

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 03.10.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.759/2023</b></p> <p>R.N. Kaningdhvaj .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Applicant working as Office Superintendent/ Steward in E.S.I.S. Hospital, Kandivali (East) seeks direction that Respondent No.2 be directed to show the name for the Applicant above Respondent No.3, Private Party, Smt. Megha Mohan Sawardekar in the provisional seniority list dated 31.03.2023 for the post of Office Superintendent/ Steward.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that Respondent No.3 is junior to the Applicant and therefore her date of promotion is to be given as the date of deemed date of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. has produced letter dated 25.09.2023 written by the Under Secretary, State of Maharashtra, Public Health to Commissioner E.S.I.S. informing that the Department of G.A.D. has taken decision to give deemed date as 22.12.2019 for the post of office Superintendent/ Steward to the Applicant which is of Respondent No.3.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the grievance of the Applicant mentioned in Prayer Clause (a) is hereby redressed.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned Counsel has submitted that the applicant has also prayed that his name be considered for further promotion i.e. to the post of Administrative Officer. Learned Counsel has submitted that the name of the Respondent No.3, Private Party is already considered for the promotion to the post of Administrative Officer as per communication dated 27.12.2022.</p> <p>7. We hereby make clear in respect of Prayer Clause (c) where the applicant has prayed for consequential benefits pursuant to the deemed date which he has asked for, the consequential benefits of pay and allowance cannot be awarded because the applicant has not worked on the promotional post of Office Superintendent from the deemed date. The said date can only be considered in order to determine seniority.</p> <p>8. Learned P.O. has pointed out that the name of Respondent No.3 Private Respondent who is junior to the Applicant was considered in the meeting of D.P.C. which was conducted on 19.12.2022. The name of Respondent no.3 was also considered for the promotion. The name of Respondent No.3 is kept in sealed cover as Departmental enquiry is pending against her. However she was in consideration zone as she has put in requisite three years in the feeder cadre Office Superintendent / Steward as she was promoted on 22.11.2019.</p> <p>9. Learned P.O. has submitted that the Applicant was actually promoted to the post of Office Superintendent / Steward on 13.12.2022 and though he is given deemed date as on 22.11.2019, his name could not be considered for want of experience of three years in the feeder cadre.</p> <p>10. In view of these submissions, we direct learned P.O. to file affidavit in respect of prayer clause (b).</p> <p>11. Adjourned to 25.10.2023. To be shown under the caption 'Due Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 03.10.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1078/2016 (O.A.No.443/2014 Nagpur)</b></p> <p>R.D. Wardhane &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondents No.2 &amp; 3 and Mr. Anshuman Deshmukh, learned Counsel holding for Mr. M. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for Respondents No.5, 16.</p> <p>2. In view of pendency of the two interlinked contradictory judgments dated 17.02.2023 and 10.05.2013 passed in O.A.No.912/2015 &amp; O.A.Nos.246, 258, 272 &amp; 292/2012 respectively, which are challenged before the Hon'ble High Court, it is not appropriate to decide the present O.A.</p> <p>3. Hence, O.A. stands adjourned to 31.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

