

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice _____ (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadker</u> Shri <u>H.G. Gohad</u> ..... C.F.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj. To <u>7/7/16</u>.....</p> <p>Order dt.: <u>4/7/16</u> Communicated by _____ by _____ Hand &amp; Resp No. <u>1</u> By Post on :- <u>4/7/16</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> 4:00 pm</p>	<p><u>C.A. No.86 of 2013 in O.A. No.1023 of 2012</u> Shri S.S. Kokitkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Pramod A. Naik, Joint Director, Technical Education, Divisional Office, Mumbai is present for the respondents. He states that one month's time is required for compliance.</p> <p>3. Shri Bandiwadkar, Ld. Advocate states that specific time limit should be framed.</p> <p>4. Shri P.A. Naik, Joint Director should file affidavit stating exact time required for each stage and minimum time within which the order can be complied with in all respects.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 7.7.2016 for filing affidavit by said Shri P.A. Naik.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 4.7.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 4/7/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice S.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice S.S. Dere (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri. S.S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant Shri. N.G. Gohad Advocate for the Respondents</p> <p><u>ORDER:</u> O.A. disposed as withdrawn S.S.</p>	<p>Date : 04.07.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.596 of 2015</p> <p>R.D. Sonawale .... Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors .... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere for the Applicant states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) As it has revealed from the Respondents version that Applicant's case is under consideration.</p> <p>(b) In view of the foregoing, applicant wants to withdraw the O.A..</p> <p>3. O.A. is disposed as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <u>11/11/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Admit.</u> Adj. To <u>Liberty to move for early hearing before the DIB as may be available</u></p> <p><i>ME</i></p>	<p>Date : 04.07.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.591 of 2015</p> <p>B.R. Sangle ..... Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ..... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Liberty to move for early hearing before the Division Bench as may be available.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri B. K. Meshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/She <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for Applicant Shri/Smt <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>7/11/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p><u>C.A. No.95 of 2015 in O.A. No.1093 of 2012</u></p> <p>Shri A.V. Mulik ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that the order passed in OA is carried before the Hon'ble High Court and the writ petition is expected to come up for hearing on 5.7.2016.</p> <p>3. In view of the fact that writ petition is likely to come up in near future, hearing of this CA is adjourned to 7.11.2016 with liberty to circulate the matter if occasion arises.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">n <i>Sd/-</i> <i>(A.H. Joshi, J)</i> Chairman 4.7.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Copy Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.07.2016.

O.A.No.185 of 2016

M.P. Sonawane .... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors .... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents states as follows :-

The case may be adjourned on the ground that Applicant's appeal against the suspension is being heard today.

3. In view of the foregoing adjourned to 11.07.2016.

DATE: 4/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 11/7/16

prk

*sd/-*  
(A.H. Joshi, J)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's Orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/5/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. K. Kulkarni (Member)-A</p> <p>Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Shri <u>Archana B.K.</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>18/2/16</u></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 04.07.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.73 of 2016 in O.A.No.164 of 2016</p> <p>V.V. Londhe ..... Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ..... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar for the Applicant prays for leave to amend the M.A..</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar undertakes to carry out the amendment forthwith.</p> <p>4. Leave to amend as orally as prayed is granted. Amendment part of M.A. be served on the Respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.07.2016.</p> <p><i>sd/-</i> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p><i>prk</i></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s  
Chairman

(Advocate .....prk)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshwar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Advocate for the Applicant: <u>A. R. Joshi</u> Advocate for the Respondent: <u>H. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>AJ. To: <u>18/7/16</u> steno copy &amp; Hamdast allowed. prk</p>	<p>Date : 04.07.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.37 of 2016</p> <p>M.P. Prabhukhanolkar .... Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents on instructions from Shri Amar Zaware, Section Officer, Home Department, Mantralaya states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Some action and decision would be solicited from the Finance Department within one week.</p> <p>(b) A week's time may be granted for making statement on the foregoing.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi), Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri S. K. Patil (Member)</p> <p>For Applicant:</p> <p>Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>For Respondents:</p> <p>Shri <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p><u>Liberty to move to for hearing before the preside over by Hon'ble vice-chairman.</u></p> <p><i>SGJ</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.44 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri R.H. Rupwate ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that OA is already admitted and affidavits are also received it shall come up for hearing in due course.</p> <p>3. The applicant shall be free to apply for continuation of provisional pension.</p> <p>4. Liberty to move for hearing before the bench presided over by Hon'ble Vice-Chairman.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> <i>Sd/-</i>            (A.H. Joshi, J.)            Chairman            4.7.2016         </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>4/7/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Kawaschikar (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCES:</b> Smt. <u>V.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>7/11/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HT</i></p>	<p><u>C.A. No.84 of 2015 in O.A. No.781 of 2013</u></p> <p>Smt. P.M. Waghmare &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that the order passed in OA is carried before the Hon'ble High Court and the writ petition is expected to come up for hearing on 13.7.2016.</p> <p>3. In view of the fact that writ petition is likely to come up in near future, hearing of this CA is adjourned to 7.11.2016 with liberty to circulate the matter if occasion arises.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> <i>sdl-</i>            (A.H. Joshi, J.)            Chairman            4.7.2016         </p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>4/7/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt.: <u>V.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....<u>2/11/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p>	<p><u>C.A. No.88 of 2015 in O.A. No.150 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. F.H.R.H. Haidry ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that the order passed in OA is carried before the Hon'ble High Court and the writ petition is expected to come up for hearing on 13.7.2016.</p> <p>3. In view of the fact that writ petition is likely to come up in near future, hearing of this CA is adjourned to 7,11.2016 with liberty to circulate the matter if occasion arises.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">         (A.H. Joshi, J.)        Chairman        4.7.2016     </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
O.A. No.647 of 2016

