that he would like to add Smt. Rekha N. Mudkhedkar as party Respondent No.5, whose name is appearing in the Merit List at Serial No.32 and selected in SC Female Category. The amendment can be carried out forthwith.

6. If appointment order in respect of Respondent No.4 is issued, it will subject to outcome of this O.A.



7. Ld. CPO points out that till today recommendations are not sent. She submits that the candidates are not recommended till today by MPSC.

8. S.O. to 11th September, 2023 at 12.30 p.m.

Sd/-
(DEBASHISH CHAKRABARTY)
Member-A

Sd/-
(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)
Chairperson

skw

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1145 of 2023</p> <p>V.S. Lambhate,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant in the cadre of Deputy Collectors was initially posted as Dy. Collector (Encroachment Removal) Andheri - 2 by Order of Revenue and Forest Department dated 20.02.2019 invoking provisions of Section 4(4) and Section 4(5) of the 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to for brevity as 'Transfer Act 2005'). Thereafter the Housing Department issued Order dated 21.02.2019 to grant permission to the Applicant to join on the post of "Deputy Collector (Encroachment Removal) Andheri - 2."</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that subsequently the post of 'Deputy Collector (Encroachment Removal) Andheri - 2' came to be designated as 'Competent Authority No.4, S.R.A., Mumbai' and She was granted extension by Revenue and Forest Department by Order dated 27.09.2022 and thereupon by the Housing Department by Order dated 29.05.2023 permitting her to continue on the post 'Competent Authority No.4, S.R.A., Mumbai' for the year 2023-2024.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant then stated that the impugned order of Respondent No.3 dated 01.09.2023 mentions that the Respondent No.3 is posted in place of the Applicant by invoking provisions of Section 4(4) and Section 4(5) as well as 'Deputation Guidelines' under Rule 40, Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal), Rules, 1981 (hereinafter referred to for brevity as 'Rules of 1981').</p> <p>5. The learned P.O. stated that only matter of 'Transfer' can be placed before this Single Bench and the Orders issued by Respondent No.1 on 01.09.2023 in respect of Respondent is of Deputation under 'Rules of 1981' and therefore should have been placed before other 'Single Bench'. Hence, before proceeding with the further hearing of this O.A. No.1145 of 2023 it would be advisable to seek further instructions with regard to the jurisdiction vested with this 'Single Bench' and if it can take the matters of both 'Transfers' and 'Deputation'.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant thereafter contended on the basis of Judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.91 of 2019 dated 04.01.2019 to submit that this Single Bench has jurisdiction to decide the O.A. No.1145/2023 being competent otherwise as per G.A.D. / G.R. dated 16.02.2018 to deal with matter of both 'Transfers' and 'Deputation'.</p> <p>7. 'Farad Continuation Sheet' copy to be forwarded to 'O.S.D. (Legal)' for appropriate instructions in this regard, so that further hearing can resume in respect of this O.A. No.1145 of 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.No.1106/2023</u></p> <p>P.N. Purane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is selected on the post of Police Constable and throughout process, her height was shown as 155 cms. which is the minimum requirement of the height for Police Constable. She was sent for training on 30.05.2023. Then Respondents by letter dated 21.07.2023 cancelled the appointment of the Applicant. The Applicant was sent for re-examination to Sir J.J. Hospital about her height and her height was measured as 154.4 cms, which is lesser than 155 cms. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that digitally it was measured as 155 cms. at Pune and she was found fit in the recruitment of Pune City on 16.03.2023. So, he challenges the communication dated 21.07.2023.</p> <p>3. The Ld. Advocate alternatively submits that the Committee of Sir J.J. Hospital, Mumbai or Municipal Hospital, Mumbai is to be directed to re-measure her height and submit the report in a sealed cover before us.</p> <p>4. It is also further directed to the Respondents to verify whether Applicant is entitled to any relaxation in height on account of her caste, as she belongs to ST (Hindu Mahadeo Koli).</p> <p>5. The Ld. CPO produces Medical Examination Report of the height of the Applicant which is done by Medical Board consisting of 3 members from Sir J.J. Hospital. The copies to be given to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>6. The matter is fixed to 11th September, 2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 04.09.2023 04.09.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.302/2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Waghmare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vikas Somawanshi holding for Shri Vaibhav V. Ugle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Part Heard. Ld. CPO has to answer the query how the marks shown as 2.5 of the year 2017-2018 when earlier assessment of 2017-2018 was shown as 7.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8th September, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 04.09.2023 04.09.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.No.1040/2023 with M.A.537/2023

M.V. Tambe & Anr. ... Applicants
Vs. ... Respondents
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri N.R. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that the other Police Personnel who are similarly placed were given exemption to appear for the examination as per the orders dated 08.11.2017 and 15.05.2018.