prk

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1222 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.V. Ahankari .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he was transferred from post of Professor, Department of Radiotherapy, Padmabhushan Vasantdada Patil Government Hospital, Sangli to Professor, Department of Radiotherapy, Grant Medical College, Mumbai by 'Transfer Order' dated 10.08.2023 of Medical Education and Drugs Department. Subsequently the Applicant came to be relieved on the 10.08.2023 to join on the post of Professor Department of Radiotherapy, Grant Medical College, Mumbai and the Applicant accordingly reported to 'Dean, Grant Medical College, Mumbai' on 18.10.2023 to join on the post of Professor Departments of Radiotherapy, Grant Medical College, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant then stated that the post of Professor Department of Radiotherapy, Grant Medical College, Mumbai is not a 'Sanctioned Post' as per contents of letter dated 25.08.2023 addressed by 'Dean, Grant Medical College, Mumbai' to 'the Commissioner (Medical Education), Mumbai'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also stated that because the Applicant could not join as Professor Department of Radiotherapy, Grant Medical College, Mumbai; the Applicant has subsequently on 28.08.2023 submitted representation to Medical Education and</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Drugs Department to consider his request to be posted back as Professor, Department of Radiotherapy in Padmabhushan Vasantdada Patil Government Hospital, Sangli.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that as per information available with him nobody has yet joined in place of the Applicant on the post of Professor, Department of Radiotherapy, Padmabhushan Vasantdada Patil Government Hospital, Sangli.</p> <p>6. The contents of the letter dated 25.08.2023 addressed by Dean, Grant Medical College, Mumbai to Commissioner (Medical Education), Mumbai states that there is no Sanctioned Post of Professor Department of Radiotherapy in Grant Medical College, Mumbai. In the facts and circumstances of the case, Medical Education and Drugs Department may decide whether to modify the Transfer Order of the Applicant dated 10.08.2023 and post him as Professor, Department of Radiotherapy in Albess or Cama Hospital, Mumbai or to consider his representation in due course of time, during the proposed Compressive &amp; Full Review of all Transfer Orders of Professors, Associate Professor and Assistant Professors.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. accordingly seeks time to obtain information in this regard from Medical Education and Drugs Department.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 13.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 03.10.2023
	<p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1245 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>Dr. V.B. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant was working on the post of Assistant Professor, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Government Medical College, Miraj. He came to be transferred on 30.06.2023 to the post of Assistant Professor, Obstetrics and Gynecology, B.J. Government Medical College, Pune.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he has already joined on the post of Assistant Professor, Obstetrics and Gynecology, B.J. Government Medical College, Pune on 18.08.2023. Thereafter, the Applicant proceeded on leave and submitted representation to cancel his transfer to the post of Assistant Professor, Obstetrics and Gynecology, B.J. Government Medical College, Pune and to post him back as Assistant Professor, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Government Medical College, Miraj.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. states that 'Affidavit-in-Reply' of Medical Education &amp; Drugs Department in O.A. No.880/2023 &amp; Ors. has been filed on 25.09.2023 wherein it is mentioned that they intend to undertake 'Comprehensive &amp; Full Review' of all the 'Transfer Orders' issued for Professor, Associate Professor &amp; Assistant Professors. Therefore, it can be expected that appropriate decision will also be taken in respect of the Applicant's representation to cancel of his 'Transfer Order' of 30.06.2023 to the post of Assistant Professor, Obstetrics and Gynecology, B.J. Government Medical College, Pune.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned P.O. states that no instructions have been received and so seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply.'</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Interim Relief' with directions to allow the Applicant in the meanwhile to join back as Assistant Professor, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Government Medical College, Miraj. However as the Applicant has already joined as Assistant Professor, Obstetrics and Gynecology, B.J. Government Medical College, Pune on 18.08.2023 and then proceeded on leave, he may choose to be on extended leave or to resume work as Assistant Professor, Obstetrics and Gynecology, B.J. Government Medical College, Pune.</p> <p>7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.10.2023.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 25.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

Applicant/s

Respondent/s

Between

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer

Office: State Office, Maharashtra of Common  
Law, Mumbai's orders on  
the writ and Register, Mumbai

Date : 03.10.2023

M. A. No.608 of 2023 in O.A.No.1210 of 2023

Y. A. Nandre & Ors.

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. B. Solanke, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.
3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.
4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.
5. M. A. No.608 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-  
( Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

vsm



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2023

O.A.No.1210 of 2023

Y. A. Nandre & Ors.

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. B. Solanke, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt.Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.10.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 25.10.2023.

Sd/-  
( Medha Gadgil )  
Member(A)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....) )

