

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.10 of 2022</p> <p>S.N. KakadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 03.01.2022 whereby he his transferred from the post of Additional Commissioner Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation to Deputy Commissioner Directorate Municipal Administration, Mumbai citing administrative ground.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief contending that the Applicant has not completed normal tenure of 3 years but he is transferred abruptly without there being any special case or administrative exigencies.</p> <p>4. Whereas, Learned P.O. sought to contend that the transfer order of the Applicant has been issued with the approval of Hon'ble Chief Minister and opposed interim relief. She has also tendered file for the perusal of Tribunal.</p> <p>5. The Applicant was posted as a Additional Commissioner Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation by order dated 04.05.2020 and admittedly he has not completed normal tenure of 3 years guaranteed under section 3 of Transfer Act, 2005.</p> <p>6. The perusal of file tendered by learned P.O. reveals that there was no such proposal by the Department for mid-tenure transfer of the Applicant. It appears that at the level of Minister only his transfer case was placed before Civil Service Board (CSB) along with other 17 Government servants. Curiously, CSB specifically declined to recommend transfer of the Applicant stating that he had not completed normal tenure. Despite this position list of 20 Government servants (Annexure –A) was prepared and same was got approved by Hon'ble Chief Minister. However, what is striking to note that there is</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Council,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or
Applications and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

absolutely no iota of reasons or ground in the entire file for mid-tenure transfer of the Applicant. The Applicant is transferred as if he was due for transfer without assigning any reason or making out any such administrative exigencies.

7. Needles to mention there has to be recording of special reasons for such mid-tenure transfer as contemplated under section 4(5) of Transfer Act 2005 which is completely missing and lacking in the present case. There are reasons to state that the Applicant is transferred only at the instance of Minister without there being any such case for mid-tenure Transfer. *Prima-facie* transfer order is not in consonance of law.

8. In view of above, transfer order dated 03.01.2022 is liable to be stayed. Interim relief as prayed in clause No.10 (b) is granted.

9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.02.2022.

10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

13. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

15. S.O. to 01.02.2022.

16. Steno copy granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.672 of 2021</p> <p>A.G. SangarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.973 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. ThoratApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 07.07.2017 and taking note of this situation, O.A. was expedited while issuing notices on 08.12.2021. Today, learned P.O. was supposed to file Reply. However, she requested for grant of time.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. time till 10.01.2022 for filing of Affidavit-in-Reply is granted with specific directions that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.380 of 2021</p> <p>B.M. Chodankar & 7 Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 & 2. It is taken on record. 3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. 21.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.738 of 2018</p> <p>C.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is listed in view of praecipe filed by the Applicant's counsel.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that he has got this matter circulated because of the failure of the board department to list the matter before Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Perusal of record reveals that this Part Heard (P.H.) matter which was lastly listed before Tribunal on 16.03.2020 and was adjourned to 30.03.2020. Since then matter was lying unattended in board section.</p> <p>5. It appears that several matters are lying unattended in board section and are not being listed before the Tribunal for hearing. Indeed, when specific date was given by the Tribunal it should have been listed before the Tribunal on given date.</p> <p>6. I/C Registrar is therefore directed to look into the matter and to find out how many matters are lying unattended in board section or Office and should list all those matter before the Tribunal. She should issue circular in the Office that not a single matter should remain unattended in the board section or in Office. Compliance report be submitted to this Tribunal.</p>

Writ Petition, Office Memoranda of Caram,
Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. This matter be listed for Final Hearing at the stage of admission.

8. S.O. to 07.01.2022.


Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 04.01.2022

O.A.No.1 of 2022

Dr. R.D. KatkeApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Perused the recommendations of the CSB dated 11.11.2021 disclosing that there are many complaints against the applicant. In view of likelihood of 3rd wave of COVID-19 and in the interest of smooth administration it was found necessary to transfer the applicant to some other place as per Rule 4(4)(ii) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as 'ROT Act, 2005' for brevity).

3. The learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar wants to amend by adding paragraph 7.17 as additional ground.

4. Amendment allowed. To be carried forthwith in the O.A. and also in the copies furnished to the Respondents.

5. At the request of learned C.P.O. time granted to file affidavit-in-reply. Copy of affidavit-in-reply to be furnished to Mr. Lonkar, learned Advocate a day in advance.

