

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 04.01.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.659 of 2023 with O.A. No.660 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.G. Beldar &amp; Ors., N.K. Zanke &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. filed 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 03.01.2024 on behalf of Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial) Thane. It is taken on record. The 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 03.01.2024 mentions that the total 'Sanctioned Posts' of 'Forest Guards' in 'Shahapur Forest Division' is 182. The number of 'Forest Guards' working at present in 'Shahapur Forest Division' are 152.</li> <li>3. Learned PO then referred to G.R. G.A.D. dated 01.02.2023 to states that 50% posts of 'Forest Guards' are reserved for 'Schedule Tribes' category; while remaining 50% posts of Forest Guards are for category of 'Non Schedule Tribes'. Accordingly; the number of posts of 'Forest Guards' reserved for 'Schedule Tribes' category would be 91 and number of posts of 'Forest Guard' available for 'Non Schedule Tribes' would also be 91. However, at present 125 'Forest Guards' from category of 'Non Schedule Tribes' are working in 'Shahapur Forest Division' against earmarked posts of 91 'Forest Guards'.</li> <li>4. The 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Thane' in 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 03.01.2024 has referred to the G.R. of G.A.D. dated 01.02.2023 which is about appointment of 'Government Servants' by 'Direct Recruitment' with reference to implementation of 'PESA ACT, 1996'. The subject is now 'Subjudice' before the 'Hon'ble Supreme Court of India'. The G.A.D. G.R. dated 01.02.2023 does make any reference to implementation of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' vis-à-vis 'PESA ACT, 1996'. Further unlike G.A.D. letters dated 13.12.2023 and 17.12.2023 by which all 'Administrative Departments' were directed to not take any action to make appointments of 'Government Servants' by 'Direct</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Recruitment' in areas under 'PESA ACT, 1996', no such specific instructions, have been issued by G.A.D. to all Administrative Departments to keep in abeyance implementation of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' in respect of 50% posts reserved for 'Schedule Tribes' category which are located in areas under 'PESA ACT, 1996'.</p> <p>5. The Affidavit-in-Reply of 'Chief of Conservator of forests (Territorial), Thane' dated 03.01.2024 also mentions about there being 30 'Vacant Posts' of 'Forest Guards' in 'Shahapur Forest Division'. Thus; evidently against 91 'Forest Guards' from category of 'Non Schedule Tribes' there are additional 34 'Forest Guard' who are from 'Non Schedule Tribes' category and presently serving in 'Shahapur Forest Division'. Hence against the facts and circumstances stated above and as no specific directions have been issued by G.A.D. not to post 'Government Servants' on vacant posts which may be located in areas under 'PESA ACT, 1996'; it is necessary to modify directions in 'Para' 6 given 12.12.2023 to 'Chief Conservator of Forest, Thane'.</p> <p>6. The 'Chief Conservator of Forest, Thane' Thane is thus directed to seek 10 options afresh from all Applicants after disclosure of information about location of 'Vacant Posts' of 'Forest Guards' even if those are amongst 50% posts for category of 'Schedule Tribes' and located in areas under 'PESA ACT, 1996'. The Applicant's 10 options be then considered and they be transferred as per provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.</p> <p>7. The 'Chief Conservator of Forests (Territorial), Thane' to take appropriate decision to transfer the Applicants on any of the 30 'Vacant Posts' of 'Forest Guards' in 'Shahapur Forest Division' within next 'Four Weeks'.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 15.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (<b>Debashish Chakrabarty</b>) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1578 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>J. S.Bansode</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed Application Form pursuant to Advertisement No.045/2022, dated 11.05.2022 issued by MPSC for 'State Services Preliminary Examination, 2022'. The Applicant contends that he has cleared 'Preliminary Examination' and 'Main Examination'. He has applied under "The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act 2016" for category of persons with disability who have 4% reservation under Section 34 (d).</p> <p>3. There were 8 posts reserved for those with autism, intellectual disability, specific learning disability and mental illness as per Advertisement No.99/2022, dated 11.05.2022 issued by MPSC. The Applicant submits that his name is not included in the list of 'Selected Candidates' for 'Interview' and he may be allowed to appear for 'Interview'.</p> <p>4. Learned CPO submits that 'Disability Certificate' dated 21/01/2022 of the Applicant was issued by 'Government B.J. Medical College and Sassoon General Hospital Pune'. Learned CPO further states that though the Applicant is having 40% disability, it is 'Temporary Disability' as recoded in his 'Disability Certificate', dated 21.01.2022 which is valid for 5 years i.e. from 21.01.2022 to 21.01.2027. She further submits that as per Social Justice Department's G.R. dated 13.09.2022 the disability should be 'Permanent Disability'. However, disability of Applicant has been certified for period of 5 years. The Applicant therefore is not entitled to get benefit of 'Mental Illness' which is not 'Permanent Disability' under 'The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016'.</p>

5. The main objection of the MPSC for not allowing the Applicant for 'Interview' is that Applicant is holding 'Disability Certificate' which is for temporary period i.e. from 21.01.2022 to 21.01.2027. The 'Section 34' of 'The Right of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016' is about 'Reservation' where under Sub Section (d) - 'Mental Illness' is considered 'Benchmark' as one of the 'Disability for Reservation'. Sub Section (d) of Section 34 which is relevant here reads as under :-

*"34 : Every appropriate Government shall appoint every Government establishment not less than four per cent of the total number of vacancies in the cadre strength in each group of posts meant to be filled with persons with benchmark disabilities of which, one per cent. each shall be reserved for persons with Benchmark Disabilities under Clauses (a), (b) and (c) and 1 per cent. for persons with Benchmark Disabilities under Clauses (d) and (e), namely -*

*(d) autism, intellectual disability, specific learning disability and mental illness.*

6. The extent of disability should be no less than 40% for persons with 'Benchmark Disability'. 'Section 2 (r)' of 'The Rights of Person with Disabilities Act, 2016' defines a 'Person with Benchmark Disability' as under:-

*"2 (r) : Persons with benchmark disability" means a persons with no less than forty per cent of a specified disability where specified disability has not been defined in measurable terms and includes a person with disability where specified disability has been defined in measurable terms, as certified by the certifying authority."*

7. The word 'Temporary Disability' and 'permanent Disability' is nowhere defined separately in 'The Rights of Person with Disabilities Act, 2016' or also in Rules. However, definition of a 'Person with Disability' is defined in Section 2(s) of 'The Rights of Person with Disabilities Act, 2016' and reads as follows:-

*"2(s) : "persons with disability" means a persons with long term physical, mental, intellectual or sensory impairment which, inter-action with barriers, hinders his full and effective participation in society equally with others."*

8. The of period of disability being 'Long Term' is not to be measured in terms of years; but it is to be read in the context of later part of definition of Section 2(s) as *"which, inter-action with barriers, hinders his full and effective participation in society equally with others."*

9. The 'Disability Certificate' of the Applicant shows that he is suffering from "Recurrent Depressive Disorder". The 'Disability Certificate', dated 21.01.2022 which was issued by B. J. Medical College & Sassoon General Hospital, Pune is valid for 5 years. The Applicant has informed that he has been undergoing treatment with medication for 'Recurrent Depressive Disorder' since 2007.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>10. We do not find any reason to doubt the 'Disability Certificate' issued on 21.01.2022 by B.J. Medical College &amp; Sassoon General Hospital, Pune. Further while dealing with this issue, it is necessary to take into account the rational of the various provisions of ' The Rights of Person with Disabilities Act, 2016' including 'Section 20' about "Non- discrimination in Employment".</p> <p>11. In view of above, we grant 'Interim Relief' to Applicant. The Respondent No.1 -MPSC is hereby directed to allow the Applicant to appear for 'Interview' which is scheduled till 17.01.2023.</p> <p>12. The C.P.O. seeks time to file 'Affidavit in Reply'.</p> <p>13. The result of 'One Post' under PWD reservation under autism, intellectual disability, specific learning disability and mental illness Category is to be kept in Sealed Cover and not to be declared till Next Date.</p> <p>14. The matter is adjourned to 22.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 04.01.2024</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.1407 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>Dr. L.G. Bhalshankar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer is present on behalf of Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that in spite of Order of 'Interim Relief' passed on 02.11.2023 the Applicant has not been permitted to join back as 'Health Centre, Talegaon, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that as per Order of 'Interim Relief' passed on 02.11.2023 the Applicant had sought permission from 'District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Nashik' to join back on the post of 'Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Talegaon, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik' on 08.11.2023. Subsequently on 17.11.2023 the Applicant also wrote to 'Commissioner of Health Services' and 'Deputy Secretary, Public Health Department, Mumbai', However; the Applicant has still not to been permitted to join back on the post of 'Medical officer, Primary Health Centre, Talegaon, Tahsil Dindori, District Nashik'</p> <p>4. The willful disobedience on part of 'District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Nashik' to implement the Order of 'Interim Relief' passed on 02.11.2023 '<i>prima facie</i>' makes him liable for proceedings under 'Contempt of Courts Act, 1971'; as per provisions of 'Section 17 of 'The Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985'. However, by way of last chance 'District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Nashik' is directed to immediately implement the Order of 'Interim Relief' passed 02.11.2023 and submit compliance report within 'One Week' to office of 'Additional Chief Secretary, Public Health' and endorse copy to learned PO.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty)  Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.872 of 2023</p> <p>A.A. Pawar, .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that proposal to transfer the Applicant to post of 'Food Safety Officer, Sangli' is at the final stage of approval having been duly recommended by 'Competent Transferring Authority' who is 'Minister-in-Charge' of 'Medical Education and Drugs Department'. The proposal is at present under consideration of next 'Superior Transferring Authority' who is 'Hon'ble Chief Minister of Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>3. The 'Principal Secretary, Medical Education &amp; Drugs Department' is thus informed to take appropriate steps for early final decision about transfer of the Applicant to the post of 'Food Safety Officer, Sangli'.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 04.01.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.1250 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.M. Chavan</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate, for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. presents copy of letter of 'RDC Pune' working in office of 'District Collector, Pune' dated 03.01.2024 which states that the Applicant was given 'Personal Hearing' on 02.01.2024. After that 'District Collector, Pune' has decided to obtain certain information about the Applicant from the 'S.D.O. Pune' and 'Tahsildar Haveli'. The letter of 'RDC Pune' dated 03.01.2024 also states that thereafter they would pass order within 'One Month' to permit the Applicant to join back on post of 'Talathi'.</p> <p>3. The time sought by District Collector Pune is unduly long to obtain some additional information from 'S.D.O. Pune' and 'Tahsildar Haveli' considering that earlier 'Tahsildar' Haveli had earlier submitted detailed information about the Applicant to the learned C.P.O. by letter dated 23.11.2023. Hence 'District Collector Pune' is directed to pass order in any case within 'One Week' to permit Applicant to join on any 'Vacant Post' of 'Talathi'. Information required from 'S.D.O. Pune' and 'Tahsildar Haveli' &amp; as obtained may be subsequently utilized to decide other related grievances of Applicant i.e. release of like past 'Salary &amp; Allowances' &amp; 'Sanction of Leave'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1129 of 2023</p> <p>T.N. Daundkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3. Shri S. Deshpande, learned Advocate on behalf of Respondent No.2 is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits copy of Order dated 11.12.2023 of Finance Department by which Applicant has now be retained on the post of 'Chief Accounts &amp; Finance Officer, Transport Undertaking, Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation (NMMC) which he was holding prior to his promotion to cadre of 'Deputy Director, Maharashtra Finance of Accounts service'. Further, Respondent No.3 is also posted as 'Chief Account Officer, Sangli, Miraj &amp; Kupwad Municipal Corporation' by Order dated 11.12.2023 of 'Finance Department'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that Respondent No.3 has taken charge on the post 'Chief Account Officer, Sangli, Miraj &amp; Kupwad Municipal Corporation' on 13.12.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate of Applicant states that as nothing survives in this O.A. No. 1129 of 2023; it may be disposed off. The learned Advocate of Respondent No.3 concurs and so does learned PO for Respondent No.1. Therefore; as nothing survives in this O.A. No. 1129/2023 it is disposed off with 'No Order as to Costs'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"> <u>OAs No.361, 362, 505, 506 of 2022,</u>  <u>OAs No.108, 109, 468, 469 of 2020,</u>  <u>OAs No.569, 570 of 2022</u> </p> <p>L.K. Shinde &amp; Ors. ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that he has already given written notes of arguments in the above matters and does not wish to argue. Today the Ld. Advocate for the applicants tenders additional written notes and the same are taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">   (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  4.1.2024 </p> <p align="center">   (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  4.1.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 04.01.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.596 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>A.S. Gaikwad</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that 'Revenue and Forest Department' has still not reviewed the 'Suspension Order' of Applicant dated 12.09.2023 and the proposal is pending due consideration of the 'Competent Authority'.</p> <p>3. The 'Revenue and Forest Department' is accordingly directed to expedite the decision about revocation of 'Suspension Order' of Applicant dated 12.09.2023 and take appropriate decision preferably within 'One Week'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <u>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</u> Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 04.01.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1577 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>A.L. Dure, .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks to argue the case for grant of 'Interim Relief'. However, as 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department' has not yet been filed on 'Specific Points' as directed in 'Para 11' of order dated 20.12.2023; learned Advocate for the Applicant cannot not be heard today for grant of 'Interim Relief'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department' within 'One Week'. In case 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is not filed within 'One Week'; then prayer for grant of 'Interim Relief' to Applicant will be heard on next date without giving any more time for filing of 'Affidavit-in-Reply'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2024</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.626 of 2023 in O.A. No.1190 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>The Maharashtra Agro. Ind. Dev. Corp., Ltd. H.O. Through its Mangaing Dir. Krushi Udyog Bhavan. ....Applicant Versus J. R. Deore .....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.626/2023 in O.A. No.1190/2023, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.1190/2023.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. is again directed to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Fisheries &amp; Dairy Development Department' which is 'Respondent No.1' in O.A.1190/2023 and 'Respondent No.2' in M.A. No.626/2023 specifically with respect to the observations made in 'Para 7' of direction given on 07.12.2023; so that appropriate order can be passed in M.A. No.626/2023 in O.A.1190/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.01.2024

O.A.No.820 of 2023

S. K. Doifode & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate holding for Shri S. Katkar ,  
learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar.  
learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 12.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1489 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>P. T. Jagtap</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 03.01.2024 passed by this Tribunal, learned P.O. produces order dated 29.12.2023 by which the proposal of punishment of Applicant is confirmed. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks time to go through the order dated 29.12.2023 and to consult with his client and if at all, the order is required to be challenged, he will do the same.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

J N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.01.2024 O.A.No.1147 of 2023</p> <p>A. R. Jadhavar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested to place O.A. No.1147/2023 along with M.A.No.521/2023 in O.A.No.329/2023 which is filed for interim relief.</p> <p>3. The Registry is directed to place O.A.1147/2023 along with M.A.521/2023 in O.A.329/2023.</p> <p>4. If reply is not filed by Respondents in above matters, then they are directed to file reply in all matters.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 31.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2024</b></p> <p><b>M. A. No.05 of 2024 in O.A.No.918 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>N. K. More ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</li><li>2. Learned P.O. has filed Misc. Application for extension of time of six months to complete the Departmental Enquiry (D.E.).</li><li>3. O. A. No.918/2023 was disposed of by this Tribunal on 01.11.2023 with directions to Respondents to conclude the enquiry and submit report till 31.12.2023 and thereafter within one-month, Disciplinary Authority to take further steps.</li><li>4. As per averment in M.A., Enquiry Officer was appointed on 22.05.2022 but he has not yet submitted inquiry report. Learned P.O. sought to contend that because of some difficulties, inquiry could not be completed and therefore, sought four months reasonable time for passing final order.</li><li>5. In view of statement made by learned P.O. and considering the difficulties of Enquiry Officer, time is extended by one month from today by way of last chance.</li><li>6. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.01.2024

O.A.No.188 of 2023

With

O.A. No.189 of 2023

B. R. Waykande & Ors.

P. N. Nagare & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, the matter is adjourned to 11.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.651 of 2023 With O.A.717 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V. P. Wahane ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for Intervener.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 05.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 04.01.2024

Tribunal's orders  
O.A.No.1608 of 2023

J. A. Rajput

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.02.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 08.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Date : 04.01.2024

O.A.No.1619 of 2023

R. S. Takate

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.01.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 11.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1191 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>U.B. Sankpal</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 03.01.2024, the concerned officers namely Shri (a) S.G.Gangarkar, Dy. Secretary (b) Smt. Bhagyshree Devare, Under Secretary (c) Shri Chetan Doke, Deputy Commissioner, State Tax, (d) Shri Avadhut Upnikar, Asstt. Commissioner, State Tax, (e) Shri Abhay Mate, Asstt. Commissioner, State Tax. (f) Shri Naresh Ingale, Desk Officer, (g) Shri. P. B. Pathak, State Tax Officer (h) Shri Sanjay Mandape, State Tax Inspector (i) Shri Swapnil Bhamare, State Tax Inspector are present today before the Court.</p> <p>3. We have gone through the File Noting dated 19.10.2021 which was subsequently approved on 28.10.2021 by the Hon'ble Deputy Chief Minister (Finance). We do not find different analysis in the said File Noting for which Finance Department has taken Five Months after receipt of the Enquiry Report on 15.06.2021. Moreover, after acceptance the said Enquiry Report, the copy was sent to be served on the Applicant on 13.12.2022.</p>

4. Learned CPO informed that the Applicant was not found at his residence when officers from the Finance Department went to serve the copy of Enquiry Report thrice to the Applicant. The Enquiry Report was finally served on Applicant on 26.12.2022 through 'Panchnama'.
5. The Charge Sheet was served on Applicant on 24.03.2014 for the incidences took place in 2008 and 2011. However, till date no final decision in DE is taken by the Finance Department though it is submitted that this DE pertains to loss of revenue of Rs.650 Crores.
6. The Finance Department has now made query on 20.10.2023 to Commissioner of GST Department about quantum of punishment in view of huge revenue loss. Subsequently, no reply has been given by Commissioner of GST Department.
7. In view of above, the Commissioner of GST Department is hereby directed to remain present personally on 05.01.2024 and explain the delay in propose to Finance Department quantum of punishment in view of huge revenue loss of 650 Crores.
8. S.O. to 05.01.2024 at 2.30 PM.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



Date : 04.01.2024.

M. A. No.10 of 2024 in O.A.No.06 of 2024

S. K. More & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.01.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the ~~Original~~/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the ~~Original~~ / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 18.01.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

J N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 04.01.2024

M. A. No.09 of 2024 in O.A.No.06 of 2024

S. K.More & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.

5. M. A. No.09 /2024 is allowed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.634 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.S. Botle ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 9.1.2024. HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1459 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.A. Bhatia ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply of the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.1.2024. HOB.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1174 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.Y. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 25.1.2024 for final hearing. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gádgil) Member (A) 4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1324 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.V. Mahindrakar &amp; Ors. ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 21.12.2023 of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.1.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1282 of 2023

P.R. Mantode ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO files reply dated 7.12.2023 of respondents no.1 & 2.

3. S.O. to 29.1.2024 for filing rejoinder.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

4.1.2024

(sgj)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1193 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.V. Prabhu ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chogule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 3.1.2024 of respondent no.2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.1.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1160 of 2023

B.B. Shinde ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder.
3. Admit.
4. S.O. to 5.2.2024 for final hearing.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
4.1.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1151 of 2023</u></p> <p>J.D. Dange &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply of respondents no.1 &amp; 2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.1.2024 by way of last chance. Interim relief granted by order dated 11.9.2023 to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1042 of 2023</u></p> <p>E.D. Mahadik ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces a letter dated 3.1.2024 wherein leave encashment has been sanctioned. Ld. PO submits that order regarding pension and gratuity has been passed. Department to ensure that bills are drawn and submitted to the Treasury.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.1.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 713/2023 in O.A 1458/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.C Sul ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed seeking to condone the delay of 4 years and 2 months in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>3. The applicant challenges the order dated 19.9.2018 issued by Respondent No. 2 thereby refusing his application for appointment on the post of Police Head Constable (Wireless Operator) against NT(C) Ex-servicemen category.</p> <p>4. The Original Application is filed on 20.11.2023. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant's mother was suffering from Seizure Disorder and Anaemia since 6.11.2009 and he was busy in taking care of his mother and therefore he could not take necessary legal recourse. Learned counsel further relied on the order of the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal dated 21.2.2023 in O.A 720/2018 and submitted that the applicant in the said Original Application was similarly situated person like the present applicant. On account of the said order, the applicant in that Original Application succeeded and he was appointed. Therefore, the applicant has approached this Tribunal by filing the present Original Application on 20.11.2023.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O at the outset has submitted that the O.A 720/2018 relied on by the learned counsel for the applicant, was filed well within time by the applicant therein and therefore in due course of hearing his application was allowed and he got the relief. However, the present applicant has approached this Tribunal after the pronouncement of the judgment in O.A 720/2018. Learned P.O has further submitted that the waiting list has already lapsed on 13.8.2017 and it was necessary for the applicant to approach within one year thereafter, which was not done by the applicant. Therefore, this Original Application is not maintainable on the ground of delay.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. We have considered the submissions of both sides. We have gone through the Medical Certificate produced before us. No weightage can be given to the said Certificate in view of the nature of the illness the mother of the applicant has suffered. The applicant should have approached this Tribunal well within time, i.e., in the year 2018. But he chose not to seek relief for his grievance nearly for more than 4 years. No satisfactory or good ground is given in the Misc Application seeking to condone the delay.</p> <p>7. The argument of the learned counsel that the applicant in O.A 720/2018, Mr Pandharinath K. Joshi was similarly situated in the wait list at Sr. No. 71 and the applicant was at Sr No. 72 and secured same marks i.e., 51 marks. This argument is not tenable and has no substance and cannot be a ground to condone the delay of more than four years.</p> <p>8. In view of the above, Misc Application seeking to condone the delay is dismissed. As Misc Application is dismissed, Original Application does not survive and is accordingly dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A 779/2023 in O.A 1569/2023</u></b></p> <p>N.D Bidkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is filed seeking to condone the delay of six years, four months and 15 days in filing the present Original Application.</p> <p>3. The applicant is seeking appointment in open Police Child category as a Constable in the recruitment process of the year 2015-16. Applicant further prays that the Respondents be directed to take action as per order dated 11.4.2011 in O.A 924/2010, Ms Kirti D Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra and applicant be appointed as Police Constable.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel submits that the advertisement was issued on 3.2.2016 for recruitment to the post of Police Constable. The applicant appeared in the said examination and the merit was declared on 10.6.2016. The applicant has challenged his exclusion from the said merit list.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O opposes the Misc Application seeking condonation of delay of 6 years for want of good and satisfactory reasons.</p> <p>6. Considered the submissions of both sides. On 10.6.2016 the merit list was declared and his name was not in the merit list. Therefore, the applicant should have filed the present Original Application before 10.6.2017, challenging the said merit list. On perusal of the Misc Application seeking condonation of delay, we find that no satisfactory or good reason to condone the delay is made out. In fact, there are no reasons to justify the delay in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>7. In view of the above, Misc Application seeking to condone the delay is dismissed. As Misc Application is dismissed, Original Application does not survive and is accordingly dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1601/2021</u></b></p> <p>A.A Paradkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.509 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Wagh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders an application dated 4.1.2024 and seeks leave to withdraw the above OA as the applicant has been reinstated in service by order dated 19.12.2023. The said application dated 4.1.2024 is taken on record and marked as Court Exhibit-1 for identification.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.614 of 2023 in O.A. No.1230 of 2023,</u> <u>M.A. No.615 of 2023 in O.A. No.1231 of 2023,</u> <u>M.A. No.673 of 2023 in O.A. No.1229 of 2023,</u></p> <p>M.R. Ghadge S.R. Jamadar R.B. Jadhav ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Shrushti S. Tupe holding for Ms. V.L. Maindad, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply in MAs No.614/2023 &amp; 615/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.505 of 2023 in O.A. No.982 of 2023</u></p> <p>J.D. Bhambure &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kiran Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants tenders written notes of arguments.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.644 of 2022 in O.A. No.1051 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.S. Mane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Prachi Hendre holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this MA for transferring the matter to Single Bench. Ld. Advocate for the applicant pointed out that the issue relates to change of cadre and not promotion and hence it is to be heard by Single Bench.</p> <p>3. The contention of the applicant is accepted. MA is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>4. OA is adjourned to 9.2.2024 at the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.300 of 2022 in O.A. No.484 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.D. Kasab ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Prachi Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed this MA for condoning the delay of 17 years in filing the OA. She pointed out that applicant was working as Senior Assistant in Photozinco Press. He made representation in 2002 for consideration of his first Time Bound Promotion. Respondent No.2 denied the same in 2004. The cause of action arose in 2004. He sent reminder in 2007. She relied on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 3.9.1998 passed in N. Balakrishnan Vs. M. Krishnamurthy for condoning the delay.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO states that applicant has not given any explanation for delay. Applicant retired in 2005. He made representation in 2007 and there is no satisfactory explanation.</p> <p>4. It is seen that delay is of 17 years for which no satisfactory explanation is given. In view of the same delay cannot be condoned. Hence, MA is dismissed. In view of dismissal of MA the OA is also dismissed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1616 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.V. Purohit ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.1.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under the caption of Urgent Admission.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1614 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 1.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgã) Member (A) 4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1609 of 2023

R.N. More ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 1.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
4.1.2024

(sgj)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.268 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.A. Chopade &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The above matter is heard and closed for orders on 3.1.2024. However, place the above matter on board for queries.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 04.01.2024**

**O.A.No.744/2018**

A.S. Karkar .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the year 2018 Applicant was working as Jailer, Group-I at Nashik Central Prison. Applicant challenges Seniority list dated 15.06.2018 wherein he was pushed down from sr, No.17 to Sr. No.58. Further Applicant prays that the seniority list is to be modified and he is to be promoted to the post of Deputy Superintendent Central Jail/ Superintendent District Prison.

3. Learned Counsel seeks time.

4. Time granted. Adjourned to 09.01.2024 P.H.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 04.01.2024**

**O.A.No.744/2018**

A.S. Karkar .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the year 2018 Applicant was working as Jailer, Group-I at Nashik Central Prison. Applicant challenges Seniority list dated 15.06.2018 wherein he was pushed down from sr, No.17 to Sr. No.58. Further Applicant prays that the seniority list is to be modified and he is to be promoted to the post of Deputy Superintendent Central Jail/ Superintendent District Prison.
3. Learned Counsel at the outset has submitted that the grievance of promotion no more survives as he is already promoted to the post of Superintendent District Prison, Class-II, Alibag on 24.06.2022. Learned Counsel has submitted that as per the seniority list dated 01.01.2014 the Applicant was shown at Sr. no.17 in his cadre, then thereafter as per the seniority list dated 06.01.2016 he was show at Sr. No.10 and in the seniority list dated 15.06.2018 he was pushed down to Sr. No.58. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant has lost seniority as he failed to pass the requisite examination conducted by M.P.S.C., within the stipulated period and chances.
4. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant passed the said examination on 30.01.2014

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.914, 915 &amp; 928 of 2017</u></p> <p>D.V. Patil B.R. Ghaytad G.D. Kamble ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is absent. Shri B.R. Ghaytad. Applicant in OA No.915/2017 is personally present in the Court and informs that he retired on 31.5.2021 from the post of ASI.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that these are group of matters along with OA No.757/2017 (Shri D.C. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.). In the said OA a detailed affidavit in reply is filed by the State and that OA should be taken along with these OAs.</p> <p>4. We have called the record of OA No.757/2017 and the order dated 10.8.2023 shows that Smt. S.T. Suryawanshi, Ld. Advocate had appeared for the applicant and informed that applicant is promoted by order dated 26.7.2023 and therefore OA was disposed off with no further order.</p> <p>5. We direct the Ld. CPO to verify if detailed reply filed in OA No.757/2017 is required to be adopted then she should do the needful.</p> <p>6. Ld. CPO produces letter dated 5.3.2018 from Dy. S.P. (Administration) Pune to Director General of Police, MS, Mumbai and informs that more matters pertaining to one step promotion and further issuance of reversion order are pending before this Tribunal viz. OAs No.758, 759, 796, 799, 1067, 840/2017. All these matters be heard as a group.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

7. We are informed by the Ld. CPO and the Bar that Shri V.V. Joshi, Ld. Advocate for the applicants, who comes from Pune, is very old and he is not attending the Tribunal since last 3 years.

8. Under such circumstances, the Registry is directed to issue notice to the concerned applicants in all the above OAs. at the address given by them so also the Respondents-Departments to give notice to the applicants directing the applicants to appear personally and engage advocate on the next date otherwise the matter will be dismissed for want of prosecution.

9. Kept back.

10. Later on Smt. Prachi Hendre, Ld. Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, Ld. Advocate for the applicants appeared and sought time.

11. S.O. to 11.1.2024.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
4.1.2024

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
4.1.2024

(sgl)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"> <u>OAs No.361, 362, 505, 506 of 2022,</u>  <u>OAs No.108, 109, 468, 469 of 2022,</u>  <u>OAs No.569, 570 of 2022</u> </p> <p>L.K. Shinde &amp; Ors. ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that he has already given written notes of arguments in the above matters and does not wish to argue. Today the Ld. Advocate for the applicants tenders additional written notes and the same are taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">   (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  4.1.2024 </p> <p align="center">   (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  4.1.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 04.01.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1191 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>U.B. Sankpal</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 03.01.2024, the concerned officers namely Shri (a) S.G.Gangarkar, Dy. Secretary (b) Smt. Bhagyshree Devare, Under Secretary (c) Shri Chetan Doke, Deputy Commissioner, State Tax, (d) Shri Avadhut Upnikar, Asstt. Commissioner, State Tax, (e) Shri Abhay Mate, Asstt. Commissioner, State Tax. (f) Shri Naresh Ingale, Desk Officer, (g) Shri P. B. Pathak, State Tax Officer (h) Shri Sanjay Mandape, State Tax Inspector (i) Shri Swapnil Bhamare, State Tax Inspector are present today before the Court.</p> <p>3. We have gone through the File Noting dated 19.10.2021 which was subsequently approved on 28.10.2021 by the Hon'ble Deputy Chief Minister (Finance). We do not find different analysis in the said File Noting for which Finance Department has taken Five Months after receipt of the Enquiry Report on 15.06.2021. Moreover, after acceptance the said Enquiry Report, the copy was sent to be served on the Applicant on 13.12.2022.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Learned CPO informed that the Applicant was not found at his residence when officers from the Finance Department went to serve the copy of Enquiry Report thrice to the Applicant. The Enquiry Report was finally served on Applicant on 26.12.2022 through 'Panchnama'.
5. The Charge Sheet was served on Applicant on 24.03.2014 for the incidences took place in 2008 and 2011. However, till date no final decision in DE is taken by the Finance Department though it is submitted that this DE pertains to loss of revenue of Rs.650 Crores.
6. The Finance Department has now made query on 20.10.2023 to Commissioner of GST Department about quantum of punishment in view of huge revenue loss. Subsequently, no reply has been given by Commissioner of GST Department.
7. In view of above, the Commissioner of GST Department is hereby directed to remain present personally on 05.01.2024 and explain the delay in propose to Finance Department quantum of punishment in view of huge revenue loss of 650 Crores.
8. S.O. to 05.01.2024 at 2.30 PM.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 04.01.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.651 of 2023 With O.A.717 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V. P. Wahane ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for Intervener.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 05.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.1582 of 2023</b></p> <p> <b>Dr. V.K. Patne</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents. </p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.01.2024.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>8. O.A. No.1582/2023 be kept for Urgent Admission on Monday i.e. on 08.01.2024.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 08.01.2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/-    <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 04.01.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1518 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>N.K. Patil, .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-    <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1245 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V.B. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2024</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.853 of 2023 with O.A. No.854 of 2023</b></p> <p>Dr. V.S. Patil Dr. V.A. Wagh .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video Conference' and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' in O.A. No.853/2023 as per Court Order dated 20.12.2023 on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <u>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</u> Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1274 of 2023</p> <p>A.S. Mote .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that she is yet to receive copy of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on 22.12.2023 on behalf of Respondent No.2. She further states that O.A. No.1274/2023 be admitted for Final Hearing with liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder', if any on behalf of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1026/2017</u></b></p> <p><b><u>SPEAKING TO TH MINUTES</u></b></p> <p>Mrs Charushila Waman Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In para 7 of the order dated 3.1.2024, the following sentence is added as under:-</p> <p>“7. In view of the submissions made by learned Counsel and learned C.P.O. and after going the letter dated 17.11.2016 it is to be noted that in the said letter the applicants service was regularized in Group-D only. The Applicant did not challenge the said order dated 17.11.2016, as the order is pursuant to the decision of the Tribunal dated 10.8.2016 in O.A 639/2015. In the present Original Application, she has prayed for the same relief that she should be absorbed in Group-C cadre which was also her prayer in O.A. No. 639/2015 which was decided on 10.08.2016.</p> <p>3. Ordered accordingly.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>C.A 80/2022 in O.A 879/2015</u></b></p> <p>G.P Wadekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the order of this Tribunal dated 4.1.2022 has been complied with by the Respondents by issuing G.R dated 3.1.2024.</p> <p>3. We note that the order has been complied with. Hence C.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 20px;">Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 30/2023 in O.A 774/2022</u></b></p> <p>V.K Adsul &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As Writ Petition filed before the Hon'ble High Court is pending, matter adjourned to 29.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 86/2023 in O.A 599/2023 with C.A 87/2023 in O.A 598/2023</u></b></p> <p>D.D Patil Dr C.S Lone ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre holding for Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri C.T Chandratre appearing for the applicant in C.A 87/2023 in O.A 598/2023, Dr C.S Lone, who is present in person submits that he is instructed by the applicant to withdraw the Contempt Application and he is interested in contesting the Original Application No. 598/2023.</p> <p>3. Permission granted to withdraw the Contempt Application No. 87/2023.</p> <p>4. O.A to be placed on Board 18.1.2024. C.A 86/2023 in O.A 599/2023 be detagged from O.A 598/2023 and placed on Board on 18.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 257/2023 in O.A 212/2023</u></b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>S.V Kadri ... Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwd, learned P.O for the Applicants (Ori Respondents) and Shri K.R Jagdale, advocate for the Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>2. Both M.A 257/2023 and O.A 212/2023 to be placed before 2<sup>nd</sup> D.B on 13.2.2024 for final hearing H.O.B.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 734/2023 in O.A 1163/2023 (Ext of Time)</u></b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>O.B Adake ... Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Applicants (Ori Respondents) and none present for the Respondent (Ori Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for one weeks' time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 411/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.N Bagul &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply is not filed. Matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing before 2<sup>nd</sup> D.B on 22.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 484/2023</u></b></p> <p>U.G Komwad &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondents No 4, 5 &amp; 6.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Lonkar has filed affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that affidavit in rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. Admit. Place for final hearing on 7.3.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 997/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.P Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.s Gaikwd, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 18.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 998/2023</u></b></p> <p>H.N Thakar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 18.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1166/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.D Chougule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1223/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.S Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 7.3.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1261/2023</u></b></p> <p>V.H Shipkule &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 11.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A Nos 1269 &amp; 1270/2023</u></b></p> <p>V.K Mengal S.K Bhadange ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1283/2023</u></b></p> <p>N.B Pathan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1359/2023</u></b></p> <p>P.N Chattar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1372/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.S Koli ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>  Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1379/2023</u></b></p> <p>A.B Dalvi &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, Learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks one week time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>04.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1399/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.G Chikane &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>