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.10.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 594/2023 in O.A 574/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Sanket I. Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that this matter is regarding recruitment and hence be kept on 16.10.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O to 16.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>03.10.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 416/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.D Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard. Shri B.R Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 3 and Shri Aditya Kharkar i/b Dr Uday Warunjikar, learned P.O for the Respondents No 4 &amp; 5. Shri D.D Kamble, applicant is present.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to call the record and proceedings of the impugned order dated 2<sup>nd</sup> July 2020 and 18<sup>th</sup> March 2020 passed by Respondents No 3 &amp; 5 and after going through its legality, validity and propriety, quash and set aside the incorrect pay fixation order and further be pleased to direct the Respondents to disburse the service benefits and pensionary benefits to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O produces copy of letter dated 4.9.2023, written by Under Secretary, Public Health Department, addressed to the Director, Accounts and Treasury Office, Mumbai. The said letter is taken on record and marked as Exh. I.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, the Respondents No 4 &amp; 5 are directed to take action in accordance with the letter dated 4.9.2023 within a period of six weeks from today.</p> <p>5. Original Application stands disposed of with above directions.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">L 119</span> (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>03.10.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 386/2022 with O.A 552/2023</u></b></p> <p>Smt R.P Satpute A.P Shete ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 386/2022, Shri M.B Kadam, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 552/2023 and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Smt Mahajan, submits that she be allowed to file appearance in O.A 552/2023 on behalf of Respondent No. 4. Permission granted.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that she has filed detailed affidavit in reply in OA 552/2023.</p> <p>4. S.O to 17.10.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>03.10.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 35/2023 in O.A 1071/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri C.N Gawande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By order dated 8.2.2023, the Respondents were directed to take decision within six weeks as regards the final decision in the D.E against the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that approval from M.P.S.C is received and final order is passed by the Respondents and the Disciplinary Authority has taken final decision on 3.10.2023.</p> <p>4. As the order of the Tribunal is complied with, C.A stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>03.10.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 67/2023 in O.A 993/2019 with C.A 68/2023 in O.A 995/2019 with C.A 85/2023 in O.A 996/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.D Kalsait S.Y Raypure S.P Tadvalekar                             ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors     ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Shrutika Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seek one weeks' time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.10.2023. C.A 69/2023 in O.A 1021/2019 with O.A 13/2022 be tagged with the present Contempt Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(<b>Medha Gadgil</b>) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- √ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>03.10.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 872/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.J Gorve ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to reconstruct the file. Permission is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O to 31.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>03.10.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 570/2023</u></b></p> <p>Dr N.H Shimpi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>03.10.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 618/2023 in O.A 669/2023</u></b></p> <p>The Additional Director General of Police &amp; Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>Shri S.V. Dudhbhate &amp; Ors ... Respondents (Ori Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Applicants (Ori Respondents). None present for the Respondents (Ori Applicants).</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed seeking four months' time for implementation of the order of this Tribunal dated 28.8.2023.</p> <p>3. However, it is not acceptable. We grant two months' time to the Respondents to comply with the order of this Tribunal dated 28.8.2023.</p> <p>4. Misc Application stands disposed of accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)   (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A)   Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>03.10.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1071/2023</u></b></p> <p>S.H Bendkule &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that Respondent No. 4, Shri Anish S. Bhoite, who is at Sr. No 834 in the revised recommendation list dated 4.8.2023. However, his address is not available.</p> <p>3. M.P.S.C or Respondent is directed to give address of Shri Anish A. Bhoite, Respondent no. 4 to the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O to 25.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>03.10.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 89/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.P Kolte ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondent Nos 1 &amp; 2 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.10.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>03.10.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1112/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.S Borude &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any. Learned counsel for the applicant to remain present as interim order is passed and the selection process is stayed.</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>03.10.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 459/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.U Harpalkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.10.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 03.10.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.466/2018</b></p> <p>M.R. Tambe &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We call upon learned Counsel for the Applicants to produce additional affidavit filed on behalf of the Appellants as this applicant was one of the Appellants in Writ Petition No.5349/2006, S.M. Sohoni &amp; Ors. Versus State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. We want to go through paragraphs 6 and 7 of the Additional affidavit of the Appellants as the Hon'ble Supreme Court while disposing off the said Civil Appeal has relied on the said paragraphs and so also in paragraph No.3, while disposing the matter weightage was given to the submission of learned Counsel for the Appellants and also in view of submissions of the Appellants about the status of the appellants in the service that most of the Appellants have retired from the service or expired or not attending service or rest of the appellants are going to retire from service soon.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.10.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>03.10.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 682/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.D Rajput ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O to 31.10.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>03.10.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>C.A 50/2023 in O.A 709/2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.J Sonawane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that while passing order dated 21.9.2023 this Tribunal has directed the Respondents that the Enquiry Officer should not proceed with the enquiry. However, that portion is not typed though it was orally dictated.</p> <p>3. We are of the view that the submissions of the learned counsel are correct and by way of Para No. 7 in the order dated 21.9.2023, we state that the Respondents not to proceed with the departmental enquiry.</p> <p>4. S.O 6.10.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> </div> </div>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>03.10.2023</b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 46/2022 in O.A 72/2022 with C.A 47/2022 in O.A 73/2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>Speaking to the Minutes</u></b></p> <p>V.H Patil R.H Patil ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Application seeking speaking to the minutes is moved by the learned P.O. for correction in paras 3 &amp; 4 of the order dated 22.9.2023.</p> <p>3. Paras 3 &amp; 4 of the order dated 22.9.2023 reads as below:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“3. Learned advocate for the applicants accepts that the decision has been communicated to the applicants. He submits that Govt. has taken decision to give retirement benefits to 1 out of 13 and rest of the applicants are held eligible for the same. He prays that retirement benefits should be released to all the applicants.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">4. We direct the Government to release the retirement benefits to all the applicants within six weeks from today. Both the C.A are disposed of with the above directions.”</p> <p>4. The paragraphs 3 &amp; 4 should read as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“3. Learned advocate for the applicants accepts that the decision has been communicated to the applicants. He submits that Govt. has taken decision to give retirement benefits to 1 out of 13 and rest of the applicants are held eligible for the same. He prays that retirement benefits should be released <u>‘to eligible’</u> applicants.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">4. We direct the Government to release the retirement benefits <u>‘to eligible’</u> applicants within six weeks from today. Both the C.A are disposed of with the above directions</p> <p>5. Ordered accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2023

