


(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.1052 of 2018</p> <p>A. K. Ligade Applicant</p> <p>V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shr S. S. Dere, the learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has applied for the post of Sub-Inspector. According to the applicant he should have been wait listed at Serial No.1. He states that total 8 posts were earmarked for the candidate belongs to Open Sports Category and out of these 8 posts one Ms Aishwarya Jaysing Naik at Serial No.124 did not join and, therefore, the post of Open Sports Category is vacant. Waiting list should have been prepared and the last date to said waiting list is 08.01.2019. It is further stated that had there been a waiting list, the applicant should have been appointed under Open Sports Category.</p> <p>3. The P.O. is requested to take instructions in this regard and to make a statement whether waiting list is going to be lapsed and whether the applicant stands at Serial No. 1 in the wait list.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

-2-

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.01.2019.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

11. S.O. to 07.01.2019.

Sd/-

(P. N. Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

DATE: 3/12/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dey

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.T. Chougale for S.P. Mandale

Ch. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. S.O. Heard

order passed in Tribunal
column.

S.O. 7/1/19.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.12.2018

O.A.No.1023 of 2018

A. A. Bagwan & Ors. ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors.Respondents

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.12.2018 along with O.A. No.923/2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 12.12.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

(vsm)

DATE: 31/12/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. ... A. V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. S.O. to Head

order passed by
Tribunal column
So to 12/12/18.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

Original Application No.1042 of 2018

Shri S.S. Patil

)....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

)....Respondents

Shri G.M. Savagave, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

DATE : 03.12.2018.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri G.M. Savagave, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In present O.A. challenge is to the transfer order dated 22.11.2018 on the ground that it is mid-term and mid-tenure transfer. There is no compliance of Section 4(4) (2) and 4(5) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Office Duties Act, 2005 (Transfer Act, 2005).
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that transfer order seems to have been issued in view of the complaints to which Applicant has been submitted his explanation long back and thereafter the impugned order has been passed which is arbitrary and not sustainable in law. He, therefore, prayed for interim relief.
4. Per contra, leaned P.O. for the Respondents opposed interim relief on the ground that there were serious complaints against the Applicant when he was working as Medical Superintendent, Chandgad, Dist. Kolhapur and after enquiry and investigation, matter was placed before the Civil Services Board for approval.
5. After approval of Civil Services Board, the transfer order has been approved by Hon'ble Chief Minister and there is full compliance of Section 4(4) (2) and 4(5) of 'Act, 2005'.

6. The Applicant was working as Medical Superintendent. The perusal of record reveals that there were serious complaints against him and these were investigated by the department.
7. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has also produced file showing approval of C.S.B. as well as Hon'ble Chief Minister.
8. As such considering the ground of transfer which is already passed by Civil Services Board, I am not inclined to grant interim relief.
9. Issue notice returnable on 7.01.2019.
10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
11. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.s Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
13. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
14. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OAs shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
15. S.O. to 7.01.2019.
16. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>3/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri./Smt. <u>Mrs. K.K. Shah</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri./Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>7/1/2019</u></p> <p>"For F.H." (Affidavit in Reply filed by R.M. 1 & 2 taken on Board) <u>3/12/18</u> matter is admitted & adj. for final hearing.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.616/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.M. Potdar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Mrs. K.K. Shah, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents 1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In the present case, the challenge is to the appointment of Police Patil. As the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 7th January, 2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.12.2018</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>3/12/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. <u>S.M. Katkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/sta. (1 to 3) <u>Re She State holding for</u> <u>Adj./S.O. to</u> <u>T. Jadhav Recr R.No. 4.</u> <u>S.O. to 19/12/18.</u></p>	<p>Date : 03.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.636 of 2018</p> <p>B.N. GadageApplicant VersusRespondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. S.M. Katkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri R. Shevate, the learned Advocate holding for Shri T. Jadhav, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4. 2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 has filed affidavit-in-reply today. It is taken on record. 3. Learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 requested for time to file affidavit-in-reply. 4. S.O. to 19.12.2018. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>3/12/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE</u> Shri./Smt. : <u>D. B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri./Smt. : K. S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>11/12/18</u> along with O.A. 926/18.</p>	<p>Date : 03.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.972 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. S.M. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter be kept along with O.A.No.926 of 2018 on 11.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.12.2018

O.A.No.926 of 2018

Dr. S.M. JadhavApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In order dated 01.11.2018, this Tribunal has passed detailed order observing that suspension order appears prima facie incorrect and it was expected from Government to take correctional major to rectify the mistake, if any.

3. Thereafter also, enough time was granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

4. Today, again learned P.O. for the Respondents sought one week's time to file reply as the instructions from Government are awaited.

5. At the request of the learned P.O., matter is adjourned to 11.12.2018 with directions to Respondents to file reply positively on the next date, failing which matter will be heard finally.

6. S.O. to 11.12.2018.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE : 3/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. D.B. Khaire

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri./Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 11/12/18

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.12.2018

O.A.No.509 of 2018

P.S. KumbharApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply is being filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 during the course of the day and it is also adopted on behalf of the Respondent No.1.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that he wants to file rejoinder.

4. In view of the above, matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

5. S.O. to 6.12.2018.

DATE : 3/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. P.W. Kolge.....

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri./Smt. S.D. Dole.....

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 6/12/18

sba

Sd/- 2

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 03.12.2018

O.A.No.581 of 2018

S.B. MetkarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 2.7.2018 and 18.9.2018, Respondent No.2 was directed to consider the representation of the Applicant for transfer at Nashik.

3. As the directions were not complied with show cause notice were issued to the Respondent No.2 as to why action should not be taken against him for non compliance of orders. In pursuance of it, Respondent No.2 has filed short affidavit-in-reply which is at page no.69. In affidavit of Respondent No.2, it is stated that the representation of the Applicant will be placed before Civil Services Board on 16.10.2018 and accordingly further steps will be taken.

4. However, today, nothing is informed to this Tribunal about meeting of C.S.B. which was to be conveyed on 16.10.2018.

5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is unable to state as to whether meeting of C.S.B. was really conveyed on 16.10.2018.

6. In fact, in view of the statement made by Respondent No.2 in the affidavit, it was necessary to inform the Tribunal about the decision of the meeting, if any.

7. However, today, again learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.

8. Enough time is already granted. However, seven days time is lastly granted to file reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 without fail otherwise matter will be heard finally.

9. S.O. to 10.12.2018.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE: 3/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri./Smt. : Ms. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 10/12/18.

Sd/-
3/12/18
for ehook.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>3/12/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE</u> Shri./Smt. : <u>D. B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri./Smt. : <u>M. N. G. Gohad.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>20/12/18.</u></p> <p>(m-a Affidavit in Reply filed by R. No. 1. taken on Brand) Steno copy & Hamdast Allowed <u>3/12/18</u> For CLK.</p>	<p>Date : 03.12.2018</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.462 of 2018 in O.A.No.531 of 2018</p> <p>D.R. SorApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In present O.A. challenge is to the suspension order dated 19.12.2017 whereby the Applicant was kept under suspension in contemplation of Departmental Enquiry. As such he is under suspension for near about one year</p> <p>3. Whereas Misc. Application No.462 of 2018 has filed for direction to Respondents to change the Head Quarter.</p> <p>4. In pursuance of suspension, his head quarter is kept at Yeola. Now the Applicant prayed to restore it at Nashik.</p> <p>5. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply to Misc. Application No.462 of 2018. It is taken on record. Reply to Original Application is ^{also} also filed.</p> <p>6. I am of the opinion that O.A.No.531 of 2018 and M.A.No.462 of 2018 needs to be decided together and matter can be expedited for disposal on merit.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 20.12.2018.</p> <p>8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sb</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.12.2018

O.A.No.738 of 2018

C.V. PatilApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 07.01.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 07.01.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE : 3/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. : K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri./Smt. : Archana B.K.

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 7/1/2019

Issue notice
Order passed by Tribunal
Column into issue notice

for
3/12/18
for clerk.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE : 3/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri./Smt. : A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 17/12/18

N.P. filed by Adn. on G. Sadavarte
FR R. NO. 2 With Adn. Ganle A.G.

*For
3/12/18
for ebr*

Date : 03.12.2018

O.A.No.949 of 2018

S.K. Sonawane

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 3.
2. Today, Shri A.G. Gavle, the learned Advocate holding for Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 has filed Vakalatnama and requested for time to file affidavit-in-reply.
3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents also sought time to file reply.
4. In view of the above, the matter is adjourned to 17.12.2018 to file affidavit-in-reply of the Respondents.
5. S.O. to 17.12.2018.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.12.2018

M.A.No.560 of 2018 with O.A.No.774 of 2018

N.N. KulkarniApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri N.N. Kulkarni, the Applicant in person and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, Applicant in person has filed application for taking some documents on record and also filed affidavit-in-rejoinder to the reply filed by the Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.774 of 2018.

3. Besides, Applicant in person also tendered notes of argument in M.A. as well as in O.A. so that both can be decided on merit.

4. Whereas, learned P.O. for the Respondents sought short time for argument on merit and also wants to file reply to the rejoinder, if necessary.

5. In view of above, matter is adjourned for final hearing and for argument of learned P.O.

6. S.O. to 10.12.2018.

DATE : 3/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. : N.N. Kulkarni in person

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri./Smt. : A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 10/12/18

order passed by Tribunal column
Affidavit in S.O. Rejoinder filed by
Applicant to the Reply filed by
R.M. B. Talwar on Board.

~~_____~~

For Clerk.
3/12/18
sba

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.12.2018

M.A.No.603 of 2018 in O.A.No.1021 of 2018

P.R. KarandeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (I)

DATE : 3/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (I)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri./Smt. : S.D. Dole

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to

M.A. B Allowed &
O.A. 1021/18 Issue notice on
17/12/18.

For
3/12/18
For clerk

sb

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>3/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri./Smt. : <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri./Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to</p> <p>m.A. Allowed. & O.A. 1022/18 Notice Issued on 17/12/18.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>S</u> <u>for</u> <u>3/12/18</u> <u>for clerk</u></p>	<p>Date : 03.12.2018</p> <p>M.A.No.604 of 2018 in O.A.No.1022 of 2018</p> <p>P.R. KarandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">n Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>gba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.12.2018

M.A.No.613 of 2018 in O.A.No.1051 of 2018

S.T. MoreApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 07.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, MA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 07.01.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE : 3/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri/Smt. : Mr. B. Kadam Adv.
holding for Shri. S.S. Dere
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 3/1/2019

Issue notice

Order passed by Tribunal
Column-AD Issue notice

R
9/12/18
for check.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>3/12/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri./Smt. : <u>K. R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri./Smt. : <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>21/1/2019</u></p> <p>Issue notice order passed by Tribunal column to issue notice</p> <p><u>for</u> <u>3/12/18</u> <u>for clerk.</u></p>	<p>Date : 03.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.894 of 2018</p> <p>A.R. KhedekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 07.01.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 07.01.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sb</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.12.2018

O. A. No.01 of 2017

M. R. Ahire ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. The Applicant is also present in person. Smt. Archana B. K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the applicant is claiming his correct placement in the seniority list and grant of correct deemed date of promotion as a Senior Clerk w.e.f. 12.12.1995. However, he has been granted deemed date of promotion w.e.f. 02.02.1998. The learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant has filed representation on 15.06.2016 as per Annx. 'A3' and vide communication dated 27.10.2016, the said representation has been rejected. Impugned communication is at Annx. 'A4' page 62 & 64 (both inclusive).

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the applicant has instructed him to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to challenge the communication dated 27.10.2016. In view thereof, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. challenging whatever cause of action available to him.

5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that the representation has been rightly rejected. However, the respondents will be at liberty to raise legal points whenever new O.A. will be filed by the applicant.

6. In view thereof, permission is granted to withdraw the O.A. The Original Application stands disposed off as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh O.A. No order as to costs.

DATE: 31/12/18
CORAM: J.D. Kulkarni (J.C.)
Hon'ble Justice & ... (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri N. Dixit Member (A)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B. K.
C. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Head

order passed in
Tribunal column.
The O.A. stands disposed
off as withdrawn with
liberty to file fresh O.A.
No order as to
costs.

Sd/-

(P. N. Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>3/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: ✓ <u>Shri J. D. Kulkarni (V/C)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble <u>Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Shri M. K. S. Gaikwad</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>C. P. O. for the Respondent/s</u></p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>21/1/19</u></p> <p>(O.A. 365/18)</p>	<p>Date : 03.12.2018</p> <p><u>O.A.No.365 of 2018 with O.A. 857 of 2017</u></p> <p>B. R. Shine & Ors. ... Applicants</p> <p>V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ...Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> None for the Applicants. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. S.O. to 08.01.2019. <p>Sd/- _____ (P. N. Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (J.D. Kulkarni) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>(vsm)</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : 3/12/18</p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri. A. V. Bandiwadekar</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to Head</p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column 50204/12/19.</p>	<p>Date : 03.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.587 of 2015 (D.B)</p> <p>G. L. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant proposes to make amendment to the prayers in view of the additional points noticed by him. 3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents opposes to same and states that learned Advocate for the applicant may file additional Affidavit regarding additional grounds. 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant agrees to the same and proposes to file additional Affidavit within two weeks. 5. Thereafter, the respondents to go through the same and file their reply in three weeks. 6. S.O. to 04.2.2019. <p>Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.12.2018

O. A. No.12 of 2017

M. A. R. Shaikh ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ...Respondents

DATE: 31/11/18
 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri J. D. Kulkarni (C) / Hon'ble Justice Shri P. N. Dixit (Chairman)
 Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
 APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. P. V. Zaidi / P. V. Zaidi
 Advocate for the Applicant
 Shri/Smt. N. G. Gohad
 C. P.O. for the Respondent/s
 Adj./S.O. to 9/1/19

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. files Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. The same is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants to go through it and seeks two weeks time.
4. S.O. to 09.01.2019.