Shri K.W. Haral ..Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 28.7.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 28.7.2016.

DATE: 4/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Adv. : B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Adv. : H. G. Gohad

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 28/7/16

*HTE*

*sd/-*  
(A.H. Joshi)  
Chairman  
4.7.2016

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.07.2016.

O.A.No.558 of 2016

A.V. Todkar ..... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ..... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant prays for enlargement of time for serving the Respondents.

3. In view of the foregoing, returnable date is enlarged to 25.08.2016.

*2*  
*sd/*  
*(A.H. Joshi)*  
Chairman

prk

DATE: 4/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Suresh P. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice ~~Bandiwadekar~~ (Member) A

Advocate for Applicant

B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for Respondent

A.G. Gohad

C.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 25/8/16

*prk*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 04.07.2016.
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/16</u></p> <p>COVENANT</p> <p>For the State of Maharashtra (Chairman)</p> <p>For the Respondent (Respondent) A</p> <p>For the Applicant</p> <p>MS. A.J. Patil holding for P.S. Bhavake</p> <p>Archana B.K.</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>26/7/16.</u></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>O.A.No.157 of 2016</p> <p>P.N. Patil .... Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. A.J. Patil the learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Shri P. Yadav, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. A.J. Patil prays for enlargement of time for carrying out the amendment.</p> <p>3. Enlargement by one week is granted. Amended copy be served upon the Respondents-State before due date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.07.2016.</p> <p><i>prk</i></p> <p><i>Sd/-</i> <i>(A.H. Joshi, J. Tyagi)</i> Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

District \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra, and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.85/2016**

**Shri R.P. Pimpalgaonkar ... Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Ms. Ranjana Todankar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

See my order of 17.06.2016. It seems that in view of the formal order of admission being not there, this matter has not been placed before the Division Bench today. The OA is admitted and as an expedited one (see order dated 17.6.2016), it be placed for final hearing before the Division Bench on 12<sup>th</sup> July, 2016.

  
(R.B. Malik) 4.7.16  
Member (J)  
04.07.2016

(skw)

DATE: 4/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice S.K. Kulkarni (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Mr. R.B. Malik (Member) \* ]

APPEARANCE:

Ranjana Todankar

Advocate for the Applicant


H.G. Gohad


Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s

Admit.

Adj. To..... 12/7/16



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri J. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. R. B. Malik (Member) <u>A-1</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>L.S. Deshmukh</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.G / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>25/7/16</u></p> <p><i>skw</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.653/2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri R.H. Danapure ... Applicant</b>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>On the plain statement of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, the interviews got concluded on 28<sup>th</sup> June, 2016, making it clear that even if the appointments are made, then the same will be subject to the ultimate outcome of this OA. No other interim order is made today though liberty is reserved to the Applicant to renew the request for interim relief.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 25.07.2016.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 25<sup>th</sup> July, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">   <u>4.7.16</u>  (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  04.07.2016 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  R.B. Malik  Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Shr. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u>  Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Shr. <u>A.J. Chougule</u>  C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....<u>15/7/16</u>.....  BTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.651/2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.U. Shinde</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Liberty reserved for the Applicant to renew the request for interim relief.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 15.07.2016.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">   (R.B. Malik)  Member (J)  04.07.2016 </p> <p>(skw)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.644/2016**

**Miss A.A. Pawar** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Liberty reserved for the Applicant to renew the request for interim relief. It is further made clear that despite the pendency of this OA, the Respondents can consider the case of the Applicant on the anvil of the amended Rule which is at Page 61 of the paper book (11.02.1998).

