

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.862 of 2023</p> <p>P.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The O.A. No.862/2023 was taken up for further argument by learned Advocate for the Applicant as part of Final Hearing (P.H.). Learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that the Applicant is a Ex-Servicemen who had joined Government Service as Clerk on 04.11.2013 in Tahsil Office, Haveli, District Pune as per order of District Collector, Pune. The Applicant came to be suspended on 19.11.2016 and subsequently reinstated on 03.04.2019 by District Collector, Pune and then posted as 'Clerk' in Tahsil Office, Junnar, District Pune. The order of District Collector, Pune dated 03.04.2019 mentions that the Applicant is reinstated although an offence had been registered against him under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 on 16.11.2016 and Departmental Enquiry had been instituted against the Applicant under Rule 8 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 as per decision taken on 06.03.2019 by the 'Divisional Suspension Review Committee' headed by Divisional Commissioner, Pune.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that by impugned order of District Collector, Pune dated 25.05.2023 when the Applicant was working on the post of 'Revenue Assistant, Tahsil Office, Junnar' after his reinstatement on 03.04.2019, has been transferred to the post of 'Revenue Assistant Tahsil</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Officer, Velhe Pune' both of which are equivalent 'Non Executive Posts'. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further stated that he had given 5 options to be considered for next posting which included (a) 'S.D.O, Offices Khed and Junnar' and (b) 'Tahsil Offices Khed, Shirur and Ambegaon'. However, 5 options given by the Applicant were not considered by the 'Competent Authority' who is the 'District Collector Pune'. Learned Advocate further stressed on the fact that the Applicant's options were required to be duly considered as he is also an Ex-Servicemen as per the provisions of G.A.D. G.R. dated 21.11.2022 which required the 'Competent Authority' to consider his background of being Ex-servicemen. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also stated that although the 5 options for next posting submitted by the Applicant were not considered by the 'Competent Authority' the 'Tahsildar Khed' by letter dated 04.08.2023 has specifically requested 'District Collector, Pune' as 'Competent Authority' that the request of the Applicant be duly considered and he be posted in 'Tahsil Office Khed'.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. referred to the content of the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on 17.07.2023 by District Collector, Pune which justifies the decision taken by him as 'Competent Authority' to post the Applicant as 'Revenue Assistant Tahsil Office, Velhe District Pune'. She also highlighted the decision of the 'Divisional Suspension Review Committee' meeting on 06.03.2019 by which the Applicant was directed to be posted only on 'Non Executive Posts' pending final decision in the ongoing 'Criminal Case' and 'Departmental Enquiry'.</p> <p>5. The learned P.O. also points out contents of the letter of 'Resident District Collector, Pune' dated 16.06.2023 addressed to the Applicant as working on the post of 'Revenue Assistant, Tahsil Office, Junnar' wherein it was conveyed to him that his options to be considered for posting to (i) 'S.D.O. Office Junnar, (ii) S.D.O. Office Khed and (iii) Tahsil Office Khed' could not be considered but this letter also mentions that the 'Departmental Enquiry' against him has been closed subject to the final decision in the 'Criminal Case' which is under 'Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988'. Learned P.O. also stated that till such time the Applicant can only be posted on 'Non Executive Posts' by the 'Competent Authority' as decided in the meeting of the Divisional</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Suspension Review Committee on 06.03.2019. Therefore his posting to the 'Non Executive Post' of 'Revenue Assistant Tahsil Officer, Velhe Pune' does not merit any inference. Nonetheless, learned P.O. also stated based on information obtained from office of 'District Collector Pune' that the Applicant had still not been relieved and continues to work as 'Revenue Assistant, Tahsil Office, Junnar'.</p> <p>5. 'The District Collector Pune,' as 'Competent Authority' has transferred the Applicant on 25.05.2023 but he still continues to work as 'Revenue Assistant, Tahsil Office, Junnar'. Therefore it was for the 'District Collector, Pune' to give appropriate directions to the 'Tahsildar, Junnar' to relieve the Applicant. In the meanwhile, the 'Tahsildar, Khed' by letter dated 04.08.2023 had specifically requested the 'District Collector, Pune' to give due consideration to the request made by the Applicant. Hence it can only be surmised that for this reasons, the Applicant has been still not relieved and continues to work on the post of 'Revenue Assistant, Tahsil Office, Junnar'. Therefore, against the facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the fact that substantial time has already lapsed since the 'Transfer Order' dated 25.05.2023 was issued by 'District Collector, Pune' as 'Competent Authority' and taking into consideration the fact that the Applicant is an Ex-Servicemen and is to be accorded preferential consideration as per G.A.D. G.R. dated 21.11.2022, the ends of justice would be adequately served by directing the 'District Collector, Pune' as 'Competent Authority' to keep in abeyance the implementation of Transfer Order dated 25,05.2023 qua the Applicant and allow him to continue to work as 'Revenue Assistant, Tahsil Office, Junnar' till completion of process of 'General Transfers 2024' expected to be completed during April/May as per provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'. The District Collector Pune as 'Competent Authority' will be at liberty to issue fresh 'Transfer Order' of the Applicant at the time of 'General Transfers 2024' but while doing so must consider that the Applicant is an Ex-Servicemen & deserves preferential consideration as per G.A.D. G.R. dated 24.11.2023.