

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 962/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shatrughan B. Chougule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Priti Walimbe, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant working as Deputy Engineer in Water Resources Department, Mechanical Circle, Kolhapur challenges the order of transfer dated 30.6.2023 transferring him to the post of Deputy Engineer, Mechanical Sub-Division, Koyna Nagar, Satara. Learned counsel further prays that the operation of the transfer order be stayed and he be allowed to work at the vacant post in the present place.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that the applicant was due for transfer. Learned counsel has submitted that as per G.R dated 27.11.1997, the work place of the husband and the wife should generally be at the same place. The applicant's wife is working as Co-operative Officer, in the office of the Assistant Registrar, Kolhapur. Further, the daughter of the applicant is studying in 12<sup>th</sup> standard and taking education at Kolhapur.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant has filed representation in the month of January, 2023 stating all the difficulties which is not considered and further the mother of the applicant is aged. Learned counsel submits that earlier by order dated 24.5.2023, the applicant was transferred to Sawantwadi and it was unblock transfer of all the Deputy Engineers and the name of the applicant was shown at Transfer Order No. 214. Before the applicant joined at Sawantwadi, on next day, i.e., on 25.5.2023, all the transfer orders were stayed by Respondent no. 2. On 30.6.2023, the stay of the transfer order was lifted. However, the name of the applicant at Sr. No. 214 was not included in the said transfer order and the list of 30.6.2023. Subsequently, the applicant was issued fresh transfer order on the same day, i.e., on 30.6.2023, which is impugned in the present Original Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions in the matter and further Respondents to consider the case of the applicant whether the applicant could be accommodated in Kolhapur or whether it is necessary to send him to Satara.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.8.2023</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within <del>seven</del> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <del>three</del> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<u>for Dismissal</u>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>12. S O to 18.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 945/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri Rajeev K. Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 2.8.2023, one Smt Manisha Phule working in the same building on the 4<sup>th</sup> floor, where the applicant is working is transferred in place of the applicant and she has started working.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that applicant is ready to go and work on the post where Smt Manisha Phule was working and so it can be interchangeable. Learned counsel submits that there are many posts vacant in Pune District where the applicant can be accommodated, considering the genuine family difficulties of the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O seeks one weeks' time.</p> <p>5. S.O to 11.8.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ' (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 489/2023 in O.A 735/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri K.V Badave ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed praying that the Tribunal be pleased to expedite the hearing of the Original Application No. 735/2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that pursuant to order dated 2.8.2023, as the chart of vacancies of Pune Division is submitted by the applicant, showing therein that there are vacancies at Pune, the Respondents wants to collect information about the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O to 9.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ↓ <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 483/2023 in O.A 733/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.A Patil ... Petitioner            In the matter between            Vijaykumar J. Mane ... Applicant            Vs.            The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Petitioner, Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Misc Application No. 483/2023 is filed by the Petitioner praying that this Tribunal be pleased to direct the applicant to implead the Petitioner as Party Respondent no. 4 and he be allowed to contest the present Original Application.</p> <p>3. S.O to 9.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 769/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri Umesh S. Suryawanshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the post at Thane which the applicant has opted as his first choice has been filled up by order dated 2.8.2023 by posting some other officer.</li> <li>4. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to move application for amendment and prays for two weeks' time.</li> <li>5. S.O to 18.8.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 872/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri Anil A. Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant working as Food Safety Officer, at Satara prays that the order of transfer from the Satara to the pos of Food Safety Officer, Dhule, be modified and he be posted to Sangli, Option-07.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Officer namely Shri K.A Salunke who was transferred from Jalna to Sindhudurg has asked for posting at Dhule, Shri S.S Deore, is sent to Beed and Shri S.J Mandlik is sent to Osmanabad. Learned P.O submits that four posts at Dhule are vacant and applicant is posted at Dhule. Further, learned P.O submits that three posts are vacant at Sangli.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions as the two officers Shri Salunke and Shri Mandlik who had opted for Dhule can be posted at Dhule and applicant's case can be considered for posting at Sangli.</p> <p>5. S.O to 18.8.2023. The Respondents are directed to keep one post at Sangli vacant.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 962/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shatrughan B. Chougule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Priti Walimbe, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant working as Deputy Engineer in Water Resources Department, Mechanical Circle, Kolhapur challenges the order of transfer dated 30.6.2023 transferring him to the post of Deputy Engineer, Mechanical Sub-Division, Koyna Nagar, Satara. Learned counsel further prays that the operation of the transfer order be stayed and he be allowed to work at the vacant post in the present place.