3. It appears that the Respondents are not giving any instructions to the Office of CPO. Therefore, Respondent No.3 - Special Inspector General of Police, Motor Transport, Aundh, Pune is hereby directed to depute one responsible Officer to give instructions on the point of giving exemption to the Applicants from the examination.

4. Matter is fixed on 13th September, 2023.

Sd/-

~~_____~~
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
04.09.2023

(skw)

Sd/-

/ _____
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
04.09.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.880 of 2023 to O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.888 of 2023 with O.A.1033 of 2023 with O.A.1034 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. P.G. Kulkarni Dr. S.M.K. Borate A.H. Meshram Dr. U.N. Khemani Dr. J.T. Sankpal Dr. V.K. Ambore Dr. M.S. Umate Dr. B.A. Verma Dr.U.Rajwade @ Dr.U.R. BadoleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant in all the O.As. states that 'Interim Relief' granted by the Tribunal on 21.07.2023 in O.A.880, 884,885 & 888/2023, on 25.07.2023 in O.A. No.881 & 882/2023 & on 18.08.2023 in O.A. No.1033 & 1034/2023 have not been complied with by the Dean, Grant Government Medical College, Mumbai</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that the order of 'Interim Relief' dated 21.07.2023 in O.A.880, 884,885 & 888/2023, dated 25.07.2023 in O.A. No.881 & 882/2023 & dated 18.08.2023 in O.A. No.1033 & 1034/2023 have still not been completely implemented by the Dean, Grant Government Medical College, Mumbai by way of 'Written Order' in respect of the Applicants so as to enable them to receive their 'Salary and Allowances'.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Learned P.O. stated that compliance of 'Interim Relief' Orders of the Tribunal has been initiated by Secretary Medical Education and Drugs Department; the Respondent No.1 who had written to the Commissioner of Medical Education - the Respondent No.2 on 07.08.2023. Thereafter, the Commissioner of Medical Education - the Respondent No.2 had written letter on 14.08.2022 to the Dean, J.J. Government Medical College - the Respondent No.4 to ensure implementation of the Orders of 'Interim Relief' granted by the Tribunal.

5. Learned P.O. in the above stated backdrop should therefore obtain information from Dean, Grant Government Medical College - the Respondent No.4 about the stage of compliance of the Order of 'Interim Relief' granted on 21.07.2023 in O.A.880, 884, 885 & 888/2023, 25.07.2023 in O.A. No.881 & 882/2023 & 18.08.2023 in O.A. No.1033 & 1034/2023 as the letter of Dean, Grant Government Medical College - the Respondent No.4 dated 29.08.2023 to the Commissioner of Medical Education - the Respondent No.2 only mentions that the concerned Professors; Associate Professors, Assistant Professor have submitted their 'Joining Reports' to her through respective Professor and Head of Departments.

6. Learned P.O. in the context of the letter dated 29.08.2023 from the Dean, Grant Medical College, Mumbai - the Respondent No.4 to the Commissioner, Medical Education - the Respondent No.2 to submit information whether 'Salary and Allowances' of concerned Professor, Associate Professor & Assistant Professor have been paid to them upto 31st August 2023.

7. S.O. to 11.09.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.09.2023

O.A.No.499/2023

V.P. GaikwadApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Katkar, learned Advocate appearing for Respondent No.3

2. By way of last chance, time granted to learned P.O. to file reply.

3. Adjourned to 03.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.483/2023</p> <p>M.S. Jaybhaye & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that reply is not filed till date, matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.09.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.09.2023

O.A.No.412/2023

B.P. PatilApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate produces copy of order dated 13.06.2023 passed in M.C.A.No.24/2023 in O.A.No.502/2021, H.Y. Mitkar Versus State of Maharashtra & Ors.. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant Mr. H.Y. Mitkar is co-delinquent in the Departmental Enquiry of the present Applicant, Mr. Patil. Learned Advocate has pointed out that this Tribunal by order dated 17.11.2022 passed in O.A.No.502/2021 has directed that if the D.E. is not furnished within six months the Applicant will be exonerated from all the charges. Thereafter the Tribunal by order dated 13.06.2023 passed in M.C.A.No.24/2023 in O.A.No.502/2021 has extended the period by two months to complete the enquiry. Thus, the period of two months is over on 13.08.2023.