</p> <p>6. The 'District Collector, Pune' as the 'Competent Authority' is thus directed to keep in abeyance the implementation of 'Transfer Order' dated 25.05.2023 qua the Applicant and allow the Applicant to continue to work as 'Revenue Assistant, Tahsil Office, Junnar' till the completion of process of 'General Transfers 2024'.</p> <p>7. The O.A. No.862 of 2023 can accordingly be disposed of with 'No Order as to Costs'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.11.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.877 of 2023</p> <p>P.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere & Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Four Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to <u>08.11.2023</u> 01-12-2023</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1259/2023</u></p> <p>D.K Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kunal Tilak i/b Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that this matter be placed before 2nd DB.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, matter is placed before 2nd DB on 28.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1385 of 2023 to O.A. No.1389 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. M.M. Suryawanshi Dr. M.A. Lamture Dr. S.S. Sonawane Dr. T.A. Jadhav Dr. S.S. DusaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits a letter of 'C.E.O., Zilla Parishad, Ratnagiri' dated 01.11.2023 wherein it is mentioned that in (a) 'Public Health Centres' there are Sanctioned Posts of 133 Medical Officers out of which 29 posts have been filled-up by appointing regular 'Medical Officers' and on 103 posts appointments of 'Medical Officers' have been made on 'Contract Basis'; while there is 1 Vacant Post of Medical Officer. (b) 'Taluka Health Offices' there are Sanctioned Posts of 9 'Taluka Health Officers' out of which only 5 have been filled up while there are 4 vacant posts of Taluka Health Officers. Therefore for these reasons of concern; 'C.E.O., Zilla Parishad, Ratnagiri' has expressed his inability to relieve the Applicants at present to join on various posts of 'Sub District Hospitals, Taluka Health Offices and Primary Health Centers in Pune, Jalgaon & Hingoli Districts' to which they have been posted by 'Transfer Orders' issued by the 'Public Health Department' on 29.08.2023. Learned Advocate for the Applicants states that all Applicants except Applicant in O.A. No.1386/2023 has completed more than 4 Years of service in the establishment of 'C.E.O. Zilla Parishad, Ratnagiri'.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>3. The 'Public Health Department' was therefore well aware of the factual situation as cited by 'C.E.O., Zilla Parishad, Ratnagiri' in his letter of 01.11.2023 about substantial shortage of 'Medical Officers' and 'Taluka Health Officers' when the 'Transfer Orders' of the Applicants were issued on 29.08.2023 and should have provided substitute 'Medical Officers' to join in place of the Applicants. Therefore; taking into consideration the reasons of concern expressed by 'C.E.O., Zilla Parishad, Ratnagiri' in his letter of 01.11.2023; the 'Additional Chief Secretary, Public Health Department' is informed to take immediate steps to post other 'Medical Officers' in place of the Applicants. Further, in case other 'Medical Officers' are not posted in place of the Applicants or do not join by the 30.11.2023, the 'C.E.O., Zilla Parishad, Ratnagiri' shall relieve the Applicants on 30.11.2023 to join on their new posts, as per 'Transfer Orders' dated 29.08.2023 of the Public Health Department; if necessary by making alternative arrangements to hand-over 'Additional Charge' to the nearest 'Medical Officers' of 'Public Health Centers' or any adjacent 'Taluka Health Officer'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1210/2019</u></p> <p>A.K. Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 5th January, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) / Member-A 03.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 03.11.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.1390/2023</u></p> <p>S.V. Varne ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri T.T. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on Board. Taken on Board.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he wants to challenge the Notice of Vacation of Service Quarter and also seeks permission to file the charge-sheet by amending the OA. Allowed to amend. Amendment be carried out accordingly.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28th November, 2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- M. i. s. Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 03.11.2023 03.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.02/2023</u></p> <p>M.K. Shrsat Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 1st December, 2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 03.11.2023 03.11.2023 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.751/2023</u></p> <p>I.V. Basle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondent for Respondent Nos.1 & 2, Shri P. Pradhan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4. 2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has not sent 'Leave Note'. 3. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 submits that because of adjournment, the appointment of Respondent No.2 is unnecessarily stayed. 4. S.O. to 6th November, 2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 03.11.2023 03.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.376/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. A.S. Kudale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.V. Bhosle, Learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1st December, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 03.11.2023 03.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1321/2023

S.P. Pathak

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Aditi M. Athawale, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Learned CPO for Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 15.12.2023.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 15th December, 2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(**Debashish Chakrabarty**)
Member-A
03.11.2023

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson
03.11.2023

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1260/2023</u></p> <p>P.S. Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, Learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Learned CPO for Respondents. 2. The Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the OA. 3. Permission Granted. Allowed to withdraw the OA. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 03.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 03.11.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1186/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. S.P. Mirgunde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri D. Satale, Learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Learned CPO informs that the copy of the OA is not served.</p> <p>3. The Learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to serve the copy of OA.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24th November, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 03.11.2023 03.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1105/2023</u></p> <p>A. Karwande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Purandare, Learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Learned CPO seeks time to file Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24th November, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 03.11.2023 03.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.607/2023 in O.A.No.1206/2023</p> <p>C.B. Paradke & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. N.W. Sawant holding for Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 01.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.578/2023 in O.A.No.625/2021 with Caveat No.57/2023 in O.A.No.625/2021</p> <p>K.D. Binner & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply in M.A. She has submitted that reply is already filed in O.A.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 06.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.156/2023 in O.A.No.269/2023 with O.A.No.269/2023</p> <p>P.K. BavkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. Rachana Harpale holding for Mr. N.P. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Applicant prays for deemed date of promotion w.e.f. 28.12.2019 and prays for consequential service benefits. Respondent be directed to take decision on the Applicant's representation dated 17.03.2021. 3. Learned Counsel has submitted that according to the Applicant there is no delay as such, as the applicant is in service and seeking promotion and the recommendation is still pending before the Respondent. Further the Applicant has filed M.A. seeking condonation of delay by way of abundant precaution. 4. Learned P.O. submits to the orders of the court. 5. In view of above, even if any delay is there, we condone the delay. M.A. stands disposed of as allowed. 6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.12.2023. 8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 12. Adjourned to 08.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) /(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.607/2022 in O.A.No.465/2020</p> <p>G.Y. DeshpandeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply of Mr. Mundhe, Secretary is already filed.</p> <p>3. The said reply is not traceable in the O.A. <u>Registry to take note of the same.</u></p> <p>4. Adjourned to 01.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.67/2023 in O.A.No.993/2019 with C.A.No.68/2023 in O.A.No.995/2019 with C.A.No.85/2023 in O.A.No.996/2019 with C.A.No.69/2023 in O.A.No.1021/2019 with O.A.No.13/2022</p> <p>V.D. Kalsait & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Kunal Tilak holding for Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants in C.A.No.67/2023 in O.A.No.993/2019 with C.A.No.68/2023 in O.A.No.995/2019 with C.A.No.85/2023 in O.A.No.996/2019 with C.A.No.69/2023 in O.A.No.1021/2019 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. G.L. Dudhe, learned Counsel for the Applicants in O.A.No.13/2022 is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr. Tilak has submitted that though the order passed in O.As has been complied with, in the said compliance order, C.A.No.69/2023 in O.A.No.1021/2019 is not included in the order dated 01.11.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. has submitted that the said order i.e. order dated 01.11.2023 is applicable to C.A.No.69/2023 in O.A.No.1021/2019. Learned P.O. has further submitted that for Pay fixation one month time is required and for further procedure one month's is required. Hence, she prays for two months for compliance of entire procedure.</p> <p>5. Respondent to pass the order with regard to C.A.No.69/2023 in O.A.No.1021/2019 at the earliest. O.A.No.13/2022 is to be de-tagged from the group and adjourned to 15.12.2023.</p> <p>6. C.A.No.67/2023 in O.A.No.993/2019 with C.A.No.68/2023 in O.A.No.995/2019 with C.A.No.85/2023 in O.A.No.996/2019 with C.A.No.69/2023 in O.A.No.1021/2019 stands disposed of.</p> <p>7. O.A.No.13/2022 adjourned to 15.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.93/2023 in O.A.No.1103/2023</p> <p>B.J. GadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Kunal Tilak holding for Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. Applicant has been posted by order dated 27.10.2023. Copy whereof is tendered by learned P.O. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>4. In view of above, C.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.82/2023 in O.A.No.44/2023</p> <p>P.B. SureApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.G. Panchal holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks one week time for compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 24.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.414 of 2023</p> <p>M.R. ThakareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.A. Daga, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents were heard during today's 'Final Hearing (P.H.)'.</p> <p>2. The 'Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune' was present and he submitted relevant information relating to the directions given on 13.10.2023. Learned P.O. thereupon stated that she would file a brief 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in this regard on behalf of the 'Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune'.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he will then file 'Short Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' if necessary and will conclude with his arguments on the next date of 'Final Hearing (P.H.)'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.753 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Bawulgave ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.12.2023 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder and sur-rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1234 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.V. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.11.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.137 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.T. Ghusar Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 27.7.2023 of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.11.2023 for hearing. Part Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1069 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.L. Gohire ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 30.10.2023 of respondents no.1 to 4.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.11.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1070 of 2023

B.S. Sathe ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this matter the applicant working as Awal Karkun, Village Vategaon, Tal. Walva, District Sangli was suspended on 10.7.2023 under contemplation of DE.

3. Ld. PO files reply dated 12.10.2023 of Anant D. Gurav, Tahsildar Revenue, Sangli. Ld. PO submits to the order of the Court.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant relies on the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Ajay Kumar Choudhary Vs. Union of India & Anr. (2015) 7 SCC 291** and submits that since a period of three months is almost over from the date of suspension i.e. 10.7.2023, the case of the applicant may be placed before the committee for review of suspension.

5. In view of the above facts, I pass the following order.

6. Original Application is allowed. Respondents are directed to take review of suspension of the applicant within one month from today and communicate the decision to the applicant within a week thereafter. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
3.11.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.547 of 2023 in O.A. No.1079 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.Y. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 3.11.2023 of respondents no.2 & 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.520 of 2023 in O.A. No.800 of 2023</u></p> <p>The Maharashtra State Food Officers Welfare Association ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is directed to file reply in the MA and OA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.70 of 2023 in O.A. No.123 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.G. Dawarwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant in person is absent.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person is directed to serve copy of OA in the office of Ld. CPO within two weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 3.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 ____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 ____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.466 of 2023 in O.A. No.134 of 2019,
M.A. No.467 of 2023 in O.A. No.1076 of 2019,
O.A. No.1077 of 2019 with
M.A. No.468 of 2023 in O.A. No.1078 of 2019
O.A. No.266 of 2019,
M.A. No.484/2023 in O.A. No.461/2019

V.L. Kardile
T.R. Wagh & Ors.
S.S. Nikam & Ors.
P.K. Jadhav & Ors.
B.F. Gaikwad
W.Z. Pahilwan & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.