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that the applicant was due for transfer. Learned counsel has submitted that as per G.R dated 27.11.1997, the work place of the husband and the wife should generally be at the same place. The applicant's wife is working as Co-operative Officer, in the office of the Assistant Registrar, Kolhapur. Further, the daughter of the applicant is studying in 12<sup>th</sup> standard and taking education at Kolhapur.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant has filed representation in the month of January, 2023 stating all the difficulties which is not considered and further the mother of the applicant is aged. Learned counsel submits that earlier by order dated 24.5.2023, the applicant was transferred to Sawantwadi and it was unblock transfer of all the Deputy Engineers and the name of the applicant was shown at Transfer Order No. 214. Before the applicant joined at Sawantwadi, on next day, i.e., on 25.5.2023, all the transfer orders were stayed by Respondent no. 2. On 30.6.2023, the stay of the transfer order was lifted. However, the name of the applicant at Sr. No. 214 was not included in the said transfer order and the list of 30.6.2023. Subsequently, the applicant was issued fresh transfer order on the same day, i.e., on 30.6.2023, which is impugned in the present Original Application.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">✓</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 211, 19, 20, 21, 88, 223, with M.A 39/2023 in O.A 66/2023</u></b></p> <p>Smt Rupali.I Madrap &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. None present for applicants in O.A 88, 223 and 66/2023.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that Respondents are filing affidavit in reply in all the matters, except O.A 66/2023 because there is a Misc Application No. 39/2023 seeking condonation of delay and M.P.S.C has filed reply.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 1.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 403/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.J Saindane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 8.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 675/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.V Mangure ..... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ..... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Time granted to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 18.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 751/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri I.V Basle ..... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ..... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.Sakhare, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no. 1 &amp; 2, Ms Purva Pradhan learned counsel for Respondent no. 3 and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Affidavit in reply is already filed on behalf of Respondents no 3 &amp; 4.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 8.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 673/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri Sanjay M. Said ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply is filed by Respondent no. 2. Learned P.O is directed to file reply on behalf of Respondents no 1 &amp; 3.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 910/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri Ashok B. Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant retired as Taluka Agriculture Officer on 31.5.2022. He challenges the impugned order dated 8.2.2022 by which the suspension period from 20.4.2011 to 29.4.2015 is treated as such.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel has submitted that the applicant is acquitted by order dated 7.7.2014 in the Criminal case No. 260/2011 under Sections 509, 504 &amp; 506 of IPC. Learned counsel further submitted that he was also exonerated from the departmental enquiry on 2.1.2018. Learned counsel therefore prays that the suspension period from 20.4.2011 to 29.4.2015 be treated as duty period for all purposes.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.8.2023.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption <b>'for Dismissal'</b> and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>10. S.O to 25.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u></p> <p><b><u>O.A 414/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.R Thakare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.A Daga, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 18.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.08.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 855 &amp; 860/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.M Jadhav K.H Yadav ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that pursuant to order dated 19.7.2023 of this Tribunal, approval of the Hon'ble Home Minister was taken and thereafter fresh order dated 21.7.2023 was issued. Hence, the said order dated 21.7.2023 is required to be challenged.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that no approval of the Hon'ble Home Minister is taken.</p> <p>4. Be that as it may, as the order dated 21.7.2023 is not the subject matter of these Original Applications, the same are hereby dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 04.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.738 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>P.N. Kadam</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Service Book of the Applicant has not been updated since he was dismissed from service on 26.11.1991. The Applicant was reinstated on 12.10.1993 but he continued to be without any assignment of work. The Applicant was given posting order very late after almost 13 years i.e. on 13.12.2006 by the Office of Commissioner of Police, Mumbai and thereafter continued to work on various post till his retirement on 31.07.2023.</p> <p>3. The pending entries in Service Book for the entire period from his dismissal 26.11.1991 till date of retirement on 31.07.2023 will have to be completed expeditiously by the Office of Commissioner of Police, Mumbai so that the Applicant can receive his pensionary benefits.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. has requested for time for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. The Affidavit-in-Reply must include information about what is being done to update the Service Book and what action has been taken or proposed to be taken to regularize the period between dismissal from service i.e. 26.11.1991 to reinstatement on 12.10.1993 and there<del>fore</del><sup>from</sup> upto his receiving posting order on 13.12.2006.</p> <p>5. The learned P.O. seeks One Week time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 11.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

W.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.479 of 2020</p> <p>K. P. Salunkhe .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Committee which was set up to assess the liability of Applicant to penal charges and correctness of penal charges of Rs.8,14,367/- has submitted report. According to learned P.O., the amount of liability is now reduced but final order to that effect is yet to be passed by the Respondent No.3- Commissioner, Social Welfare. He, therefore, sought one week time to tender the order of Respondent No.3- Commissioner, Social Welfare to that effect.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.301 of 2016</u></p> <p>J.A.M.H. Momin &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicants and their advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. The applicants who are working on the post of Clerk Typist by way of direct recruitment and have passed the Sub Services Departmental Examination challenge the provisional seniority list dated 26.2.2016 published by respondent no.1 in the cadre of Clerk-cum-Typist as on 1.1.2016. The applicants claim their relief on the basis of the judgment and order dated 16.12.2014 passed in OA No.288 of 2013.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.8.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1077 of 2016</u> <u>(OA.785/15 Nagpur)</u></p> <p>D.C. Girase ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Neha Kachi i/b. Talekar &amp; Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the matter may be placed on 7.8.2023. In this case the applicant is working as a Jailor in Open Prison at Morshi, District Amravati. He challenges seniority list dated 1.1.2015 issued by respondent no.2 and prays that the applicant be placed in the seniority list as per earlier seniority list of 1.1.2012.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that applicant has not cleared the examination and therefore as and when he reached the age of 50 years he is exonerated and given the seniority.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 7.8.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1091 of 2016</u></p> <p>A.G. Phulsange ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the order dated 31.3.2015 passed by respondent no.2 by which name of the applicant is deleted from the select list prepared for the post of Assistant Motor Vehicles Inspector on the ground of lack of requisite experience. It is prayed that the recommendation list dated 16.2.2016 be revised by adding name of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the selection of the applicant was cancelled on the ground that he is not having requisite experience.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO to take instructions from the concerned department on the point of requisite experience of the candidates and whether the post of AMVI is available.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.8.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.564 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Shelke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Suo moto speaking to minutes of the order dated 21.7.2023 passed in the above OA.</p> <p>3. In para 3 of the order dated 21.7.2023 the name of Mr. Dere is to be substituted by the name of Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.8.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.937 of 2016</u></p> <p>R.K. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kailash Jadhav holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time. This is the last adjournment given at the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.918 of 2023

