

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.160 of 2021 in O.A. No.313 of 2021</p> <p>P.S. GhareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.L. Siram, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for Condonation of delay of 2 years 2 months and 22 Days caused in filing O.A. No.313/2021 challenging impugned order dated 28.01.2019 thereby rejecting the claim of the Applicant for appointment on the post of Police Patil.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.3 – Sub Divisional Officer, Maval, Dist. Pune by notification dated 07.06.2017 issued advertisement to fill in the post of Police Patil of village Done, Tal. Maval, Dist. Pune. In pursuance of it present Applicant as well as one Shri Umesh V. Ghare and Ors. participated in recruitment process. Shri Umesh. V. Ghare being meritorious candidate in selection list he was appointed to the post of Police Patil by order dated 01.01.2018 and accordingly he started functioning to the post of Police Patil. However, later S.D.O by order dated 31.10.2018 cancelled the appointment of Shri Umesh V. Ghare on the ground of breach of condition of notification. Thereafter the Applicant made an Application to S.D.O. stating that he was at Sr. No.2 in merit list, and therefore, requested to appointment him to the post of Police Patil. However S.D.O. rejected his request by communication dated 28.01.2019, stating that there is no such provision in Rules to appoint the candidate who was at Sr. No.2 in the select list and after cancellation of appointment of Shri Umesh V. Ghare the post now required to be filled in afresh by issuing notification afresh.</p> <p>4. The Applicant sought to challenge communication dated 28.01.2019 by filing O.A. which was filed on 21.05.2021. Since limitation of one year to challenge communication dated 28.01.2019 is expired on</p>

28.01.2020, this M.A. is filed for Condonation of delay stating that it is delayed by 2 years 2 months and 22 days. Though infact delay comes to 1 year and 5 months. Be that as it may, the question whether ground raised in M.A. for Condonation of delay is acceptable.

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to contend that due to COVID-19 Pandemic situation the limitation has been extended by Hon'ble Supreme Court, and therefore, it needs to be continued.

6. Per contra, learned P.O. opposed the Application stating that period of limitation expired much before eruption of COVID-19 disease and pandemic situation.

7. True, as per the order tendered by learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.665/2021, Hon'ble Supreme Court passed following order.

2. Considering the reduction in prevalence of COVID-19 virus and normalcy being restored, the following order was passed in the Suo Motu proceedings on 08.03.2021:

"1. In computing the period of limitation for any suit, appeal, application or proceeding, the period from 15.03.2020 till 14.03.2021 shall stand excluded. Consequently, the balance period of limitation remaining as on 15.03.2020, if any, shall become available with effect from 15.03.2021."

8. Thus period of limitation was extended after the break out of COVID-19 disease and pandemic situation w.e.f. 15.03.2020. Whereas in present case limitation of one year expired on 28.01.2020, when there was no pandemic situation or lockdown. Therefore the ground raised by learned Advocate for the Applicant for Condonation of delay is not at all acceptable. There is delay of 1 year and 5 months which not at all explained. The Applicant is not vigilant and slept over his rights.

