DATE :

CORAM :

APPEARANCE :

Advocate for the Applicant

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) I<del>lon'ble Shri M. Ramestikumar (Member) A</del>

3bri /Smt. :.... C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Shri/Sunt: A.K. Ray MYChit Ld.C. Po.

At To present MA is disposed.

Tribunal's orders

Date: 03.11.2017.

2

Special Miscellaneous Application No.1 of 2017

N.K. Rajpurohit, learned C.P.O.

1. Heard. Learned Chief Presenting Officer / learned Presenting Officers have prayed for dispensation with the condition of signing.

2. This Tribunal had directed that at the time of filing of affidavit-in-reply, learned C.P.O. / learned P.O.s shall sign a certificate about correctness of the affidavit-in-reply.

3. Grievance of learned C.P.O. / learned P.O.s is that many times affidavits readily drafted are received from the Departments and though unsatisfactory, those have to be signed by learned C.P.O. / learned P.O.s concerned.

4. Therefore, whenever learned C.P.O. / learned P.O.s themselves undertake the job of drafting of affidavit-in-reply by using para-wise remarks or after taking instructions, learned C.P.O. / learned P.O.s shall continue to sign the certificate as already ordered.

5. Whenever the learned C.P.O. / learned P.O.s are required by the Officers or Departments of the Government concerned, to file the affidavit which is prepared by the Department, learned C.P.O. / learned P.O.s are excused from signing the certificate, on the condition that learned C.P.O. / learned P.O.s shall have to endorse at the limit of signing the affidavit, barely for filing purpose.

Sd/-(A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman

6.

prk

Present M.A. is accordingly disposed.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

Original Application No.

IN

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	M A 453/2017 in O A Na 900/00-00	
	M.A.453/2017 in O.A.No. 309/2017	
	The State of Mah. & ors Applicants	
	(Ori. Resps.)	
	Mr Sada a dad in in as	
	(Ori. Applicant)	
	1. Heard Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for	
<b>6</b>	the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Respondent (Ori. Applicant in person).	
	2. Learned P.O. submitted in view of the directions	
	given by this Tribunal in $O_{A,900/2017}$ on 27.09.2017 the	
	non ble chief Minister who is holding the charge of Home	
	Department has taken decision in the appeal and decided	
	to send the file to the M.P.S.C. for consultation and	
	accordingly, the G.A.D. has approved the letter and the same has been forwarded to the M.P.S.C.	
	3. After receiving the opinion from the M.P.S.C,	
	necessary orders will be issued by the Home Department	
	ceaned F.O. has submitted that, for this purpose at least	
	two weeks' time will be required, and therefore, she	
	sought extension of two weeks from today.	
	4. The Respondent (Ori Applicant) has also	
	4. The Respondent (Ori. Applicant) has objected to grant two weeks' time on the ground that the I.P.S.	
	ivilligiduons has to be forwarded to the concerned b b c	
	November, 2017 as the meeting of the DBC	
	as been scheduled on 23.11.2017, and therefore be	
q	prayed to grant short time to the present Applicants.	
5		
ti	nat the Hon'ble Chief Minister who is the in-charge of the	
n i n i n i n i n i n i n i n i n i n i	iome Department has to pass necessary orders on the	
d d	ppear preferred by the Respondent. The decision has	
a	een communicated to the MPSC and their opinion has	
D	een sought. Since the matter is pending with MPSC it is	
ju	ist to grant short time to the present Applicants to issue	
ne	ecessary orders to comply with the order passed by this	
Tr	ibunal on 27.09.2017 in O.A.900/2017, and therefore, it	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

ι.

is necessary to grant extension as prayed by the Applicants.

6. In view of the above said facts, the Misc. Application is allowed and time to implements the order passed by this Tribunal on 27.09.2017 is extended till 10.11.2017.

> (B.P. Patil) Member-J 03.11.2017

3111 DATE :

APPRARANCE:

- Advocate in: the Applicant
- Shri/Smt. :...Respondent In Person C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents Cost. Applicant

0010

M.A. is allowed Adi. Tu.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.No.1095/2016

Mr. B.S. Killedar & Ors. Vs.

vs. The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

... Applicants

1. Heard Mr. G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit of Mr. Vikas S. Kharage, Secretary (Forests), Revenue & Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai as per the directions given by this Tribunal. The same is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that the proposal dated 13.3.2013 sent by Deputy Conservator of Forest, Kolhapur addressed to Chief Conservator of Forest (T), Kolhapur and the another proposal dated 09.01.2017 are pending with the Respondent No.1. She submitted that the Respondent No.1 will take decision on the said proposal within one month, and therefore, she prayed to grant one month's time to take conscious decision on the said proposals.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that he has no objection to grant time to the Respondent No.1 to decide the proposal sent to the concerned authorities. He further submitted that, after the decision on the proposal, the same may be communicated to the Applicant.

5. In view of the submissions made by the learned P.O. and the learned Advocate for the Applicant, three weeks' time is granted to the Respondent No.1 to take decision and to communicate the same to the Applicant and also to submit compliance to the Tribunal on 23.11.2017.

S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> November, 2017.

