

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.970 of 2019</p> <p>J. R. Girase .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Considering the submissions advanced by the learned Counsel, I am not inclined to grant interim relief as the election process is already started and by the impugned transfer order, the Applicant is directed to be relieved immediately. Respondent No.3 was also directed to take charge of the post of the Applicant. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant interim relief.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.10.2019.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 17.10.2019.

10. In the meantime, the Respondents shall consider the request/claim of the Applicant for reposting as Sub Divisional Officer, Ulhasnagar after elections are over and may repost the Applicant on his earlier post after elections are over, if the Government desires so.

11. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 03.10.2019.
	<p>M.A. No.539 of 2019 in O.A.No.932 of 2018</p> <p>N. R. Gatne .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar with Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms Khushbu Marwadi for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Present Misc. Application is made to amend the O.A. to incorporate the pleadings relating to provisions of deputation.</p> <p>3. Prayer amendment seems necessary for proper adjudication and it will not change the nature of O.A.</p> <p>4. Amended application is allowed. Amended be carried out within seven days.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is directed to file O.A. as well as amended O.A.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 23.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)


*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 03.10.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.786 of 2019 with O.A. No.787 of 2019</p> <p>V. V. Kadam &amp; Ors. R. P. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. No.786/2019 is filed by the Applicants for repatriation to Thane District. By order dated 07.08.2015, they were promoted to the post of Sr. Clerk and temporarily posted in Palghar District. As per order dated 07.08.2015, options were given to them for reposting either in Palghar or Thane District considering their seniority and vacancy position. Later, the Government had issued G.R. dated 19.08.2019 calling options and in terms of it, the Applicants have given options of Thane District. However, they have not been repatriated to Thane District which is their original district. They have completed near about four year in Palghar District and seeking repatriation to Thane District.</p> <p>B. O.A.No.787/2019 is also filed for repatriation from Palghar District to Thane District. By order dated 27.06.2017 and 17.07.2017 they were temporarily posted in Palghar District and they were entitled to be reposted in Thane District. However, they were to be taken back to Thane District having regard to their seniority gradually. Now, the period of more than two years is over but they have not repatriated.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. In view of above, the Applicants have prayed for directions to repatriate them to Thane District.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel for the Applicants has pointed out that in similar situation, this Tribunal has already passed orders of repatriation from Thane District to Palghar District and those orders were maintained by the Hon'ble High Court. He has further pointed out that in O.A.No.09/2019 directions were given to repatriate the Applicants therein within eight weeks. The orders passed by this Tribunal was challenged before the Hon'ble High Court vide W.P. No.6771/2019, the Hon'ble High Court disposed of the W.P. on 01.08.2019 thereby confirming the orders passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. In view of above, learned P.O. submits that six months time be granted to relieve the Applicants. She further submits that due to paucity of staff it is not possible to relieve the Applicants immediately.</p> <p>7. In view of above, there is no denying that the Applicants in both the Original Applicants were required to be reposted in Thane District within reasonable period. Though, the period of more than four years and two years respectively is over from the date of their temporary postings, they are not reposted in Thane District which is their original district. They have also given options in terms of G.R. dated 19.08.2019. As such, the Applicants cannot be retained for loner period and it is for the Collector, Palghar to make arrangements of sufficient staff either by recruitment or promotion, as may be permissible.</p> <p>8. In view of above, both the Original Applications are disposed of with directions to Collector, Palghar to relieve the Applicants latest by 31.12.2019.</p> <p>9. The Collector, Thane to coordinate with Collector, Palghar to facilitate the said exercise.</p> <p>10. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-    (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000—3-2017)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.241 of 2019 in R.A.No.8 of 2019 in O.A.No.736 of 2017 with R.A.No.8 of 2019 in O.A.No.736 of 2017</p> <p>S.A. Hatkhambkar ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant as well as learned Advocate for the Applicant are absent.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.38 of 2018 in O.A.No.48 of 2017</p> <p>R.P. Gage ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Y.P. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.10.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Contempt Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 23.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**  
O.A. No.917 of 2018

A.R. Patil ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Vasant Adsul, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that as per instruction received from the applicant by him the applicant received final order on his representation. However, the applicant is bedridden and in Karnataka. Ld. Advocate therefore prays that two weeks time may be granted to procure copy of the same and bring it on record. He further submits that along with the impugned order copy of OA would be served in the office of PO immediately.