9. Suffice to say, there are no sufficient cause to Condone delay of 1 year and 5 months.

10. M.A. is therefore dismissed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.124 of 2021 in O.A. No.222 of 2021</p> <p>N.N. Bhosale & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Vaishnavi M. Gujarathi, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.P. Warunjikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for permission to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Tribunal has by reason order held O.A. itself is not maintainable and dismissed summarily.</p> <p>4. Therefore this M.A. is disposed of.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.296 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. AgarkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.199 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S.B. BaralayApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Reshma Kurle, learned Advocate along with Shri S.K. Nair, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.872 of 2021</p> <p>S.C. PujariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The issued involved in present O.A. is very short but no Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be therefore kept for hearing at the stage admission with liberty to Respondents to file Reply, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.826 of 2021 with O.A. No.828 of 2021</p> <p>T.M. Rathod A.K. ChausApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate, holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.878 of 2021</p> <p>R.C. DeshmukhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dudhane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for pay and allowances of June, July and August 2019. According to the Applicant he was on duty, and therefore, entitled to pay and allowances.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant has raised his grievances with S.D.O., Solapur who in turn informed Tahasildar, Barshi by letter dated 09.08.2021 to look into the matter and pass appropriate order. It being not done, Applicant has approached the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. As such is small issue of Pay and allowances as involved in this O.A. and it can be disposed of immediately.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instructions and to appraise the Tribunal on next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 06.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.940 of 2021</p> <p>S.D. SavaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 07.10.2019 passed by S.D.O. whereby his appointment to the post of Police Patil stands terminated. 3. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was appointed as Police Patil by order dated 09.11.2006. Later S.D.O. by order dated 27.09.2019 placed the Applicant under suspension on the ground that at the time of recruitment he was below 25 years of age in contravention of recruitment conditions. Show Cause Notice was also given to the Applicant, as regard his Date of Birth and age below 25 years at the time of appointment. 4. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant Interim relief. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.12.2021. 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 17.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.509 of 2015</p> <p>P.G. KolapteApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that the Government still requires time to submit the documents as the record is old.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate prays for adjournment on the ground that he had already placed all those documents on record which he obtained under RTI.</p> <p>4. As per the request of learned Advocate and learned P.O. matter is fixed on 14.12.2021. In between Respondent is directed not to proceed with the promotion to the post of Assistant Commissioner, Maharashtra Sadan.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.29 of 2020 in O.A. No.1125 of 2019</p> <p>S.L. LolgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is taken up for hearing in view of order passed in R.A. No.07/2021 in O.A. No.1125/2019 decided on 18.11.2021.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that Respondents have not filled Reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.946 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. MulaniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghatge, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that review was taken thrice but infact and circumstances of the case authority decided to continue the suspension. He requested for two weeks time to file Reply on merit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.951 of 2021</p> <p>S.Y. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.01.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 07.01.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.749 of 2021</p> <p>D.V. KalekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough chances are granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply, however on request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply as most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.731 of 2021</p> <p>S.I. AbbasApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Nagnath Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.12.2021.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.680 of 2021</p> <p>S.K. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Abhijit Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. is kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.672 of 2021</p> <p>A.G. SangarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.666 of 2021</p> <p>V.R. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Reshma Kurle, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Aditya Raktade, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 & 3.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.661 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. RautApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.12.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.561 of 2021</p> <p>K.A. SalunkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Junior Advocate, holding for Shri A.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 to 3. It is taken on record. 3. Since pleading is complete. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. The Applicant has also filed M.A. No.537/2021 for amendment which is listed today for the first time. 5. Learned P.O. seeks time to respond to M.A. 6. S.O. to 17.12.2021. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.360 of 2021 in O.A. No.808 of 2021</p> <p>B.R. SheelwantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. Today, matter is for hearing but the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent, on the last date also they were absent. They seems not interested in the matter. M.A. and O.A. are therefore dismissed in default. <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.282 of 2021 in O.A. No.552 of 2021 with M.A. No.283 of 2021 in O.A. No.553 of 2021</p> <p>K.T. More P.G. KulkarniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Enough chances are granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. and O.A. but the same is not filed. 3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply. 5. S.O. to 23.12.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.291/2018

Shri V.D. Dangat ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. He has sent Leave Note. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.

