

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.519 of 2023</p> <p>U.R. Pujari .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2 and Shri A.D. Parsekar, learned learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 10.04.2023 and sought interim relief. The Applicant is serving in the cadre of Assistant Sub-Inspector of State Excise and was posted at Islampur. He has not completed normal tenure of three years. However, Respondent No.1 – The Superintendent of State Excise, Sangli by order dated 10.04.2023 deputed the Applicant on Non-Executive Post at Chikhali, Tal.-Shirala, Dist.-Sangli and in his place posted Respondent No.3 – Mr. Irfan M. Shaikh. In impugned order itself there is a reference that the Applicant has been deputed on Non-Executive Post attributing some lapses to him. Thus, apparently impugned order dated 10.04.2023 is in fact transferred under the garb of deputation that to on Non-Executive Post which is stigmatic. It per-takes character of transfer and it being not by competent authority is liable to be stayed.</p> <p>3. In terms of provisions of Transfer Act 2005, Head of the Department is competent authority for General transfer and in case of mid-term Transfer it requires approval of next higher competent authority as per table u/s. 6 of Transfer Act, 2005. In present case there being no such approval from next higher competent authority. <i>Prima-facie</i>, impugned order is not in consonance with law.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. In view of above, operation of order dated 10.04.2023 is stayed and the Applicant as well as Respondent No.3 shall work at the place where they were working before order dated 10.04.2023.</p> <p>5. <del>Baray</del>, learned P.O. on instruction submits that Respondent No.1 intend to take remedial measures to withdraw order dated 10.04.2023. He is at liberty to do so.</p> <p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.06.2023.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 06.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.05.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1023 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>V. R. Gosavi</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On previous date, the matter was adjourned on request of learned P.O. that file for issuance of posting order is under processed with the Minister.</li> <li>3. Today, learned P.O. submits that file is not yet finally processed and orders are awaited.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. is directed to ensure necessary orders by next date otherwise O.A. will be heard on next date without fail.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 06.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">   <b>Sd/-</b>  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date: 04.05.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.510 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>K.E. Bathe</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When this O.A. was taken up for admission on 03.05.2023 it was noticed that <i>prima-facie</i> the impugned order is unsustainable in law since it seems to have been passed as a punishment and directions were given to learned P.O. to take instruction from Sub Divisional Officer (S.D.O.) how such order is illegal.</p> <p>3. Today learned P.O. submits that he could not contact S.D.O. He submits that he will advise S.D.O. to take remedial measures since <i>prima-facie</i> course of action <del>attached</del> <sup>dated</sup> by S.D.O. is not legal.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. In view of above, issued notice to S.D.O. before admission returnable after vacation on 14.06.2023 with liberty to take remedial measures in respect of order dated 21.03.2023.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 14.06.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.531 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>Dr. S. D. Suryawanshi</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 21.10.2022 and also seeking implementation of the order passed by the Government on 05.08.2022.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that the Government by order dated 05.08.2022 transferred the Applicant from the post of Medical Superintendent, Nasalgaon (Rural), Dist. Nashik to District Civil Surgeon, District Hospital, Dist. Beed. In pursuance of that order, he was relieved and reported for duty on 10.08.2022. However, the Director, Health Services, Mumbai by order dated 10.08.2022 instructed not to get Applicant joined at Beed till further orders in view of some directions issued from the Higher Authority. Thus, the Applicant is kept without posting. Thereafter, the Government by order dated 21.10.2022 posted the Respondent No.2- Dr. Sabale at Beed where he was posted by order dated 05.08.2022.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the Respondents are required to take necessary steps for appropriate posting order of the Applicant since for long time, he is kept in abeyance awaiting posting order and not getting salary.</p> <p>5. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.06.2023.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 05.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.149/2019</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>Speaking to Minutes</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.S. Suryawanshi &amp; 2 Ors. ... Applicants</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is taken on Board today for Speaking to Minutes, so as to correct the typographical mistakes in the order passed by the Tribunal on 26.04.2023.</p> <p>3. Following are the inadvertent typographical mistakes be corrected.</p> <p>(i) In Title Clause, the designation of Applicant Nos.2 &amp; 3 is shown as Deputy Chief Executive Engineer, it be corrected as Deputy Chief Executive Officer.</p> <p>(ii) In Title Clause, in <sup>PIN</sup> Code of Respondent No.1 is shown as 400 001 in place of 400 032. It be corrected as 400 001.</p> <p>(iii) In Para No.1, the date of impugned communication is mentioned as 06.06.2008, it be corrected as 06.06.2018.</p> <p>(iv) In Para No.2, it is mentioned that they were regularly promoted to the post of BDO (Group-B), it be corrected as BDO (Group-A).