3. In view of above, adjourned to 11.09.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.405/2023</p> <p>A.R. ChohanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed reply. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 09.10.2023 with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>N. S. M.</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <i>M.</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.239/2023</p> <p>G.C. BelleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that rejoinder is filed. He states that Applicant is due to retire on 30.04.2024.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 23.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.219/2023</p> <p>S.K. KuteApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.A. Devkhile, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant challenges communication dated 16.11.2021 thereby refusing him grant of exemption for appearing for the Departmental Examination. Applicant has retired on 31.05.2018. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant has made representation for exemption on 26.10.2016, but however it was decided on 16.11.2021. Applicant is without pension since 2018. O.A. is filed in the month of February, 2023. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant has heart ailment and is surviving with 30% functioning of heart.</p> <p>3. Since reply is not filed till date, matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 11.09.2023 HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1038/2022 with O.A.No.1039/2022</p> <p>V.R. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pardhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed. Admit. Place for Final hearing.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.521/2023 in O.A.No.329/2023</p> <p>A.R. JadhavarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed for interim as the applicant challenges order dated 27.04.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that copy of M.A. is not served to the Respondents and also to the office of C.P.O.</p> <p>4. Applicant is directed to serve the copy of M.A. to all the concerned.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 13.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.374/2023 in O.A.No.559/2020</p> <p>S.T. BuyeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is moved requesting for expedited hearing of O.A.</p> <p>3. We are dealing with and giving priority to the matters of the year 2016. The subject matter of O.A.No.559/2020 is 'Denial of Promotion' and applicant is retiring on 30.06.2023, O.A. stands adjourned to 06.11.2023.</p> <p>4. M.A. stands disposed of. O.A. adjourned to 06.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p>

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.160/2023 in O.A.No.285/2023</p> <p>S.D. ManeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay of 282 days. Learned Advocate has submitted that the delay occurred in view that the applicant was on judicial custody.</p> <p>3. In view of above, delay of 282 days is hereby condoned.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. submits to the orders of the Court.</p> <p>5. Hence, M.A. stands allowed.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate seeks time in view that the applicant is still in judicial custody.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 09.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p>R.A.No.6/2023 in O.A.No.187/2021</p> <p>D.N. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pardhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that reply is not filed till today, matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.09.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.76/2023 in O.A.No.110/2023</p> <p>P.R. RathodApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Mr. K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant wants to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 18.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.71/2020</p> <p>M.K. RupanwarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. though adjourned to 02.11.2023 is taken up at the request of learned Advocate Mr. Dere.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that O.A. may be disposed of as it has become infructuous.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.953/2023 with O.A.No.954/2023 with O.A.No.955/2023</p> <p>S.K. KhadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate has filed short rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for Final hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.292/2019</p> <p>S.A. SawantApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that since the Applicant has been selected for the post of Assistant Director, Finance Department, Class-I, she does not wants to pursue with the said O.A. Learned Advocate on telephonic instructions from the Applicant states that the O.A. can be disposed of as it has become infructuous.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.536/2023</p> <p>R.M. More & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Bharti, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 are absent.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">/s/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.513/2023 with O.A.No.514/2023 with O.A.No.515/2023</p> <p>B.J. More & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. states that reply is filed in O.A.No.513/2023 and sought time to file reply in O.A.No.514/2023 and O.A.No.515/2023.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 11.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha/Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Dorem, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.788 of 2023

A.S. Manjare ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Reena Prajapati holding for Shri S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks extension of time to carry out the amendment already granted.
3. Time extended.
4. S.O. to 11.9.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
4.9.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cavan, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.607 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.A. Tanki ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.404 of 2023</u></p> <p>L.T. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.L. Rathod, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2023 by way of last chance failing which matter will be proceeded without reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

MA.325/2023 in MA.326/2023 in OA.778/2020

R.V. Kale ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Shilpa G. Talhar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This MA is filed on 3.5.2023 for condoning the delay in filing the MA for restoration of OA which was dismissed in default on 10.2.2023.

3. For the reasons mentioned in the MA the delay is condoned. MA is allowed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

4.9.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cases, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

MA.326/2023 in OA.778/2020

R.V. Kale ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Shilpa G. Talhar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This MA is filed on 3.5.2023 for recalling the order dated 10.2.2023 and restoration of OA which was dismissed in default on 10.2.2023.

3. For the reasons mentioned in the MA the said order dated 10.2.2023 is recalled and OA is restored to file. MA allowed accordingly.