2. Adjourned in ^{view} ~~lieu~~ of Leave Note.

3. S.O. to 08.12.2021.

^
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
03.12.2021

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.968 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.A. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed by retired A.S.I. for direction to release Gratuity and Regular Pension which seems to have been withheld on ground of pendency of criminal Appeal. The Applicant stands retired on 31.07.2020. While he was in service he was prosecuted under Special Case No.02/2002. He came to be acquitted by learned Special Judge on 29.04.2005, thereafter he retired on attaining age of superannuation but till date Regular Pension and Gratuity is withheld on ground that Government has filed appeal before Hon'ble High Court and it is subjudice.</p> <p>3. <i>Prima-facie</i> stand taken by Respondent withholding of Gratuity and Regular Pension on the ground of pendency of criminal case is unsustainable in law. Now period of more than 15 years is over but his appeal is not decided and even after retirement awaiting for retrial benefits.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.12.2021.</p> <p>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>10. O.A is expedited.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 16.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.969 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.P. Bhosale & 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.V. Thorat holding for Shri S.B. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicants, and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO pointed out that applicants have not filed MA for suing jointly. Applicants to file MA accordingly.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.1.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.12.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.12.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.970 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.U. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.12.2021 (sgj) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.12.2021 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.971 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.B. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.12.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.12.2021</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.972 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.V. Waghmare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.12.2021 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.12.2021</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.61 of 2019 in O.A. No.975 of 2018</u></p> <p>B.S. Lambhate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that proposal to give revised pension and gratuity to the applicant has been sent to the office of Accountant General and seeks one week's time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.12.2021 3.12.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.48 of 2021 in O.A. No.82 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.D. Mundhe & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply to the MA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.12.2021 3.12.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.480 of 2021 with O.A.No.481 of 2021</p> <p>Y.D. Kanoja (O.A.480/2021) N.H. Salunka (O.A.481/2021)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Admit and Adjourned to 08.12.2021. F.O.B.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha/Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.89 of 2018</p> <p>S.R. BhagwanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. On instructions from Mr. M.A. Muzambar, Assistant Labour Commissioner State, learned P.O. informs that the Applicant cannot be considered now as the matters of other similarly situated employees are pending before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.01.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.538 of 2021 in O.A. No.348 of 2020</u></p> <p>Mahesh J. Chothe & 4 Ors. ..Interveners And Rajesh B. Shinde & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra ..Respondent</p> <p>Heard Shri Suresh Pakale with Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Interveners, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed by the Interveners to be added as party respondents no.2 to 6 in the above OA. The Ld. Advocate for the Interveners submits that this matter may be tagged with OA No.967 of 2021 which is adjourned to 6.12.2021.</p> <p>3. Therefore for the purpose of administrative convenience this matter will appear along with OA No.967 of 2021 on 6.12.2021 however reply is to be filed in MA within two weeks and copy to be served to the Ld. Advocate for the Interveners well in advance latest by 14.12.2021.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 15.12.2021. The Applicants and respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Interveners are authorized and directed to serve on Applicants and Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Interveners are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Interveners are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Member (A) Chairperson </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> 3.12.2021 3.12.2021 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.12.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.756 of 2021 with M.A.No.494 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. Jopale (O.A.No.756 of 2021) Organization for Rights of Hyman Ratnagiri Branch, Ratnagiri (M.A.494/2021)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.756/2021), Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Deepali Deherkar, learned Advocate i/b Mr. Haywesh Lagu Advocate for the Intervenor (M.A.No.494/2021).</p> <p>2. The Applicant was terminated from his service on 28.06.2021. Thereafter he approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing Writ Petition No.2507/2021. By order dated 20.03.2021 at paragraph 3 the Hon'ble High Court stated that the Petitioner is at liberty to make a request to the Tribunal for expeditious disposal of her matter and if such request is made, the Tribunal shall consider it appropriately.</p> <p>3. The Applicant filed the O.A. before this Tribunal on 24.09.2021. The Respondent-State filed the affidavit-in-reply as directed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. The learned Counsel makes request to expedite the matter and disposed of based on the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition (L) No.10702/2021, Leela Dineshsingh Chauhan Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors, dated 22.10.2021, relying on paragraph 110.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 20.09.2021 in paragraph 3 we would like to point out that though the affidavit is replied by the State, Intervening application i.e. M.A.No.494 of 2021 is filed in the matter. Hence it is necessary to ask the parties if they want to file the reply to this M.A.

6. The learned Counsel Mr. Desai submits that the applicant does not want to file reply to M.A. and wants to argue on the basis of this record.

7. We are no inclined to accept these submissions of learned Counsel Mr. Desai on hearing the O.A. and M.A. as we will decide the M.A. and thereafter we will decide O.A. Moreover by way of special drive the Tribunal is clearing the matters of 2013, 2014 and 2015 which we give priority. We will first decide M.A. preferably on 17.12.2021. Respondent-State does not want to file reply to M.A. as it submits to the orders of the Court hence, we will hear this matter on 17.12.2021. Registrar is directed to mentioned M.A. and O.A. on board.

8. Adjourned to 17.12.2021.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk