

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

O.A.No.986/2024

J.G. Mulay

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar adjourned to 20.09.2024 for filing rejoinder.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The claims of Applicants against the above backdrop of serious contravention of guidelines of GAD GR dated 12.09.2016 will be required to be examined for redressal of their grievances and grant of prayers that if they were to be found eligible for promotion to posts of 'Sister Incharge' and included in 'Select List' of 2024-25, then they should be posted against at present available vacancies of (i) 2 Posts of 'Sister Incharge' in M.A. Podar Ayurved Hospital, Mumbai and (ii) 1 Post of 'Sister Incharge' in Government Homeopathy Hospital, Vile Parle, Mumbai.</p> <p>6. The 'Balance of Convenience' at this stage thus lies in favour of Applicants. Hence, in interest of justice, it is directed that (i) 1 Post of 'Sister Incharge' in 'Government Homeopathy Hospital, Vile Parle, Mumbai and (ii) 2 Posts of 'Sister-Incharge' at M.A. Podar Ayurved Hospital, Worli, Mumbai which are at present vacant should not to be filled-up till such time as the result of 'DPC' to be held for preparation of 'Select List' for 2024-25 are placed on record by 'Respondent No.2' - 'Director of Ayush, Mumbai'.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 19th September, 2024 & be placed 'First on Board' under caption of 'Final Hearing - P.H.'</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 03.09.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 03.09.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1019/2024</u></p> <p>A.U Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that the Principal Secretary, Industries, Energy and Labour Department has taken action as per directions in para 3 of order dated 26.8.2024 and informed MPSC to send list of next candidate from the category of DT (A) Female.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 18.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1562 of 2023</p> <p>D. B. Tamhane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.230 of 2024</p> <p>Y. K. BangarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.393 of 2024</p> <p>C. U. JagtapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.390 of 2024</p> <p>V. R. BhosaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.898 of 2023</p> <p>S. M. Deshmukh & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.09.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.26 of 2022 with M.A. No.201 of 2022 with O.A. No.306 of 2022 with O.A. No.1089 of 2022 with O.A. No.428 of 2022 with O.A. No.696 of 2022 with O.A. No.697 of 2022 with O.A. No.698 of 2022 with O.A. No.952 of 2022 with O.A. No.1096 of 2022 with O.A. No.07 of 2023 with O.A. No.105 of 2023 with O.A. No.106 of 2023 with O.A. No.129 of 2023 with O.A. No.165 of 2023 with O.A. No.172 of 2023 with O.A. No.181 of 2023 with O.A. No.182 of 2023 with O.A. No.191 of 2023 with O.A. No.194 of 2023 with O.A. No.207 of 2023 with O.A. No.215 of 2023 with O.A. No.263 of 2023 with O.A. No.265 of 2023 with O.A. No.289 of 2023 with O.A. No.325 of 2023 with O.A. No.477 of 2023 with O.A. No.541 of 2023 with O.A. No.567 of 2023 with O.A. No.568 of 2023 with O.A. No.595 of 2023 with O.A. No.609 of 2023 with O.A. No.831 of 2023 with O.A. No.859 of 2023 with O.A. No.878 of 2023 with O.A. No.912 of 2023 with O.A. No.913 of 2023 with O.A. No.1099 of 2023 with O.A. No.1293 of 2023 with O.A. No.1294 of 2023 with O.A. No.1468 of 2023 with O.A. No.179 of 2024 with O.A. No.191 of 2024 with O.A. No.222 of 2024 with O.A. No.638 of 2024 with O.A. No.1464 of 2023 with O.A. No.1485 of 2023 and Other Connected matters</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri N.W. Sawant learned Advocates for present Applicants, Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad & Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers present for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that 59 Applicants in above mentioned 'Group of O.A's' are yet to be relieved on 'Inter District Transfer' by the 'Commissioner of Police Greater, Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. The 'Interim Relief if granted earlier in any 'Group of O.A's' mentioned above are continued till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">MMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.233 of 2024 in O.A. No.1025 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant through VC and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks urgent relief and prays that charge sheet issued on 3.9.2021 is to be stayed. He states that the DE is vindictive action taken by the respondents as the applicant is responsible in exposing the malpractice and corruption in the recovery of fine to the tune of Rs.226,23,33,398/- . Ld. Advocate states that the applicant is a Whistleblower and he is made scapegoat.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO states that the OA is filed on 17.12.2021 and no interim relief was granted in between and as on today the enquiry is completed and report is submitted in September, 2022 to the disciplinary authority and served on the applicant on 14.12.2022. The applicant has filed his reply on 28.12.2022. By memorandum dated 21.3.2024 the applicant is called upon to give his explanation/file his representation within fifteen days, as he is retired Govt. servant. Ld. CPO submits that now only order of punishment is required to be passed by the disciplinary authority.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board Limited Vs. Mahesh Dahiya (2017) 1 SCC 768 and prays for staying the DE. Ld. Advocate for the applicant also relies on judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ECIL Vs. B. Karunakar, 1993(4) SCC 727.</p> <p>5. Ld. CPO relies on para 26 of the said judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board Limited Vs. Mahesh Dahiya (2017) 1 SCC 768 and states that this case is not applicable to the present case in hand.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 5.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 350/2023</u></p> <p>N.K Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the Applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O 20.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 772/2023</u></p> <p>K.R Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply. 3. S.O to 20.9.2024. <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1202/2023</u></p> <p>S.M Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1212/2023</u></p> <p>U.M Bokade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned counsel seeks time to file rejoinder. 3. S.O to 20.9.2024. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1583/2023</u></p> <p>P.P Pardeshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 77/2024</u></p> <p>S.R Desai & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Khot, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O 1.10.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 525/2024</u></p> <p>R.R Khedkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri O.A Wable, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply. Permission granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A Nos 619 & 620/2024</u></p> <p>S.K Gutte S.S Bhat</p> <p align="right">... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p align="right">... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri O.A Wable, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submit that applicant in O.A 620/2024 has expired and the Original Application has become infructuous.</p> <p>3. Due to unfortunate death of the applicant in O.A 620/2024, the Original Application is disposed of as infructuous.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder in O.A 619/2024.</p> <p>5. S.O 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 747/2024</u></p> <p>G.A Metakari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 764/2024</u></p> <p>A.N Lohar Vs. ... Applicant The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 788/2024</u></p> <p>M.T Ahire ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.D Gugale, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 802/2024</u></p> <p>S.B Jangle & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Smt K.s Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. / S.O to 18.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.635 of 2023</p> <p>S.V. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of Respondent dated 19.12.2023. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N .

