

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 4/3/16</p> <p><u>FORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. _____ Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougule P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. to _____ for dismissal.</p>	<p><u>04.03.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 800/2014</u></p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>S.O to 21.3.2016 for dismissal.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

04.03.2016

O.A No 1003/2015

Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit in reply has been filed. Shri Lonkar states that he does not wish to file rejoinder.

O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on 11.3.2016.

DATE: 4/3/16

FORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

Akn

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

~~Presenting Officer for the Respondents~~
~~replied by respondents.~~
O.A. is admitted.

~~Ad. To~~
~~is o. to 11/3/16.~~

FH
[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <u>4/3/16</u></p> <p><u>FORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>Ashish Gaikwad</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> <u>S.O. to 18/3/16.</u></p> <p align="right">FH <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p align="center"><u>04.03.2016</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 374/2015</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Ashish Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Advocate Shri Gaikwad stated that he could not remain present in the Tribunal as he was busy somewhere else.</p> <p>The matter may now be kept for final hearing on 18.3.2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri A. R. Joshi COA 198/15 S. P. Manchekar COA 238/15 Advocate for the Applicant Shri S. S. Pawar COA 238/15 Advocate for the Respondents No. 1, 2 & 3 (COA 198/15) S. P. Manchekar COA 238/15 Shri S. S. Pawar COA 238/15 Advocate for the Respondent No. 4 D. B. Khaire COA 238/15</p> <p>S.O. to 21/3/16. Post heard.</p>	<p>04.03.2016</p> <p><u>O.A Nos 198/2015 & 238/2015</u></p> <p>Heard Shri A.R Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 198/2015. Shri A.J Chougule learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1, 2 & 3 and Shri Pawar learned advocate for Respondent no. 4 in O.A 198/2015</p> <p>This O.A is heard along with O.A 238/2015, where Applicant is represented by Ms Swati Manchekar, Shri A.J Chougule holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, learned P.O for Respondents 1 & 2, Shri Pawar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3 and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>It appears that learned Advocate Shri Pawar for Respondent nos 3 in O.A 238/2015 & 4 in O.A 198/2015 requires some time. The matter is therefore adjourned.</p> <p>It is made clear that this part heard matter will be heard finally and no time will be given to any party.</p> <p>S.O to 21.3.2016. P.H.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p>

PH
Chougule

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/3/16</u></p> <p>FORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>J.N. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>M.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents Reply filed by R.No. 223. <u>Adj. to S.O. to 21/3/16.</u></p> <p align="right">PH <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>04.03.2016</p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 76/2015</u></p> <p>Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application is being heard over a period of time. It seems that both the sides are not quite forthcoming in rendering proper assistance to this Tribunal for a just and proper adjudication hereof. After some debate at the bar, we ultimately direct that the Respondents must on the next date produce for our perusal the Service Book of the Applicant, which we are informed is maintained in Cama and Albless Hospital, Mumbai and also letter dated 3.2.2002 promoting the Applicant to the post of Tailor, Class-III. The Applicant is also directed to submit recruitment rules for the post of Class-IV & Class-III and the testimonies of the Applicant as well as to the said post.</p> <p>We make it very clear that sufficient time has already been given and on the next occasion, if we find that our directions are not being complied with, necessary steps including the consequential ones will be taken and no further adjournment will be granted in the matter.</p> <p align="center">S.O to 21.3.2016.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(R.B. Malik) (Rajiv Agarwal) Member (J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/3/16</u> <u>DLB</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) <u>A-1</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>3/13/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>B.H.</u></p>	<p>Date : 04.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.140 of 2016</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 31.03.2016.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced, along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 31.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>ME</u> <u>3.16</u> R.B. Malik) Member(J)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adv. To <u>7/4/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 04.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.22 of 2016</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre for the Applicant prays for four weeks time for leave to amend and substitute the O.A..</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted as well as leave for amendment and substitution as prayed for is also granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sd/Sent: <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Sd/Sent: <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>18/3/16</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 04.03.2016.</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.60 of 2016 in O.A.No.1105 of 2015</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Applicant prays for time to consider the reply given by the State.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.03.2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>12/4/16</u> <i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 04.03.2016.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.138 of 2016</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents, on instructions from Smt. Hanifa S. Sayyed, Sr. Clerk, C.P. office, Thane, states as follows :- The officer concerned has recently joined the position and wants time to acquit her with the facts.</p> <p>3. Unless the claim of the opponent is to be opposed on the points of law the demand should be fulfilled before the next date.</p> <p>4. In the event, the claim is to be opposed affidavit be filed.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 12.04.2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <i>(A.H. Joshi)</i> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt.: <u>R. G. Panchal</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt.: <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>7/4/16</u></p> <p align="right"><i>etc</i></p>	<p>Date : 04.03.2016.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.141 of 2016</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p align="center">Para-wise comments are received and two weeks time may be granted for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Though two weeks time is prayed, longer time is granted with a caution that no further adjournments would be granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.04.2016.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>7/4/16.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 04.03.2016.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1046 of 2015</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p align="center">Para-wise comments are received and two weeks time may be granted for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Though two weeks time is prayed, longer time is granted with a caution that no further adjournments would be granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.04.2016.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.03.2016.

O.A.No.161 of 2016

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 07.04.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 07.04.2016.

DATE : 4/3/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri W. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To : 7/4/16

[Signature]

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, Jf)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/3/16</u> <u>D/B</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>J.N. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>D.B. Khaire sp. counsel</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s with H.F. Fchad, P.O. for R.O.S. Adj. to <u>By consent adj. to</u> <u>31/3/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BT</u></p>	<p>Date : 04.03.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.116 of 2014 in O.A.No.03 of 2013 (D.B.)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Special Counsel with Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate Shri J.N. Kamble for the Applicants states as follows :- The copy of Respondents affidavit is received today i.e. 04.03.2016 and prays for three weeks time. 3. Time as prayed for is granted. 4. By consent, adjourned to 31.03.2016. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi, J.)</u> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.03.2016.

O.A.No.806 of 2015 (D.B.)

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This Tribunal had recorded the statement of learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents on 16.12.2015, that the process of consideration of applicant's application for rehearing was being considered by the Government.

3. Today learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad has reported that the matter is considered by the Government and in view that earlier the Applicant was heard, the application was found to be not worth reconsideration.

4. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant is called to address on merit on the lines of arguing a Writ Petition to Article 227 of the Constitution of India and hence the Applicant has to show illegality, error of law, error of jurisdiction resulting into injustice, for invoking the power to superintendence.

5. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale prays for three weeks time to study and address.

6. Adjourned to 30.03.2016.

DATE: 4/3/16 D.B.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 30/3/16.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others.

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>V.P. Deshmukh</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>25/7/16</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BT</i></p>	<p>Date : 04.03.2016.</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.129 of 2015 in O.A.No.142 of 2015 with 143 and 144 of 2015 (D.B.)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) As per the computers data the next date fixed by the Hon'ble High Court in the Writ Petition filed by the State is 19.07.2016.</p> <p>(b) In view thereof the case requires to be adjourned.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri V.P. Deshmukh for the Applicant prays for a week's time for filing Rejoinder,</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Shri V.P. Deshmukh disputes on the date of hearing in the Hon'ble High Court as informed by learned P.O..</p> <p>5. Time as prayed for is granted. Rejoinder be filed within a week.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 25.07.2016, with liberty to circulate before due date, if occasion arises.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <i>(A.H. Joshi, J.)</i> Chairman</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/3/16</u> <i>dlb</i></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice S Bri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble S Bri M. Ramoshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt/Smt: <u>App. in person.</u> Advocate for the Applicant S Bri/Smt: <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.F.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>2/5/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>dlb</i></p>	<p>Date : 04.03.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.17 of 2016 in O.A.No.224 of 2015 (D.B.)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that the order is passed today i.e. 04.03.2016 and Applicant has been assigned deemed dated as 15.06.1995. 3. Applicant is present in person. He states that his grievance subsists and he wants to wait till his lawyer arrives. 4. Adjourned to 02.05.2016, with liberty to circulate before due date. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) <i>1/5/16</i> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>4/3/16</u> <u>D/B</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramashankar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>R.B. Ade</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Ad. To</u> <u>11/4/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BTE</u></p>	<p>Date : 04.03.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.100 of 2015 in O.A.No.336 of 2012 with M.A.No.100 of 2016 in O.A.No.336 of 2012 (D.B.)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.B. Ade, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents has tendered the affidavit. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate Shri R.B. Ade for the Applicant prays for two weeks time to respond to the affidavit of the State by filing Rejoinder in order to point out fallacies contained in the application <u>reply</u>. 4. Adjourned to 11.04.2016. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, Chairman)</p> <p>prk</p>