3. S.O. to 5.12.2023 for hearing.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
3.11.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>R.A. No.8 of 2023 in O.A. No.462 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.R. Khude & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that OA is decided by judgment and order dated 27.4.2023 passed by Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Hon'ble Member (J) of this Tribunal and since the Hon'ble Member (J) has now retired the present Review Application may be placed before the Hon'ble Chairperson.</p> <p>3. Place the above matter before the Hon'ble Chairperson.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1411 of 2023</u></p> <p>U.J. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1410 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.B. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri R.B. Dhumal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. Advocate submits that subsistence allowance is paid to the tune of 50% and not 75%. Ld. PO to take instructions.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 3.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No:1409 of 2023</u></p> <p>L.R. Chaudhari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.11.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 3.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1284 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.T. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.B. Dhumal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.719 of 2023</p> <p>R.K. SalunkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahaja, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1251 of 2023</p> <p>M.A. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. produces a letter dated 03.11.2023 received from the office of 'Director General of Police - Maharashtra State' addressed to the learned C.P.O. wherein it is stated that the request of the Applicant made through representations on 04.07.2023 & 04.09.2023 will be placed for due consideration before 'P.E.B.-II'. Therefore it can be fairly expected that the 'P.E.B.-II' will take an appropriate decision about the request of the Applicant within 'Three Weeks'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI BENCH

MISC APPLICATION NO 678 OF 2023

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 564 OF 2023

DISTRICT : PUNE

Shri Shivaji D. Shelke

)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

)...**Respondents**

Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)

DATE : **03.11.2023**

ORDER

1. On 1.11.2023, we have passed order. However, we would like to give certain directions to the Chief Secretary, Mr Manoj Saunik. Therefore, the relevant portion of the said order is reproduced for your ready reference:-

“2. In this matter the prayer is made for quashing and setting aside the DE initiated on 15.10.2022 and also directions are sought against the respondents to release all the pensionary benefits of the applicant along with interest. In this matter reply is filed. This MA is moved for grant of interim relief. The matter is admitted and now shown under

the caption of Final Hearing. Ld. Advocate prays that DE be stayed pending O.A. Ld. Advocate submits that the incident for which DE is initiated is of 13.7.2007 to 1.3.2009 and DE is initiated on 15.2.2022. She prays for quashing of the enquiry on account of inordinate delay.

3. We would like to draw the attention of Chief Secretary pursuant to order dated 31.10.2023 passed in O.A 988/2023 about inordinate delay in initiating the DE. In this matter the incident is of 13.7.2002 to 1.3.2009. The applicant retired on 31.5.2022. Enquiry Officer is appointed on 7.11.2022. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that enquiry officer has not done anything till today. On perusal, we find there are 56 charges including corruption, misappropriation and misuse of power.”

2. We direct the Chief Secretary to take immediate necessary steps in the matter of departmental enquiry as it is observed that there is delay on account of less number of Enquiry Officers and secondly Enquiry Officers have not taken steps for months together.

3. We found following broadly reasons for the delay:-

- (i) Decision to initiate the departmental enquiry immediately after the incident, for which time limit is required to be specified.
- (ii) Delay in sanction for filing of charge sheet.
- (iii) Appointment of the Enquiry Officer and Presenting Officer.
- (iv) The entire set of papers must be given to the Delinquent Officer along with the charge sheet. However, it is not given and so time is wasted in collecting the papers.
- (v) Inaction on the part of the Enquiry Officer either because he is overburdened or because of his incompetency in completing the enquiry.

- (vi) Unnecessary more time is given to the Delinquent Officer to file his reply.
- (vii) Delay in conducting the enquiry itself, i.e., examination of witnesses.
- (viii) Though the report is submitted by the Enquiry Officer, the Disciplinary Authority delays his action.
- (ix) Copy of the Enquiry Report is required to be given to the Delinquent Officer.
- (x) Unreasonable time is consumed in sending the papers to the M.P.S.C

4. In the matter in hand, we are informed by both the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned C.P.O that the concerned Enquiry Officer who is appointed on 7.11.2022 could not start the enquiry as he is already having about 130 inquiries. It is informed by the learned counsel for the applicant that necessary papers are not furnished to the Enquiry Officer by the concerned Department. We are also informed that very department is having Panel of Enquiry Officers.