3. Ld PO submits that on 8.11.2019 she would obtain instructions from the respondents regarding the OA. By consent S.O. to 8.11.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N./Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
3.10.2019

(sgj)





(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.326 of 2019</p> <p>D.G. Choudhari ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant as well as learned Advocate for the Applicant are absent.</p> <p>3. Applicant who was dismissed under Article 311(2)(b) of the Constitution of India had prayed to quash the same. Today, during the hearing learned C.P.O. produces a communication, which states that the Appellate Authority has reinstated the Applicant. The said communication is taken on record and marked as 'X' for the purpose of identification.</p> <p>4. In view of the same, S.O. to 10.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>C.A.No.47 of 2019 in O.A.No.30 of 2019</b></p> <p>A.R. Nagare ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This C.A. is for failure to comply with the orders passed by this Tribunal on 08.05.2019. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that Respondent (M.P.S.C.) have filed Writ Petition (Stamp) No.24962/2019 on 31.08.2019 before the Hon'ble High Court. She further submits that the matter may be adjourned for two weeks.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.10.2019.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Contempt Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 23.10.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 03.10.2019 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.846 of 2019 with M.A.No.507 of 2019
---	--

V.P. Salunke ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. N.P. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that M.A.No.507 of 2019 may be adjourned for completing remaining objections.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 10.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.969 of 2019</p> <p>R.D. Avhad ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 19.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.968 of 2019 with M.A.No.534 of 2019</p> <p>R.C. Pawar ..... Applicant Versus ..... Respondents The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Y.P. Deshmukh submits that relevant details for filing the O.A. are still to be completed. He therefore prayed adjournment for seeking instructions from the Applicant.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate Shri Y.P. Deshmukh adjourned to 05.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2958 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.790 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. R.M. Jatkar ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 13.11.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer .....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2019

O.A.No.284 of 2019

C.V. Jadhav ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. The same is taken on record.
3. Applicant as well as learned Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
4. Admitted and kept for Final hearing in due course.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.798 of 2019</p> <p>B.S. Lanje ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents submits that the Applicant, as per her instruction, is working at District Parbhani. She therefore submits that the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Acting Chairman to consider whether the same may be transferred to the Bench at Aurangabad.</p> <p>3. Registrar is directed to place the matter before the Hon'ble Acting Chairman.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2019

O.A.No.917 of 2019

B.S. Lanjale ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents submits that the Applicant is working in District Parbhani and therefore maybe placed before Hon'ble Acting Chairman for transferring it to the Bench at Aurangabad.

3. Registrar is directed to place the matter before Hon'ble Acting Chairman.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Oixit)  
Vice-Chairman

(G.C.P.) J 2969 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2019

O.A.No.819 of 2019

V.V. Chavan ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents submits that she may be given extension for filing reply by four weeks.

3. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 13.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (80,000-3-2017)

[Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2019

O.A.No.820 of 2019

A.J. Thorat ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.
2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents submits that she may be given extension for filing reply by four weeks.
3. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 13.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.775 of 2019</p> <p>S.B. Khadtare ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 14.11.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	-------------------

Date : 03.10.2019

M.A.No.535 of 2019 in O.A.No.172 of 2018

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Applicant (Org. Respd)

Versus

A.D. Tannu

..... Respondent (Org. Appli.)

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. By this M.A., Applicant (Org. Respondent) seeks extension of three months for implementing the order dated 31.07.2019 passed by this Tribunal. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents further submits that processing of retiral benefits is in progress.

3. Permitted. M.A. is, therefore, disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000—3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.685 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. Chavan ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad files reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 2. She seeks adjournment to find out whether Respondent No.3 is proposing to file reply.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondent adjourned to 15.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.221 of 2019</p> <p>N.S. More ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks one week time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.M. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000—3-2017)

[Spl- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2019

O.A.No.58 of 2019

R.C. Indulkar ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 3. The same is taken on record.
3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents further submits that the matter will be adjourned for four weeks for seeking instructions whether Respondent No.2 (Finance Department) propose to file their reply.
4. At the request of learned P.O. Adjourned to 05.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.M. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman



(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>R.A.No.9 of 2019 in O.A.No.913 of 2018</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..... Applicant (Org. Respd)</p> <p>Versus A.R. Patil ..... Respondent (Org. Appli.)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Resphodents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Respondent (Org. Applicant) is absent.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 09.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer .....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>R.A.No.6 of 2019 in O.A.No.822 of 2016</p> <p>V.S. Chaudhari ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 2 in the Review Application. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Applicant as well as learned Advocate for the Applicant are absent.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 19.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2958 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2019

