

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.741 of 2022</p> <p>K.B. BhagatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged office order dated 07.07.2022 issued by Respondent No.2 - Tahasildar, Murud Janjira, Dist. Raigad whereby additional charge given to the Applicant by order dated 02.03.2022 has been withdrawn and it was given to one Shri Pratik Pawaskar.</p> <p>3. The Applicant was initially appointed as Jr. Clerk and later he was promoted as Awwal Karkon by order dated 04.09.2019. Later Tahasildar by order dated 02.03.2022 gave additional charge to handle following work.</p> <p align="center">“वारस दाखले, राष्ट्रस भूमी अभिलेख कार्यक्रम, ब्यायालयीन प्रकरणे, तक्रार नोंद, वहिवाट दावे, दरखास्त प्रकरणे, आकार फोड आकार बंद, सर्व प्रकाराचे दाखले.”</p> <p>4. However, Tahasildar, Murud Janjira by communication dated 07.07.2022 withdrew the said charge given to the Applicant by office order dated 02.03.2022 and it was assigned to Shri Pratik Pawaskar till further order. As such, all that the Applicant has challenged order of withdrawal of additional charge given to him.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to contend that impugned order is illegal and it amount to withdrawal of entire charge and is humiliation. Whereas, learned P.O. submits that there were several complaints of the functioning of the Applicant while he was given additional charge, and therefore charge was rightly withdrawn by order dated 07.07.2022.

6. Indeed, the Applicant has no right much less legally vested right to ask for particular assignment or work since it is prerogative of Head of the Department or Tahasildar in the present case. It was for the Tahasildar to allocate the work to the staff working under him having regard to the administrative convenience and work load. I am therefore at loss to understand how the Applicant can be said aggrieved by the said order which does not affect his service condition, pay and allowances, status etc.

7. All that by impugned order dated 07.07.2022, Tahasildar has withdrawn the additional charge which was given to the Applicant because of certain complaints about the performance of the Applicant. Office order dated 07.07.2022 is internal office arrangement of allocation of work and no prejudice can be said caused to the Applicant by such order. It is totally innocuous office order about distribution of work and the Applicant cannot be said to have any cause of action so as to approach this Tribunal. Suffice to say, challenge to the order dated 07.07.2022 is totally devoid of law and O.A. is liable to be dismissed.

8. For the aforesaid reasons, O.A. is dismissed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.990 of 2021</p> <p>C. V. PotdarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the issue of entitlement to compassionate appointment because of having third child borne after cutoff date is referred to Division Bench and it is subjudice. He, therefore, requested to adjourn the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.829 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. KuwarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.T. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.911 of 2022</p> <p>Smt. F.V. R. MukadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.2. None for Respondent No.3 - MIDC.</p> <p>2. The matter is taken on board for withdrawal. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed praecipe under the signature of the Applicant who is present before the Tribunal. The Applicant does not want to continue proceeding and requested for permission to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw O.A.</p> <p>4. Interim relief granted by order dated 14.09.2022 stands vacated.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 03.10.2022

O.A. No.955 of 2022

B.V. PatareApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant stands retired from Government service on 30.06.2020 but his retiral benefits were not paid due to pendency of D.E. Ultimately, the Government by order dated 11.05.2022 passed final order in D.E. imposing punishment of deduction of 12% Pension per month permanently.

3. Indeed, after punishment order dated 11.05.2022 retiral benefits ought to have been released within reasonable time but not paid, and therefore the Applicant has filed this O.A. after waiting for five months.

4. Learned P.O. on instruction submits that recently work of updation of service book and pay verification unit is completed and retiral benefits will be paid soon. He further submits that provisional pension is already granted.

5. Thus, now there is no hurdle to release retiral benefits and the process infact ought to have been undertaken immediately after punishment order passed in D.E. There is nothing to be adjudicated in the matter.

6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondent to take all necessary steps and to ensure release retiral benefits to the Applicant as per his entitlement within six weeks from today failing to which amount shall carry interest at the rate applicable to GPF from the date of default till actual payment.

7. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.908 of 2022</p> <p>A.M. LokhandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is subjected to punishment of dismissal and penalty of recovery of Rs.25,00,000/- (Twenty Five Lakhs Only) towards loss caused to the Government by order dated 02.11.2021. He preferred appeal before Hon'ble Governor which is not yet decided. The Applicant is seeking early decision in appeal.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on instruction submits that Respondent No.2 called certain information from the Department and after getting the same, appeal will be heard.</p> <p>4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with request to Respondent No.2 to ensure decision in appeal preferably three months from today in accordance to law.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.841 of 2022 with O.A. No.842 of 2022</p> <p>M.S. MullaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in both the O.As.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.827 of 2022</p> <p>D.D. SonawaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.799 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.128 of 2022</p> <p>M.N. KatteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Reply to the amendment in O.A. However, no Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.563 of 2022 in O.A. No.889 of 2022</p> <p>P.N. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice in M.A. as well as O.A. before admission returnable on 07.11.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 07.11.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.555 of 2022 in O.A. No.758 of 2021</p> <p>A.B. SuryawanshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.P. Warunjkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed present M.A. for amendment so as to challenge order dated 17.01.2022 which is passed during the pendency of this O.A. It being subsequent development allowed to amend O.A.</p> <p>3. Hence, M.A. is allowed. Amendment be carried out within a week.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted to learned P.O. to file Additional Affidavit-in-Reply to the amended O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 17.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.516 of 2022 in O.A. No.861 of 2022</p> <p>S.Y. ManjareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Hake-Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of 180 days caused in filing O.A. challenging denial of compassionate appointment. The Applicant's father died in harness on 12.04.2000 thereafter his widow made an application for compassionate appointment Her name was taken in waiting list but on attaining age of 45 years it was deleted.</p> <p>3. Thereafter, the Applicant himself made an application for compassionate appointment which is rejected by communication dated 03.03.2021. The Applicant is challenging order dated 03.03.2021.</p> <p>4. Since, matter pertains to compassionate appointment, I am inclined to condone delay caused in challenging order dated 03.03.2021 so as to decide the O.A. on merit.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.861 of 2022</p> <p>S.Y. ManjareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. Hake-Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Four weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.11.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 07.11.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.370 of 2022 in O.A. No.1174 of 2019</p> <p>V.V. PanseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed for amendment in O.A. to contend that G.R. dated 29.09.2003 be also made applicable in respect of regular temporary employee alike converted regular temporary employee.</p> <p>3. Amendment will not cause any prejudice to the O.A. Hence, M.A. is allowed. Amendment be carried out within a week.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted to learned P.O. to file Affidavit-in-Reply to the amendment.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.580 of 2022 in O.A. No.983 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Kurhade & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.983 of 2022 with O.A. No.984 of 2022 with O.A. No.985 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Kurhade & Ors. S.N. Sarkate T.E. ParekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. These O.As are filed for Inter District Transfer from the establishment of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to Pune, Buldhana & Solapur. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.10.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 06.10.2022. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.967 of 2022</p> <p>M.G. SatheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired as Watchman on 30.04.2018. Some of the retiral benefits were paid belatedly however Gratuity and regular Pension is still not paid. Therefore the Applicant has filed this O.A. for direction to the Respondents to release his unpaid retiral benefits particularly Gratuity and regular Pension. The Applicant is also claiming interest on belated payment.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that initially pension papers were processed in terms of 6th Pay Commissioner but the Applicant did not accepted it, and therefore now the steps are being taken to release his pension as per 7th Pay Commission.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted to take necessary steps to release the Pension and Gratuity as per his entitlement.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. can file short Affidavit on next date so that O.A. can be disposed on next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 17.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.964 of 2022</p> <p>D.D. ShirsatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 20.04.2022 thereby rejecting her claim for the benefit of revised pay scale in terms of 7th Pay Commission as well as benefit of commutation.</p> <p>3. Respondents are already served. Hence, notice is dispensed with.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to explain why the benefit of 7th Pay Commission including commutation and difference as well as revised family pension is not granted to the Applicant.</p> <p>5. Two weeks time is granted to file short Affidavit and appraise the Tribunal.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 17.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.775 to 779 of 2022,</u> <u>OAs. No.790, 791, 793, 796 & 830 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.R. Sarole S.K. Rathod K.N. Damre S.B. Survase S.L. Takle V.L. Ghumare & Ors. G. Pawar & Ors. M.D. Patil V.A. Bhowad A.B. Pakhare & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard M/s. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 13.10.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.251 of 2020</u></p> <p>R.R. Pol ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that 2nd set of OA is filed in this Tribunal. Therefore the objection may be removed.</p> <p>3. The objection be removed.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.560 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Thorat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.452 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.A. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.10.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 3.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"> <u>OAs No.361, 362, 505, 506 of 2022,</u> <u>OAs No.108, 109, 468, 469 of 2022,</u> <u>OAs No.569, 570 of 2022</u> </p> <p>L.K. Shinde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 13.10.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.10.2022 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.342 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Chinchkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.818 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.S. Hipparkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 7.11.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.803 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.D. Walunj & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicants.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.61 of 2022 in O.A. No.212 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.H. Parche ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.11.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.973 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. N.S. Bohari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.972 of 2022</u></p> <p>H.S. Alaspure ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgi) Member (A) 3.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.980 of 2022</u></p> <p>Pratap M. Desai & 11 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend interim prayer. Leave Granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and served on all concerned.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="828 695 1429 747"><u>M.A. No.576 of 2022 in O.A. No.980 of 2022</u></p> <p data-bbox="738 772 1477 888">Pratap M. Desai & 11 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p data-bbox="738 914 1526 1043">Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="738 1069 1534 1262">2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p data-bbox="1218 1288 1437 1481">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.10.2022</p> <p data-bbox="747 1481 820 1532">(sgj)</p>