</p> <p>(v) In Para No.3 i.e. in Chart, the dates of retirement of the Applicants be corrected</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>as 31.01.2012, 31.08.2014 and 30.11.2014 respectively.</p> <p>(vi) In Para No.10, it is stated that 'they were given selection grade by order dated 10.08.2009'. It be corrected as 'they were given selection grade since 10.08.2009'.</p> <p>(vii) In Para No.13, pay scale is mentioned as 800-13500, it be corrected as 8000-13500.</p> <p>(viii) In Para No.14, the date of applicability of G.R. is mentioned as 01.01.2006, it be corrected as 01.10.2006.</p> <p>(ix) In Para No.16, the date of G.R. is mentioned as 06.10.2016, it be corrected as 07.10.2016.</p> <p>(x) In Para No.16, the date of G.R. is mentioned as 20.06.2001, it be corrected as 20.07.2001.</p> <p>The above corrections be accordingly made in the order.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- WV <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>04.05.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.413/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.N. Pawar</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri K.R. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed this O.A. for direction to the Respondents to release his gratuity and remaining retiral benefits. He stands retired on 31.05.2020. DE was initiated in 2015 which was continued after retirement. Notably, Enquiry Officer has submitted report and Department received it on 03.10.2016. Thereafter, disciplinary authority issued show cause notice to the Applicant on 16.12.2016 as to why he should not be dismissed from service. The Applicant submitted reply on 26.12.2016. However, thereafter, no final order was passed in the matter and it was kept in cold storage. In the meantime, Applicant retired on 31.05.2020. In view of retirement, the proposed punishment of dismissal from service has become infructuous due to sheer inaction and negligence on the part of disciplinary authority.</p> <p>3. It is only after retirement, the Government issued show cause notice on 23.10.2020 and proposed punishment of 30% deduction of pension permanently to which Applicant submitted reply on 16.12.2020. Even thereafter also, no final steps were taken by the Government in the matter.</p> <p>4. It is on the above background, the Applicant has filed this O.A. on 18.04.2023 for direction to release remaining retiral benefits.</p> <p>5. When this O.A. was taken upon for admission noticing inordinate and huge delay as well as</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>negligence on the part of disciplinary authority, the Tribunal directed Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue &amp; Forest Department (Stamps and Registration) to file Affidavit to explain the delay.</p> <p>6. Shri Nitin Kareer, Additional Chief Secretary has filed Affidavit. In Affidavit, all that he stated that certain queries were raised by MPSC about the proposed punishment of 30% deduction of pension and it was answered. Again, MPSC raised certain queries to which Government submitted reply. Thus, the time in consultation with MPSC stated to be the reason for delay.</p> <p>7. However, notably, there is absolutely no explanation about the action taken by the Government after submission of reply of the Applicant on 26.12.2016 to the proposed punishment of dismissal from service. For 4 years, no action seems to have been taken by the Government and by that time, Applicant got retired. There is absolutely no whisper about these 4 years of delay in between 2016 to 2020. Suffice to say, the Affidavit filed by Additional Chief Secretary is totally unsatisfactory.</p> <p>8. Learned P.O. submits that now within 2 months, necessary orders will be issued and remaining dues of the Applicant will be paid. He has further submitted that GIS and GPF is already paid and provisions provision is also being paid. Thus, according to him what remains gratuity and leave encashment.</p> <p>9. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.1 to pass final order in DE within 4 weeks from today in accordance to law and shall release remaining retiral benefits to the Applicant within 2 weeks thereafter in terms of final order in DE.</p> <p>10. The Applicant is at liberty to redress grievance of interest independently. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 04.05.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.321 of 2023 in O.A. No.523 of 2023</p> <p>V.V. Kadam .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.523 of 2023</p> <p>V.V. Kadam .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has filled this O.A. for regularization of services from initial date of appointment with all consequential service benefits.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.06.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 15.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">   Sd/-  v  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.49 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>B. S. Lambhate</b> ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On 26.04.2023, the time was granted to file Affidavit in Reply subject to cost of Rs.2000/-.</li> <li>3. Today, learned P.O. submits that the cost is already deposited and has tendered the receipt. He has also tendered Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</li> <li>4. Time is granted to learned Counsel for the Applicant to file Rejoinder on next date.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 14.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">   Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.594 of 2021</p> <p>A.V. Chavan <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are continuously absent as noted in the orders. Thus, they are appear not interested in the matter.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.953 of 2022</p> <p>A. A. K. Shaikh <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Since the court time is over, the matter is adjourned for hearing after vacation.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">   Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.137 of 2023</p> <p>S. T. Ghusar <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since the court time is over, the matter is adjourned for hearing after vacation.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 04.05.2023**