6. Matter will be heard finally on 18.01.2022 even if affidavit-in-reply is not filed.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**O.A.Nos.1037, 1039 to 1042/2019 with O.A.Nos.1237
to 1241/2019**

Dr. G.B. Taware & Ors. ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate appearing in O.A.Nos.1037, 1039 to 1042/2019 has sent leave note. Whereas, Advocate Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate appearing in O.A.Nos.1237 to 1241/2019 is present. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondents is present.

2. Advocate Shri K.B. Bhise submits that since Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan is on leave, his matters be also heard on next date.

3. Adjourned to 27th January, 2022.

4. Interim relief to continue till next date.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

04.01.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1235 of 2019 & Ors.</u></p> <p>1. M.K. Shivsaran & Ors. (OA.1235/19) Mumbai 2. M.A. Deshpande (OA.111/2020) Mumbai 3. L.M. Wankhede & Ors. (OA.224/2020) Mumbai 4. M.P. Samag (OA.215/2020) A'bad With MA.49/2021 A'bad 5. B.K. Pundlik (OA.443/2020) A'bad 6. A.S. Tandale (OA.42/2021) A'bad ..Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.224/2020 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Other Advocates are not present.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day in matters at Sr. No.1, 2 & 3 and she seeks time to file reply in matters at Sr. No.4, 5 & 6.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.413 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.S. Nathaniel & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant does not wish to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.2.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">4.1.2022</td> <td align="center">4.1.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	4.1.2022	4.1.2022
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
4.1.2022	4.1.2022								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.511 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.J. Thakur ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate both are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.1.2022 4.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.232 of 2021</u></p> <p>C.S. Khose ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent Leave Note.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO files affidavit dated 3.1.2022 of Ms. Pratibha Madhav Patil, Under Secretary, Urban Development Department and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO also files affidavit dated 3.1.2022 of Shri Sachin Dattatraya Sahasrabuddhe, Under Secretary, Urban Development Department and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO seeks three months for completion of the enquiry.</p> <p>6. However, we adjourn this matter after four weeks to see progress in the matter.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 1.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.1.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 2 & O.A 3/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.M Narute Shri A.R Dahadade ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice made returnable on 10.1.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to serve all the Respondents and also the learned counsel for the applicants in O.A 380/2020.</p> <p>7. O.A 380/2020 be tagged along with the present Original Applications.</p> <p>8. S.O to 10.1.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.603 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.R. Shelke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter the applicant seeks directions to the respondent no.1 to incorporate the name of the applicant at Sr. No.1 in the order of promotion dated 30.1.2020 to the post of Additional Collector.</p> <p>3. The applicant is undergoing punishment of stoppage of three annual increments by order dated 29.5.2017, execution of which commenced for first year from 1.7.2017 to 30.6.2018, for second year from 1.7.2018 to 30.6.2019 and for third year from 1.7.2019 to 30.6.2020. However, the letter under challenge was issued on 29.1.2020 wherein in the list of officers who were not considered for promotion, the name of applicant is appearing at Sr. No.1 and his name was not considered on the ground that period of stoppage of increments will get over on 30.6.2020.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that annual increment is given for the preceding year and therefore first increment was stopped on 1.7.2017, second on 1.7.2018 and third on 1.7.2019. Thus, he submits that as soon as the increment is stopped it has affected the preceding year and therefore increment of 2019 which was stopped was for the year 2018 and it would get over in July, 2019.</p> <p>5. As per Ld. CPO execution of the order started on 1.7.2017 and therefore period of succeeding year is to be counted and thus according to Ld. CPO effect and the period of execution of punishment will get over on 30.6.2020.</p> <p>6. This is the only short issue involved and it can be resolved by going through the rules for computing the period of stoppage of increment. Ld. CPO is directed to produce relevant rules for computation of period for stoppage of increment.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 18.1.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medhaji Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.1.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.392 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. Sali & 1 Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 & 2. It is taken on record. 3. Adjourned for hearing at stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any. 4. S.O. to 28.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.719 of 2021</p> <p>U.S. SorateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandtare, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Rejoinder to the Reply of Respondent No.1 will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.590 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. KaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. However, on request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Reply to Respondent by way of last chance with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.569 of 2021</p> <p>M.A. SalveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today O.A. is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed. 3. Since, pleading is complete, admitted for Final Hearing. 4. S.O. to 28.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>04.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 770/2021</u></p> <p>Shri D.N Kiratkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 8.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>04.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 645/2021</u></p> <p>Shri R.B Jaybhay ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Omkar Wable i/b Shri V.P Sangvikar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief granted to continue till 18.1.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to remain present on 18.1.