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1577/2023 with M.A.No.45/2024</p> <p>A.L. DureApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Talekar has filed short affidavit of Mr. Anup Limbraj Dure working as Chief Officer in the office of Municipal Council, Khopoli, Tal Khalapur, Dist. Raigad. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern. Learned Counsel Mr. Talekar has submitted that Applicant was transferred to Khopoli on 08.09.2021 from the post of Assistant Commissioner, Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation to the post of Chief Officer, Khopoli Municipal Council and so he has not completed the requisite tenure of three years. Thereafter by order dated 15.12.2023 (Exhibit-B) Applicant was transferred to the post of Assistant Commissioner, Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation and by order dated 15.12.2023 (Exhibit-C) Respondent No.3 was transferred on the post of Chief Officer, Khopoli, Municipal Council, Khopoli.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr. Talekar has submitted that the purpose of filing short affidavit is to inform the Tribunal that the transfer of Respondent No.3 from the post of Chief Officer, Palghar Municipal Council to the post of Chief Officer, Khopoli Municipal Council has occurred pursuance of the recommendation made by Mr. Mahendra Thorve, MLA at Khalapur, Karjat constituency in which Khopoli Municipal Council is situated. The copy of</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

recommendation letter dated 20.04.2023 written by the said MLA, Mr. Mahendra Thorve to the Hon'ble the Chief Minister of Maharashtra State is annexed at Exhibit SA-5.

4. Learned Counsel Mr. Talekar has pointed out the minutes of meeting of the CSB which was conducted on 01.11.2023. Learned Counsel has submitted that Respondent No.3 has not worked in Konkan-I for 10 years which is the requisite criteria to transfer him to another Revenue Division. Learned Counsel has pointed out that in the minutes of meeting of CSB in the column of remarks it is stated that the Applicant has not completed his tenure however for the purpose of administrative reasons he is transferred to Mhade Nagar, Zilla Parishad, Raigad

5. This Tribunal has gone through the said letter dated 20.04.2023 wherein it is approved and thus the request was accepted and order was issued to transfer Respondent No.3 from the post of Chief Officer, Palghar Municipal Council to the post of Chief Officer, Khopoli Municipal Council. Learned P.O. is directed to come with all the records on the next date.