5. We suggest that the concerned Department should add, appoint more number of Enquiry Officers, if at all there are more inquiries to enable all the Enquiry Officers to complete their enquiry within a reasonable time.

6. The Chief Secretary is required to take up this issue with Secretary of all the Departments with regard to the G.Rs and Circulars dated 15.5.1990, 24.2.1997, 7.4.2008, 30.10.2010 and 21.2.2015 regarding expediting the departmental enquiry

7. We also rely on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Prem Nath Bali Vs. Registrar, High Court of Delhi & Anr., decided on 16 December, 2015 in Civil Appeal No. 958 of

2010, wherein specific directions are given to complete the departmental enquiry within a stipulated period of six months and not more than a year. Thus, there is mandate of Supreme Court, G.Rs and Circulars issued by the State of Maharashtra and the Department did not follow these laws, GRs and Circulars.

8. We would like to know the steps taken by the Chief Secretary

9. S.O to 29.11.2023. Shri Joshi, O.S.D (Legal), M.A.T, Mumbai to communicate this order to the office of the Chief Secretary.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai
Date : 03.11.2023
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.50/2023 in O.A.No.709/2022</p> <p>V.J. SonawaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the order of appointment of new enquiry officer issued on 28.04.2023 is going to be withdrawn by the Department. On instructions from Smt. Jyoti C. Punwatkar, Senior Tribal Development Inspector learned P.O. has submitted that within six weeks the said enquiry will be concluded by the earlier Enquiry Officer Mr. J.R. Bankapure, Additional Commissioner (Disciplinary Authority) who had conducted enquiry.</p> <p>3. In this matter the order of this Enquiry Officer Mr. J.R. Bankapure was challenged on the ground that he is more than 70 years old and his appointment was against the G.R. dated 20.06.2022. In O.A. the Applicant (Delinquent Officer) has prayed that the Respondents have committed Contempt by not complying with order dated 23.09.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.709/2022 and was allowed to complete the enquiry within four months from the date of the said order. However, we are of the view that the appointment of Mr. J.R. Bankapure cannot be considered as illegal as there are no other allegations against him. Hence, he was otherwise competent to conduct the enquiry. Learned P.O. has submitted that in view of order dated 03.10.2023 Respondents are going to withdraw the appointment of new Enquiry Officer and are going to complete the D.E. within a period of six weeks.</p> <p>4. We direct the Disciplinary Authority to consider the reply and if it is required also to give audience to the Applicant. Nevertheless the competency of the Disciplinary Authority is not challenged and the D.E. is at the final stage, C.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

03.11.2023

O.A 1163, 1348, 968 and 985/2023

O.B Adake
S.S Kedar
S.N Borse & Ors
A.R Shinde & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O, for the Respondents.
2. Learned counsel submits that all the applicants are selected and recommended in Special Protection Unit on 2.2.2023. Learned counsel further submitted that in O.A 968/2023 except applicant No. 3, Shri P.P Pande, all the applicants have been given posting in Special Protection Unit and they are relieved. Hence, grievance of all the applicants in these Original Applications are redressed.
3. Learned counsel submits that in respect of Shri P.P. Pande, applicant in O.A 968/2023, at present he is denied posting in S.P.U, on the ground that he has not completed 3 years on the said post. Learned counsel relies on the Rules of Posting in Special Protection Unit and G.R dated 16.8.2018 and he submits that the eligibility is only the upper age limit for Police Inspector in S.P.U is 45 years and there is no condition of putting a particular number of years of service in a particular Division.
4. We would like to know whether Special Protection Unit is available in Nagpur Division in view of Rule 8 of the Divisional Cadre Allotment Rules dated 14.7.2021.
5. We direct the Director General of Police, M.S, Mumbai to appoint all the applicants by following the procedure in S.P.U on or before 29.11.2023.
6. With the above directions, the Original Applications stand disposed of.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 820/2023</u></p> <p>S.K Doifode & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Katkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, matter is preponed to 20.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Final Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.11.2023