4. OA adjourned to 3.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
4.9.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1124 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.H. Ghadage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sanjay Kasture, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.10.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.9.2023</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1115 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.B. Durande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. PO to take instructions whether matter has been placed before the Review Committee.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1129 of 2023</p> <p>T.N. DanudkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Bhavesh Bhatia, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sandesh Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Municipal Commissioner, Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation (NMMC) has been added as Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that the Tribunal had granted 'Interim Relief' on 31.08.2023 directing the Respondents to permit the Applicant to continue to work on the post of Chief Accounts & Finance Officer, Transport undertaking, NMMC. He further states that inspite of the 'Interim Relief' granted to the Applicant by the Tribunal on 31.08.2023 to continue to work on the post of Chief Accounts & Finance Officer, Transport undertaking, NMMC; the Respondents have now posted one Shri Abhijit Anantrao, who was working as Assistant Director, Departmental Social Welfare Office, Kokan Bhavan, Navi Mumbai on the post of the Applicant on 31.08.2023. Therefore it <i>Prima-facie</i> appears that Respondents have rushed through to issue the order of Appointment of Shri Abhijit Anantrao on 31.08.2023 so as to make the Orders of 'Interim Relief' infructuous. Therefore in the given circumstances the Respondent No.2 is directed to ensure that the Applicant is</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>continued and not relived from the post of Chief Accounts & Finance Officer, Transport undertaking, NMMC till such time as all the other directions issued by the Tribunal on 31.08.2023 including the action proposed to be taken ^{about} by letter of the Commissioner, NMMC on 12.07.2023 to Finance Department regarding continuation of the Applicants on the post of Chief Accounts & Finance Officer, Transport undertaking, NMMC after giving him 'Proforma Promotion'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also states that now he desires to make the Shri Shri Abhijit Anantrao who has been posted in the place of the Applicant by order dated 31.08.2023 of Finance Department as Respondent No.3.'</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate on behalf of Respondent No.2 to submit Affidavit-in-Reply with regard to the implementation of the Order of 'Interim Relief' granted on 31.08.2023.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. to submit information about the action proposed to be taken ^{about} by letter of the Commissioner, NMMC on 12.07.2023 to Finance Department.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 11.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.959 of 2023</p> <p>M.U. WaghmareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that two weeks time is required to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.871 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. M.P. BankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 is already filed on 23.08.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that she desires to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder and seeks three weeks time.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.631 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. BawulgaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he will file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder during the course of the day and provide copy to learned P.O. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that Affidavit-in-Reply of Respondents is already been filed on 09.08.2023.</p> <p>4. Pleading is complete, matter be kept for hearing at the stage of Admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.690 of 2023</p> <p>S.D. DoiphodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri V.V. Golambade, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2. 2. Learned P.O. files Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 also files Affidavit-in-Reply. It is also taken on record. 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he desires to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder in respect of both the Affidavit-in-Replies filed by Respondent No.1 & Respondent No.2 and requests for time. 5. Time as prayed is granted. 6. S.O. to 18.09.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- <u> </u> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

CA.7/2023 in OAs.1012/2016 with 1013/2016 & 763/2017
with
CA.11/2023 in OA.1013/2016 with OAs.642, 764, 765 &
766/2017

Shirvam S. Mirgal & Ors.

Balkrishna D. Kadam & Ors.

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks implementation of the common judgment and order dated 8.11.2019 passed by this Tribunal in the above OAs. where respondents were directed to recalculate the retiral benefits of the applicants by regularizing their services and monetary benefits be extended to them within a period of three months. Ld. Advocate for the applicants relies on the judgment and order dated 28.4.2016 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.9051 of 2013 & other writ petitions. The State of Maharashtra Vs. Smt. Meena A. Kuwalekar & Ors. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that in the order for retirement benefits there is no specific mention that applicant is also eligible to service benefits which the applicants are otherwise entitled to i.e. Time Bound Promotions.

3. Ld. Advocate submits that she be allowed to convert this Contempt Applications into Original Applicants under the same number which was disposed off in order to avoid multiplicity of proceedings. On the point of conversion, Ld. Advocate for the applicants relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court in S.N. Dhusia & Anr. Vs. Union of India dated 15.3.2002.

4. Ld. PO seeks time. S.O. to 11.9.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
4.9.2023

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
4.9.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.45 of 2019 in O.A. No.651 of 2018</u></p> <p>Ruchita V. Somane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and advocate both the absent.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO pointed out that the order dated 20.2.2019 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA No.651 of 2018 was challenged before the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.9845 of 2019 and the order of the Tribunal is set aside by the Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 2.5.2023.</p> <p>4. In view of the above nothing remains in the CA and the same is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 4.9.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.416 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.D. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents no.1 to 3 and Shri Aditya Kharkar holding for Shri Warunjikar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4 & 5.</p> <p>2. Applicant and his advocate both are absent.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.9.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.9.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.9.2023</p>