6. Adjourned to 06.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

O.A.No.986/2024

J.G. Mulay

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar adjourned to 20.09.2024 for filing rejoinder.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1002 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.B. Bodake ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2024. In the meantime the respondents may consider the representation of the applicant for ad hoc promotion on the grounds of clause 9 and 11 of the GR dated 15.12.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1110 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.S. Danwade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.68 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.R. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed Praecipe dated 2.9.2024 along with application for speaking to minutes of the order dated 23.2.2023 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that in para 3 of the order the words "the period from 17.2.2020" is to be corrected as "the period from 17.5.2020".</p> <p>4. The order be corrected and read accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.9.2024 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.79/2023 in OA.650 of 2015</u></p> <p>M.R. Patil, since deceased by LRs. Mangal M. Patil & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 6.9.2024 at the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>RA.6/2024 in OAs.703 & 685/2016</u></p> <p>MPSC Vs. C.D. Pawar H.B. Gawhane ..Applicant ..Respondents</p> <p>Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri A.N. Pange, learned Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicant in OA No.703/2016 are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks leave to replace page no.15 of RA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. The page be replaced and furnished to the Ld. Advocate for the Respondent-original applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A.55 of 2024 in OAs.758/2019 & 404/2022</u></p> <p>R.B. Bhosale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that bill is submitted to the Treasury on 26.8.2024.</p> <p>3. Shri Nitesh Dhokane, Accountant, Revenue & Forest Department, F-12, who is present in the Court is directed to remain present tomorrow and inform the progress in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.9.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.67 of 2024 in O.A. No.873 of 2022</u></p> <p>G.M. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. CA is filed for implementation of the order dated 8.9.2022 passed in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO on instructions from Shri Nitesh Dhokane, Accountant, Revenue & Forest Department, F-12, states that in fact revision was decided on 15.7.2021 and order was communicated to the applicant on 28.12.2021. In view of this Ld. PO submits that order is already implemented.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.9.2024 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.31 of 2024 in O.A. No.394 of 2022 (DB)</u></p> <p>D.K. Kokane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri B.A.Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.9.2024 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>R.A. No.6 of 2023 in O.A. No.187 of 2021 (DB)</u></p> <p>D.N. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan h/f Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 20.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.9.2024 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>RA.7/2023 in OA.614/2018</u></p> <p>A.B. Katkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>R.A. No.4 of 2024 in O.A. No.842 of 2017</u></p> <p>The Dy. Conservator of Forest ..Applicant Vs. B.A. Dane & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the respondent-original applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA.450/2024 in OA.828/24 (OA.328/23-Nagpur)</u></p> <p>S.V. Kakade & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA.407/2024 in RA.6/2024 in OAs.703 & 685/2016</u></p> <p>MPSC ..Applicant Vs. C.D. Pawar H.B. Gawhane ..Respondents</p> <p>Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri A.N. Pange, learned Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicant in OA No.703/2016 are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO for the Applicants-original Respondents states that the MPSC has filed RA for reviewing the order dated 7.6.2023 passed in the above OAs. The RA is filed on 9.7.2024. There is delay of 12 months. Hence, MA No.407/2024 is filed for condoning the delay. Ld. CPO submits that representative of MPSC was absent on that date and the applicant made representation on 12.6.2023. Ld. CPO submits that the order of the Tribunal is contrary to the reservation policy of the State in respect of physically challenged persons and therefore there was delay in implementing the order and taking further decision. Subsequently, a decision was taken to file RA and hence time was consumed in thinking over it.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the Respondent-original applicant states that original applicant made representations dated 12.6.2023, 22.6.2023, 26.10.2023 and November, 2023. Thereafter the original applicant filed CA No.16/2024 on 9.2.2024. He states that notice was issued on 17.4.2024 and contempt notice was issued by order dated 24.6.2024. He states that representation dated 12.6.2023 of the applicant was received by the respondents. He states that no reason is given for condoning the delay and he opposes the condonation of delay on the ground that respondents were aware of the order passed by this Tribunal and the order is not against the reservation policy.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Admittedly there is delay of one year and there is no substantial reason for condoning the delay as required under the Limitation Act. So also the order dated 7.6.2023 passed in OA was received by the MPSC and it was necessary on the part of the MPSC to take note of it and to implement the order. Secondly when the applicant has filed representation in June, 2023 it was necessary for the MPSC to look into the matter on the basis of said representation and if the MPSC had arrived at conclusion that order in OA was not consistent with the legal provision of the reservation policy then MPSC could have filed the RA immediately. Thus, no proper explanation has come forward from the MPSC.</p> <p>5. However, the fact that if on the face of record this Tribunal has committed mistake in granting reservation to disables and therefore order requires to be corrected and so reservation policy is required to be considered afresh on the background of set of these facts, then we are of the view that as a legal issue is raised though it is very late it is necessary to deal with in order to avoid further precedent to this order. Hence, we allow the MA and condone the delay of 12 months in filing the RA. Delay is condoned subject to payment of cost of Rs.500/- (Rupees five hundred only). The cost is to be paid by the MPSC to the applicant within one week i.e. till 11.9.2024. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1101 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.D. Shirsath ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant was promoted to the post of Warden on 8.7.2013 and he was allowed to change Division from Thane to Nashik on 2.7.2018 with the approval of respondent no.2 w.e.f. 12.7.2016 by order dated 20.7.2021. The applicant was given time bound promotion on 8.11.2023. Ld. Advocate submits that the reason for his reversion given by the State is that the promotion was ad hoc. He was working under Respondent no.3 and has no connection with respondent no.1. Respondent no.1 has sent proposal to respondent no.2 to seek approval of respondent no.3 for reversion of the applicant. The respondent no.2 has given approval for the same and it is binding on respondent no.3. Therefore, he has approached this Tribunal. It is submitted that juniors of the applicant were given promotion on 13.11.2018 and 17.5.2021 who are on the establishment of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 24.9.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.9.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.68/2024 in OAs.853 & 854/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. V.S. Patil Dr. V.A. Wagh ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. This CA is filed for implementation of the order dated 12.1.2024 passed in the above OAs. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that this matter is to be treated as urgent as the order of this Tribunal dated 12.1.2024 is required to be implemented. In fact the Tribunal has given time schedule and which is to be obeyed within four weeks from the date of the order. However, it is not done. Instead the respondents by order dated 31.8.2024 have frustrated the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that the State is filing writ petition in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. Issue contempt notice to the respondents returnable on 6.9.2024. Shri Rajesh Kumar, Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department holding the additional charge of Animal Husbandry & Dairy Development & Fisheries Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to remain present.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 6.9.2024. Hamdast.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.9.2024 3.9.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1109/2024</p> <p>D.V. KardureApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the transfer order dated 14.08.2024 by which the Applicant is transferred from the post of Talathi, Chas, Ambegaon Tahasil Office, Dist. Pune to the post of Talathi, Khude Budruk, Khed Tahasil Office, Dist. Pune. Further Applicant prays that Respondents No.1 and 2 be directed to issue the transfer order of the Applicant either at option No.5, 6 7 and 8 with all consequential service benefits within a period of one month from the date of the order of the Tribunal. Applicant is due for transfer.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that out of the 10 options given by the Applicant, the options at Sr. No.5, Sazza Borhadewadi, Tahsil Office, Haveli Sr. No.6, Sazza Talwade, Tahsil Office, Haveli Sr. No.7, Sazza Chovisavawadi, Tahsil Office, Haveli Sr. No.8, Sazza Wadmukhwadi, Tahsil Office, Haveli are vacant till date. Learned Counsel prays that the one post be kept vacant at any of the above options given by the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Respondent is directed to keep vacant any of one post vacant out of Sr. No.5, Sazza Borhadewadi, Tahsil Office, Haveli Sr. No.6, Sazza Talwade, Tahsil Office, Haveli Sr. No.7, Sazza Chovisavawadi, Tahsil Office, Haveli Sr. No.8, Sazza Wadmukhwadi, Tahsil Office, Haveli</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.09.2024.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

