

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.634 of 2024

M. S. NagarkarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any.
3. 'Interim Relief', if any to continue till next date.
4. S.O. to 19.11.2024.

Sd/-

**(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1245 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.R. Deshmukh & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks leave to amend the OA. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all respondents.</p> <p>3. This OA relates to Limited Departmental Competitive Examination 2023 for the post of PSI. All these applicants are age barred as they have crossed the age of 35 and 40. All the applicants have cleared Preliminary Examination and date for filling up the form is only till 7.10.2024. Hence this matter is to be heard and decided urgently.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO on instructions informs that examination will be scheduled on 29.12.2024 and therefore this matter can be heard later after submission of the affidavit in reply by the respondents.</p> <p>5. We direct the respondents to file reply till 10.10.2024 and can be served on the Ld. Advocate.</p> <p>6. To be heard along with MA No.593/2024 in OA No.1232/2023 and OA No.1160/2024 on 14.10.2024.</p> <p>7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.10.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
3.10.2024
(sgj)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
3.10.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1234 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.D. Ade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri Tushar Rathod h/f Shri Abhay Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant has applied in response to the advertisement dated 3.11.2023 issued by the Water Resources Department, Government of Maharashtra for the post of Tracer and he holds the qualification of 2 Years Diploma in Draughtman Civil from Maharashtra State Board of Vocational Examinations. The applicant has secured 130 marks and he is 6th topper in Open category. However, as per advertisement the candidates must have passed the course of Draftsman Civil conducted by Industrial Technical Institutes of Government. Ld. Advocate for the applicant refers to Sr. No.4 of Appendix-A to GR dated 28.9.2012 for equivalent qualification wherein Certificate Course in Architect Draftsman or Certificate Course in Draftsman Civil is prescribed for Draftsman Civil. He refers to para 10 of the judgment and order dated 6.12.2019 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in CA No.9140/2019 Rajesh Kumar Dwivedi Vs. State of U.P. & Anr. which reads as under:</p> <p align="center"><i>"10. Once the educational qualification has been treated to be equivalent by the State Government in the Circulars issued earlier, the stand of the State that appellant is not qualified has no legs to stand. The State itself has treated qualification of basic course in Mechanical Trade Fitter, General Module and Metrology and Engineering Inspector Module equivalent to conventional pattern of Craftsmen Training Scheme. Thus, we find that the stand of the State that the appellant was not possessing educational qualification cannot be sustained. The candidature of the appellant was not valid rejected."</i></p> <p>3. Ld. PO refers to Recruitment Rules of 2.4.2016 and relies on Rule 5 (c) which reads as under:</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

"5. Appointment to the post of Tracer shall be made by nomination from amongst the following candidates who,-

(c) have passed the course of Draftsman Civil conducted by Industrial Technical Institutes of Government or Art Teacher Diploma in Art or Drawing School, recognized by the Government."

4. Ld. PO relying on the above rules states that there is no deviation from the rules and the candidature of the applicant was rightly rejected. She relies on the judgment and order dated 5.8.2024 passed in OA No.954/2024 Vishal B. Zite Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. and states that in the present case there is no equivalence for Government recognized School, Colleges or Institution and so the applicant who has secured Diploma in Draftsman Civil from private institute cannot be considered eligible.

5. Ld. Advocate for the applicant relies on the order dated 9.8.2024 in OA No.693/2024, order dated 12.8.2024 in OA No.699/2024 & order dated 13.8.2024 in OA No.706/2024 passed by the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal and order dated 21.8.2024 in OA No.885/2024 passed by the Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal. Ld. Advocate for the applicant points Note 2) of the certificate issued by the Maharashtra State Board of Vocational Examinations which reads as under:

"2) Government of Maharashtra vide GR No.VOC-2012/519/ch. No.245(B)/Vyashi-4 dated 28 Sept 2012 has sanction to the above said course as "Alternate Qualification" to ITI Course 1) Draughtman Civil."

