

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

OAs No.700/2022, 1048/2015, MA.189/15 in OA.613/19,
MA.465/2021 in OA.214/2020, OA.603/2021 with
MA.239/2022, OA.107/2021, OA.1043/2021, OA.24/2022
with OA.237/2022

R.R. Patil, S.C. Gadade, S.S. Chavan, S.K. Khomane,
P.R. Shelke, R.G. Palande, A.S. Jaiswal, M.S. Aitawade &
L.G. Dalvi ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar,
Smt. Punam Mahajan and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned
Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar,
learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. We direct the concerned Secretary, GAD to remain
present in this Tribunal for hearing on 8.9.2022 at 11.30 a.m.

3. Smt. P.S. Zadkar, I/C Registrar of this Tribunal is
directed to issue circular requesting all the advocates to give
numbers of their matters before the next date which are
covered under the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in
the case of **Union of India & Ors. Vs. K.V. Jankiraman &
Ors. 1991 AIR 2010 : 1991 SCR (3) 790**, as all these
matters will be heard on 8.9.2022.

4. Ld. CPO informs that she will get the name of the
concerned Secretary who is looking after the matters of
Departmental Enquiry.

5. All the concerned advocates are directed to submit
one page Synopsis in the Registry with one additional
photocopy in the office of Ld. CPO on or before 10.8.2022
and Ld. CPO is directed to give that photocopy to the
concerned Secretary of the Department so that concerned
officers is directed to remain present in the Tribunal.

6. S.O. to 8.9.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
3.8.2022

(sgl)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
3.8.2022

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

MA.456/2022 in C.A. No.8 of 2021 in O.A. No.848 of 2018
with
C.A. No.8 of 2021 in O.A. No.848 of 2018 with
M.A. No.344 of 2020 in O.A. No.848 of 2018

Rajendra L. Patil & Anr. ..Interveners
And
Rahul S. Salunkhe & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Interveners, Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar for the interveners submits that he has filed MA No.456/2022 for intervention in CA as interveners are effected by the show cause notice of reversion received on 28.7.2022 from the department. He submits that they are issued notice while implementing the order dated 25.7.2022 passed by this Tribunal in CA No.8 of 2021 in OA No.848/2018. Thus all 85 persons are given notice of reversion for which the interveners will give reply and they will be heard. However, in order to accommodate two applicants, 85 persons are going to be reverted. Ld. Advocate for interveners submits that at this stage reversion notice are to be stayed.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants opposes any modification in the order on two counts. Firstly MA for intervention is not maintainable in Contempt Application and secondly they want to recall the order dated 25.7.2022, which recalling is not maintainable in law.

4. We have considered the submissions. We are of the view that we want to understand whether order passed by the Tribunal is erroneously implemented thereby adversely causing those persons who are not parties. At this stage as MA for intervention is filed.

5. Issue notice returnable on 24.8.2022. Applicants and respondents to file reply. We direct that no adverse steps are to be taken after giving hearing to the Government employees who are served the notice of reversion.

6. S.O. to 24.8.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
3.8.2022

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
3.8.2022

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 752/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Nitin R. Garje ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to direct the Respondents to open the sealed cover kept by the DPC dated 22.8.2019 and promote the applicant to the post of Tahsildar, if found fit along with deemed date, pay and other consequential service benefits. 3. In view of the above prayer, learned C.P.O is directed to inform the department. 4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.8.2022. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed." 10. Learned C.P.O waives service of notice. 11. S.O to 10.8.2022. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.459 of 2022 in O.A. No.763 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.L. Patil & 13 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>3.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>3.8.2022</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.763 of 2022

R.L. Patil & 13 Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
3.8.2022

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
3.8.2022

(sgj)

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.609 of 2022</u></p> <p>N.I. Kochargi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.), Member (A) Chairperson 3.8.2022 3.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.659 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.N. Chore & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents and Ms. Suchita Pawar holding for Shri Sajeew B. Deore, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4 & 5.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks one weeks time to file MA for amendment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.8.2022 3.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.678 of 2022 with O.A. No.681 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.A. Sisal P.V. Kadam ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MPSC to file affidavit in respect of provision of equivalence to offset printing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.8.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 3.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.8.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>03.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 361/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Laxman K. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this Original Application, the applicant challenges the show cause notice dated 28.3.2022. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that after filing of the Original Application, the Respondent-State withdrew the said show cause notice and issued fresh show cause notice dated 6.5.2022 of terminating the services of the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to amend the prayer clause and some pleadings in view of issue of fresh show cause notice.</p> <p>4. Permission granted. Learned counsel is directed to amend the Original Application and serve copy of the same to the learned C.P.O.</p> <p>5. Affidavit in reply dated 3.8.2022 is filed on behalf of Respondent no. 2, through Nitin R. Donge, working as Deputy Assistant to the Director General of Police, M.S, Mumbai. The Respondents may file affidavit in reply to the amended portion of the Original Application. Learned C.P.O seeks time to go through the documents provided by the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>6. As the applicant is in service since 24.6.2021, hence, no coercive action be taken against the applicant till the next date.</p> <p>7. S.O to 18.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.262 of 2022 in O.A. No.758 of 2019</u> with <u>M.A. No.414 of 2019 in O.A. No.758 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.B. Bhosale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the present matter pertains to change in date of birth and this subject is assigned to Single Bench. Hence, the matter is to be placed the Single Bench.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant matter may be placed before Single Bench on 5.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.8.2022 3.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.301 of 2022 in O.A. No.464 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.D. Bavaskar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.8.2022 3.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.429 of 2022</u></p> <p>V. Narayan & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. No reply is filed till today except by MPSC. MPSC has filed reply dated 28.6.2022.</p> <p>3. No instructions are received by the office of Ld. CPO from the office of Higher and Technical Education. We keep the matter after two weeks to file reply. If reply is not filed, matter will be proceeded without reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.8.2022 3.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.285 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.K. Padmane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.10.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.8.2022 3.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application. No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.33 of 2022 in O.A. No.22 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.R. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that MA for extension of time will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 3.8.2022 3.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>03.08.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1020/2021</u></p> <p>Jayashree G Naik ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Talekar with Shri Krushna Agarwal, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will produce the translated copy of the two letters dated 29.11.2021 of the Deputy Director, Sports and Youth Services, Kolhapur and 26.11.2021 of the Commissioner, Sports and Youth Services, Pune.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.189/2020</u></p> <p>Shri S.A. Warang ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi holding for Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Mrs. Kololgi, learned P.O. requested for adjournment on the ground that Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O is not available due to personal difficulties.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08th August, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.08.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.662/2021</u></p> <p>Shri M.B. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order of punishment dated 12.06.2019 passed by Government and confirmed in appeal without quoting specific Rule under which it is passed. However, the impugned punishment seems to be of reduction in lower stage in time of scale of pay with further direction about increments and it seems to be passed invoking Rule 5(1)(v) of M.C.S. (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979, which is under the head of major penalty.</p> <p>3. As per Office Order dated 28.05.2021, the subject of minor penalty is assigned to this Bench.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the Registrar to verify and place the matter before appropriate Bench.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 17th August, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.08.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.472/2021</u></p> <p>Shri P.B. Chaudhari ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In O.A, the Applicant has challenged the orders dated 07.06.2021 whereby directions for recovery was issued.</p> <p>3. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Respondents by order dated 28.07.2022 called upon the Applicant to deposit Rs.51,051/- received by him towards excess payment and in pursuance of it, the Applicant has deposited the said amount in Treasury. He has tendered the order dated 28.07.2022 issued by Respondent No.6 and Challan. He, therefore, sought permission to file M.A.</p> <p>4. ^{for amendment} S.O. 24.08.2022. Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.08.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. 739/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Y.B. Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. As per order passed by the Tribunal on 29.07.2022, the Respondent No.2 was to pass appropriate order on the application made by the Applicant on 04.07.2022 thereby declining the promotion.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. on instructions submits that Applicant will have to first join the promotional post and thereafter only, further steps can be taken. Such stand is totally incorrect, since once a Government servant joined on promotional post, the question of refusing promotion will not arise and the decision on the application made by a Government servant declining promotion has to be taken by Respondent No.2 without compelling the Applicant to join the promotional post. Learned P.O requested for 3 days' time to take necessary steps.</p> <p>4. Time granted. S.O. to 05.08.2022. Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.08.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.103/2021</u></p> <p>Shri R.M. Sathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri B. Kumar holding for Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought adjournment on the ground that Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O is not available due to personal difficulties.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for final hearing to 10th August, 2022 as Part Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.08.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1227/2019</u></p> <p>Shri H.S. Khude ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Mrs. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requests for short time to produce record and sought adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12th August, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.08.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 03.08.2022

O.A. No.524 of 2022

**R.R. Nawghare,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri T.V. Dhotre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.
3. S.O. to 17.08.2022.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)**

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 03.08.2022

O.A. No.357 of 2022

R.V. Solankhe,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today leaned P.O. has filed Affidavit on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.
3. Since, pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.
4. S.O. to 02.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.515 of 2022 with O.A. No.516 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. Sawant,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. These O.As are filed for retirement dues as well as challenging on order dated 22.04.2021 whereby his absence was treated as his^{his}-non. O.A. therefore requires to be disposed of expeditiously. No further time will be granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.454 of 2022 in M.A. No.508 of 2021 in O.A. No.849 of 2021</p> <p>S.N. Thakur,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 & 3 is absent.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment so as to add Respondent No.4 in M.A. as well as O.A. The Applicant stands retired from Industrial Department but the said Department was not made party in M.A. as well as O.A.</p> <p>3. M.A. is for condonation of delay and O.A. is for retiral benefits. Since, Industrial Department is necessary party. M.A. is allowed. It be added as Respondent No.4 in M.A. as well as O.A. immediately.</p> <p>4. Prayer to correct prayer clause of M.A. No.508/2021 is also allowed. Amendment be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>5. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 03.08.2022

M.A. No.508 of 2021 in O.A. No.849 of 2021

**S.N. Thakur,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.**

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.S. Gaiwad, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 & 3 is absent.

2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. by way of last chance.

3. S.O. to 12.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 03.08.2022

O.A. No.637 of 2022

A.B. Sonake,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.
3. S.O. to 12.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.983 of 2021</p> <p>P. G. KokitkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that inadvertently he has not raised the issue of competency of Respondent No.2 – Chief Conservator of Forest, Kolhapur who had suspended the Applicant by order dated 31.07.2019.</p> <p>3. He, therefore, sought one week time to file M.A. for amendment.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.834 of 2019</p> <p>S. B. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted to file M.A. since she intends to add prayer for refund of the amount already recovered from the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.110 of 2020</p> <p>A.S.A.S. NadeemApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought time to file additional Affidavit along with some documents.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.822 of 2021</p> <p>R. N. RathodApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 05.08.2022 for taking instructions from the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.611 of 2022</p> <p>J. V. Lilke ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.4. S.O. to 24.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.08.2022

M. A. No.435 of 2022 in O.A.No.723 of 2022

Shri Ashok M. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Indrayani Koparkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.08.2022.

3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

6. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

7. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.651 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S. S. ShintreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In present O.A., the Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 26.08.2021 from Pune to Yavatmal. He was Professor of Orthopedics at Trauma Care Centre, Pune and transferred to Trauma Care Centre, Shri Vasantnao Naik, Medical College, Yavatmal on vacant post of Professor in Orthopedics.</p> <p>3. The transfer order is challenged on the ground that he was not due for transfer, no special case is made out for mid-term transfer and there is no approval of the Hon'ble Chief Minister.</p> <p>4. The Tribunal by order dated 30.08.2021 granted interim relief and Applicant is continued at Pune.</p> <p>5. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant has submitted that infact after impugned transfer order, the Applicant has tendered application for voluntary retirement on 27.05.2022 but it was not accepted by the Government stating that Applicant's services are required at Trauma Care Centre, Pune. Letter dated 24.06.2022 issued by the Government to that effect is also tendered for perusal of the Tribunal. It is further pointed out that the post of Professor in Orthopedics at Yavatmal is already filled in by appointing another candidate. [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. In aforesaid situation, now it is for the Government to clarify their stand on the issue referred to above, <u>it</u> is, therefore, necessary to know whether the Government wants to continue the Applicant at Trauma Care Centre, Pune and secondly to produce the record to know the reasons for transfer and whether it is approved by the competent authority.</p> <p>7. Smt. Archana B. K., learned P.O. stated that matter is being handled by Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. but she is not present today and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>8. Nobody is present from the department along with file though some responsible officer from the department ought to have remained present for hearing. It may be noted that as per request of the Government, the matters are listed as department wise but experience is that nobody is appearing from the department which again obstruct hearing of the matter.</p> <p>9. In view of above, the Additional Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department should take note of this and shall depute responsible person to remain present for hearing on next date with clarification on aforesaid issues.</p> <p>10. Steno copy is granted.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA No.129 of 2015 in OAs. No.142, 143 & 144 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.P. Nalawade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Copy of affidavit in reply dated 15.7.2022 filed by Vd. Govind Y. Khati, Director, Directorate of Ayush, MS. Mumbai is to be handed over to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.8.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 3.8.2022</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.148 of 2018</p> <p>J.M. Ingale,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. The perusal of record reveals that this O.A. has been filed in 2018 but there are certain office objections which are not complied with, and therefore matter was not listed for long time. It was listed for first time on 26.07.2022 for admission with office note that objections are not removed. 4. On 26.07.2022 Applicant and his Advocate both were absent therefore in the interest of justice matter was adjourned to given one opportunity to the Applicant. 5. However, today again the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent, they seems not interested in the matter. 6. In view of above, matter is dismissed in default with no order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.379 of 2022 in O.A. No.563 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. Panvalkar,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Shraddha Sapkal, learned Advocate holding for Shri P. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for adjournment stating that the Advocate on the record is busy in other Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.371 of 2022</p> <p>P.R. Shelke,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today leaned P.O. has filed Affidavit on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.473 of 2022</p> <p>D.D. Harale,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.476 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. A.M. Kadhikhaye,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and D. Lokhande, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3. 2. Today leaned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 – Zilla Parishad, Palghar. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. 4. S.O. to 10.08.2022. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.478 of 2022</p> <p>U.N. Funde,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant retired on 31.05.2021 but his retiral benefits were withheld. It is only after filing of O.A., some of the retiral benefits where paid. The Applicant is claiming interest on delayed payment since admittedly there is no D.E. or criminal case pending against him to withhold his retiral benefits.</p> <p>3. That apart, pay and allowances from June 2019 to 31.05.2021 were also not paid.</p> <p>4. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has also filed Additional Affidavit of the Applicant showing dates and amount paid towards retiral benefits for calculation of interest. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. sought one week time to file short Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.499 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. Patil,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.553 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. R.R. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 21.09.2021 whereby request of the Applicant for condonation of break in service and for treating Ad-hoc services for all service purposes stands rejected. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.08.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 29.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.08.2022 O.A. No.733 of 2022</p> <p>R.H. Aarsiddh,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 24.06.2022 whereby his request to change Date of birth as 02.07.1965 in place of 02.07.1964 has been rejected. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.09.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 02.09.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.453 of 2022 in O.A. No.750 of 2022</p> <p>T.A. Jadhav,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.08.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 29.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.431 of 2022 in O.A. No.713 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. V.N. Musale & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.713 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. V.N. Musale & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 08.07.2020 whereby request of the Applicant for condonation of break in service has been rejected though limitation for challenging communication dated, 08.07.2020 expired on 08.07.2021, In view of COVID-19 pandemic situation and order of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo-Motu No.03/2020 dated 10.01.2022, O.A. is within limitation. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.08.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 29.08.2022.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.749 of 2022 with O.A. No.751 of 2022</p> <p>K.S. Jaware, A.N. Ghodke,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In these O.A's the Applicants have challenged orders of recovery cancelling pay scale granted to them earlier during their period of service. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.08.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. In sofaras O.A. No.749/2022 is concerned the Applicant is retired Government servant group 'C' employee and now recovery of Rs.3,25,227/- (Three Lakhs Twenty Five Thousand Two Hundred and Twenty Seven Only) is sought from his Gratuity. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore prayed for interim relief in terms of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in *(2015) 4 SCC 334 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer))*.

10. In O.A. No.749/2022 by way of interim relief there shall be stay to the impugned order.

11. S.O. to 29.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

LATER ON

12. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that infact the amount of Rs. Rs.3,25,227/- (Three Lakhs Twenty Five Thousand Two Hundred and Twenty Seven Only) has been already deducted from the Gratuity of the Applicant and inadvertently he prayed for interim relief.

13. In view of above, the order of interim relief is recalled.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN