

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. 367 of 2022 in O.A.No.30 of 2022</p> <p>D. V. WadheriApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed for amendment raising certain legal pleas. 3. Since by way of amendment legal issues are raised, the proposed amendment is allowed. Amendment be carried out within three days. 4. Two weeks time is granted to learned P.O. to file reply to the amendment. 5. S.O. to 21.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.216 of 2022 in O.A.No.330 of 2022</p> <p>P. S. NarkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.360 of 2022 in O.A.No.561 of 2021</p> <p>K. A. SalunkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By way of amendment now the Applicant is challenging transfer order dated 31.03.2021 which is apparently barred by limitation. Apart the Applicant though transferred by order dated 31.03.2021 has not joined the place where she is transferred.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant should satisfy how the challenge to the transfer order dated 31.03.2021 is within limitation, Since admittedly no such challenge was raised to the transfer order during the period of limitation of one year.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.75 of 2022</p> <p>S. K. Patil & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to learned P.O. but same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time as requested by learned P.O.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file reply in the meantime before three days of the next date of hearing with copy to other side.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.138 of 2022</p> <p>D. B. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, Original Application is for filing Rejoinder but same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.325 of 2022</p> <p>R. N. ChaudhariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Jadhav holding for Shri R. G. Panchal , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.396 of 2022 with O.A.No.397 of 2022</p> <p>R. S. Kajale & Anr.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In both these Original Applications, the Applicants stands retired long back but their sum of the retiral benefits are not paid. The D.E. was initiated before retirement but still pending. 3. Enough time is granted for filing reply. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 4. Learned P.O. sought two days' time to file short affidavit showing the amount paid and remain unpaid. 5. O.A. be kept for final hearing at the stage of admission without reply since the Respondents failed to file the reply within time. 6. Learned P.O. is directed to apprise the Tribunal about the status of D.E. by next date. 7. S.O. to 06.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 462 of 2022</p> <p>Shri M. R. Khande & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 493 of 2022</p> <p>U. M. Sasave ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 480 of 2022</p> <p>J. P. TambeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.622 of 2022</p> <p>S. D. JagdaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 16.11.2021 in the present O.A. Admittedly, he joined at the place where he transferred. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.08.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original / Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 01.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.621 of 2022</p> <p>M. S. SalunkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. S. T. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 16.11.2021 in the present O.A. Admittedly, he joined at the place where he transferred. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.08.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original / Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 01.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

O.A.786 to 788/2021

Mr. J.B. Jadhav & 2 Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. As per order passed by the Tribunal on 31.02.2022, the Respondent No.2 – Inspector General of Police, Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur was to file reply to the O.A, since his reply was found essential for adjudication of the matter. However, no such reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.2.

3. Respondent No.3 has already filed Affidavit in reply.

4. At the request of learned P.O, matter is adjourned to 05.07.2022 for final hearing as Part Heard.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
04.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. 629 of 2022</p> <p>Shri Mahindra M. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired on 30.06.2021. He was Group-C employee. After retirement his pay was revised downgraded resulting into recovery. The Applicant has challenged refixation of pay and seeking interim relief to recovery.</p> <p>3. <i>Prima-facie</i>, the recovery is not permissible after retirement in view of the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Civil Appeal No.11527/2014 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer), decided on 18th December, 2014.</i></p> <p>4. In view of above, by way of interim relief there shall be stay to the recovery of amount ^{for} for retiral benefits of the Applicant.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.08.2022.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

[PTO.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original / Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

10. Hamdast granted.

11. S.O. to 01.08.2022.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.122 of 2022 in O.A.No.1118 of 2019</p> <p>D. T. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file reply but same is not filed. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant further time as requested by learned P.O.</p> <p>3. M.A. be kept for hearing with liberty to file Affidavit in Reply in the meantime at least before three days of next date so that matter can be heard on next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No. 515 of 2022with O.A.No.516 of 2022</p> <p>V. S. Sawant ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.131 of 2022</p> <p>P. P. MahajanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Shraddha Raut holding for A.S. Singh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A., the Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 11.08.2021 whereby he was suspended in view of registration crime under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that the department has already initiated D.E. for some other charges which has nothing to do with Anti Corruption Case. The said D.E. is at the verge of completion since the Applicant has already filed his final defence statement recently.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned P.O. submits that the said D.E. would be concluded by passing final order expeditiously.</p> <p>5. Whereas I n the present case, the challenge is to the suspension order. However, since D.E. though for different charges is on the verge of completion, this matter is adjourned for two weeks for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 18.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.646 of 2021 with O.A.731 of 2021</p> <p>T.A. Sayyad & Anr.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Nagnath Bhumkar holding for Shri Yuvraj Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chogule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.990/2021

Mr. C.V. Potdar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.
3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission on 25.07.2022.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
04.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

M.A.534/2021 in O.A.949/2021

Mr. K.V. Koli ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has stated that one O.A. No.948/2021 is already subjudice before Division Bench in which order of reversion is challenged. He further submits that in that O.A, the Respondents have raised the issue of jurisdiction of the Tribunal inter-alia contending that the Applicant is Z.P. employee, and therefore, the Tribunal has no jurisdiction.
3. In this O.A also, the issue of jurisdiction would arise.
4. Since issue of jurisdiction is already subjudice before DB, this O.A. is also needs to be decided along with O.A.No.948/2021.
5. This O.A. be therefore listed with O.A.No.948/2021 which is fixed on 8th July, 2022.
6. S.O. to 8th July, 2022.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
04.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.777 of 2021 with O.A792 of 2021 with O.A.793 of 2021</p> <p>Y.B. Akolkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, the matters are adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.808 of 2021</p> <p>S. P. GharteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the matter is being handled by Smt. Archana B. K. but she is unable to remain present and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 28.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.202 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. V. S. LahadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chogule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

O.A.826 & 828/2021

Mr. T.M. Rathod & Mr. A.K. Chus	... Applicants
Vs.	
The State of Mah. & Ors.	... Respondents

1. Applicants and Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants sent Leave Note.
3. In view of Leave Note, matter is adjourned for hearing on 1st August, 2022 at the stage of admission.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
04.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

O.A.644/2021

Mr. A.J. Sayyed	... Applicant
Vs.	
The State of Mah. & Ors.	... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents for some time.
2. During the course of argument, when specific query was raised to learned P.O, she had tendered one G.R. dated 16.05.2011 issued by Government declaring Collector as Head of Department for transfer of Talathi. However, there is no such pleadings in Affidavit-in-reply about G.R. dated 16.05.2011.
3. In the present case, the challenge is to the order of transfer of Talathi mid-tenure. Therefore, the question of Competent Authority is important and has to be adjudicated.
4. The matter is adjourned for filing Affidavit-in-reply and Notification dated 16.05.2011.
5. S.O. to 8th July, 2022.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
04.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.53 & 54/2021

**Mr. J.B. Jadhav &
Mr. S.B. Kamble** ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Applicants and Advocate both are absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. is present.

2. Perusal of record reveals that Advocate of the Applicants is not attending the matter for a long time and matter was adjourned from time to time. Thus, it appears that both are not interested in the matter. Therefore, these O.As are dismissed for default.

Sd/-
Member-J
04.07.2022

(skw)

L.O.

Ms. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate appearing on behalf of Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate requested to restore the matter.

Order of dismissal passed in first session is recalled. Both the O.As be kept for final hearing on 28.07.2022.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
04.07.2022

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.987 of 2022</p> <p>B. A. YadavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. L. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A.341/2022 in O.A.493/2021</u></p> <p>The Dy. Commissioner of Police ... Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>Mr. S.G. Parab ... Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for 3 months' extension for compliance of order passed by the Tribunal on 02.05.2022 in O.A.No.493/2021. The Tribunal has granted six weeks' time to grant consequential service benefits to the original Applicant while quashing the impugned order dated 22.04.2021.</p> <p>3. The present M.A. is filed seeking extension of 3 months' time. Now period of one month from the date of filing of M.A. is already over. It is not clarified as to what are the steps taken by the Department for compliance of order.</p> <p>4. Indeed, in Para No.6 of M.A, original Respondents state that they are ready and willing to comply the order passed by the Tribunal, but due to procedural aspect, extension is sought.</p> <p>5. In view of the statement made in M.A. that Applicant is impleading the order, I am inclined to grant two weeks' time from today for compliance of order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.493/2021 on 02.05.2022.</p> <p>6. In view of above, M.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>7. No further time will be granted.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- Member-J 04.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.356/2022

**Mr. R.S. Kore through legal
heirs Smt. S.R. Kore &
Shri A.R. Kore**

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.

3. No ~~such~~ ^{separate} reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 & 3.

4. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has raised grievance of non-payment of Provident Fund. The learned P.O. on instructions submits that bill was submitted but it was returned back with some queries.

5. The Respondents are, therefore, directed to comply with the queries and ensure that payment of GPF is released and paid to the Applicant by next date.

6. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicants, two weeks' time is granted to file Rejoinder.

7. S.O. to 18th July, 2022.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
04.07.2022**

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No. 636 of 2022</p> <p>K. S. KadlagApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 10.02.2022 whereby he is suspended in view of deemed suspension invoking Rule 4(2)(a) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.07.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original / Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 27.07.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.615 of 2022</p> <p>S. K. SonawaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 30.09.2021 issued by the Respondent – Additional Commissioner of Police whereby he was suspended in contemplation of D.E.</p> <p>3. Since the Applicant was suspended in contemplation of D.E., the suspension should not exceed 90 days in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in (2015) 7 SCC 291 (Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India & Anr.). It is, therefore, necessary to know whether the D.E. has been initiated and secondly, the review has been taken about continuation or revocation of suspension as the case may be.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions and to file short affidavit by next date.</p> <p>5. In the meantime, issue notice before admission returnable on 11.07.2022.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original / Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.635 of 2022</p> <p>M. B. MahajanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 08.01.2022 passed by the Respondent No.1 – District Superintending Agriculture Officer, Nashik whereby the charge of the Applicant's post was given to his subordinate on the ground of registration of crime against the Applicant. As per the impugned order, the Applicant allegedly committed misappropriation during the period from 2011 to 2017.</p> <p>3. <i>Prima-facie</i>, it appears that instead of taking recourse of appropriate action, the Respondent No.1 has only withdrawn the charges of the Applicant leaving him without posting for the period of six to seven months.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that the Applicant was arrested in connection with criminal case and was detained in custody for more than 48 hours. If it was really so then the department ought to have taken appropriate legal action which is ^{missing} rising from side of Respondents.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is, therefore, directed to take instructions from the Respondents and to file short affidavit in reply on next date.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. In the meantime, issue notice before admission returnable on 11.07.2022.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original / Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.576 of 2019</p> <p>A.P. Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 11.07.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.339 of 2016</p> <p>H.B. TanpureApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance is given to the Respondents to file affidavit-in-reply. Matter is of 2016. If reply is not filed on or before next date, matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 25.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">/ Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.386 of 2022 in O.A.No.560 of 2022 with O.A.No.560 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. ThoratApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking interim relief wherein the Applicant seeks directions to the Respondents to defer the final result of D.E. proceedings till conclusion of the Criminal case.</p> <p>3. In view of the earlier order dated 17.06.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.560/2022 wherein no interim relief was granted to the Applicant, M.A.No.386/2022 is hereby rejected.</p> <p>4. O.A.No.560/2022 is hereby adjourned to 08.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>C.A.No.41 of 2021 in O.A.No.1052 of 2016 with M.A.No.700 of 2019 in R.A.No.23 of 2019 in O.A.No.1052 of 2016 with R.A.No.23 of 2019 in O.A.No.1052 of 2016</p> <p>V.S. Patil (C.A.41/21 in O.A.1052/16) V.S. Kalekar (M.A.700/19 in R.A.23/19 in O.A.1052/16Applicants</p> <p>Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Mansha Khemka a/w Ms. Twinkle Khemka, learned Advocate for the Applicants in M.A.No.700/2019 in R.A.No.23/2019 in O.A.No.1052/2016 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant in C.A.41/21 in O.A.1052/16 is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Ms. Khemka submits that out of 179 Respondents, 100 Respondents are served and affidavit of service of 98 Respondents is already filed. She further submits that though she has requested the D.G. office to provide addresses of remaining Respondents, but the same is not provided.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. instructs the officer of M.P.S.C. who is present in the Tribunal to furnish the addresses to the learned Advocate forthwith.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 25.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 172/2016</u></p> <p>Shri D.S Katke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the order dated 11.4.2022, it is found that the issue involved is very short and learned counsel Mr Kolpe appeared on the last date and the matter is regarding the challenge to the selection process.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.07.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 147/2016</u></p> <p>Shri N.N Ramraje ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Darshana Kadkot i/b Shri V.B Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Ms Purva Pradhan with Shri D.B Khaire, learned counsel for Respondent no. 6.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that he be allowed to appear for the interview scheduled on 10.2.2016 by considering his experience of 13 years on the post of Professor and Head of the Department for the post of Dean in Government Medical College and also prays that the recommendation of Respondents no 5 & 6 be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. On perusal of the order dated 9.2.2016 of this Tribunal, it appears that in para 11(b), interim relief was rejected.</p> <p>4. In view of the prayers made, we are of the view that the cause of action does not survive.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant be allowed to file written note of submissions.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicant is permitted to file written note of submissions before 8.7.2022 and also to take instructions as to whether the applicant is in service or retired.</p> <p>7. S.O to 8.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

04.07.2022

O.A 353/2022

Shri A.S Shelke ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougue, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant places on record coy of the application dated 3.7.29022 sent by the applicant requesting to withdraw the Original Application. The said application is taken on record and marked as Exh. 1.
3. In view of the above, Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 28/2022 in O.A 267/2021</u></p> <p>G.N Tate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p><u>Later on:</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondent nos 1 & 2 and Shri Ashok M. learned counsel for Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the commutation of pension as per 7th Pay Commission is not paid to the applicant. However, he submitted that other retirement dues have been paid to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for Respondent no. 3, Zilla Parishad submits that the proposal for payment of commutation of pension as per 7th Pay Commission is sent to the office of the Accountant General for approval.</p> <p>4. S.O to 18.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.07.2022

C.A.No.28 of 2022 in O.A.No.267 of 2021

G.N. TateApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Ashok M. learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.
2. Learned Advocate Mr. Ashok M for Respondent No.3 submits on the basis of information given in letters dated 30.06.2022 and 28.06.2022 that the order of the Tribunal is already complied with.
3. Learned Advocate Mr. Ashok tenders the copy of letter dated 30.06.2022 sent by Agriculture Development Officer, Z.P. Solpaur and also letter 28.06.2022 showing the details of pension and other dues. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit-1, Exhibit-1A for identification.
4. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar wants to verify the same from the Applicant.
5. Matter kept back.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.987 of 2018</p> <p>T.S. Mulla & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that the copy of O.A. is not served to the office of the C.P.O.</p> <p>3. Matter is filed on 01.11.2018 for challenging rejection of the Applicants candidature by M.P.S.C. for the post of PSI- open female. Neither Applicant nor learned Advocate for the Applicant is present today.</p> <p>4. In view of the prayer made and the date of cause of action we are of the view that the cause of action does not survive.</p> <p>5. Matter is kept under the caption 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.601 of 2022</p> <p>V.B. KashidApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.07.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 07.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.600 of 2022</p> <p>V.B. KashidApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.07.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 8. Adjourned to 07.07.2022. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.644 of 2022</p> <p>V.B. KashidApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.07.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 07.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.469 of 2021</p> <p>S.U. RathodApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply will be filed during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.629 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. JawaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 08.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.101 of 2018</p> <p>S.D. DeshmukhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that the subject of O.A. is 'Selection' and is regarding Selection of the candidates of the examination of 2018 it appears that no cause of action remains and as none is present on behalf of the Applicant, therefore O.A is dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.12 of 2022</p> <p>D.N. PagareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ganesh Masorkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p>04.07.2022</p> <p>Tribunal's orders</p> <hr/> <p><u>O.A 127/2016</u></p> <p>Shri A.P Avhad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O objects that the matter is for final hearing and learned counsel for the applicant is filing rejoinder today.</p> <p>4. S.O to 25.7.2022.</p>
	<p style="text-align: right;">17 11</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.280 of 2022 with O.A.No.281 of 2022</p> <p>G.G. Daga & Ors. (O.A.280/2022) Y.S. Kulkarni & Ors. (O.A.281/2022)....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Hassen Khan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.T. Yaseen, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that reply is filed in O.A.No.280/2022 and no reply is filed in O.A.No.281/2022.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.07.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha G Medha G Agil Agil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">/ / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1036 of 2021</p> <p>S.M. Katkar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that she will be filing affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 04.07.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.898 of 2021</p> <p>A.M. Salunkhe & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Avinash Gokhale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 01.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.903 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. A.S. Nevkar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.S. Naktode, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is pointed by learned Advocate for the Applicant that State has not filed affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>4. Adjournd to 01.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

04.07.2022

O.A 666/2017 with O.A 1118/2018

Shri G.P Wadekar & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri N.Y Chavan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O submits that the Original Applications have become infructuous because the matter pertains to extension of the retirement age and the applicants might have retired.

3. S.O to 6.7.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.799 of 2021</p> <p>U.R. Shinde & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 8.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Raktate, submits that the proposal is resubmitted to the G.A.D.</p> <p>3. As the order passed is subject to the outcome of the O.A. matter is adjourned to 18.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (<i>Medha Gadgil</i>) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 198/2018</u></p> <p>Shri S.N Shelwane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to bring subsequent development on record.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 06/2018</u></p> <p>Shri P.B Ballyapalli ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 22.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- /</p> <p>(Medha/Gadgfi) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>