M.A.No.485 of 2019 in O.A.No.677 of 2019

H.T. Shinde ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Galkwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Applicant as well as learned Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that she may be permitted to file reply in M.A.No.485 of 2019 after four weeks.
4. At the request of learned of P.O. adjourned to 05.11.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

(G.C.P.) J 2958 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.454 of 2019 in O.A.No.385 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. Kadam &amp; Ors. .... Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is for restoration as the O.A. was dismissed for want of prosecution on 17.07.2019.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated in M.A.No.454/2019, O.A. is restored. Admitted to be kept in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2019

O.A.No.755 of 2019

G.P. Titar ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.
2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that the reply has been filed on 26.09.2019 on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 2.
3. Admitted to be heard in due course.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer .....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2019

O.A.No.616 of 2019

R.R. Divekar & Ors. .... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 09.10.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (80,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.641 of 2019</p> <p>K.R. Hire ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks adjournment for one week for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 09.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.115 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.M. Sawarkar ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant is present in person. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this OA against the allegedly second DE for mental harassment of co-employee. Today during hearing the applicant is present in person and submits that he may be permitted to argue this case of his own as Shri S.K. Gunjkar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant is busy otherwise.</p> <p>3. Applicant in person submits that he has participated in the DE and has exhausted the opportunity given to him for cross-examination.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits that DE has been completed on 12.6.2019. However, no further action has been taken because of the interim relief granted by this Tribunal on 21.2.2019 which reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"7. By way of interim relief it is hereby directed that no final order in the impugned Departmental Enquiry shall be passed unless specific permission is sought from the present Tribunal."</i></p> <p>5. Thereafter the interim relief had been continued from time to time.</p> <p>6. During hearing today applicant in person submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present OA so that enquiry would come to its logical conclusion. He further pleads that he may be given liberty to approach appropriate forum if aggrieved by the same.</p> <p>7. Accordingly OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off with liberty to approach appropriate forum if aggrieved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)  3.10.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)  3.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

(Spl- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer .....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.325 of 2018

A.M. Jadhao ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant is present in person. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.1-MPSC, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.2. Respondent No.3 is present in person.

2. Shri A.A. Desai, Ld. Special Counsel for MPSC states that there is urgency to full up particular vacancy. However, the same has been held up because of the interim relief given by this Tribunal on 26.4.2019. He, therefore, submits that the matter may be placed high on board at the earliest. Accordingly, this matter is placed high on board on 10.10.2019.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2019 high on board.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)  
3.10.2019

(sgj)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
3.10.2019

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.581 of 2019 with M.A.No.324 of 2019</p> <p>Y.D. Kale &amp; Ors. .... Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicants.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks adjournment for filing reply as she has received no instructions.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 06.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2019

O.A.No.567 of 2019

M.P. Lad & Ors. .... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicants.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks adjournment of one week for filing reply.

3. Adjourned to 10.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2019

O.A.No.576 of 2019 with M.A.No.320 of 2019

A.P. Patil & Ors. .... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Madhav Ayappan, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Talekar & Ors. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned P.O. for the Respondents..

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that the matter to be adjourned for filing reply. She seeks three weeks for the same.

3. Adjourned to 05.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.10.2019

O.A.No.495 of 2019

T.K. Tayade ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Applicant as well as learned Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that the reply has been filed on 07.09.2019.
4. Admitted to be heard in due course.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 09.10.2019</p> <p>- O.A.No.549 of 2019</p> <p>M.B. Desai ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Applicant as well as learned Advocate for the Applicant are absent.</li><li>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks adjournment for four weeks for filing reply.</li><li>4. Adjourned to 06.11.2019.</li></ol> <p>Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.552 of 2019</p> <p>R.A. Bhise ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Madhav Ayappan, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Talekar &amp; Ors. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents submits that reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 2 are file) and copy of the same is provided to learned Advocate for the Applicant. Learned P.O. seeks adjournment by four weeks for filing reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 (MPSC).</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 07.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.732 of 2019</p> <p>C.G. Mantri ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents seeks adjournment for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 14.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>



(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-1-2015)

(Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>03.10.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 530/2019 in O.A 482/2009</u></b></p> <p>Shri Balkrishna Motiranm Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri B.M Yadav, present in person. His Counsel is absent. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for Respondents no 1 &amp; 2 and Shri A.M Deshmukh, learned advocate for the Respondents no 3 to 5.</p> <p>2. The present O.A pertains to selection to the post of Superintendent of Police. Learned C.P.O requested that MPSC be allowed to declare the results as the interview of six candidates including the applicant has already been over as per directions given by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. At this stage, Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant appeared and submitted that the matter be adjourned for a week without passing any further order.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, adjourned to 9.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Aln.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.538 of 2019 in O. A. No.432 of 2019</p> <p>K. L. Chavan &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.1(Ori. Applicant in O.A.432/2019), Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 5.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.10.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 22.10.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 03.10.2019.

M. A. No.536 of 2019 in O. A. No.136 of 2018

K. V. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 & 3 and Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 07.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.10.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.520 of 2019 in O. A. No.13 of 2019</p> <p>R. N. Joshi .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.11.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 07.11.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.876 of 2017 with M.A. No.446 of 2019</u>
	<p>J.R. Sonkavade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Ankit A. Mukadam, Ld. Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that OA which was dismissed for want of prosecution may be restored for the reasons stated in MA.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that Ld. Advocate for the applicant did not remain present on dates mentioned earlier. She therefore demands that cost may be imposed on the concerned.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he would without fail remain present on 15.11.2019 for arguing the OA.</p> <p>5. MA is allowed and OA is restored to file.</p> <p>6. OA is adjourned to 15.11.2019 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.515 of 2019</u>
	<p>N.T. Nehete ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 14.10.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**  
M.A. No.400 of 2019 in O.A. No.745 of 2019

G.K. Gaikwad ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad,  
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 16.10.2019 for  
filing reply.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
3.10.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**  
M.A. No.447 of 2019 with O.A. No.1060 of 2017

S.S. Charankar ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Prashant Suryawanshi, Ld. Advocate holding for Shri G.M. Savagave, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This MA is for restoration of OA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he could not remain present for the circumstances beyond his control.

3. MA is allowed and OA is restored to file.

4. By consent OA is adjourned to 4.11.2019 for final hearing.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
3.10.2019

(sgj)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>R.A. No.16 of 2018 with O.A. No.243 of 2017</u></p> <p>V.R. Koli ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant in person absent. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Issue notice returnable on 8.11.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of R.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, RA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. Copy of RA is provided to the I.d. PO for taking further necessary action.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)  3.10.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
Date : 03.10.2019.

O.A.No.310 of 2019

S. K. Pawar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 03.10.2019. Tribunal's orders M.A. No.526 of 2019 in O.A.No.734 of 2019</p>
	<p>Shri Rajan R. Pol .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Ori. Respondent) and Shri A.V. Bandiadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. The Tribunal has disposed of O. A. No.734/2019 by judgment dated 05.08.2019 giving following directions</p> <p>" A. The Respondents are directed to pass final order in D.E. within two weeks from today. No further extension will be granted.</p> <p>B. The retiral benefits be paid within two weeks thereafter, subject to final order of D.E.</p> <p>C. The Respondents do pay Rs.10,000/- to the Applicant towards the cost of these proceedings.</p> <p>D. The Applicant is at liberty to take further steps for grant of interest on the belated payment which will be dealt with in accordance to law."</p> <p>3. Now, the present M.A. is filed for extension of grant of retiral benefits and to recall the order of imposition of cost of Rs.10,000/-.</p> <p>4. In so far as extension of time for grant of retiral benefit is concerned, I am inclined to grant two months time from today to release retiral benefits to the Applicant as the matter is already under process.</p> <p>5. In so far as recalling the order of imposition of cost of Rs.10,000/- is concerned, learned C.P.O. submits that the Respondents did not get opportunity to file the reply in the O.A., and therefore, the order of cost be recalled.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. The Tribunal has imposed the cost of Rs.10,000/- having regard to the fact that the Applicant stands retired on 31.07.2015 and deprived of retiral benefits for considerable time. The departmental enquiry <del>was</del> initiated against him during service, was concluded by the inquiry officer in 2014 exonerating the Applicant. However, the Respondents did not take any action for more than four years and it is only in 2018 the show cause notice was issued as to why 10% pension should not be deducted permanently. As such, having noticed inaction and lethargy on the part of the Respondents to pass final order in D.E., the cost of Rs.10,000/- to compensate the Applicant. Suffice to say, the Tribunal has recorded sufficient reasons while imposing the cost. I am, therefore, not inclined to recall the order of imposition of cost.</p> <p>7. In view of above, Misc. Application is dismissed with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>