11. Adjourned to 10.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1108/2024</p> <p>S.A. MalbariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the transfer order dated 14.08.2024 by which the Applicant is transferred from the post of Talathi, Navlakh Umbre, Vadgaon Maval Tahsil Office, Dist. Pune to the post of Talathi, Hatnoshi Tal : Bhor, District Pune. Applicant further prays that Respondents No.1 and 2 be directed to issue the transfer order of the Applicant either at Option No.1, Jambhe Sazza, Tal. Mulshi or at Option No.6, Bhugaon, Tal. Mulshi with all consequential service benefits within a period of one month from the date of order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant has challenged the order on following grounds :</p> <p>(a) G.R. dated 09.04.2018 is not followed, as the Applicant had given 10 options thereafter she was asked to revise her options and none of her options were considered while issuing the transfer order.</p> <p>(b) Applicant be posted in any of the options i.e. Option No.1, Jambhe Sazza, Tal. Mulshi or at Option No.6, Bhugaon, Tal. Mulshi as the posts are vacant at these places.</p> <p>4. Respondent is directed to keep vacant any of one post, out of the options i.e. Option No.1, Jambhe Sazza, Tal. Mulshi or at Option No.6, Bhugaon, Tal. Mulshi vacant till next date. In the meanwhile, Respondent may take remedial steps.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.09.2024.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