Sd/-
(P. N. Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

(vsm)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.12.2018

O.A.No.400 of 2017

V. B. Ghogare & Ors. ... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ...Respondents

DATE: 3/12/18
 CORAM: J.D. Kulkarni (V) / Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) / Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
 APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. none for the applicant 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 A.M. Tamboli Advocate for the Applicant
 Shri/Smt. Archana B. K. C. for the Respondent/s
 B.V. Bauli with leave 9/10/13, 15, 16
 Adj/S.O. to 12/18
 Heard: S.O. to 19/12/18

1. None for the Applicants 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7. Heard Shri A. M. Tamboli, learned Advocate for the Applicant No.2. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos.9 to 13, 15, 16, 17 & 18.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant No.2 submits that he will file vakalatnama during the course of the day and supply copy of the O.A. to Respondent Nos.17, 18 to whom Shri Bandiwadekar is appeared.
3. S.O. to 19.12.2018.

Sd/-
(P. N. Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

(vsm)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 3/12/18</p> <p>CORAM: J.D. Kulkarni (V.C.) Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. G.P. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. K.S. Geilwad P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to 11.1.19.</p>	<p>Date : 03.12.2018</p> <p align="center"><u>O.A.No.339 of 2018</u></p> <p>U. B. Jarande ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.01.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P. N. Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (J.D. Kulkarni) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.12.2018

O.A.No.595 of 2018

A. D. Sawant ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere holding for Shri M. D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents files Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. The same is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicants requests for adjournment.
4. S.O. to 12.12.2018.

DATE : 3/12/18
 CORAM : J.D. Kulkarni (C.J.)
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
 Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
 APPEARANCE:
 Shri/Smt. : S.S. Dere for M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N.G. Gohad
C. for P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Head

order passed in
Tribunal Column
5020 12/12/18.

[Signature]

Sd/-

**(P. N. Dixit)
Member (A)**

Sd/-

**(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman**

(vsm)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 03.12.2018
---	---

M. A. No. 605 of 2018
In
O.A.No.1023 of 2018

A. A. Bagwan & Ors. ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors.Respondents

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

3. Accordingly, the Misc. Application is allowed.

DATE: 21/12/18
CORAM: J.D. Kulkarni (V/C)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jeani (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Miss S. Suryawanshi
Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Heard

order passed in
Tribunal Coram
Accordingly, the m.A.
is allowed.

Sd/-

(P. N. Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(J.D. Kulkarni)
Vice-Chairman

(vsm)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.12.2018

O. A. No.881 of 2018

M. P. LoharApplicant

Versus

The State of MaharashtraRespondent.

DATE : 31/12/18

CORAM : P.N. DIXIT (M.B.)
Hon'ble Justice Shri. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. none for the applicant

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 17/12/18

[Handwritten signature]

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondent seeks adjournment by one week to file reply on behalf of the Respondent.
3. S.O. to 17.12.2018.

Sd/-
(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>31.12.18</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>P. N. DIXIT (M.A)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. JOSHI (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S. S. Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N. G. Gohad</u> C.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to <u>7.1.19</u> as a <u>last chance</u></p>	<p>Date : 03.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.958 of 2018</p> <p>T. D. Jagtap Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks three weeks' time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 3. S.O. to 07.01.2019 as a last chance. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.12.2018

O. A. No.1003 of 2018

R. V. ShrigiriwarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D. B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents states that there is no change in the situation as far as the proposed promotions are concerned. No promotions are expected to be made within two weeks.

3. Learned C.P.O. seeks two weeks' time to file Affidavit-in-Reply in the matter.

4. Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 proposes to file Affidavit-in-Reply within two days after doing pagination.