O.A.No.1234 of 2023

K. V. Chavan

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.10.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 17.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2023

O.A.No.1228 of 2023

J. S. Nimbai

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.10.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement to be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 17.10.2023.

Sd/-

( Medha Gadgil )  
Member(A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2023

O.A.No.1227 of 2023

S. S. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.10.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 17.10.2023.

Sd/-

( Medha Gadgil )  
Member(A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_ Date of Filing \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s \_\_\_\_\_

Advocate \_\_\_\_\_

*vs*

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s \_\_\_\_\_

Presenting Officer \_\_\_\_\_

Only Notes, Office Memoranda of Order, Assurances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

**Date : 03.10.2023**

**M. A. No.281 of 2023 in O.A.No.176 of 2021**

**S. C. Jadhav**

**....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**...Respondents.**

1. Heard Ms Bhagyshri Ranade holding for Shri S. S. Deokar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 20.10.2023.

Sd/-

( Medha Gadgil )  
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant/s

(Administrative Tribunal Case No. \_\_\_\_\_)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer: \_\_\_\_\_

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Communication, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

**Date : 03.10.2023**

**O.A.No.1124 of 2023**

**A. H. Ghadge ...Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri S. Kasture, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks' time is granted to file reply.
3. S.O. to 31.10.2023.

Sd/-

**( Medha Gadgil )  
Member(A)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant/s

**( Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)**

Advocate \_\_\_\_\_

vsm

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer \_\_\_\_\_

Office Sates: Office Memoranda of Demand,  
Minor taxes, Tribunal's orders or  
any other orders/Regulations order.

Tribunal No. \_\_\_\_\_

**Date : 03.10.2023**

**O.A.No.1077 of 2023**

**S. T. Deshmukh**

**....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted.
3. S.O. to 19.10.2023.

Sd/-

**( Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

Adversely affected by (Name of the person)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer (Name of the person)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda or Claims, Announcements, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's Order

**Date : 03.10.2023**

**O.A.No.1000 of 2023**

**D. M. Pujari ....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted.

3. S.O. to 13.10.2023.

Sd/-

**( Medha Gadgil )  
Member(A)**

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

Advocate \_\_\_\_\_

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer \_\_\_\_\_

Office notes, Office Memoranda of Council,  
Agencies, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 03.10.2023

O.A.No.620 of 2023  
with  
O.A. No.621 of 2023

D. B. Patil  
S. B. Balwant

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply in both the Original Applications. It is taken on record.
3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit in Rejoinder.
4. S.O. to 20.10.2023.

Sd/-  
( Medha Gadgil )  
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant/s

Subject: \_\_\_\_\_

versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer: \_\_\_\_\_

Office Notes, Office Memoranda or Common  
Announcements, Tribunal's orders or  
Commissioner and Registrar's orders

Tribunal File No. \_\_\_\_\_

**Date : 03.10.2023**

**O. A. No.842 of 2023**

**M. M. A. Royali**

**....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.
3. S.O. to 24.10.2023.

Sd/-

**( Medha Gadgil )  
Member(A)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant/s

Administrative action taken against \_\_\_\_\_

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer \_\_\_\_\_

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Council,  
Commissioner's orders or  
Registrar's orders

**Date : 03.10.2023**

Tribunal Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

**O.A.No.797 of 2023**

**R. M. Kamble**

**...Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply.
3. S.O. to 12.10.2023.

Sd/-

( Medha Gadgil )  
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_ Dated \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

Advocate for Applicant/s \_\_\_\_\_

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer \_\_\_\_\_

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Government, Tribunal's orders or other orders and Registrar's orders

\_\_\_\_\_

**Date : 03.10.2023**

**O.A.No.602 of 2023**

**S. S. Valmiki-Chadudhary ....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 20.10.2023.

Sd/-  
**( Medha Gadgil )**  
**Member(A)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ by Applicant/s

Advocate for Applicant/s \_\_\_\_\_

Respondent/s

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer \_\_\_\_\_

Office Note, Office Memoranda of various  
Departments, Tribunal's orders or  
Members and Registrar's orders.