11. Adjourned to 10.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1107/2024</p> <p>S.K. DangareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the transfer order dated 14.08.2024 by which the Applicant is transferred to the post of Revenue Awal Karkun, Sub Division Officer's Office Phaltan's Office Phaltan, District Satara and further direct the Respondents No.1 and 2 to grant extension to the Applicant at the present post at Awal Karkun, Rehabilitation Branch, Collector Officer, Satara, District Satara with all consequential service benefits within a period of one month from the date of order of the Tribunal. Applicant further prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside show cause notice dated 29.08.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel challenges the transfer order on the following grounds :</p> <p>(a) There is breach of Section 5 of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties, Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as 'ROTA 2005' for brevity) and G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</p> <p>(b) Applicant is due for retirement within a period of one year.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.09.2024.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

10. Adjourned to 10.09.2024. Status quo as on today be maintained till next date.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1094/2024</p> <p>S.B. VaijapurkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Ms. Srushti Thorat has submitted that she has instructions to appear on behalf of Respondent No.3.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Ms. Thorat is directed to file vakalatnama during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. Applicant prays to set aside the impugned order dated 12.08.2024 passed by the Respondent No.1 under which he transferred the Applicant from the post of Director cum Chief Planner, M.I.D.C., Mumbai to the post of the Director of Town Planning, M.M.R.D.A. (on deputation) all accordingly the Applicant be granted all the consequential service benefits as if impugned order had not been passed. Further, Applicant prays that Respondent No.1 be directed to modify the impugned order dated 12.08.2024 and thus to transfer the Applicant on the post of the Director of Town Planning cum Joint Secretary, Urban Development Department and correspondingly transfer the incumbent there at in place of the Applicant as the Chief Planner, M.I.D.C., Mumbai of which the incumbent is given the additional charge thereof.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that by order dated 18.09.2023 Applicant was promoted by Respondent No.1, Urban Development Department which is also his Parent Department. Applicant spent only 11 months and thereafter she was transferred again to the post of Chief Planner, M.I.D.C. Mumbai without her consent. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar challenges the said transfer on the following grounds :</p> <p>(a) Applicant's transfer is mid-term and mid-tenure as her requisite tenure of three years is not complete and her transfer order is issued without her consent. Hence, the transfer order is in violation of Sections 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of the Maharashtra</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties, Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred as 'ROTA 2005' for brevity).

(b) Applicant though was relieved from M.I.D.C. on 13.08.2024 and she immediately went to the office of M.M.R.D.A. on the same day to join her new posting, she was not allowed to join stating that there is no such post in the office of M.M.R.D.A.

4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.09.2024.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

10. Adjourned to 06.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1111/2024</p> <p>Y.M. BhurkudApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 30.08.2024 issued by the Respondent No.4, thereby posted qua Respondents No.3 and 5 at the office of the Special Inspector General of Police, Nashik Range, Nashik in the office of Konkan Range, Konkan, Navi Mumbai respectively.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant has completed his requisite tenure of three years. However, Respondents No.3 and 5 have not completed the requisite tenure. Applicant has given two options for her transfer. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant wants to be posted as Special Inspector General of Police, Nashik Range, Nashik on the post of Office Superintendent, Class-II, Gazetted post or Special Inspector General of Police, Navi Mumbai</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. on instructions has submitted that as per record Respondent No.3 is disabled person and Respondent No.5 is female and she met with an accident therefore these two persons were accommodated on the priority basis.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.09.2024.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

11. Adjourned to 12.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

O.A.No.1078/2024

S.D. KalelApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.
3. Last chance granted to learned P.O. for filing reply.
4. Adjourned to 24.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

O.A.No.1058/2024

R.K. JamdareApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 06.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

O.A.No.985/2024

B.V. TidkeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent no.4.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. Last chance granted to all the parties for filing reply. If reply is not filed on the next date, matter will proceed without reply.

4. Adjourned to 18.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

O.A.No.859/2024

M.N. ShaikhApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that affidavit-in-reply is filed.

3. Adjourned to 20.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

O.A.No.1097/2024

S.V. WagheApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. informs on instructions from the Respondents that the applicant was not transferred earlier because he is experienced person and his experience was required for the election work.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that applicant prays for transfer at his option at Serial No.4, Dohle, Tal. Bhiwandi.

4. Though time was granted to answer the query raised by this Tribunal in order dated 30.08.2024 no satisfactory answer is given by the Respondent.

5. Adjourned to 09.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continued till further orders.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

O.A.No.1004/2024

A.M. BhosApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicant wish to withdraw the present O.A. as the grievance of the applicant is redressed.

3. In view of above, O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn.

Sd/-

/'
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.09.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1116/2024</u></p> <p>Dr Varsharani S. Patil Dr Viraj Anandrao Wagh ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants pray that the impugned transfer order dated 30.8.2024 by which the Respondent No. 2 is transferred from the Veterinary Dispensary, Grade-I, Shendkaarwadi, Tal-Baramati, Dist-Pune to the post of Veterinary Dispensary, Grade-I, Nimbut, Tal-Baramati, Pune, be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.9.2024.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In view of the order of this Tribunal dated 12.1.2024 in O.A Nos 853 & 854/2023, the transfer order dated 30.8.2024 of Respondent No. 2 is hereby stayed.</p> <p>9. S.O to 6.9.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.394 of 2024 in O.A.No.831 of 2024</p> <p>P. U. Motibane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Time is granted to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.09.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.931 of 2024</p> <p>S. P. BhatambrekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.10.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.806 of 2024</p> <p>J. P. KatyareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.461 of 2024</p> <p>R. B. AsanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Dube, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO has submitted that the delay was condoned in the month of June 2024 and seeks time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. Time is granted to file reply, if not filed further orders will be passed.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.3024</p> <p>O.A. Nos.145, 146 & 147 of 2020</p> <p>P. P. Ghag & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. D. Kurane , learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri Archana B. K. with Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- 1 (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

O.A.No.1044 of 2024 with M.A. No.525 of 2024

J. V. DaingadeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V. P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.09.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 30.09.2024.

^
Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1245 of 2023</p> <p>V. B. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan holding for Shri S. Tilak, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO on instructions from Shri Nilesh Salunkhe, Section Officer from the office of Medical Education and Drugs Department who is present before the court submits that file of the Applicant is before the Hon'ble Chief Minister and seeks one week time to inform about progress in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>O. A. No.666 of 2023</p> <p>Y. Y. BagulApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 30.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.112 of 2024</p> <p>R. M. DevkuleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale holding for Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant wants to file application for amendment in OA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.09.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.453 of 2024</p> <p>A. D. PatelApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO on instructions from Shri Vijay Bhoje, Motor Vehicle Prosecutor/Deputy Regional Transport Office, Mumbai who is present before the Court submits that proposal regarding pension of the Applicant is forwarded by RTO office, Kolhapur on 30.07.2024 and seeks one week time to inform about the progress in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

O.A.No.1088 of 2024 with M.A. No.534 of 2024

S. V. Pol

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.09.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 30.09.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.3024</p> <p>R. A. No.10 of 2024 in O.A.No.101 of 2024</p> <p>A. S. TondaseApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ..Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.09.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.457 of 2024</p> <p>(Dr.) D. K. PardeshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.09.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

M.A. No.337 of 2024 in O.A. No.1037 of 2019 to
M.A. No.434 of 2024 in O.A. No.1241 of 2019

- | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Dr. G.B. Taware | (O.A.1037/2019) |
| 2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath | (O.A.1039/2019) |
| 3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar | (O.A.1040/2019) |
| 4. Dr. N.B. Masaram | (O.A.1041/2019) |
| 5. Dr. V.P. Doijad | (O.A.1042/2019) |
| 6. C.G. Patil | (O.A.1237/2019) |
| 7. Dr. M.M. Pawar | (O.A.1238/2019) |
| 8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal | (O.A.1239/2019) |
| 9. Dr. P.N. Rathi | (O.A.1240/2019) |
| 10. Dr. A.B. Pandav | (O.A.1241/2019) |
| |Applicants |

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant In Sr. No. 1 to 5, Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicant in Sr. No.6 to 10 and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned CPO has filed Affidavit in Reply in O.A.No,1037/2019, O.A. No.1039/2019, O.A. No.1040/2019, O.A. No.1041/2019 & O.A.No.1042/2019. It is taken on record.

3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A.No,1037/2019, O.A. No.1039/2019, O.A. No.1040/2019, O.A. No.1041/2019 & O.A.No.1042/2019.

4. Learned CPO submits that copies of MA in O.A.1237, 1238, 1239, 1240 & 1241/2019 are not served to Respondents and seeks time to file reply.

5. Learned Advocate for Applicants in O.A.1237, 1238, 1239, 1240 & 1241/2019 is directed to serve the copies of MA.

6. S.O. to 09.09.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

O.A.No.846/2024

S.V. HitareApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents and Mr. U.P. Warunjikar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 (through video conference).

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that Mr. Santosh Khorgade, Joint Secretary, Mantralaya and Mr. Milind Bhalerao, Divisional Joint Registrar, Kokan Division are present today for instructions.

3. Yesterday applicant was sent for counselling to the Registrar, M.A.T., Mumbai Ms. Zadkar and Registrar has orally reported to me that the said matter can be sorted out. I have gone through the report dated 22.03.2024 of Mr. Kishor Mande, District Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Thane wherein he has given five suggestions. The Tribunal has dealt with the said suggestions and oral instructions are given by this Tribunal to the officers present today for sorting this issue in context with five suggestions

4. Learned Counsel Mr. Warunjikar has submitted that the report dated 22.03.2024 which the Tribunal is at present dealing with is not acceptable by the Applicant as she has challenged the said report in the Industrial Court, Thane in IFSO No.1/2024, S.V. Hitare V/s. Divisional Joint Registrar, Konkan Division, Belapur and this particular application is pending hence there is no need to issue oral directions. Learned Counsel Mr. Warunjikar has submitted that the oral directions are to be incorporated in the order. Learned Counsel Mr. Warunjikar has further submitted that Registrar, M.A.T., Mumbai is not the qualified conciliator.

5. It is to be noted that Tribunal is trying to resolve the matter by adopting the method of conciliation and under such circumstances, I have come across this report and I find that the report is very objective and it is the part of the record and therefore the objections raised by learned Counsel Mr. Warunjikar are overruled. The oral directions which are given today by the Tribunal will be incorporated tomorrow, as the Tribunal is trying to find out the solution of the matter through conciliation. It is made clear to learned Counsel Mr. Warunjikar that I as a Judicial Officer trying to conciliate the matter for which there is no bar in any law and Registrar of this Tribunal is used to assist me. Unnecessarily the matter is prolonged and time of the Tribunal is consumed more than which is to be given to this matter.

6. In view of above, adjourned to 04.09.2024 at 10.15 a.m.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

**O.A.No.1050/2024 with O.A.No.1051/2024 with
O.A.No.1057/2024 with O.A.No.1063/2024**

A.G. Jagtap
C.M. Godse
A.D. Padwal
S.P. Dhande

....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicants in O.A.No.1050/2024, O.A.No.1051/2024 & O.A.No.1057/2024, Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.1063/2024, Mr. A.J. Chougule & Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents in O.A.No.1050/2024 & O.A.No.1051/2024 and O.A.No.1057/2024 & O.A.No.1063/2024 respectively.

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has produced the copy of muster. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit X-I. Copy is also furnished to learned P.O.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

4. Time granted. Adjourned to 04.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue till further orders.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.09.2024

O.A.No.1099/2024

A.S. AdurkarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.M. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer, for the Respondents.

2. Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to quash and set aside the impugned transfer order dated 22.08.2024 to the extent of applicant whereby the applicant was transferred from Commissionerate area of Thane City to Mumbai City and report at Shatinagar Police Station Bhiwandi Dist. Thane as P.I. (Crime) with immediate effect.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that within five months Applicant is transferred again to Mumbai.

4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.09.2024.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

10. Adjourned to 04.09.2024. Applicant is not to be relieved.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk