Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.07.2019.

for the Respondents.

 $\mathbf{2}$

O.A.No.514 of 2019

P. H. Pharande Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

....Applicant

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer

2. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the impugned order dated 26.03.2019 issued by District Treasury, Satara addressed to office of A.G. Mumbai for stoppage of pension of the Applicant with immediate effect. In fact, the Applicant stands retired in 2014 and all the service benefits were extended to him including regular pension till passing of the impugned order. The impugned order seems to have been issued in view of reference of name of the Applicant in O.A.No.975/2018 decided by this Tribunal on merit on 16.04.2019 whereby the O.A. was allowed and directions were issued to release the regular pension to Applicant Snri Lambhate.

3. The Applicant seems to be similarly situated person. As O.A.975/2018 is decided on merit, the Applicant primafacie seems entitled to the benefit of said judgment and abrupt stoppage of pension which the Applicant is enjoying from 2014 is prima-facie unjust. No prior notice before stoppage of pension was issued. Pension seems to have been stopped on the ground that his service was not regular.

4 On last date, though specific directions were given to learned P.O. to take instructions about finality of judgment passed in O.A.975/2018, today learned P.O. again requested for grant of time to take instructions from the department.

In view of above, I am inclined to grant interim relief 5. so that the pension which the Applicant was getting till passing of the impugned order. Prima-facie he is entitled to the benefit of decision in O.A.No.975/2018 on the point of absorption and regularization in service.

In view of above, interim relief is granted in view of 6. prayer clause 10(a) is granted.

7. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.

8. The matter is adjourned for filing repl

9. S.O. to 24.07.2019.

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

٨ Sd/-

vsm

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 03.07.2019. M.A. No.322 of 2019 with M.A.No.343 of 2019 in O.A.No.1019 of 2015 T. E. Sangale & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents. 1. Heard Shri Agashe, learned Counsel for the Applicants in M.A. Nos.322 and 343 of 2019, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.1019/2015~and~Smt.Kranti Gaikwad and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The judgment passed by this Tribunal in O.A.1019/2015 has been set aside by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.11725/2018 decided on 22.02.2019 and the matter has been remanded to this Tribunal. While remanding the matter, the Hon'ble High Court granted liberty to original applicant to implead Sub-Inspectors from other two categories in representative capacities so that the interest of other two categories will be safeguarded. 3. In view of the liberty granted by Hon'ble High Court these two M.A.s are filed. M.A.322/2019 is filed for permission to join the 4. applicants therein as Co-Applicants in O.A.1019/2015. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has no objection to add these applicants as Co-Applicants in O.A.1019/2015 stating that they are similarly situated persons on par with original Applicant.

Tribunal's orders

-2-

5. Whereas, M.A.No.343/2019 is filed by Smt. Mahajan to add Sub-Inspectors from other two categories in representative capacities as Respondent Nos.5 to 7 and 8 belonging to category of Rule 3(b) of Police Sub Inspector (Recruitment) Rules, 1995.

6. One more person namely Shri Suryakant Thorat is also named in M.A.No.343/2019 stating that he belongs to category of Rule 3(a) of Police Sub Inspector (Recruitment) Rule, 1995. In so far as Shri Suryakant Thorat is concerned, learned Counsel for the Applicant submitted that he needs to be impleaded as Respondent No.4 and not as co-applicant as he is likely to be affected person.

 Learned P.O. stated that she has no objection to implead all the above persons as requested in Misc. Applications.

 In view of above, the Applicants named in M.A.322/2019 be impleaded as co-applicants.

9. Whereas, the persons named in M.A.Mo.343/2019 as per scheduled (page no.18 of M.A.) be added as Respondent No.4, Respondent Nos.5 to 7 and Respondent No.8.

10. Amendment be carried out within seven days.

11. Accordingly, Misc. Applications are disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

	(A) (50,000—3-2017) MAHARASHTI	RA ADM MUN	INISTRATIVE TRIE IBAI	(Spl MAT-F-2 E. BUNAL
Original App	lication No.	of 20	District	
onginan ipp				Applicant/s
(Advocate	•••••••)		
		1101	rsus	
	The S		arashtra and others	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting (Officer	••••••)	
Appear	s, Office Memoranda of Cor ance, Tribunal's orders or ns and Registrar's arders		Tribunal's orders	
	*			
			0.A.1112 of 20)18
			A. M. Mali	Applicant
			Vs. The State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents
			Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learne Manchekar, learned Chief Respondent No.s.1 to 3. Non No.4. 2. Respondent Nos.1 to	Presenting Officer for e is present for Respondent 3 have already filed their
			Affidavit-in-Reply. No reply NO.4.	is filed by the Respondent
			 The matter is adjourn of admission. 	ed for hearing at the stage
			4. S.O. to 24.07.2019.	
				Sd/-
			{vsm}	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.07.2019

•

. . .

.....

.....

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (I IN THE MA	HARASHTRA A	(Spl. MAT-F-2 E. ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
Original Applicat	ion No. of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate) versus
	The State of	f Maharashtra and others
(Presenting Office	er	Respondent/s
Appearance	fice Memoraada of Coram, , Tribunal's orders or nd Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
		<u>O.A.53 of 2017</u>
		J. J. Khaire Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors Respondents 1. The Applicant and her Counsel are absent. Ms. N. G. Gohad, learned P.O. for Respondent Nos.1 to 3. None is present for Respondent No.4.
		 The Respondent No.1 as well as Respondent No.4 have already filed their Affidavit-in-Reply. The matter pertains to appointment of Police Patil and needs to be expedited. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
		5. S.O. to 24.07.2019. (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.07.2019 (vsm)

......

- --- - --- -

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-20)	17)
-----------------------------------	-----

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Ap	lication No.	of 20	DISTRI	CT
				Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
		ve	rsus	
	The Sta	te of Mah	arashtra and others	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting)	Officer)	·····
	0.00			
Appear	s, Office Memoranda of Coram rance, Tribunal's orders or ns and Registrar's orders	L, .	Tribunal's order	rs
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			<u>O.A.No.380</u> with	of 2019
			<u>M.A. No.231</u>	<u>of 2019</u>
		-	R. M. Kadale Vs.	Applicant
			The State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents
			1. The Applicant and h Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. f	is Counsel are absent. Heard or Respondents.
			Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf	or the Respondents has filed of the Respondent No.2 and f of all the Respondents. It is
		, ,	3. The matter is adjour of admission.	ned for hearing at the stage
			4. S .O. to 23.07.2019.	Sd/-
-			(vsm)	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.07.2019

Tribunal's orders

O.A.606 of 2019

Shri M.B. Shahane Vs. The State of Mah. & ors.

2

... Applicant

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. The Applicant seems to have been aggrieved by the transfer order dated 30.05.2017, whereby he was transferred from the office of Divisional Commissioner, Nashik to the office of Collector, Ahmednagar, Dist. Ahmednagar and in turn by virtue of order passed by the Collector, Ahmednagar, he was posted at Karjat, Dist. Ahmadnagar. He had filed the representation dated 03.06.2019 before the Respondent No.2 i.e. Divisional Commissioner, Revenue Division, Nasik Division, Nasik for cancellation of transfer and to accommodate him at Nashik.

3. In the present O.A., limited relief is sought for direction to the Respondent No.2 to consider his representation.

4. In view of above, O.A. can be disposed of with suitable direction. Hence the following order.

ORDER

- (A) Original Application is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 03.06.2019 made by the Applicant and to pass appropriate order as per rules within two months from today.
- (B) if the Applicant felt aggrieved by the order, he may avail further remedy in accordance to law.
- (C) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.07.2019

(vsm)

Office Notes,	office Memoranda of Coram,		
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or			
directions	and Registrar's orders		

Tribunal's orders

O.A.607 of 2019

A. D. Desale Vs.

 $\mathbf{2}$

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. **S**.O. to 17.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.07.2019

(vsm)

Tribunal's orders		
Date : 19.06.2019.		:
O.A.No.514 of	2019	
P. H. Pharande	Appl	icant
Versus		

 $\mathbf{2}$

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 26.03.2019 issued by District Treasury, Satara addressed to office of A.G. Mumbai for stoppage of pension of the Applicant with immediate effect. The Applicant stands retired in 2014 and all the service benefits were extended to him. He was getting regular pension till impugned order.

3. The issue seems relating to absorption and regularization in service. In O.A. No.975/2018 filed by Shri Balasaheb Lambhate in similar situation a communication for stoppage of pension was challenged. The Applicant appears to be similarly situated person. O.A. No.975/2018 is decided on merit on 16.04.2019. It appears that prima-facie the Applicant's case is governed by the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.975/2018.

4. In view of above, it is necessary to know about the finality of the judgment in O.A. No.975/2018. Respondent Nos.1 and 2 are, therefore, required to make their stand clear.

5. Respondent Nos.1 and 2 are, therefore, directed to clarify as to why the benefit of the judgment in O.A.No.975/2018 can't be extended to the present Applicant and shall apprise the Tribunal about the steps taken by them in respect of implementation of the directions given by this Tribunal in O.A.No.975/2018.

6. Learned P.O. is directed to apprise the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 about the observations made by the Tribunal as above and to take instructions so that this O.A. could be disposed of expeditiously. The issue of interim relief will be considered on next date.

7. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Tribunal's orders

Shri S.J. Mandare Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

2

... Applicant

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondents.

O.A.601/2019

2. In the present O.A, the challenge is to the suspension order dated 28th February, 2019. However, by order dated 27th June, 2019, the suspension has been revoked and the Applicant has been reinstated in service at Patan and not at the place of his original posting.

3. Though the Applicant is reinstated in service, the learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that the suspension itself is unsustainable, and therefore, the O.A. be decided on merit.

4. Issue notice returnable on 31st Julγ, 2019.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. **S**.O. to 31st July, 2019.

(skw)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.07.2019

2

Shri A.B. Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents Heard Shri S.S. Dere and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned 1. P.O. for Respondents. Issue notice returnable on 31st July, 2019. 2. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at 3. this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

Tribunal's orders

O.A.598/2019

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and aiternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. 5.O. to 31st July, 2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.07.2019

(skw)

Tribunal's orders

2

	O.A.604/2019	<u>!</u>
Shri A.C. Gherade		Applicant
Vs.		

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 31st July, 2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 31st July, 2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.07.2019

(skw)

Tribunal's orders

Smt. M.A. Sarvade Vs.

P.O. for Respondents.

2

1.

... Applicant

... Respondents

The State of Mah. & ors.

Heard K.R. jagdale and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned

2. Issue notice returnable on 31st July, 2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

O.A.605/2019

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. \$.0. to 31st July, 2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.07.2019

(skw)

	(A) (50,000-3-2017) MAHARASHTRA	ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
		MUMBAI
Original Ap	plication No.	- C 20
Original Ap	plication no.	of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate		
and vocabe	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
		versus
	The State	of Maharashtra and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting	Officer)
Office Note	s, Office Memoranda of Coram,	
Appea	rance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders
	ons and Registrar's orders	
		Date : 03.07.2019.
		O.A.No.1060 of 2017
		S. S. Charankar
		S. S. CharankarApplicant
		Versus
		The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
		1. Heard Shri G. M. Savagave, learned Counsel for the
		Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting
		Officer for the Respondents.
		2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed
		Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4.
		It is taken on record.
		3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of
		admission.
		4. S.O. to 17.07.2019.
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar)
		vsm Member (J)
	-	

- - -

÷

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA	ISPL- MAT-F-2 E. ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
Original Application No.	of 20 DISTRICT
(Advocate	versus
The Stat	e of Maharashtra and others
(Presenting Officer	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 03.07.2019. O.A.No.234 of 2019
	Dr. V. R. BhailumeApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the suspension order dated 28.02.2019 which was the day of
	retirement of the Applicant.
	3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that though the period of more than five months is over, no steps have been taken by the Respondents for issuance of charge-sheet nor provisional pension has been granted to the
	Applicant. Whereas, the learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.
	4. Two weeks time is granted as a last chance to file
	reply with direction to the Respondents to take appropriate steps immediately for grant of provisional pension and
	compliance be reported on the next date.
	5. S.O. to 17.07.2019.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

.....

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA	(Spl. MAT-F-2 E. ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
Original Application No.	of 20 DISTRICT
	Applicant/s
Advocate)
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 03.07.2019.
	O.A.No.1016 of 2018
	C. S. AtkarApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri Hire holding for Shri S. S. Dere, lear
	Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learn Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has fi
	reply on behalf of all Respondents. It is taken on record.
	3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage
	admission.
	4. S.O. to 23.07.2019.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar)
	Vsm
-	

	A) (50,0003-2017) IAHARASHTRA	ADMIN MUMBA	[Spl MAT-F-2 E. ISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
Original Applic	cation No.	of 20	DISTRICT
			Applicant/s
Advocate)	
		versus	
	The State		atra and others
			Respondent/s
Presenting Off	ficer)
Appearan	Office Memoranda of Coram, ace, Tribunal's orders or and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
		Date : (03.07.2019.
			O.A.No.617 of 2018
		S. V. Pa	ardeshi Applicant
		Versus	
		The Sta	ite of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
		Respon	The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the dents. The matter is fixed for hearing at the stage of
ļ		admissi	on but the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent
		though	the matter was called out in the morning session as in the afternoon session.
			Original Application is, therefore, dismissed in default
			order as to costs.
			Sd/-
			(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
		vsm	
	e.		

•

·····

	(A) (50,000—3-2017) MAHARASF	ITRA ADM MUM	INISTRATIVE TRI IBAI	[Spl MAT-F-2 E. BUNAL
Original App	lication No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Γ
				Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	т		<i>rsus</i> arashtr <mark>a and</mark> others	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting C) fficer)	
Appear	s, Office Memoranda o ance, Tribunal's orden ns and Registrar's on	sor	Tribunal's orders	
			<u>O.A.No.399 o</u>	2018
			P. V. Korpade	Applicant
			Vs.	
			The State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents
			1. The Applicant and his Smt. Archana B. K., learned P	s Counsel are absent. Heard .O. for Respondents.
			2. This matter was on yeat the stage of admission but the matter is taken on today'	
			 As the Applicant and matter is adjourned for hear to them. 	his Counsel are absent, the ing to give one opportunity
			4. S.O. to 24.07.2019.	
				Ŝd/-
				(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 0 <u>7</u> .07.2019

	9 (A) (50,000–3-2017) MAHARASHTRA		NISTRATIVE TRI	(Spl MAT-F-2 E. BUNAL
<u></u>		MUM		
Original Ap	plication No.	of 20	DISTRIC	T Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	The Stat	<i>vers</i> te of Meher	as ashtra and others	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting	Officer)	itespondentos
Арреа	es, Office Memoranda of Coram, rance, Tribunal's orders or		Tribunal's orders	
directi	ons and Registrar's orders			
			O.A. Nos.498, 499, 5 504, 505, 506, 507	
			R. D. Nanaware & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
			The State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents
			 Heard Smt. Punam Ma Applicants and Smt. Kranti Respondents. 	ahajan, learned Counsel fo Gaikwad, learned P.O. fo
		1	2. Today, learned P.O. filed Affidavit-in-Reply in 508/2 01 9. Those are taken on	for the Respondents has O.A.Nos.502, 503 and record.
			 The matters are adjo tage of admission. 	urned for hearing at the
			5.O. to 09.07.2019.	Ŝd/-
			(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J
				03.07.2019
		-		
	-			

	(A) (50,000-3-2017)	A TNR #TN				pl MAT-F-2 E.
IN THE	MAHARASHTRA	ADMIN MUMB		TIVE T	RIBUN	AL
Original App	lication No. o	f 20		Dist	FRICT	
						. Applicant/s
(Advocate)				
(Havocabe						
		versus	\$			
	The State	of Maharas	shtra and	others		
					•••••	Respondent/s
(Presenting)	þfficer)			
	s, Office Memoranda of Coram, ance, Tribunal's orders or		<u> </u>	Tribunal's or	ders	
	ns and Registrar's orders					
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				0.A.No.3	383 of 2019	1
			S. S. Jadh			Applicant
			Vs The State	of Mah. & or	s.	Respondents
			1. He the Applic Responde	ant and Smt.	am Mahaja . Kranti Gai	n, learned Counsel for kwad, learned P.O. for
				arned Coun n to withdrav		the Applicant seeks
			3. All	owed to with	idraw the C).А.
			4. Ac with no o	cordingly, Oi rder as to cos	riginal App ts.	lication is disposed of
						Sd/-
					(A.P N	. Kurhekar) 1ember-J 3.07.2019
	-					
	P. Contraction of the second sec	1				

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA	ADMINIS' MUMBAI	TRATIVE TRIB	(Spl. MAT-F-2 E. UNAL
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The State	of Maharashtra	and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
		<u>O.A. No.344 of</u>	2019
	R. S.	Rathod	Applicant
	The	Vs. State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri B. A. Band Applicant and Smt. Archa condents.	liwadekar, learned Counsel ana B. K., learned P.O. for
		y is under typing and will nearing. Statement is a	Respondents submits that I be filed during the course accepted. It be taken on
	3. of a	The matter is adjourn dmission.	ed for hearing at the stage
	4.	S.O. to 23.07.2019.	
			Sd/-
			(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.07.2019

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

Original Application No.

..... Applicant/s

DISTRICT

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.As. No.923, 1001 & 1023 of 2018		

.....

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The State o	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	······································
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>03.07.2019</u>
· · ·	M.A 319/2019 in O.A 195/2018
DATE: 3/2/2019 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Spri A. H. Joshi (Chairmen) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A), MC-KA APPEATIONCE: Shri/Sm: S. S. Deve	 A.K Ligade & Ors Intervenor Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the Intervenor and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. M.A allowed. Applicant herein be added as Respondents. Applicant should carry out necessary amendment in O.A.
Advocate ins the Applicant	Sd/- Sd/-
Shri/Smt the elim a Gohad C.F /P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to MA allawa MA	(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) Akn

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
Onginal reprint 100			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
(Auvocate			
	ver.	sus	
The S	tate of Maha	rashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
		میں	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cor Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders O.A. No.888 of 2018 with M.A.	No.224 of 2019
·	A.S. 5	Shirsath & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The S	tate of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	and M	Heard Shri Kaustubh Gidh, lea hri Kranti L.C., learned Advoca Is. Neelima Gohad, learned Pre- ondents.	ate for the Applicant
	2. time	Ld. Advocate for the applicate of the rejoinder.	nts seeks two week
	3.	S.O. to 16.7.2019.	
DATE: 312/2019 CORAM: Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairmen) Honble Shill A. Divit Member (A)- VIC-A APPEAF Shri/Sail Kaugtubh Gidh L. Art Noldry for shri Kranki L.C. Advocate Explorant Shri/Smt.: <u>Accdima</u> Gohod C.F. /P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./ S.O. to <u>1612</u> , 2019.	J. (sgj)	Vice-0	Sd/ N. Dixit) Chairman (A) 9.7.2019
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

N THE MAHARASHTRA AD MU	MBAI	
25119 14 A.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 2	0	ana an Taona
IN		
Driginal Application No. 928 of	20 18.	
FARAD CONTIN	UATION SHEET NO).
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	rs
	Date : 03.07.2019.	
DATE : 317119	C.A.No.25 of 2019 in	0.A.No.828 of 2018
CORAM :	D.J. Ambilwade	Applicant
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	Versus	
APPEARANCE:	The 5tate of Maharashtra &	Ors Respondents
Shrijsta DJ- Dubi woodery forson	1. Heard Shri D.J. Amb	ilwade, Applicant in pers
Advocate for the spatia ant	and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, lear	
Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchelcad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s	for the Respondents.	_
Adj./S.O. to	2. C.A. to come up for he	earing after decision of O.A
after decision of 0A.		
L	:	Sd/-
		(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman
	prk	

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	of 20	Dis	TRICT
Original Application No.	01 20		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	i	versus	
The Sta	te of M	aharashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coran Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	a ,	Tribunal's	orders
		<u>0.A.24</u>	1/2019
·		Shri V.V. Punathil Vs.	Applicant
		The State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents
		1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiw learned P.O. for Respondents.	adekar and Smt. A.B. Kololgi,
		2. The learned Advocat Affidavit-in-rejoinder. The sa	e for the Applicant has filed me is taken on record.
		3. S.O. to 23 rd July, 2019	Sd/-
			(A.P. Kurhekar)
		(skw)	Member-J 03.07.2019

G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA	ADMINIST MUMBAI	(Spl. MAT-F-2 E. RATIVE TRIBUNAL
Driginal Application No.	f 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
Advocate)	
	versus	
The State	of Maharashtra a	ad others
		Respondent/s
resenting Officer	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunai's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
	 The A Smt. Archana Respondents. The m admission white 3. The Ap 	O.A.No.699 of 2018 nlliApplicant Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. applicant and his Counsel are absent. Hear B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the matter is fixed for hearing at the stage of the pertains to the appointment of Police Patil. plicant seems not interested in the matter. I Application is, therefore, dismissed in default
	vsm	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA	ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUN MUMBAI	Spl MAT-F-2 NAL
Original Application No.	of 20 District	Applican
(Advocate)	
The Stat	<i>versus</i> e of Maharashtr a and others	
		Responden
(Presenting Officer	······)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A 195/2018</u>	
	Shri A. T Za nje Ap Vs.	pplicants
		espondents
	 Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, lear Respondents. 	
	2. Learned advocate Shri Dere wa added Respondents.	tives service
STAN: A Die Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	 Learned advocate prays for time for weeks' time is granted. 	or filing reply
Han'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) VC-A APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt D. D. Vta jyc	4. S.O to 16.7.2019.)
Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>rtk.clivna</u> Grhod C.i. /P.O. for the Respondent/s	Sd/- (P.NlDixit) (A.H	/ Sd/- Joshi, (1)
Adu S.O. 10	Vice-Chairman (A) Ch	airman V
•		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
· · ·	
	<u>03.07.2019</u>
	<u>O.A 1052/2018</u>
	Shri A. T Zanje Applicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
• .	Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned advocate for the applicant prays that he wants leave to amend for substituting memo of O.A for incorporating subsequent development on record.
	8. Leave for substitution is granted. Learned advocate undertakes to carry out the substitution today.
	In view that O.A is substituted, affidavit filed by state be removed and kept in second part.
	5. Issue fresh notice after amendment returnable on 16.7.2019.
	6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
DATE: <u>3\2\19</u> CORAM: Hon'ble decides Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble decides Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
APPErson D.B. Khaive	8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 1 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as imitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
Shrivsoni. Itechima. Folled GF. JP.O. Tor 100 Respondent/s Adj./ S.O. to Iszur. fresh notice. tr gmondment vetumable	9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ peed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
1 1 C(7) 2 alg.	0. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.
	1. S.O to 16.7.2019.
	Sd/- Sd/-
	(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) (A.H Joshi, J.)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. (G.C.P.) 2959 (A) (50,000--3-2017) . IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

of 20

Original Application No.

٠

			Applicant/s
(Advoo	ate)	
		versus	
	The State of	f Mahar a shtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Prese	nting Officer)	
Off	ce Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.482 of 2019	
		B.M. Y a dav	Applicant
		Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learn the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 and 3 Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Responde	l Chief Presenting Shri Abhijeet M.
		2. Ld. CPO states that reply would be course of the day.	e filed during the
DATE :	31212019	3. Ld. Advocate for Respondents No. reply would be filed during the eourse of t early hearing of the matter as the ad-interi granted and which is adversely effecting him	the day and seeks m relief has been
Henible Justice Honible State APE	N. Dixit Member (A) - $V(C - H)$	4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seek to file rejoinder.	s two weeks time
Shn/Sc	pplicant	5. S.O. to 9.7.2019 for hearing before I	Division Bench.
Shrt/Smt.	the Respondent's 142. et M. PSM much Ld. Adv.	So (P.N'. D	d/-
Ad j./ S.O. t o .	For R. NO. 3 to 5.	Vice-Chain 3.7.20	man (A)
S.o.te	9/7/2019 for hearing	(sgj)	
hefore	Division Bench.		

Ry-Z

DISTRICT

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

MUMBAI				
Original Application No.	of 20	Dis	rrict Applicant/s	
(Advocate)			
	versi	18		
The Sta	ate of Mahar	ashtra and others		
			Respondent/s	
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coran Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	1 ,	Tribunal's or	ders	
	<u>03.01</u>	7.2019		
		<u>O.A no 21/201</u>	9	
ATE: 31712019 DORAM: ton'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'	The S 1. learn Gaiky 2. perm. 3. 4. 4. (P.J	ed advocate for the applic vad, learned C.P.O for the	aindad, i/b Shri A.A Desai, ant and Smt Kranti S. Respondents. the applicant prays for	
<u>H</u>	£			

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

į

	(A) (50,000-3-2017) MAHARASHTI		MINISTRATIVE TRIB	(Spl MAT-F-2 E. UNAL
Original App	lication No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
		υ	versus	
	The S	tate of Ma	harashtra and others	Pagnondont/g
(Presenting)	Officer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Respondent/s
Appea	s, Office Memoranda of Cor rance, Tribunal's orders or ons and Registrar's orders	am,	Tribunal's orders	
<u> </u>			03.07.2019	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			<u>M.A 321/2019 in O.A</u>	886/2017
			The State of Maharashtra & Ors	Applicants (Ori Respondents)
			Vs. Shri S.H Sakharwade	Respondent (Ori Applicant)
			1. Heard Ms Swati Manchek for the applicants (Ori Respond Bandiwadekar, learned for th Applicant).	
APPEARANCE	Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Dixit Member (A) VIC-1 P. (Agn/Chc/Car	A	2. Perused the Misc Applicat does not contain even single reaso to challenge the order passed in delayed.	on as to why decision
Shri/Smt	Applicant (079. Roff N. Bandindalle ine Respondents (079. 1 M. A. is dismis	~ ~ 0.01.2	3. Request for extension of tin dismissed.	me is rejected. M.A is
Adj./ S.O. to	M.A. is Mis	ged yete	Sd/- (P.首 Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)	-/bS -/bS -/billion
		· ·	Akn	Chairmah

•

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

03.07.2019

O.A 916/2016 with M.A 468/2018 in O.A 916/2016 with O.A 1099/2016

Shri M.B. Patil & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 916/2016, Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for applicants in O.A 1099/2016, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Res. Nos 4 to 16.

2. Learned CPO is directed to secure instructions as to the reason and stage where the file is stagnated and ensure that it moves ahead as far as possible overnight.

3. S.O to 4.7.2019.

4. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned C.P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-(P. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)

(A.H Joshi,UX)* Chairman

4. ACVOCATE for the Asystem, CO.A. 916/2016) Shril M.D. Lowker Ld. Adv. for A. 916/2016) Shril M.D. Lowker Ld. Adv. for A. 916/2016) C.F. J.P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 + 03. Adv. A. W. Bardiruk deller for A. 4+016.

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairmen) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

37

DATE :

CORAM:

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017) IN THE MAHABASHTRA A	(Spl. MAT-F-2 E. DMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
	TUMBAI
Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The State o	f Maharashtra and others
(Presenting Officer	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's ordars	Tribunal's orders
	03.07.2019
	<u>0.A 943/2016</u>
DATE : 31-3-119. CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Stri A. H. Joshi (Chairmen)	Dr C.G Gaikwad Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
APPEN $Articles$ Shri/Smi. $Articles$ Advocate or the Applicant Shri/Smi. S R $perpendents$ C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to 477.19	1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
L	2. Due to paucity of time, S.O to 4.7.2019.
	Sd/-
	(A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman
	Aim

	ISpl. MAT-F-2 E. DMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL UMBAI
Original Application No. of 20	
	Applicant/s
(Advocate	
The State of I	versus Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	03.07.2019
	R.A 3/2017 in O.A 546/2016
DATE : 37119. CORAM :	Shri A .L Jadhav Applicant
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. P. C. Bandlel. Advocse for the Applicant Shri/Sm. C. S. Cui Cool C.P.O/PD. for the Respondent/s	1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
Adj./5.0 10 4/7/19 ar ce Adj./5.0 10 4/7/19 ar ce Adj./5.0 10 4/7/19 ar ce	2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O to 4.7.2019.
£	Sd/- (A.H Joshi, (J.) Chairman
•	Akn

.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20	DISTRICT	
		Applicant/s
Advocate)	
	versus	
The State of N	Aaharashtra and others	
	naltarashing and owners	Propendant/a
	, ,	Respondent/s
Presenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders	
directions and Registrar's orders		
	03.07.2019	
	<u>C.A 23/2019 in O.A</u>	No 76/2018
	<u>0.4 20/ 2019 III 0.4</u>	10 70/2018
DATE : 217/19.	Shri N.P. Waghmare	Applicant
CORAN: Honorble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	Vs. The State of Maharashtra 8	
APPEARANCE:	The State of Manarashtra (s ors Respondents
shristi k R Jugdale	1. Heard Shri K.R Jagd	lale, learned advocate
Advocate for the Applicant	for the applicant and	
Shri/Shri at 6 Gehad	learned Presenting Officer f	
C P C 1.0. for the Respondent/s		
Adis to Heard	2. Disposed of as with	drawn with liberty to
Adi to heado Disposal of as winda wa with Liberty to file forest	file fresh contempt after	personal notice if
to file fresh	occasion arises.	
C · A ·	·	D
L		У
·		Sd/-
		(A.H Josht, J.)
		Chairman
	Akn	

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

DATE: 317119.

Hwrbie Justice Shr. A. H. Joshi (Cnairman)

StarSan O.V. Bareli walt

Shivismi 5. p. mauelab C.P. M. Or the Respondent/s

Adjust of id shrelede

for the obolical.

CORAM :

APPEADANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Tribunal's orders

03.07.2019

O.A No 466 with 467/2019

Dr R. D Katke (O.A 466/2019) Dr M.S Rewadkar (O.A 467/2019) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, S.O to 18.7.2019.

Sd/·

(A.H Joshi)(J.) Chairman

Akn

Ľ

Ø

Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

ï

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

...

MUMBAI					
Original Application No. of 1	20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s		
(Advocate)				
	versus				
The State of	f Maharashtra and others				
		• • • • •	Respondent/s		
(Presenting Officer)				
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		P s orders 0.338 of 2019			
	C.S. Bharude		Applicant		
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra &	Ors.	Respondents		
	Heard Shri M.B. Ka for Shri J.N. Kamble, lear and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, l for the Respondents.	ned Advocate	for the Applicant		
	2. Ld. CPO states that in their office. Ld. Advoc copy of OA is served in the	eate for the A	pplicant states that		
	3. S.O. to 4.7.2019.				
-1		S	6d/-		
DAME: 372019 COBANE: Hundle Shn. P. N. Dixit Hor blo Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chainner) Hor blo Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Alember) A	(sgj)	Vice-Cha	Dixit) irman (A) 2019		
Shingf. M.B. Kadam hulding for Smil. J. N. Kamble Shin Sun & P. Meinehekar C.P.O./ Ho Tordo Top white					
Ad To 417/2019					

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50.000-3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA []	ADMINISTRATIVE ' MUMBAI	[Spl MAT-F-2 E. TRIBUNAL
Original Application No. of	20 E	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State	of Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's O.A. No.8	
	R.V. Khandekar	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Or	sRespondents
	Applicant and AdvocateHeard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, leadfor the Respondents.2.Ld. CPO seeks one weet3.S.O. to 8.7.2019.	
		Sd/-
DATE STADLOIN CORNELLING A HI. P. N. Dixit (VILIA Here Honolds a hit. P. N. Dixit (VILIA Here None for the Applicant Advant None for the Applicant Advant S. P. Monchekar CPO PAU for the Reputients and To <u>8 107/2019</u>) (sgj)	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.7.2019

. --

av ...

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTI	RA ADMINI MUMBA		SUNAL
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versu s		
The S	tate of Mabarash	tra and others	
(Presenting Officer)	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Car Appearance. Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	am.	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.14 of 201	8
	Dr. R.S. Sh		Applicant
	Vs. The State o	f Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	for Shri P. Smt. K.S. Respondent	rd Shri M.B. Kadam, learn V. Patil, learned Advocate Gaikwad, learned Presen ts. Advocate for the Applicat	for the Applicant and ting Officer for the
	rejoinder.	. to 15.7,2019.	
		. 10 13.7.2017.	
		Vice-Cl	Sd/- N. ⁽ Dixit) hairman (A) 7.2019
B: 37/2019 10:11 Hanble, Shri, P.N. Dixit, (V 10:11 Hanble, Shri, P.N. Dixit, (V	((sgj)		
Shri. P.V. Fabil Shri. P.V. Fabil Shri. K.S. Goikcoad	for		
To 15/07/2019			

	of 20	DISTRICT	
Original Application No.	01 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/
(Advocate)		
	versus	·	
1	The State of Maharashtra	and others	
			Respondent/
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes. Office Memoranda Appearance. Tribunal's orde directions and Registrar's c	rs or	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.313 of 20	19
	R.A. Nagargoj Vs.	e & Ors.	Applicants
		faharashtra & Ors.	Respondent
	the Applicant	Smt. Punam Mahajan, and Ms. S.P. Mancl icer for the Respondents.	nekar, learned Cl
	2. Ld. Ad to file rejoinde	vocate for the applicant s	seeks one week's ti
	3. S.O. to	16.7.2019.	
			Sd/-
B: 372019 AM Honfle, Stripp N. Qix	i+ (VILA) (sgi)	Vice-C	N.IDixit) hairman (A) 7.2019
(ble thri M. Burnshlumar (Morsher) A			
mt. Punam Mahayan Smt. & P. Manchekar 16/07/2019	8		

.1.

i

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT Applicant/
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State	e of Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance. Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal' <u>O.A. No.1</u>	s orders 134 of 2018
	R.K. Bhosale Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & C	DrsRespondent
ME: 3/7/2019 BADE: B'blo Justice Shrid. H. Joshi (Chairman) m'ble Shrid. Rameshkumar (Member) A Hongle. Shrid. P.N. Dixit (VICA) PEARANCE: MSmt.: Punaro Mahajan Wozate for the Applicant H/3mi: S. P. Manchel/ar O/PO. for the Applicant	 the Applicant and Ms. S. Presenting Officer for the Res 2. Ld. Advocate for the application dated 28.6.2019 of to withdraw the above OA v required. Application dated and marked 'X' for identification 	spondents. e applicant tenders withdra of the applicant and seeks le with liberty to file fresh OA d 28.6.2019 is taken on rec tion. OA is allowed to be withdra
Witndrawn.		(P.N.Dixit)
Autobur ide die Applicante Stat /Sant C.A.O./ P.O. Ide are Respondente C.A.O. P.O. P.O. Ide are Respondente AA. To-	(sgj)	Vice-Chairman (A) 3.7.2019
Davanie Marice Shi A. H. Joani (Memory Hoa bie Marice Shi A. H. Joani (Memory Meridanie : Alfendance :		

	(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI						
Origina	Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s			
(Advoca	ite)		Appicality			
		versu s					
	The State	e of Mahar as htr	a and others				
(Presen	ting Officer)	Respondent/s			
	e Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or irections and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders O.A. No.223 of 20	19			
		P.P. Patil		Applicant			
		Vs. The State of	Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents			
		Heard Ms. S. for the Respo 2. I.d. C 3. Admi	cant and Advocate for the P. Manchekar, learned Ch indents. PO states that reply has be t. To come up for final o file rejoinder, if any.	nief Presenting Officer een filed.			
				Sd/-			
COBAM: 9 Her His Justic Her His ganta Altr. Acath His - N	Komestikumer (Chairmen) Komestikumer (Member) A 1: 10Me. for the Applicant	(sgj)	Vice-C	N. Dixit) hairman (A) 7.2019			
Rep	8. P. Munchelkan 7 filed by Applicant mit						