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O to 18.1.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.592 of 2021 in O.A. No.799 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.D. Aher & 5 Ors. ..Interveners And Uttamrao B. Shinde & 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Interveners, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for Applicants seeks time to file reply to the MA for intervention.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.1.2022.</p> <p>4. Therefore, OA which is posted on 7.1.2022 will be also fixed on 14.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.1.2022 4.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.561 of 2021 in O.A. No.988 of 2021</u></p> <p>C.S. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.1.2022 4.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.247 of 2021 in O.A. No.220 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.M. Taware & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent Leave Note.</p> <p>3. Pursuant to order dated 14.12.2021 Ld. CPO submits that GAD has communicated to the Food and Civil Supplies Department in their internal note that they may proceed with the promotions as per the existing rules which was communicated in 2018. She seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.1.2022 4.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.48 of 2021 in O.A. No.935 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.R. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent Leave Note.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO produces copy of letter dated 30.12.2021 and submits that respondents have complied with the order passed in OA and payment of remaining 50% has been made on 22.12.2021. The said letter is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Shri Anil Maruti Kamble, Assistant Commandant, SRPF, Group-10, Solapur has filed affidavit dated 23.12.2021 and tendered unconditional apology for the delay in complying the order. The said affidavit is taken on record.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.1.2022 4.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.16 of 2018 in O.A. No.670 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. C.G. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that W.P. No.5124 of 2018 has been filed in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.2.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>4.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>4.1.2022</p> </div> </div>	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1051 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.P. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Ms. Prachi H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 4.1.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 4.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1017 of 2021</u></p> <p>C.N. Gawand ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 1.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ 3.</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 4.1.2022 4.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.840 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S.G. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted is for filing Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.908 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. HembadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted is for filing Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.927 of 2021</p> <p>S.J. KhairnarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted is for filing Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.935 of 2021</p> <p>R.A. LokhandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Aniket Ransubhe, learned learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. as well as learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.939 of 2021</p> <p>N.S. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted is for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. This O.A. be kept with other connected O.As. 4. S.O. to 17.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.594 of 2021 in O.A. No.1050 of 2021</p> <p>S.M. PadwalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted to take necessary steps for amendment to M.A. or to file fresh M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.600 of 2021 in M.A. No.593 of 2021 in O.A. No.432 of 2021</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus C.S. Gaikwad (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. These two M.As are filed for Condonation of delay and for extension of time to implement the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No.432/2021.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant in Original Application two weeks time is granted is for filing Reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.146 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission 4. S.O. to 01.02.2022. <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.446 of 2021 in O.A. No.821 of 2021</p> <p>P.J. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. 2. Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted is for filing Reply to M.A. by way of last chance. 4. S.O. to 20.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.13 of 2021 in O.A. No.368 of 2021</p> <p>S. S. MedsikarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. as well as learned Advocate for the Applicant in Original Application two weeks time is granted is for filing Reply to R.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.534 of 2021 in O.A. No.949 of 2021</p> <p>K.V. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted is for filing Reply to M.A. as well as O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 04.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.335 of 2020</p> <p>S.M. SatheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Applicant is conducting this O.A. in person but absent today. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Respondent is present. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. S.O. to 18.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A.No.273/2020
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------

Shri M.N. Thomke ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 6th January, 2022 for final hearing.

^
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
04.01.2022

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.1227/2019</u></p> <p>Shri H.S. Khude ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note, the matter is adjourned for final hearing on 18th January, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 04.01.2022</p> <p>skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A.No.1048/2019

Shri J.M. Lihitkar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day, the copies of deposition along with Affidavit will be filed. The statement is accepted ~~and~~ taken on record.
3. Matter is adjourned for final hearing to 13th January, 2022.

N
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
04.01.2022

(skw)