

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.457 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. N.A. NetkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate states that the Applicant has already been reinstated by order dated 09.03.2022.</p> <p>3. In view of this nothing remains in the matter and the O.A. is disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgi) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.939 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. Bhadre & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.2 is not yet filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 04.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.620 of 2021</p> <p>H.B. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 09.06.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.750 of 2021 with M.A.No.269 of 2022</p> <p>M.S. RathodApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.V. Waghmare., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking permission to amend in O.A.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith. Amended copy to be served upon the concern.</p> <p>4. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>5. In O.A. learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day. It be taken on record and copy be served upon learned Advocate.</p> <p>6. O.A. adjourned to 09.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 04.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.44 of 2022</p> <p>C.G. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. last opportunity is granted to Respondent No.1 to file affidavit-in-reply. We make it clear if reply is not filed on or before next date matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned to 08.06.2022 for filing of affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.05.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 14/2022 in O.A 343/2021</u></p> <p>S.G Rajput & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that the order regarding filling up the vacant posts by maintaining quota as per existing Recruitment Rules has already been breached by the Respondents.</p> <p>3. The Respondent-State is directed to take note and ensure that the order of this Tribunal 29.10.2021 in Misc Application No. 441/2021 in respect of filling up the vacant posts by maintaining quota as per existing Recruitment Rules, is not breached.</p> <p>4. S.O to 13.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.77 of 2021</p> <p>D.D. PujariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.737 of 2018</p> <p>B.G. MalcheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant's wife has informed him that the Applicant expired in the year 2020. He further informs that legal heirs are not interested in pursuing the matter.</p> <p>3. In view that O.A. is abate, O.A. is disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.05.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 409/2022</u></p> <p>Sunil P. Nerkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.6.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 22.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.05.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 266/2022 in O.A 409/2022</u></p> <p>Sunil P. Nerkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application seeking to sue jointly allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) ✓ Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.05.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 265/2022 in O.A 408/2022</u></p> <p>Amol A. Nazirkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application seeking to sue jointly allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.05.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 265/2022 in O.A 408/2022</u></p> <p>Amol A. Nazirkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.6.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 7.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>04.05.2022</p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 416/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Jage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.6.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 6.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil/ Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.05.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 421/2022</u></p> <p>Mrs S.S Jopale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks stay to the impugned show cause notice dated 19.4.2022 passed by Respondent no. 2.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that the copy is not served to the Respondent-State.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve copy on the Respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O to 5.5.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.104 of 2022 in O.A.No.373 of 2021</p> <p>A.K. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant presses for interim relief for considering him for promotion in the D.P.C. of May 2022.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents points out that as two criminal cases are pending against the Applicant so the probation period of the Applicant could not be cleared. She further submits that in the year 2017 decision was taken not to clear his probation period in view of the pendency of two Departmental Enquires.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate submits that as the Applicant is exonerated by the last verdict in January 2022, he is insisting that his name is to be considered in D.P.C. meeting going to be held in May, 2022.</p> <p>5. Still there are two pending cases against the Applicant and moreover the Government has not yet passed the order clearing the probation period of the applicant.</p> <p>6. In view of the above circumstances, we direct the Department that subject to outcome of this O.A. promotion is to be granted to the Applicant and this order is to be communicated to all the concerned.</p> <p>7. We call upon the concerned officer of the said Department to remain present to answer our specific queries that if the Applicant was exonerated from the Departmental Enquiries in January 2022, why his probation was not cleared in pursuance of the order passed by the Department in 2017.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 06.06.2022. <i>as 11</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.349 of 2021</p> <p>V.E. MhatreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 27.07.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next date.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) /M- Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.05.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 643, 645 & 892/2021</u></p> <p>R.R Rathod R.B Jaybhay S.B Kharat</p> <p align="right">... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p align="right">... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Poushali Roychoudhary i/b Talekar & Associates, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O prays for time to file a short affidavit of Dr Nitin Kareer.</p> <p>3. Time granted.</p> <p>4. S.O to 5.5.2022 at 12.00.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.05.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 384/2022</u></p> <p>Ramnath K. Sangle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sarang Gundajwar a/w K.S Jadhav holding for Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.6.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 28.6.2022. /</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.05.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 405/2022</u></p> <p>Shri C.M Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks interim relief by way of directions to Respondent no. 2, MPSC to issue call letter for oral interview for the post of Deputy Education Officer Group-B, (Administration).</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant states that in view of the interim order dated 13.7.2017 passed in O .A 634/2017, allowing the candidates who are not falling either in District Technical Services cadre or Maharashtra Education Service cadre to appear for the written examination for the post of Deputy Education Officer.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant appeared in the said examination and secured 134 marks. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that for D.T.S cadre the cut-off marks is 150 and for M.E.S cadre the cut-off marks is 74. The applicant does not fall in either of the category.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O submits that the order of this Tribunal dated 4.10.2018 in O.A 634/2017 is challenged before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Writ Petition No. 8343/23019, and the same is pending.</p> <p>6. In view of the order dated 4.10.2018 in O.A 634/2017, passed by this Tribunal, we allow the applicant to be called for interview, subject to the outcome of the Original Application.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>8. S.O to 7.7.2022. Hamdast granted.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.483 of 2021</p> <p>A.F. MurdeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that as far as pensionary benefits and other benefits of the Applicant are concerned the Department will take necessary action,</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate presses for interest on delayed payment.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 05.05.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">/ Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.05.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 267/2022 in O.A 410/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Kapre & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application seeking to sue jointly allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.716 of 2021</p> <p>V.D. GuravApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Perused Application and documents Annexed to it. Heard Smt. V.D. Gurav, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The choices given by the Applicant for her transfer by Application dated 12.07.2021 are at Exhibit A-20 – Page 156. According to the Applicant her purpose would be served if these choices given by her are duly taken into account for the purpose of her transfer during the ensuing annual general transfers. Learned P.O. pointed out that all the 10 choices given by the Applicant as per Exhibit A-20 are either of Pune proper or of places situated in Pune District. According to the Applicant she had, on an^{an} previous occasion, sought transfer on her personal grounds but on account of personal difficulties which she is presently facing she was required to give choices as per Exhibit A-20. According to the learned P.O. choices given by the Applicant as per Exhibit A-20 might have been taken into account during annual general transfers of previous year and hence it may have been filed. On considering contentions of the Applicant and Reply of learned P.O. following order shall meet ends of justice. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Order

- A) Respondent No.4 who is the competent authority to transfer the Applicant shall consider the Application dated 12.07.2021 (Exhibit A-20) filed by the Applicant giving choices for posting on transfer for ensuing annual general transfers, on its own merits.
- B) To further support the contents of Application (Exhibit A-20), the Applicant would be at liberty to make a detailed representation to Respondents No.4. In case the Applicant makes such representation within one week from today, Respondent No.4 shall also consider the same on its own merits. With these directions the Original Application is disposed of.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 04.05.2022

O.A. No.418 of 2022

V.R. Mirajkar & Ors.Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to amend the prayer clause.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.06.2022.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 28.06.2022. //

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No. 272 of 2022 in O.A. No.418 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. Mirajkar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 04.05.2022
	<p align="center">O.A.No.711 of 2019 with O.A.No.741 of 2021</p> <p>M. N. Gaikwad C. P. YelveApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In both these Original Applications, the issue is whether the person carrying pay-scale of Rs.5500 - 9000 falls in Group 'C' so as to claim the appointment on compassionate ground by his heir.</p> <p>3. This issue is already referred to Division Bench in view of the contrary judgments of Single Bench on the issue. However, the issue is still pending before the Division Bench as informed by learned Counsel for the Applicants as well as by learned P.O.</p> <p>4. In view of above, these Original Applications are required to be heard after the decision of Division Bench in the matter.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.05.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.268 of 2022 in O.A.No.25 of 2021 in O.A.No.26 of 2021</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>S.W. Pawar & Ors. ...Respondents (Ori. Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants).</p> <p>2. O.A. No.25/2021 and O.A.No.26/2021 along with Misc. Applications filed therein were allowed by the Tribunal by order dated 22.03.2022 and directions were given to refund the amount of Rs.1,08,661/- to Applicant in O.A.No.25/2021 and also to refund Rs.1,53,279/- to Applicant in O.A.No.26/2021 within a month from the date of order.</p> <p>3. The Applicants ^{are} were retired Government servants and of Group 'C' employee and the case was found squarely covered by the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Civil Appeal No.11527/2014 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer), decided on 18th December, 2014.</i></p> <p>4. This M.A. is filed on 29.04.2022 for extension of time. Learned P.O. submits that the department has sent the file to Law & Judiciary Department seeking opinion to challenge the orders.</p> <p>5. The Tribunal has granted one month time for compliance of the order, and therefore, any such application for extension for its compliance ought to have been filed before expiration of one month time given in the impugned order.</p> <p>6. If the Respondents want to challenge the order passed by the Tribunal, they can do so as may be permissible in law.</p> <p>7. Insofar as extension of time for compliance is concerned, already one month time was given for compliance but the Respondents failed to comply the order. Therefore, I am not inclined to extend the time.</p> <p>8. M.A. is, therefore, disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.402 of 2022</p> <p>B.R. GhadgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.R. Ghadge, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.06.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 28.06.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 04.05.2022

O.A. No.403 of 2022

Y.B. GangurdeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note.
2. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.06.2022.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 28.06.2022.

Sd/-
(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 04.05.2022

O.A. No.415 of 2022

S.S. Khatarmal

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.06.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 28.06.2022.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.260 of 2022 in O.A. No.404 of 2022</p> <p>R.B. Bhosale & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.05.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.404 of 2022 with M.A. No.261 of 2022</p> <p>R.B. Bhosale & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice in O.A. and M.A. before admission returnable on 20.06.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 20.06.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>