O.A.No.1159 of 2023

R. K. Kawale

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
2. Not on Board. Mentioned and taken on Board. Suo Moto Speaking to Minutes.
3. O.A.1159/2023 was placed for 'Speaking to Minutes' was allowed on 02.11.2023. After going through the entire order some inconsistency is found, and therefore, for 'Speaking to Minutes' only, the matter is fixed on 06.11.2023.
4. Shri S.T. Bhosale, learned counsel for the Applicant is directed to remain present on 06.11.2023 at 2.30 P.M.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.716/2022 with O.A.No.717/2022 with O.A.No.718/2022 with O.A.No.719/2022 with O.A.No.720/2022 with O.A.No.730/2022</p> <p>H.G. Sapkal & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Kunal Tilak holding for Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that affidavit-in-reply is filed in all the O.As.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 24.11.2023. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.80/2022 in O.A.No.879/2015</p> <p>G.P. WadekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Mr. N.Y. Chavan, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.3. Learned P.O. has submitted that file is pending before the Hon'ble the Chief Minister for approval.4. In view of above, adjourned to 07.11.2023. <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.23/2023 in O.A.No.487/2020</p> <p>Dr. R. RautApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. V. Sangvikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that pursuant to order dated 12.10.2023 passed by this Tribunal in C.A.No.23/2023, the Respondent by order dated 18.10.2023 has complied with the order dated 03.12.2021 passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.487/2020.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel Mr. V. Sangvikar to remain present otherwise C.A. will be disposed of.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 06.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.744/2018</p> <p>A.S. KarkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day sur-rejoinder will be filed. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1083 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. J.B. MokalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Additional Affidavit' on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder', if any on behalf of the Applicant. Time as prayed is granted. 4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 5. S.O. to 24.11.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
--	--------------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.872 of 2023</p> <p>A.A. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that on instruction received from the 'Food & Drugs Administration Department' that the request of the Applicant for appointment to the post of 'Food Safety Officer, Sangli' is under consideration and seeks more time. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 90/2023</u></p> <p><u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>Anil Jamal Pathan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Speaking to the minutes is moved by the applicant seeking correction in the name of the Applicant in the Title Cause of the Original Application.</p> <p>3. The Applicant's name is mentioned as 'ANIL' instead of 'AKIL'. The same should be corrected as 'AKIL' instead of 'ANIL'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, the name of the applicant should read as 'AKIL' instead of 'ANIL'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.901/2023</p> <p>N.J. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Speaking to minutes</p> <p>2. Not on board. Suo moto taken on board.</p> <p>3. In order dated 02.11.2023, (i) in paragraph 3, the text 'in January, 2013' has been wrongly typed. It should be read as '31.05.2014'. (ii) in paragraph 4, at last it following is to be added, 'and to inform the Applicant within a week thereafter.'</p> <p>4. Ordered accordingly.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1081/2017</p> <p>D.B. Ghalpade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of learned Counsel Mr. Potbhare.</p> <p>3. By order dated 25.10.2023, M.A.No.638/2023 was allowed seeking amendment in O.A.to add Co-Applicants from Sr. No.37 to 161 in the O.A.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel Mr. Potbhare has filed pursis dated 03.11.2023 seeks extension of time to carry out amendment in O.A. which was sought by filing M.A.No.638/2023.</p> <p>5. Extension of time is hereby allowed. At the request of learned Counsel O.A. which was fixed on 08.11.2023 is now adjourned to 29.11.2023.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. submits to the orders of the Tribunal.</p> <p>7. In view of above, O.A. adjourned to 29.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gaegil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 361, 362, 505, 506, 108, 109, 468, 469, 569 & 570/2022</u></p> <p>L.K Shinde & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that he is filing copy of Written Notes of Arguments and copy is handed over to the learned C.P.O.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, matter adjourned to 24.11.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>