Date : 03.10.2023

O.A.No.778 of 2020

R. V. Kale ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. G. Taihar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.
3. S.O. to 19.10.2023.

Sd/-

( Medha Gadgil  
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_  
Applicant/s

Advocate: \_\_\_\_\_

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer: \_\_\_\_\_

Office Note: Office Memoranda of Commr.  
Appointments, Tribunal's orders of  
Appointments and Registrar's orders

**Date : 03.10.2023**

**M. A. No.440 of 2023 in O.A.No.814 of 2023**

**R. A. Kulkarni ....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 20.10.2023.
3. S.O. to 20.10.2023.

Sd/-

**( Medha Gadgil )  
Member(A)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_  
Applicant/s

Adversely affected by the impugned order/s  
in respect of  
The State of Maharashtra and others  
Respondent/s

Presenting Officer \_\_\_\_\_

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Comm.  
As perence, Tribunal's orders on  
Hearings, and Magistrate's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 03.10.2023**

**M. A. No.421 of 2023 in O.A.No.739 of 2023**

**P. M. Dabhade .....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 12.10.2023.

Sd/-  
**( Medha Gadgil )**  
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_ Dated \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

Adventitious \_\_\_\_\_  
versus

The State of Maharashtra and other \_\_\_\_\_ Respondent/s

Presenting Officer \_\_\_\_\_

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Comm.,  
Appointments, Tribunal's orders or  
Discharge and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 03.10.2023**

**M. A. No.145 of 2023 in O.A.No.200 of 2023**

**D. S. Chikkhale ....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted by way of last chance failing to which matter will be proceeded without reply.
3. S.O. to 11.10.2023.

Sd/-

**( Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)**

vsm



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1240 of 2023</p> <p>U.V. Vaidya .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for grant of 'Interim Relief' with regard to the Transfer Order dated 25.09.2023 of the Applicant from the post of 'Deputy Superintendent of Police, Satara, Anti-Corruption Bureau, Satara (One Step Promotion)' to 'Assistant Commissioner, State Intelligent Department, Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3 submits that due procedure has been observed and the 'Transfer Order' of 25.09.2023 of the Applicant has been issued based on the recommendation of 'P.E.B.-1' and its acceptance by 'Competent Authority'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' annexing 'Minutes of Meeting' of (i) 'P.E.B.' at the level of 'Specialized Agency' (ii) 'P.E.B.-1' at the level of 'State Government'. 'Affidavit-in-Reply' to include details about how all 'Stages of Enquiry' as per 'Director General of Police, Maharashtra State' Circular Dated 07.10.2016' were observed before the case of Applicant was submitted to the 'P.E.B.' at the level of 'Specialized Agency'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1022 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.N. Bhave .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on 25.09.2023 in batch of O.A. No.880/2023 &amp; Ors. that they will be taking 'Comprehensive &amp; Full Review' of all 'Transfer Orders' of 'Professors, Associate Professors and Assistant Professors.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' therefore to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.10.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.154 of 2022</p> <p>T.R. Jadhav .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhiman Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.F. Wasif, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he want to withdraw O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A.</p> <p>4. No Order as to Costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1173 of 2023</p> <p>P.S. Renushe .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O. Three weeks time is granted to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent.</li> <li>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next date.'</li> <li>4. S.O. to 25.10.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1060 of 2023</p> <p>A.M. Chormale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O. One week time is granted to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent by way of last chance.</li> <li>3. Learned P.O. is directed to provide copy of Reply to learned Advocate for the Applicant in advance before next date.</li> <li>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is also due for retirement by end of March 2024.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 10.10.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.940 of 2023</p> <p>Y.M. Shaikh .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sahil Pandire, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.10.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1187 of 2023</p> <p>S.R. Kharat .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.10.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.792 of 2023</p> <p>A.H. Sarode .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>