(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Tribunal's orders 04.06.2018 O.A No 478/2018 Shri A.L Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned for the 1. applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 6/18 DATE At the request of learned advocate Shri Lonkar, 2. CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chainnan) adjourned to 7.6.2018. Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) APPEARANCE: Shrivsmt M. D. Lonkcen Sd/-Advocate for the Applicant (A.H Joshi, J() Shri/Smt : M& S. P. Marnche Chairman C.I JP.O. for the Respondentie Akn 

### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	04.06.2018
	<u>O.A No 11/2018</u>
	Shri A.H Raskar & Ors Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A V Ponding 1
	1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O
	for the Respondents.
	2. Interim relief to an it
	2. Interim relief to continue.
ATE: 4 6 18	3. O.A be listed for final hearing on 14.6.2018.
on'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	0
Drible Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)	∽ Sd/-
PEARANCE: Mr/Smt A.V. Beendicore doka	
vocate for the Applicant	Akn (A.H Joshi, J.)
HSmt. No. S. P. Meeneliekar	
JS.O. to 14/6/18.	
S.U. D	
pland	

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL Spl. MAT-F-2 E. MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

Original Application No.

·I N

of 20

	Ар	Votes, Office Memoranda of Coram; pearance, Tribunal's orders or ctions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
			04.06.2018
			O.A No 357/2018
			Ms M.K Achare Applicant
			Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
			1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
			2. Learned Presenting Officer states as follows:- Shri N.P Dalvi, learned Special Counsel is not available because he is busy.
rat.		2018	3. The matter is governed by judgment of this Tribunal rendered in O.A no 529/2017 following the judgment of Hon'ble High Court in W.P no 11574/2016 (pages 91 & 98 of O.A).
Her	Si Shri P. N.	rl A. H. Joshi (Chairm <b>an)</b> Dixit Member (A)	4. In the aforesaid background, Secretary, M.P.S.C is directed as follows:-
Adiyo	ocate for the A		<ul> <li>He should file his own affidavit as to what is the legal impediment in following the ratio as laid down in the judgement rendered above in foregoing paragraph no. 3.</li> </ul>
Ú.	RO. for the	S- Frikyland Respondentie	(ii) Affidavit in reply may be filed by day after tomorrow.
Adj./	S.O. to	662013	5. S.O to 6.6.2018.
			6. Hamdast and steno copy is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
			(A.H Joshi, J.) (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman

### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

Original Application No.

IN

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>04.06.2018</u>
	C.A 29/2016 in O.A No 118/2015
	Shri K.P Kulkarni Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadeakr, the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned P.O for the Respondents.
NATE - 4/6/18	2. At the request of learned advocate for applicant, adjourned to11.6.2018.
20RAM : ton'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	<u>}</u>
Hon ble Shrip N. Dikit Momber (A) PPEARANCE: hri/Smt	Sd/-
derocate for the Applicant	(A.H Joshi, J.) Akn Chairman
hrl/Smt	
d/ S.O. to	
Car int	

### (G.C.P.)' J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

••

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	m, Tribunal's orders
	04.06.2018
	<u>O.A No 438/2018</u>
	Shri D.B Bamane Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.R Deshmukh, learned for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Heard learned advocate for sometime. In the midst of hearing learned advocate has taken instructions from the applicant and he states as follows:-
	<ul> <li>Applicant wants to restrict the prayer in present O.A to the extent of direction to decide the period of compulsory waiting.</li> </ul>
DATE: 4618 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)	<ul> <li>(ii) He wants to withdraw the prayer for his claim for promotion with liberty to file fresh O.A /representation for that purpose.</li> </ul>
APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt B. R. Deshuuckh	(iii) Learned advocate for applicant prays for permission to amend the O.A for pursuing the prayer for decision on compulsory waiting period.
Shri/Smt Mg. S. P. Mænchekan C.M. P.O. for the Respondentie	3. Leave to amend for substitution of O.A for continuing with the prayer for direction for deciding the Compulsory Waiting period is granted.
Add 30.10 Liberty to cicrculate if aniendment escarsied out	4. Substitution be down within two weeks. If substitution is not done with two weeks, O.A shall stand dismissed without reference to this Tribunal.
Correct	5. Liberty to circulate if amendment is carried out.
	X Sd/-
	Akn (A.H Joshi, T), Otw

### (O.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

Original Application No.

IN

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

04.06.2018

#### O.A No 110/2018

Smt Meena B. Sonawane ... Applicant Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Rajendra Sorankar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. This Original Application was circulated by orally mentioning that M.P.S.C has failed to supply the documents pursuant to the order of this Tribunal.

3. At the time when the case is taken up, learned Advocate for applicant states that he has received the documents.

O.A to come up on due date, i.e. 2.7.2018.

Advocate for the Applicant

Adj. S.O. to ... O.A. to some up on due date, i.e. 2/7/2018.

Akn

4.

Sd/-A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>04.06.2018</u>
	M.A 135/2018 in O.A No 104/2018 with O.A 104/2018
	Shri A.G Sangar Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
DATE: 41612-018	<ol> <li>Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>In the midst of hearing learned advocate for</li> </ol>
Honole Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Honole Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt	applicant prays for permission to withdraw the O.A with liberty to file fresh in the event if any useful information he may explore.
Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.:	3. O.A & M.A stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as above.
Add S.O. to A. A. M.A. stands	Sd/-
disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as above.	(A.H Joshi, J) Chairman
1972	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 04.06.2018
	O.A.Nos. 467/15, 524/15, 585/15, 589/15, 606/15, 632/15, 633/15, 636/15, 638/15, 648/15, 667/15, 742/15 766/15, 767/15, 768/15, 791/15, 808/15, 809/15, 822/15 904/15, 960/15 & 1054/15
	Shri Vinod R. Badekar & OrsApplicants
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri S. K. Nair, Spl. Counsel for the State ir
	O.A. No.633/2015 and Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned
	Advocate for the applicant in O.A. No.467/2015.
	<ol> <li>O.A.No.633/2015 is the lead matter and there is bunch of other O.A.s including 467/15, 524/15, 585/15, 589/15, 606/15, 632/15, 636/15, 638/15, 648/15, 667/15, 742/15, 766/15, 767/15, 768/15, 791/15, 808/15, 809/15, 822/15, 904/15, 960/15 &amp; 1054/15.</li> </ol>
	3. The Special Counsel for the State mentions about the decision by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.11443 of 2016 and Others, dated 23.04.2018. The Hon'ble High Court has requested the M.A.T. to decide the matter expeditiously.
DATE: 4 6 18 CORAM: Hon'Vie Shri. R'AJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)	4. Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate mentions that she is representing in O.A. No.467/2015 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate mentions that he does not have any instructions from any of his petitioners.
Hon'ble Shri <del>R. B. MALIK (Member)</del> <u>APPEARANCE</u> : P.N. Dixit Shrt/Smr.: <u>Reyona</u> Iodankar Advocate for the Applicant COA 467/15) Shri-Smr. <u>Solar Kespondoms</u> Spl. Cocche el Adj. Th. 20/6/18	5. In the above circumstances, learned Special Counsel for the State is directed to inform the Head of each institution where the petitioners are working and intimate them about the decision of the Hon'ble High Court as well as next date of hearing in the Tribunal of M.A.T. and obtain receipt from the petitioners. The Head of the institute should obtain the acknowledgment from the concerned petitioners, if, they are functioning in the same place.
(Car)	6. Special Counsel for the State also underlines that since the judgment passed by the Hon'ble High Court is judgment in rem, the petitioners are supposed to be aware. The above arrangement is by abundant precaution to intimate them and will not be considered as binding on each and every petitioners interested in this matter.
	7. The matter is adjourned for final hearing on 20.06.2018.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

(P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)

Sd/-

### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΊN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's, orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 04.06.2018
	O.A.No.954 of 2017 M.A. DesaiApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned P.O. for the respondents.
DATE: 4 6/18 <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member) ADEEARANCE: P.N. DIXIT	2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant mentions that the representation made by the applicant is under active consideration in a favorable manner and expecting the decision shortly. Hence, the learned Advocate for the applicant requests to adjourn the matter by two weeks.
Shitson - A. V. Bandiwader	3. S. O. to 18.06.2018.
Advocate for the Applicant Shut Sout : Man Schole Jacobe UShi 2007 TO. for the Respondents	Sd/-
di To. 18618.	(P.N. <sup>I</sup> DIXIT) MEMBER (A)

### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

DATE:

Hen'ble Shri-R.

APPEARANCE

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

Shrifting Focz U.V. Bhosel Advicente for the Applicant

C3107 E.O. for the Respondents

\_ Shringini : 14:5: G ceekeerac

D.A. is dispose

(Vice - Chairman)

MALIK (Member) A

of 20

Original Application No.

IN

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 04.06.2018
	O.A.No.200 of 2018 V. P. Narwade
	Applicant Versus
	The Charity Commissioner, MumbaiRespondent
	1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre holding for Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondent.
	2. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the applicant was earlier working at

he the office of Charity Commissioner at Nandurbar and has working at been promoted and posted as Superintendent in the office of the Charity Commissioner at Nandurbar. Advocate Shri C.T.Chandratre further states that the Learned general transfers have been completed and orders have been issued in the last fortnight of May, 2018. The representation made by the applicant has not been considered.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondent points out that the applicant is facing departmental enquiry on anticorruption charges.

4. In view of the forgoing, the representation made by the applicant for posting at Buldhana / Jalna or any place nearby Buldhana, may be considered.

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that the post at Buldhana is still not filled in.

6. In view of the above, the Respondent may consider his representation and decide the same on merits within a period of two months.

7. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for disposing of the application accordingly. By consent, the Original Application is disposed off.

#### Sd/-

#### (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)