6. However, the Recruitment Rules of 2016 is not modified and not mentioned about the certificate course of Draftsman Civil if passed out from any other Government recognized institute. Prima facie there is discrepancy in the certificate and the rules and therefore we would like to call Joint Secretary or Deputy Secretary, Water Resources Department to remain present tomorrow to answer our query.

7. One post of Tracer is to be kept vacant from Nashik, if post is vacant as of today.

8. S.O. to 4.10.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
3.10.2024

(sgj)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
3.10.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1230 of 2024</u></p> <p>Chand F. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri O.A. Wable with Shri Abhijeet Khade, learned Advocates for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant who has applied for the post of Police Constable, Thane Rural prays for directions to respondent no.2 to verify the caste certificates submitted by all the candidates of EWS category and especially respondents no.3 to 6 and to disqualify them and set aside their selection. He also prays for directions to include the name of the applicant in EWS category. The applicant belongs to EWS category. However, respondents no.3, 5 & 6 belongs to VJ-A (NT) and respondent no.4 belongs to OBC category.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO on instructions submits that the EWS certificates of all the candidates are sent for verification to the concerned Tahsildar.</p> <p>4. The report be submitted of the concerned authority on 9.10.2024.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.10.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
3.10.2024

(sgj)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
3.10.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.801 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Karvekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders a copy of order dated 2.10.2024 issued by respondent no.2 promoting applicant to the post of Police Inspector. He submits that as the grievance of the applicant is redressed, the above matter has become infructuous and the same may be disposed off.</p> <p>3. In view of the above as the OA has become the same is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.10.2024 3.10.2024 (sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1232 of 20

S.P. Warhadi ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.11.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
3.10.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
3.10.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.582 of 2024 in O.A. No.1245 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.R. Deshmukh & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.10.2024 3.10.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1160 of 2024</u></p> <p>N.S. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri A.S. Ovhal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2024 under the caption of Urgent Admission. To be heard with OA No.1245/2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.10.2024 3.10.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.593 of 2024 in O.A. No.1232 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.K. Chaudhari & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 14.10.2024. To be heard along with OA No.1245/2024.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.10.2024 (sgj)</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.10.2024</td></tr></table>	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.10.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.10.2024
Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.10.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.10.2024		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.591 of 2024 in O.A. No.1264 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.A. Shelke & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. Though some of the applicants are not within the territorial jurisdiction of the Bench however in the interest of justice they are allowed to sue jointly. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.10.2024 3.10.2024 (sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1264 of 2024

M.A. Shelke & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.

2. The applicants are aspiring to be Krisha Sevak against the posts reserved for Ex-servicemen as there are no Ex-serviceman available. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that there are 242 posts which are vacant from different divisions reserved for Ex-servicemen and therefore it is prayed that those posts be taken out from that category and applicants be given appointment.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under the caption of Urgent Admission.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
3.10.2024
(sgj)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
3.10.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.439 of 2024 with O.A.No.441 of 2024</p> <p>M. V. Chaudhari S. S. NadalApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants has submitted that order dated 28.08.2024 is not complied with. 3. Learned PO has submitted that order dated 28.08.2024 is taken into consideration by PEB. The Applicant's prayer is refused. The details of meeting of the said decision is not brought on record. He has also sought one week time to file reply so as to proceed further. 4. One week time is granted for filing reply and latest decision of the PEB. 5. S.O. to 10.10.2024. <p align="center">Sd/- (Ashutosh N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.550 of 2024

S. N. Trimukhe & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has submitted that Applicant No.1 – Smt. Survarna was in the waiting list and she had applied for substituting her son in her place. It is submitted that prayer of Applicant for substitution is rejected as there is no provision for changing the name in the waiting list. Learned Advocate for Applicants seeks leave to amend the OA so as to challenge the said order dated 06.03.2024. The copy of order dated 06.03.2024 is made available by learned Advocate. It is taken on record.

3. Learned PO has no objection for amendment in OA.

4. Considering the submission made by learned Advocate and subsequent event, leave to amend as prayed for is granted.

5. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all the Respondents.

6. S.O. to 17.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.1005 of 2021

S. G. Parab

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Today, learned PO has filed Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 10.10.2024 as Part Heard.

Sd/-

**(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.539 of 2024

S. G. H. AtarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 24.10.2024.

Sd/-

**(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.304 of 2024</p> <p>S. R. Gawale & OthersApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 5. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.11.2024 for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- 1 31 (Ashutosh N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.390 of 2024

V. R. BhosaleApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. 'Interim Relief' if any to continue till next date.
3. S.O. to 24.10.2024.

Sd/-

**(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.559 of 2024

V. P. DabhadeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.
3. Learned PO submits that inadvertently 'Annexures' were not made available along with Affidavit in Reply filed earlier.
4. The liberty is granted to learned PO to file 'Annexures' on next date.
5. S.O. to 12.11.2024.

^
Sd/-

**(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.588 of 2024

A. R. Shinde

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder.
3. S.O. to 24.10.2024.

Sd/-

**(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.644 of 2024

S. A. ChouguleApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned PO submits that reply is ready but affirmation is remaining, therefore, seeks short time to file the same.
3. S.O. to 17.10.2024.

Sd/-

**(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.677 of 2024

G. D. Pille

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Tanmay Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The Original Application is filed in the month of June, 2024.
3. Learned PO to file reply positively on next date.
4. S.O. to 14.11.2024.

Sd/-

(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.723 of 2024

R. M. ShindeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any.

3. S.O. to 24.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.1255 of 2024

B. S. Jagtap

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.11.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 12.11.2024

Sd/-

(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT.

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

M. A. No.622 of 2023 in O.A.No.984 of 2023

A. A. SasaneApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent.
Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for
the Respondents is present.

2. The parties to argue on M.A.622/2023 on next
date failing to which necessary order will be
passed on merit.

3. S.O. to 13.11.2024.

Sd/-

(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

M. A. No.336 of 2024 in O.A.No.722 of 2024

S. B. KambleApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 17.10.2024.

Sd/-

**(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

M. A. No.515 of 2024 in O.A.No.816 of 2024

T. G. KandeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 17.10.2024.

Sd/-

**(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.1407 of 2023

Dr. L. G. BhalshankarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned PO submits that representation is forwarded to concerned Minister and seeks time to get necessary information.
3. S.O. to 20.11.2024.

Sd/-

**(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.881 of 2023 with O.A. No.867 of 2023 with O.A. No.931 of 2023 with O.A. No. 941 of 2023 with O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.1017 of 2023 with O.A.No.1113 of 2023 with M.A. 71/2024</p> <p>Dr. S.M. Borate Dr. B.S. Nagargoje Dr. N.V. Suryawanshi S.D.Turbadkar Dr. V.K. Ambore Dr. D.R. Katke Dr. S.A. RokadeApplicants</p> <p>Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri K.R, Jagdale, learned Advocates for Applicants, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate for Respondents. Shri P.S. Nagargoje, learned Advocate on behalf of Applicant absent.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply in O.A.No.881/2023, O.A.885/2023 and O.A.1113/2023. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Interim Relief, if any to be continued till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.10.2024.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>Sd/- (Ashutosh N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.50 of 2024</p> <p>Maharashtra Rajya Police Patil Mahasangh & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. Learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply by way of last chance. 3. S.O. to 23.10.2024. <p>Sd/-  (Ashutosh N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.273 of 2024

K. G. DhapateApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned PO submits that the concerned department has made available rough draft so as to file reply but it was inappropriate. Therefore, she seeks time to file the same.
3. Perused the earlier order dated 21.08.2024. In order to give last opportunity, it be kept after two weeks, no further time will be granted.
4. S.O. to 17.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.1067 of 2024

M. N. PandeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned Advocate for Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 13.11.2024.

Sd/-

(Ashutosh N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1312 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. R. S. KelkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Sur-Sur-Rejoinder was given to concerned PO, but inadvertently original copy of the same could not be produced before the Tribunal. Today, learned Advocate has produced the original copy of Sur-Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Po to note.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Ashutosh N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.1261/2024

I.D. Shelkande .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Mr. A.R. Kapadnis, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. D.B. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.

2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 25.09.2024 issued by the Respondent No.1. Further Respondents be directed to continue the applicant on her present posting i.e. post of Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Satara. Further impugned communication dated 27.09.2024 issued by Respondent No.1 be quashed and set aside.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.10.2024.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 04.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1260/2024</p> <p>A.K. Waghmale .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. A.R. Kapadnis, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 25.09.2024 issued by the Respondent No.1. Further Respondents be directed to continue the applicant on her present posting i.e. post of Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Satara. Further impugned communication dated 27.09.2024 issued by Respondent No.1 be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant challenges the impugned transfer order dated 25.09.2024 thereby transferring him from the post of Z.P. Satara to the post of Block Development Officer, South Solapur on the ground that Applicant has two daughters, 14 years and 10 years old. They are taking education. She cannot keep her daughters away from her.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. to answer the query whether the post of Deputy Chief Officer (Village Panchayat) is directly connected with Elections ? Learned P.O. has submitted that the Collector has given report about the transfer of this applicant, but the said report is not available today for perusal.</p> <p>5. It appears that the applicant has genuine difficulty about her school going daughters. It will be difficult for her if she is transferred from Solapur to Satara in the month of October. This is definitely going to disturb the education of her daughters, so also considering their age it is not possible for her to keep them without her, so also the query made by the Tribunal is not answered. Hence, prima facie, case is made out by the applicant. Applicant is allowed to continue on her post at Satara till next date.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. is directed to file affidavit-in-reply.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

14. Adjourned to 10.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. I am of the view that the decision of not excluding the names of the present applicants from the D.P.C. meeting is, prima facie, violation of Clause 5 of G.R. dated 12.09.2016. Hence, status quo is to be maintained as on today.

6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.11.2024.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

13. Adjourned to 11.11.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.1103/2024

H.B. Viapute .Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar with Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondent no.2 are present.

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that applicant has filed representation dated 30.09.2024 and the same is to be considered by Respondent No.1.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that affidavit-in-reply is filed.

4. In the meantime, Respondents may consider the representation of the applicant.

5. Adjourned to 17.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.1260/2024

A.K. Waghmale .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Mr. A.R. Kapadnis, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.

2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 25.09.2024 issued by the Respondent No.1. Further Respondents be directed to continue the applicant on her present posting i.e. post of Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Satara. Further impugned communication dated 27.09.2024 issued by Respondent No.1 be quashed and set aside.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.10.2024.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 04.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2024

M.A.No.580/2024 in O.A.No.1243/2024

K.V. Londhe & Ors. Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. M.A.No.580/2024 is filed seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A.No.580/2024 is allowed. O.A.No.1243 / 2024 adjourned to 11.11.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.1207/2024

A.U. Gawade Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Mr. Prashant R. Suryawanshi holding for Mr. G.M. Savagave, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 15.10.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2024

O.A.No.1184/2024

Dr. S.D. Sadavarte .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. In view that till today there is no order from the State it is difficult to accept the in action on the part of the State and now it is informed orally by learned P.O. that the Applicant is given choice posting of his Option No.4 at Wani which is 60 kms. away from Nashik and again a Tribal Area. I failed to understand why the State is showing insensitive and cruel approval towards disabled persons. Hence, I pass the following order:

(a) The Applicant who is working as Medical Superintendent, Ghoti, Nashik is to be retained on the same post and same place till further orders.

(b) Applicant is directed to start working on the post of Medical Superintendent, Ghoti, Nashik forthwith.

3. Learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply.

4. Adjourned to 28.11.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1237 of 2024</u></p> <p>Smt. Yasgwanti W. Meshram ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Private Respondent No.3 are present.</p> <p>2. Status quo to continue. Ld. PO wants to file reply. Ld. PO is directed to file reply today till 2.30 p.m. Tomorrow reply will be filed by the private respondent no.3 and thereafter the matter is fixed on 9.10.2024.</p> <p>3. Though the applicant is relieved by the transfer order of respondent no.3, she is not transferred and is undergoing compulsory waiting period.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.10.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>