**O.A.No.364/2022**

A.U. Raskar & Ors. ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that out of 3 applicants, two applicants have filled the on-line form, however, one applicant has not filled the on-line form.

3. Learned Advocate seeks time to verify the same.

4. Adjourned to 16.06.2023.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 04.05.2023**

**O.A.No.527/2023**

Dr. J.R. Bande .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.06.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 22.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.05.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 252/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.D Kedar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks directions to the Respondents to frame a policy in respect of posting and transfer of handicapped and persons with disabilities as per provisions of Section 20(5) of Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that at the time of general transfers the case of the applicant will be considered if posts are available at the place where the applicant has given his choice.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, the Respondents are expected to consider the case of the applicant at the time of general transfers being disabled sympathetically for his posting at the place he has given the choice.</p> <p>5. With the above directions by consent the Original Application stands disposed of with liberty to file fresh Original Application with fresh prayers.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**04.05.2023**

**C.A 01/2023 in O.A 337/2022**

Shri D.W Pande ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The Respondents are directed to remain present with all the necessary information in respect of compliance of the order of this Tribunal on 5.6.2023.

3. S.O to 5.6.2023.

Sd/-

/ **(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**04.05.2023**

**C.A 13/2023 in O.A 961/2022**

Shri J.R Solanki ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The Dean, J.J Hospital is directed to comply with the order of the Tribunal at the earliest.

3. S.O to 5.6.2023.

^        15 / 1  
Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.05.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.513/2023 with O.A.No.514/2023 with O.A.No.515/2023</b></p> <p>B.J. More .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. These O.As pertains to the selection of the applicants from ex-serviceman category for the post of Police Constable and also prays for the directions to revised results of the applicants as eligible candidates in the light of G.Rs. dated 05.04.1955 and 29.04.1971.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.06.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. Adjourned to 15.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 04.05.2023**

**M.A.No.319/2023 in O.A.No.514/2023 with  
M.A.No.320/2023 in O.A.No.515/2023**

D.P. Bandgar & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>Date : 04.05.2023</b>
---	---

**O.A.No.668/2022**

S.S. Misale .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that today during the course of the day she will file reply. It be taken on record.

3. Admit. Place for Final hearing. Adjourned to 15.06.2022. HOB.

Sd/-

**/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 04.05.2023**

**C.A.No.32/2023 in O.A.No.496/2020**

A.B. Baviskar & Ors, .....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned P.O. submits that yesterday i.e. on 03.05.2023 the proposal sent by the Additional Principal, Chief Conservator, Mumbai is accepted by the Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Nagpur Thus two posts will be created for the Applicants.

3. Respondent No.2, the Deputy Conservator of Forest, Mr. Revati Kulkarni is directed to take further steps for compliance of the order the Tribunal.

4. Adjourned to 14.06.2023.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 04.05.2023**

**O.A.No.527/2023**

Dr. J.R. Bande .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.06.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 22.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
--	--------------------------

**04.05.2023**

**C.A 02/2023 in O.A 476/2022**

Dr A.M Kadhikhyae ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Shri D.K Lokhande, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant Shri Bandiwadekar informs that the applicant has received the gratuity amount. However, he has not received Pension, 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of the amount of commuted pension and interest thereon.

3. Learned counsel for the Respondent no. 3 is directed to take necessary steps to comply with the order of this Tribunal.

4. S.O to 13.6.2023.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.05.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 396/2023</u></b></p> <p>Smt Priyanka P. Kulkarni ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.U Pawar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant presses for interim relief.</p> <p>3. S.O to 9.5.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**04.05.2023**

**C.A 14/2023 in O.A 434/2022**

Shri R.T Kale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the order of the Tribunal dated 13.7.2022 is complied with.

3. In view of the above, nothing remains in the Contempt Application and the same stands disposed of.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 04.05.2023**

**O.A.No.511/2023**

J.M. Kawade .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. B.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Applicant who is working as Circle Officer challenges the Departmental Enquiry initiated on 08.02.2021 and supplementary charge-sheet dated 01.06.2022.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.06.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 14.06.2023.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 04.05.2023**

**M.A.No.315/2023 in O.A.No.501/2023**

**With**

**M.A.No.316/2023 in O.A.No.502/2023**

**With**

**M.A.No.317/2023 in O.A.No.503/2023**

P.S. Pitale & Ors. ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The M.A.No.315/2023 to M.A.No.317/2023 are filed seeking condonation of delay of 11 months in filing O.A.No.501/2023 to O.A.No.503/2023.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.06.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 16.06.2023.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 04.05.2023**

**O.A.No.495/2023**

N.B. Ghade & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Applicants pray for revising or modifying provisional list dated 12.04.2023 which is made without following the reservation policy and has appointed Respondents No.4 and 5.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.06.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 16.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
--	--------------------------

**Date : 04.05.2023**

**M.A.No.306/2023 in O.A.No.495/2023**

N.B. Ghade & Ors. ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 04.05.2023 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.486/2023
---	---

P@A.R. Patil .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.B. Khot, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays that Respondent No.1 be directed to decide his pending application dated 20.04.2021 within four weeks.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits to the orders of the Court. However, she prays that sufficient time of eight weeks is to be given to decide the application.

4. In view above, application dated 20.04.2021 be decided by 30.06.2023.

5. Adjourned to 06.07.2023.

Sd/-

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1259 of 2022 with O.A. No.659 of 2022</u></p> <p>G.R. Shelar &amp; Anr. Yogesh M. Savale ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.659/2022, Ms. Madhavi Ayappan holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.8, 10, 12, 17, 22, 25, 28, 29, 31, 34, 35 &amp; 50 in OA No.659/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents-State. None for Applicants in OA No.1259/2022.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO informs that MPSC has requested the Government to do verification of eligibility of the shortlisted candidates for interview and it will take some time.</p> <p>3. Matters are already fixed on 8.6.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- /_____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.5.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.22 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.P. Bagul ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned by Ld. CPO and hence taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO has filed an application dated 4.5.2023 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 3.5.2023 passed in the above OA.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO submits that in quoted portion of para 3 of the order the date '3.1.2023' is to be corrected as '31.1.2023' and in para 4 of the order GR dated '18.10.2016' is to be corrected as '18.4.2023'.</p> <p>5. Corrections be carried out accordingly.</p> <p align="right">/ Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.5.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.303 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. B.P. More ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and Advocate both are not present.</p> <p>3. Pursuant to order dated 3.5.2023 directing the respondents to declare the result of the applicant, Ld. CPO informs on instructions that applicant has secured 60 marks and the cut-off marks for the post is 72. Hence, applicant's candidature cannot be considered.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 7.6.2023 under the caption of 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.5.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.811 of 2016</u></p> <p>R.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this case the applicant has appeared for examination of Police Constable. Though he was selected, he could not appointed on the ground that he was prosecuted for the offences under Section 302 of IPC. Though the judgment of acquittal was passed by the Hon'ble Sessions Court on 31.12.2013 the Review Committee which was constituted in 2015 for considering the candidature of candidates has refused applicant's candidature on the ground that though he is acquitted by the Sessions Court, the State of Maharashtra has filed appeal challenging the said decision.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to find out whether the applicant is interested in proceeding with the matter as 8 years have lapsed.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.6.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.5.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.915 of 2016</u></p> <p>D.B. Kanere ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who was 57 years old in the year 2016 and was working as Motor Vehicle Inspector in the office of RTO, Panvel, District Raigad wants declaration that qualifying examination rules at Exhibit-C are not applicable to the present applicant and denial of promotion to the applicant to the post of ARTO and Dy. RTO is illegal and he should be given the benefit under Time Bound Promotion scheme.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.6.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.5.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.937 of 2016</u></p> <p>R.K. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. In this case the applicant challenges order dated 20.5.1988 of removing him from service on the basis of DE which was never conducted and so also challenging order dated 7.8.2009 passed by Desk Officer, Home Department. Applicant relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <b>A.V. Papayya Sastry &amp; Ors. Vs. Government of A.P. &amp; Ors. (2007) 4 SCC 221.</b></p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.6.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  /_____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.5.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.379 of 2023</u></p> <p>Manohar T. Ahire ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that this OA can be disposed off by giving directions to decide the appeal dated 30.9.2022 of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. The respondent no.1 is hereby directed to pursue the matter to be placed before the appellate authority i.e. His Excellency the Governor of Maharashtra.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 16.6.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.5.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.05.2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.324/2023 in O.A.No.147/2021</b></p> <p>G.R. Chavan &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has moved M.A. seeking directions on the ground of parity with the Applicants vide order dated 23.03.2022 passed by the M.A.T. Bench Nagpur in O.A.No.977/2021 with Civil Application No.390/2021.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to verify the position</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 13.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  / / w ~ ~ <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 04.05.2023**

**C.A.No.18/2023 in O.A.No.999/2021**

A.R. Bhagwat .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

2. Matter called out. None present for the Applicant.

3. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar has submitted that today he has filed additional affidavit-in-reply dated 03.05.2023 on behalf of Respondent No.3, through Smt. Lalita S. Dahitule, Education Officer Secondary, Thane Zilla Parishad. He submits that all facts stated before the Tribunal are mentioned therein.

4. Applicant is directed to make affidavit as it was stated at bar that the Respondents handed over the documents i.e. 'A', 'B' and 'C' forms to the Applicant, though the Applicant was not willing to take those forms. Learned Advocate Mr. Raktate is not present. Affidavit is not filed till today.

5. Matter is fixed for dismissal on 05.06.2023. To be shown under the caption For Dismissal.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**LATER ON**

1. Learned Advocate Mr. Raktate is present now. He informs that Applicant has received all retiral dues as per the order dated 24.01.2022 passed by this Tribunal.

2. As the order has been complied with, nothing remains in the C.A., hence, the same stands disposed of.

3. C.A. stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.5.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.343/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.S. Parate</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri P.G. Kayande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, last chance is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>04.05.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.321/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri B.D. Ingale</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri P.G. Kayande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, last chance is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>04.05.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.320/2023</u></p> <p><b>Shri S.M. Jagdale</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, last chance is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 04.05.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.273/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri B.R. Ghadge</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, matter is for filing Rejoinder. However, Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission to 20<sup>th</sup> June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>04.05.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u><b>O.A.264/2023</b></u></p> <p><b>Shri V.D. Jadhav</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Yash Fadtare holding for Shri A.A. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20<sup>th</sup> June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J <b>04.05.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.Nos.26, 428, 696 to 698, 952, 306, 1089 and O.A.No.1096 of 2022 with O.A. No.07 of 2023</p> <p>Shri A. K. Pawar &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">....Applicants</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. From last two dates, this group of O.As are adjourned in view of challenge to the order passed by this Tribunal in connected O.As. before the Hon'ble High Court.</li> <li>3. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that Hon'ble High Court adjourned the W.P. to 13.06.2023.</li> <li>4. Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants also sought permission to file additional affidavit on next date to show the present vacancy position and availability of manpower with C.P., Mumbai.</li> <li>5. In view of above, this group of O.A.s is adjourned for final hearing.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 20.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.524 of 2023</p> <p>P.N. Atre .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. <i>Prima-facie</i> O.A. is not filed within limitation since the Applicant has not challenged the order dated 29.01.2015 issued by Government whereby his absence from 13.07.1993 to 01.03.2007 was treated as unauthorized absence and break in service. His services were considered as fresh service from 02.03.2007. According to the Applicant he filed review against the said order on 04.05.2017 but no decision is taken by the Government.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant could not point out that how review would lie against the said order. Thus, if review is not provided in law, the question of direction to the Government to decide the review as prayed in the O.A. does not survives.</p> <p>4. It appears that the Applicant <sup>is</sup> <del>has</del> trying to revive the old cause of action by filing such O.A.</p> <p>5. At this juncture, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought time to take instruction from the Applicant and to take necessary steps in the matter.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 08.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) [P.T.O.]</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.170 of 2023 in O.A. No.299 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. A.S. Kudale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed to condone delay of 10 months caused in filing O.A. Though enough chances are granted to Respondents to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is claiming step-up pay which his Junior is getting in terms of G.R. dated 09.02.2016. The Applicant was senior in the select list prepared by MPSC but there was delay in issuance of Appointment order. Later he was given seniority as per his possession in select list prepared by MPSC by circular dated 29.06.2019. It is on that basis the Applicant has filed this M.A. for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, I am inclined to condone delay caused in filing O.A. so as to decide O.A. on merit.</p> <p>5. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[PTO.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.299 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. A.S. Kudale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed along with M.A. No.170/2023 for condonation of delay which is allowed today. O.A. is therefore required to be decided on merit.</p> <p>3. Since, learned P.O. appeared in M.A. notice of O.A. is dispensed with.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. shall file Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. by next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.225 of 2023 in M.A. No.224 of 2023 in O.A. No.352 of 2023</p> <p>N.A. Katti &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed to condone delay of 10 months caused in filing O.A. claiming benefit of the decision rendered by the Tribunal in O.A. No.1139/2022 decided on 23.03.2023. The Applicants claim to be similarly situated person. They are retired Government servant and claim the benefit of Higher Pay Scale.</p> <p>3. In view of above, in the interest of justice I am inclined to condone delay caused in filing O.A. so as to decide the matter on merit.</p> <p>4. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p>M.A. No.224 of 2023 in O.A. No.352 of 2023</p> <p>N.A. Katti &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.352 of 2023</p> <p>N.A. Katti &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed along with M.A. No.225/2023 for condonation of delay which is allowed today. O.A. therefore requires to be decided on merit.</p> <p>3. Since, Respondents appeared in M.A. issuance of notice of O.A. is dispensed with.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. shall file Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A. by next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.06.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.40 of 2020</p> <p>D. K. Waghmode <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S. R. Ghanavat holding for Shri N. Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned Counsel for the applicant, the matter is adjourned.</li> <li>3. O.A. be kept for final hearing after vacation.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 14.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.691 of 2019</p> <p>S. C. Kamble ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri V. V. Joshi , learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 19.06.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p>M.A. No.210 of 2023 in O.A. No.278 of 2023</p> <p>R.K. Bhosale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li><li>3. On request of learned P.O. last chance is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li><li>4. S.O. to 14.06.2023.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p>M.A. No.173 of 2023 in O.A. No.307 of 2023</p> <p>Smt. G.D. Johar &amp; Anr. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sushant Katke, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. last chance is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A..</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.06.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.233/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri V.H. Shelke</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Time is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>03.05.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.178/2023**

**Shri S.R. Pawar** ... Applicant  
Vs.

**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

- Shri Ansari Faiyaz holding for Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.
- Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. No reply was filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2.
- O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.
- S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2023.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
03.05.2023**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.236/2023 in O.A.158/2022</u></p> <p><b>Shri S.B. Kobalkar</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, last chance is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 04.05.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u><b>O.As.15, 105, 106, 129, 145, 165, 172, 181, 182, 191, 194, 207, 215, 265 &amp; 312/2023</b></u></p> <p><b>Smt. S.K. Kuklare</b> ... Applicants  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri M.D. Lonkar and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Smt. A.B. Kololgi and Shri A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officers for Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. tendered common Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of C.P, Mumbai to be read in all O.As. It is taken on record in O.A.15/2023.</p> <p>3. In O.A.No.15/2023, Respondent No.4 has already filed Affidavit-in-reply. However, no Affidavit-in-reply is filed by remaining Respondents.</p> <p>4. In view of above, this group of O.As be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>04.05.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A.289/2023 in O.A.142/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.      ... Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Vs.</b></p> <p><b>S.A. Pereira &amp; Ors.              ... Respondents (Ori. Applicants)</b></p> <p>1.      Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants) is present.</p> <p>2.      This M.A. is filed for extension of time to pass order on the representation of the Applicant as directed by the Tribunal by order dated 15.02.2023. As per directions, representation was to be decided within 10 weeks.</p> <p>3.      The learned P.O, however, submits that the decision is already taken by order dated 03.05.2023 and the representation is rejected.</p> <p>4.      In view of above, the M.A. filed for extension of time has become infructuous. It is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>04.05.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.164 of 2023 in O.A. No.294 of 2023 with M.A. No.166 of 2023 in O.A. No.295 of 2023 with M.A. No.168 of 2023 in O.A. No.296 of 2023</p> <p>D.M. Kote &amp; Ors., P.K. Ingale &amp; Ors., M.B. Jadhav &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 3 in M.A. No.164/2023 &amp; M.A. No.168/2023. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Last change is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. No.166/2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.507 of 2023</p> <p>P.M. Mendhapure .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 26.09.2022 issued by Respondent No.3 whereby Time Bound Promotion benefit is withdrawn and pay is revised and recovery is also sought.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.06.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 14.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram- Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.508 of 2023</p> <p>S.P. Shirvadkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 29.04.2022 issued by Respondent No.3 whereby Time Bound Promotion benefit is withdrawn and pay is revised and recovery is also sought.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.06.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 14.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.518 of 2023</p> <p>D.S. Sawant .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenge order dated 29.04.2022 issued by Respondent No.3 whereby Time Bound Promotion benefit is withdrawn and pay is revised and recovery is also sought.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.06.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<b>for Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 14.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.478 of 2023</p> <p>A.B. Suryawanshi .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.A. Pilankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 02.03.2023 issued by Respondent No.2 – The Commissioner, Skill Development, Employment &amp; Entrepreneurship whereby his 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Time Bound Promotion benefit was withdrawn and furthermore he is shown reverted from the post of Sr. Clerk to Jr. Clerk. The Applicant now stands retired from Government service.</p> <p>3. Thus one of challenge is about reversion from the post of Sr. Clerk to Jr. Clerk which pertains to Division Bench.</p> <p>4. I/C. Registrar is directed to examine the matter and place it before appropriate Bench.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.517 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>R.S. Chendke</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 13.01.2023 whereby he is reinstated and posted after revocation of suspension at Dehare, Tal. Javhar, District Palghar. The grievance of the Applicant is that he is given posting far away and it is inconvenient to him.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.06.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 14.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.521 of 2023</p> <p>R.S. Gaikwad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 05.04.2023 issued by Respondent No.1 whereby benefit of ACPS has been granted in Grade S-15 in pay scale of Rs.41800 – 132300 instead of S-18 in pay scale of 49100 – 155800. Thus the challenge is to the extent of pay scale granted to the Applicant.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.06.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 14.06.2023. <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.107 of 2023 in O.A. No.192 of 2023</p> <p>D.V. Sawant .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Singh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Dhannawat, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri P.Singh, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and the same will be filed on Monday in the office. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.145 of 2023 in O.A. No.200 of 2023</p> <p>D.S. Chikkhale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. last chance is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.529 of 2023</p> <p>A.C. Chohan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 27.01.2023 &amp; 23.03.2023 whereby his appointment on the post of Sweeper has been cancelled and services are terminated.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.06.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 15.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.530 of 2023</p> <p>A.S. Prasad .....Applicant Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 27.01.2023 whereby his appointment on the post of Sweeper made earlier in terms of recommendation of Lad Page Committee has been cancelled and services are terminated.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.06.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 15.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.781 of 2016</p> <p>M.R. Ghate, .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing at vacation.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 06.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.427 of 2018</p> <p>R. J. Sawant <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply to the amendment made in O.A. is under preparation and the same will be filed before next date of hearing.</p> <p>3. Allowed to file the same before two weeks of the next date of final hearing with copy to other side so that matter will not adjourn on next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2023</p> <p>O.A.Nos.134/2019, 1076/2019, 1077/2019 and 1078 of 2019 with O.A.No.266/2019 with O.A.461/2019</p> <p>V. L. Kardile &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants has filed additional common affidavit to be read in all Original Applications and it is taken on record in O.A.No.134/2019.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that Smt. Kranti Gaikwad who is incharge of three O.As is on leave and also sought time to go through the additional affidavit filed by the Applicants today.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for final hearing after vacation.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.525 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.G. Kondar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.L. Rathod, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 14.10.2021 whereby he was suspended in contemplation of D.E. Indeed, the enquiry is already completed and enquiry officer has submitted report with his finding that charges are partly proved. The Applicant has also submitted his explanation on 21.10.2022. Now period of more than six months is over but no final decision is taken by the disciplinary authority. As such, there is inordinate delay in taking final decision in passing final order in D.E. At the same time the Applicant is also subjected prolong suspension.</p> <p>3. D.E. was in respect of allegation of demand of bribe from one Dr. Gunwant V. Kamble. As per report of enquiry officer the said charge is partly proved.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Respondent No.3 – The Commissioner Health Services who is disciplinary authority is directed to pass final order in D.E. within two weeks from today in accordance to law and the decision as the case may be shall be communicated to the Applicant. In sofaras challenge to the Suspension order is concerned, it would be appropriate to wait for the decision of disciplinary authority and thereafter further order can be passed if necessary.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. In the mean time, Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.06.2023.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>11. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondent No.3 – The Commissioner Health Services for scruples compliance.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 06.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.323 of 2023 in O.A. No.525 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. Kondar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.L. Rathod, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to condone delay of three months and 20 days caused in challenging Suspension order dated 14.10.2021.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was suspended by order dated 14.10.2021 in contemplation of D.E. which was already completed but disciplinary authority has not passed final order and Suspension is continued. Thus, apparently the Applicant is subjected to prolong suspension which is totally impermissible. Hence, I am inclined to condone delay caused in filing O.A.</p> <p>4. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">n Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u><b>O.A.346/2023</b></u></p> <p><b>Smt. G.S. Shirke</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, last chance is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>04.05.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.344/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri C.B. Patil</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, last chance is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-   <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>04.05.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>