6.

skw

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil) Member-J 03.11.2017

DAT CORAM: n'ble Shri. RAJTV AGARWAL. (Vice . Chairman) ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J APPEARANCE Bandwood G.A. Advocate for the Applicant -Shirt/Shit. : KiS-G

-C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondent 64 R.NO.L. 5.0. to 2.3

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribumal's orders <u>O.A.No.650/2017</u>		
	Mr. M.G. Sawant Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors Respondents		
	1. Heard Mr. D.H. Pawar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. Learned P.O. has submitted a communication dated 23.10.2017 by which notice has been issued to the Applicant to file his reply to the report of the Enquiry Officer. The same is taken on record.		
DATE: 3/11/19	3. Learned P.O. submits that the Government will take decision in the enquiry against the Applicant on receiving the reply of the Applicant. Therefore, she sought time.		
How'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) How'ble Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member) J APTHARANCE: B. P. Poetil Sort/Jam :D. H. Poesson	4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the Applicant has not received the communication dated 23.10.2017 till today along with the report, and therefore, he has also prayed to grant time. Time granted till 30.11.2017.		
Shri/Smt. :	5. S.O. to 30 <sup>th</sup> November, 2017.		
Adj. To 5.0: to 30/11/17.	(B.P. <del>Patil)</del> Member-J 03.11.2017		
	skw		

(O.C.P.) J 2200(B) (60,000--2-2015)

#### (Spl. MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

#### of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribom ?'s orders <u>O.A.No.674/2017</u>		
	Mr. P.B. Nazirkar Vs. The State of Mah. & ors.	Applicant Respondents	
	1. Heard Mr. A.A. Aghav, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. K.B. Bhise, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
DALE: BILLING		District Collector, Pune and dent No.1 is taken on record.	
How "the Shri RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) How "the Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)	3. S.O. to 17 <sup>th</sup> Novemb	er, 2017 for further orders.	
Advient in the Applicant Striftent B: BLISE		Sd/- (B.P. Patil) Member-J 03.11.2017	
C.P.O. (F.O. For the Respondents Afficient and scopy filed by R. NO. 1. S. O. +O 17/11/17 for freether orders.	skw		
former o aders.	• • •		

(Advocate ......)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

..... Applicant/s

.....) (Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.11.2017.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

O.A.No.592 of 2017

**U.R. Bhosale** 

Versus

4.

prk

He

.....Respondents.

....Applicant.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant states that applicant would co-operate with the departmental enquiry, even if the departmental enquiry is conducted on day to day basis.

Learned P.O. for the Respondents is called to state as to 3. whether departmental enquiry can be conducted on day to day basis.

For making statement, adjourned to 07.11.2017.

Sd/-(A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman

3/11/20 DATE :\_\_

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

AFPEARANCE : Shri/Sent: C.T. Chandrate

Advocate for the Applicant Shri Smt : K.B. Bhi C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Heard. statement to for makin adjoymed to 2/11/2017.

..... Respondent/s

#### (Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

311120

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

Shri /Smr : S. P. Mancheran

Adv. Mayur Jadhav for R.G.

Stero Copy and Hamdage

is allowed to both parties.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

shri/sou Heard Appl. in 10300

DATE :

-CORAM :

APPEARANCE:

Advacate for the Applicant

#### Tribunal's orders

#### Date: 03.11.2017.

#### O.A.No.882 of 2017

D.C. Patil Versus

. .

....Applicant.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .....Respondents.

1. Heard Applicant in person, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Mayur Jadhav, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.6.

2. If Applicant wants, he shall submit, come with fresh separate application for each of his prayers.

3. In case applicant comes with such three or more such applications response from the competent authority would be sought.

4. S.O. to 06.11.2017.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman

prk

[PTO]

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH

# **CONTEMPT APPLICATION NO. 60 OF 2017**

IN

# **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 303 OF 2017**

### **DISTRICT : NAVI MUMBAI**

Smt Preeti Harsh Wigh,)R/at 102, Vikas C.H.S Ltd, Plot No. 92,)Sector-17, Koparkhairne,)Navi Mumbai. 400 709.)...Applicant

#### Versus

1.	Shri Pradip Kumar Vyas, Principal Secretary, Public Health Dept.	
	Having office at G.T Hospital,	)
	Mini Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 001.	)
2	Shri R.D Deokar,	)
<i>4</i>	Commissioner, ESIS, having office at	)
	Panchdeep Bhavan, 6 <sup>th</sup> floor,	)
	N.M. Lochi Marg Lower Parel.	)
	Mumbai 400 013.	)Respondents

Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)

 RESERVED ON
 : 02.11.2017

 PRONOUNCED ON
 : 03.11.2017

#### ORDER

1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant has moved this application for contempt. According to the Applicant order passed in O.A no 303/2017 dated 28.8.2017 has not been complied with.

3. As per the operative order, the order was to be complied within six weeks from the date of the order. The period of four weeks came to an end on or around 14.10.2017.

4. The applicant has furnished to the officers concerned intimation of order by representations on 7.9.2017, 8.9.2017 and 18.10.2017. The applicant has furnished personal notice to the Contemnors on 28.10.2017, i.e. 4 days before filing present case for action for contempt.

5. It is not shown that personal notice is served. It is also not shown that Contemnor is given four weeks' notice for the compliance.

5. In this background, as per the view taken by this Tribunal dated 30.8.2016 in Misc Application No. 324/2016 in C.A 8/2015 in O.A 1038/2013, (Shri D.R Bhamre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors ) in absence of giving four weeks' time, Contempt Application shall not be entertained.

6. Hence, application is disposed of with liberty to wait for four weeks' time from the date of service of notice and if order remains to be complied with, to file an application for contempt.

Place : Mumbai Date : 03.11.2017 Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

H:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2017\Nov 2017\C.A 60.17 in O.A 303.17, 2.11.17, Disposed.doc