N.K. More

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

<sup>v</sup> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson

4.8.2023

(sgj)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.482 of 2023 in O.A. No.928 of 2023</u></p> <p>Y.V. Bhise &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kaustubh Gidh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.8.2023</p> <p>(sg)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.928 of 2023</u></p> <p>Y.V. Bhise &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri Kaustubh Gidh, Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Ld. CPO for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate submits that applicants who aspire to be Talathi prays for stay to the recruitment process of filling up 4464 posts of Talathi as advertised on 26.6.2023, until the respondents make provision for reservation for Transpersons. Ld. Advocate submits that applicants are Transgenders and therefore praying for the said relief.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that this issue is pending before the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.7209 of 2022 Vinayak Bhagwan Kashid Vs. Maharashtra State Electricity Transmission Co. Ltd. &amp; Ors.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.475 of 2023 in O.A. No.1210 of 2019</u></p> <p>Amina K. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is compulsorily retired from service and therefore she challenged the order of DE dated 8.11.2019 and 19.7.2019 and prays for reinstatement. Hence, the applicant has moved this MA for early hearing.</p> <p>3. We are dealing with the matters of 2016 and we can take up this matter in the month of October, 2023.</p> <p>4. Place the OA on 3.10.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p>5. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.477 of 2023 in O.A. No.574 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.I. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this MA the applicant seeks leave to amend the OA as per schedule 1 &amp; 2 annexed to the MA.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out within one week and amended copy be served on all respondents and concerned.</p> <p>5. OA adjourned to 21.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.498 of 2023 in O.A. No.164 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.P. Bagul ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed this MA for adding Directorate of Forensic Science Laboratories, Mumbai as per Schedule-A annexed to the MA, as party Respondent No.4 in the title of the OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all the respondents including added respondent no.4.</p> <p>5. OA adjourned to 25.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.647 of 2023</p> <p>P.M. Shewale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for three weeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 04.08.2023
	<p align="center"><b>O.A. No.712 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>E.P. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants states that the order of suspension is of 02.06.2023 and F.I.R. was registered on 02.03.2023. He further pointed out that District Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Society, Nashik vide memorandum dated 04.03.2023 had handed-over the Additional Charge of the Applicant's post to another Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Society, Niphad and the Applicant thereafter was not assigned any other work and came to be suspended on 02.06.2023. He further states that Salary and Allowances of this period from 04.03.2023 to 02.06.2023 have not been paid to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply and also to clarify reasons for the delay in passing of the Order of Suspension after the filing of FIR and also reasons why the charge of the post of the Applicant was handed-over to another Officer on 04.03.2023 and subsequently non-payment of Salary of this period from 04.03.2023 to 06.02.2023. <sup>&amp; Allowances</sup></p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted as last chance for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A) P.T.O.</b></p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.560 of 2023</p> <p>S.A. Kolap .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that as per the direction on 28.07.2023 regarding information relating to action taken by the Director of Medical Education &amp; Research, Mumbai on 17.07.2021 for consideration of the letter of the Applicant dated 05.06.2021 forwarded to him by the Superintendent, Government Medical College, Mumbai is yet to be received and therefore she seeks time to file Reply on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.410 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. Kumavat &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. mentions that the copy of the O.A. has not been served on the Respondents and so he is unable to furnish information regarding excepted time to complete process of filling up 112 post of Laboratory Technicians by Direct Recruitment.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Service Affidavit was filed on 23.06.2023. In view of the fact that Service Affidavit has been filed, learned P.O. should inform Respondents to file Affidavit-in-Reply and also to furnish specific information about the excepted time to complete process of filling up 112 post of Laboratory Technicians by Direct Recruitment so as to consider grant prayer of Interim Relief on next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.636 of 2023</p> <p>M.R. Sayyad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Om M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder, if required.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date: 04.08.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.286 of 2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>R.R. Saraf</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Om M Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. to specifically clarify regarding 60 days leave granted to the Applicant and recovery of Rs.8,01,176/- (Rupees Eight Lakhs One Thousand One Hundred and Seventy Six Only) and requested for one week time for the same.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also seeks one week time to clarify regarding 60 days leave granted to the Applicant, whether it was actually availed or not for the purpose of pursuing P.H.D.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.08.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.180 of 2023</p> <p>M.V. Sakpal .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant in person is present.</li> <li>2. Shri P. Padmanabh &amp; Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</li> <li>3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. seeks one week time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 11.08.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.148 of 2023</p> <p>A.G. Dhore &amp; Anrs., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. In O.A. Applicant No.2 is below age of 18 years (minor) and therefore his name have to be deleted as a Applicant.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. requested for one week time to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 11.08.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.491/2022**

**Shri R.K. Hiramani & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**Vs. ... Respondents**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

1. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.

2. Shri Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants sought adjournment on the ground that his Senior is unable to remain present. The matter was Part Heard, and therefore, it was listed today for final argument.

3. However, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeking time. Therefore, matter be treated De-Part Heard and be kept for hearing afresh.

4. S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2023.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member-J**  
**04.08.2023**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.08.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.753 of 2023</b></p> <p>S.S. Bawulgave .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., along with Shri A.P. Jadhav learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for grant of time to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder with copy to other side well in advance so that if necessary learned P.O. may file Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A.466/2023 in O.A.134/2019 with M.A.467/2023 in O.A.1076/2019 with O.A.1077/2019 with O.A.1077/2019 with M.A.468/2023 in O.A.1078/2019 with O.A.266/2019 with M.A.484/2023 in O.A.461/2019</u></p> <p><b>Shri V.L. Kardile &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</b> <b>Vs. ... Respondents</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply in M.A.466/2023 and sought one week time to file Affidavit-in-reply in remaining M.As.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>04.08.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A.502/2023 in O.A.924/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors. ... Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Vs.</b></p> <p><b>Shri Sharad G. Londhe ... Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</b></p> <p>1. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent (Ori. Applicant) is present.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for modification of order passed by the Tribunal on 27.07.2023 whereby directions were issued to the original Respondents to get the Applicant joined in view of his transfer order dated 28.06.2023. Now, this M.A. is filed stating that by subsequent order dated 26.07.2023, the posting of the Applicant was changed, and therefore, Respondents find it difficult to get him joined as per earlier transfer order dated 28.06.2023.</p> <p>3. Shri Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for original Applicants waive notice and sought time to take instructions from the Applicants and to take necessary steps in M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> August, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 04.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.106/2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri R.V. Pawar &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</b> <b>Vs. ... Respondents</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b></p> <p>1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Shri Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants sought time to take instruction from the Applicants as to whether they want to challenge the stipulations in G.R. dated 08.03.1999 which is to the effect that they will not be entitled to the benefit of fortuitous service rendered before regularization.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 04.08.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.245/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.D. Mohite</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On previous date i.e. 21.07.2023 on the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, two weeks' time was granted to file M.A. for amendment in O.A. However, no such M.A. is filed. Thus, it appears that Applicant does not want to amend the O.A.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. be kept for hearing to 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>04.08.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u><b>M.A.407/2023 in M.A.408/2023 in O.A.427/2021</b></u></p> <p><b>Shri P.B. Patil</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri N.S. Satpute, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. These M.As are filed for condonation of delay and restoration of O.A. dismissed for default on 17.03.2023.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that when the matter was called for hearing on 17.03.2023, the Applicant and Advocate were absent, and therefore, O.A. was dismissed for default. The Tribunal also observed that earlier also, O.A. was dismissed for default, but it was restored in view of order passed in M.A.583/2022. Despite restoration of O.A, the Applicant and his Advocate were absent, and therefore, it came to be dismissed for default.</p> <p>4. As such, this is second M.A. for restoration of O.A. along with application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he was not feeling well and was advised to take rest from 15.03.2023 to 17.03.2023, and therefore, could not remain present before the Tribunal. He has further pointed out Leave Note to that effect filed by him before Registrar, High Court, Bombay.</p> <p>6. In view of above, in the interest of justice, I am inclined to re-call the order of dismissal and to restore O.A. so as to decide the same on merit, but it should be subject to payment of cost of Rs.2,000/- payable within two weeks from today.</p> <p>7. If the cost is paid within two weeks, O.A. be listed for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>04.08.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>