Issue notice returnable on 14.07.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.



(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
04.07.2016

4.7.16

DATE: 4/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member) A J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 14/7/16

HE

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.642/2016

**Shri G.J. Rasal** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

It may not be proper today to make any detailed comments in this disciplinary proceedings related matter. However, reserving the right of the Applicant to renew his request for interim relief, I am of the opinion that the Respondents should be given a short date for filing Affidavit-in-reply, so that it should assist this Tribunal in a proper focus on the matter. It is made clear that the Affidavit-in-reply must be filed on the next date and a copy thereof be made available to other side at least one day in advance.

Issue notice returnable on 10.07.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> July, 2016. The learned C.P.O. do waive service.

DATE: 4/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R.B. MALIK (Member) A-3

APPEARANCES:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....18/7/15.....

*BE*

*R.B. Malik*  
04.07.16

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
04.07.2016

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

Disputant

Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**M.A.264/2016 in O.A.642/2016**

**Shri G.J. Rasal ... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
04.07.2016

04.07.16

DATE: 4/7/16

REGISTRAR

Chairman)  
R.B. Malik (Member) A ]

(skw)

ADVOCATE

A.V. Bandiwadkar

CHIEF PRESENTING OFFICER

N.K. Rajpurohit

CHIEF PRESENTING OFFICER

Ag. To... MA is allowed

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.335/13**

**Shri S.K. Marsale** ... Applicant  
**V/s.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Heard A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Shri Bhise, the learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri R.G. Jadhav, Joint Secretary, Skill Development & Entrepreneurship Department, Mantralaya. The arguments in this OA which have been heard over a period of time have almost concluded. On an issue which may be of great moment also, it appears, it could be relating to whether the Rules of 18<sup>th</sup> November, 1983 were Gadget Notified will necessitated a categorical answer or the action by the Respondents. This is because even as on the face of it 1980 Rules, the precursor of 1983 Rules were *ex-facie* superseded by 1983 Rules. There are documents to show that promotions were given under the 1959 Rules. The learned P.O, is therefore, directed to make sure that the duly Gazette Notification of the 1983 Rules must be submitted or an Affidavit that they were not gazette notified. Compliance by 7.7.2016.

DATE: 4/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:


Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar


Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O. for the Respondents

S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2016.

  
 (R.B. Malik)  
 Member (J)  
 04.07.2016

  
 (Rajiv Agarwal)  
 Vice-Chairman  
 04.07.2016

(skw)

Adj. D. S.O. to 7/7/16.



IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

04.07.2016O.A No 1074/2015

Shri R.P Mankar ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned  
advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise,  
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar stated  
that by order dated 4.4.2016 this Tribunal admitted  
the O.A as a statement was made on behalf of the  
Applicant that no affidavit in rejoinder is to be filed.  
However, on going through the record and  
proceedings of the case, learned Advocate stated that  
it is necessary to file affidavit in rejoinder. He,  
therefore, requested that he may be allowed to file  
affidavit in rejoinder. Tribunal's order dated 4.4.2016  
to that extent is modified and applicant is allowed to  
file affidavit in rejoinder, which is taken on record.  
The copy of the same has been given to the learned  
Presenting Officer.

O.A is now admitted. Respondents may file  
sur-rejoinder if need be.

Place for final hearing on 25.7.2016.

DATE: 4/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Rejoinder filed by Applicant.As O.A. is admitted.S.O. to 25/7/16.FH  
2/16sd/-  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Akn

MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

04.07.2016

O.A No 1056/2015

Shri A.A Jagdale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar stated that he will file rejoinder within one week. Unaffirmed copy of rejoinder given to the learned P.O today.

O.A is admitted. Respondents may file sur-rejoinder, if need be. Place for final hearing on 18.7.2016.

DATE: 4/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N. G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Akn

B Sdl - ml (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman

O.A is admitted.

S.O. to 18/7/16.

FH [Signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

04.07.2016

O.A No 1067/2015

Dr Arjun K. Chikhale ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A Jain, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

In the sur-sur-rejoinder filed by the Applicant on 25.3.2016, he has given instances of the Deputy Collector on deputation who have been working even as long as 8 years and they have not been touched. Learned Advocate Shri Jain also stated that out of 12 Deputy Collectors who were transferred to Amravati and Nagpur Division, only four have joined and rest have been given posting of their choice and their orders posting them to Vidarbha have been cancelled. The action of the Respondents are highly discriminatory and only those officers who obeyed the orders are being subjected to discriminatory and harsh treatment and those who did not obey the orders are given posting of their choice.

Respondents are directed to place the information which was placed before the Civil Services Board which recommended transfer of the Applicant to Chandrapur. It is seen that more than 100 Deputy Collectors are on deputation and many of them are on deputation for more than two years when the transfer of the Applicants and others were issued by the Civil Services Board. Whether the complete information about all the Deputy Collectors who have completed their deputation was placed before the C.S.B and was duly considered by the said Board may also be clarified. Is it a fact that out of 12 Deputy Collectors who were transferred to Amravati and Nagpur Division, only four have joined and rest have been accommodated elsewhere may also be clarified.

The concerned Mantralaya file and proceedings of the Civil Services Board may be placed for my perusal along with affidavit covering these issues.

S.O to 12.7.2016. Part Heard.

DATE: 14/7/16

CGRAM:

Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri K. B. MATHUR (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A. Jain

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. B. Bhise

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

~~Adj. To~~

S.O. to 12/7/16

Part heard.

PH  
10/11

R. Sd/ ml  
(Rajiv Agarwal)

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.354/2015 with R.A.23/2015 in**  
**O.A.402/2013 with R.A.26/2015 in**  
**O.A.402/2013 with O.A.301/2016.**

**Shri L.A. Magdum & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**V/s.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents 1 & 2 in OA 354/2015, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for Respondents 3,5,9,11 & 13, Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for Respondents 2 & 4 in OA 402/2013 and for 1 & 3 in R.A.26/2015 in OA 402/2013 and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents in O.A. 301/2016.

The application of Ms. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants for further adjournment on the ground that the matter is on the Board of the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No. of Shri Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate very strongly objects saying that this matter is unnecessarily being prolonged and he further submits that the same issue has now been settled by the Judgment of the Hon'ble Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court which was confirmed by the Special Leave Petition by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, taking into consideration all aspects of the matter, this group of matters stands adjourned to 11<sup>th</sup> July, 2016.

DATE: 4/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri Ms. S.P. Manchekar  
CDA 354/15 & RA 23/15 A.V. Bandiwadekar  
Advocate for the Applicant COA301/16

Shri Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit  
C.P.O. for the Respondents No. 122.

A.V. Bandiwadekar for  
R.No. 3, 5, 9, 11 & 13.

Adv. Shri R.M. Kolge for  
R.No. 2 & 4 in RA 23/15.

S.O. to 11/7/16.

PH  
@/12/16

Sd/- 7/16

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
04.07.2016

(skw)

Sd/-  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman  
04.07.2016



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.650 OF 2016**

**DISTRICT : PUNE**

Shri Dattatraya Rajaram Madane

..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Shri K.R. Jagdale – Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. A.B. Kololgi – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2016

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 25.8.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the



case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Heard on the point of interim relief.

8. Shri Jagdale, Ld. Advocate has emphasized on following facts:

- (i) On his request the applicant was transferred from Yeotmal to Pune by order dated 7.11.2015.
- (ii) His posting at Pune was cancelled by order dated 18.11.2015.
- (iii) The applicant approached this Tribunal by filing OA No.1014 of 2015.
- (iv) The cancellation order was stayed.
- (v) During pendency of OA by order dated 16.12.2015 the cancellation was revoked.
- (vi) In view of cancellation of transfer the applicant's OA was disposed off.



(vii) By impugned order the applicant is transferred to Nanded Circle.

9. Ld. PO was called to state as to whether the file in which the decision to transfer the applicant is brought. Ld. PO has answered in the affirmative and tendered file for perusal.

10. On perusal it has transpired that proposal for applicant's transfer was initiated because of the complaint submitted to Director General of Police (DG) by Shri Sanjay Vishwanath Begde, Hon'ble Member of Legislative Assembly which is dated 9.11.2015.

11. The matter was again placed before the Police Establishment Board (PEB) concerned by circulation and approval has been obtained. The file does not disclose that the facts leading to the contents of complaint were investigated, ascertained or enquired into.

12. According to the applicant he still holds the charge and he is not relieved. Ld. PO after taking instructions confirms that applicant is not relieved from his present post.

13. From the facts noted hereinbefore it transpires that a special case of complaint against the applicant is tried to be made out by the respondents. However, record does not show that even preliminary enquiry is conducted. Moreover description or facts constituting complaint are not borne on record. Record also does not show that as to what are the complaints and what is the nature thereof.

14. In this background, the applicant has made out a case for grant of interim relief. Therefore, the order of transfer impugned in this OA is



stayed. Liberty is granted to the respondents to file affidavit in reply and move for vacation of stay if need to that effect arises.

15. S.O. to 25.8.2016. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to both parties. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

Sd/-

~~(A.H. Joshi, J.)~~  
Chairman  
4.7.2016

Date : 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2016

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

E:\JAWALKAR\Judgements\2016\7 July 2016\OA.650.16.J.7.2016-DRMadane-Transfer-SO.25.8.2016.doc

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI****ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.138 OF 2016****DISTRICT : PUNE****A.L. Pansare****.... Applicant.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****....Respondents.**

Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 04.07.2016.

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents states that today a cheque of Rs.4,67,055/- is tendered to the Applicant and he has received it under protest. The protest of the applicant as is regards penal rent charge towards the Government accommodation. The said question is not the matter of present O.A..
3. In view of the requirement of rules that the interest on delayed payment has to be claimed by filing application, Applicant undertakes to file such application to the Department directly.
4. In view of the payment now made, and statement of learned Advocate for Applicant recorded in foregoing paragraph the purpose of prayer clause 9(a) is complied with.
5. In so far as prayer clause 9(b) is concerned it is necessary to have clear statement from the Respondents as to whether the Government servants who are

governed by the Government Resolution dated 31.10.2005 (copy whereof is at Exhibit A, page 13) are eligible to received the amount of gratuity.

6. At this stage, learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge for the Applicant prays for leave to add the Additional Chief Secretary, Finance Department as Respondent No.4, because clarification as regards prayer 9 (b) has to come from the Respondent No.4.

7. Added Respondent No.4 is directed to file affidavit as regards applicant's eligibility to receive payment of gratuity as prayed in prayer clause 9(b).

8. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents is directed to communicate this order and secure reply.

9. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned P.O..

10. Applicant is directed to serve additional Respondent within three weeks.

11. Returnable dated shall be 25.08.2016.

12. S.O. to 25.08.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi) (P.)  
Chairman

prk

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI****ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.938 OF 2015****DISTRICT : PUNE****P.R. Jagdale & Ors.****.... Applicants.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors****....Respondents.**

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants .

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 04.07.2016.**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents has tendered the affidavit of Shri K.P. Bakshi, Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.
3. On perusal of the affidavit it is found that Shri K.P. Bakshi, Additional Chief Secretary has tendered the apology and also furnished the undertaking that all the administrative and procedural lapses will be completely avoided in the future while dealing with the court matters.
4. By accepting the undertaking and apology, this Tribunal refrains from passing any order of costs with the hope that the word 'caution' to the effect that inaction on the part of the Home Department is gross and due care be taken in future, would suffice.

5. Case is now adjourned with following observations:-

It is hoped that on the next date the statement would be made that the General Administration Department is being pursued, and progress made therein, and the outcome and progress would be reported on the next date.

6. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..

8. S.O. to 08.08.2016.

Q

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)  
Chairman

prk



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.240/15**

**Shri S.J. Wagh** ... Applicant  
V/s.

**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Heard B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The arguments are almost fully heard. However, it now appears from an order in C.A.No.39/2015 in O.A.925/2004 that the learned Member (A) was apparently told that a regular appointee has replaced the Applicant. The learned P.O. shall make sure that an authority armed with the requisite information and instructions must remain present from Mantralaya before us tomorrow because it is possible that the OA could be disposed of and we may have to consider taking action, if necessary. Hamdast. 5-7-16

DATE: 4/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
04.07.2016

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman  
04.07.2016

(skw)

Adj. to S.O. to 5/7/16.

Hamdast.

PH  
*[Signature]*

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.07.2016.

C.A.No.3 of 2016 in O.A.No.1214 of 2013

A.T. Ghodake ..... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ..... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere states that learned Advocate Shri M.B. Kadam has difficulty today and hence the case may be kept tomorrow.

3. S.O. to 05.07.2016.

A  
sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

prk

DATE: 4/7/16

COPIES:

1. To the learned Advocate (Applicant)

1. To the learned Advocate (Respondents)

1. To the Registrar

1. To S.S. Dere holding for M.B. Kadam

1. To K.B. Bhise

1. To the Presenting Officer

ADJ. CLERK: 5/7/16

# MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.07.2016.

O.A.No.59 of 2016 with O.A.No.61 of 2016 with  
O.A.No.90 of 2016

A.A. Potnis (O.A.59/2016)

S.V. Kulkarni (O.A.61/2016)

J.S. Pirgonde (O.A.90/2016)

.... Applicants

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

.... Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate is absent.

2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents has tendered reply. It is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad undertakes to serve the copy on learned Advocate Ms. S.P. Manchekar forthwith.

4. Adjourned to 29.08.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

prk