5. S.O. to 20.12.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

DATE - 3/12/18
COURT - P. N. DIXIT CM-2
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. : A. V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. P. Manchekar
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s - 3
D. B. Khaire for No. 3 -
Adv. C.O. to Heard

order passed in Tribunal
G1444
5020 20/12/18
e

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : 3/12/18</p> <p>CORAM : P. N. Dixit (M. J.) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jochi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. A. V. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad C.R.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to Head order passed in tribunal column 5020 8/1/19.</p>	<p>Date : 03.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.1008 of 2018</p> <p>N. B. MadaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that the respondent no.1 has issued the order on 20.11.2018. He, therefore, proposes to amend the prayer clause against this impugned order. The same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification. 3. Leave to amend the prayed clause is granted. 4. Issue fresh notice before admission returnable on 08.01.2019. 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 10. S.O. to 08.01.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.12.2018

O. A. No.881 of 2018

M. P. LoharApplicant

Versus

The State of MaharashtraRespondent.

DATE : 31/12/18

CORAM : P.N. Dixit (M-B)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. none for the applicant

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 17/12/18

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondent seeks adjournment by one week to file reply on behalf of the Respondent.
3. S.O. to 17.12.2018.

Sd/-
(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

VSM

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 3/12/18</p> <p>CORAM: P. N. Dixit C.M.B. Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jochi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. A. V. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad C.P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>Heard.</u> order passed in tribunal @ 11/11/19. 2</p>	<p>Date : 03.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.1008 of 2018</p> <p>N. B. MadaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that the respondent no.1 has issued the order on 20.11.2018. He, therefore, proposes to amend the prayer clause against this impugned order. The same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification. 3. Leave to amend the prayed clause is granted. 4. Issue fresh notice before admission returnable on 08.01.2019. 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 10. S.O. to 08.01.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>31.12.18</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>P. N. DIXIT (CMB)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sd/Smt. <u>S. S. Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Sd/Smt. <u>A. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj.S.O. to <u>7.1.19</u> as a <u>last chance</u></p>	<p>Date : 03.12.2018</p> <p>O. A. No.958 of 2018</p> <p>T. D. JagtapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks three weeks' time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.01.2019 as a last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>P. N. DIXIT</u> (P. N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.12.2018

O. A. No.1003 of 2018

R. V. ShrigiriwarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D. B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents states that there is no change in the situation as far as the proposed promotions are concerned. No promotions are expected to be made within two weeks.

3. Learned C.P.O. seeks two weeks' time to file Affidavit-in-Reply in the matter.

4. Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 proposes to file Affidavit-in-Reply within two days after doing pagination.

5. S.O. to 20.12.2018.

Sd/-

(P. N. DIXIT)
MEMBER (A)

DATE - 21/12/18

CURE - P.N. DIXIT (M-0)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : P. V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. C.O. to : A. V. Bandiwadekar

order passed in Tribunal
Gluun

5020 20/12/18

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.


of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>31/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>none for the applicant</u> Advocate for the Applicant: Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>Heard</u></p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column CA is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>2</u></p>	<p>03.12.2018</p> <p><u>C.A 09/2017 in O.A 1067/2015</u></p> <p>Dr A.K Chikhale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O pointed out that State has filed Writ Petition No. 10379/2016. In that Writ Petition on 19.6.2018, learned advocate for the applicant in the present Contempt Application has made a statement, which is recorded by Hon'ble High Court as follows:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"Mr. Jain makes a statement that the respondent will not press and will withdraw contempt petition no. 9/2017 before the MAT. This statement is accepted."</p> <p>3. In view of the foregoing, present C.A is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>2</u> Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

Akn

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>31/2/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manjekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Heard</u></p> <p><i>order passed in Tribunal Column C.A stands disposed of</i></p>	<p>03.12.2018</p> <p><u>C.A 82/2015 in O.A 10/2009</u></p> <p>A.K Pusegaonkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manjekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that the Respondents have complied with the order and certain calculations are furnished.</p> <p>3. According to the Respondents, no money is payable to all the applicants (while it is payable to two persons, and it has been paid).</p> <p>4. Learned advocate for the applicants states that remaining applicants want to reagitate the issue by making suitable representation or seek legal course of action.</p> <p>5. In view of the foregoing, present C.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- <i>hmi</i> (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman </p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>31/12/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Miss <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Ag. S.O. to <u>Hcard</u> order passed in Tribunal Column. M.A. 598/18 is allowed. S.O. to 21/12/18. o.d. - <u>Z</u></p>	<p>03.12.2018</p> <p><u>M.A 598/2018 in O.A 938/2018</u></p> <p>Shri D.L Awate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. M.A allowed. Amendment to be carried out within two weeks. 3. Issue fresh notice after amendment returnable on 21.12.2018. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O to 21.12.2018 <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>