

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1172 OF 2016****S.M. Govande****....Applicant.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.**

Shri S.B. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 03.07.2017.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri S.B. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. It is seen that amended O.A. is served on the Respondents on 15.06.2017.
3. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that Shri Yashwant S. Chatekar, Junior Clerk, Small Scale Irrigation, (Water Conservation), Division Sangli has arrived to give instructions.
4. It is seen that the subject matter to challenge is the charge-sheet by the Government which is signed by the Deputy Secretary of the Water Resources Department. It is shocking that the Government is not serious in contesting the matter, in the background that the charge-sheet is issued by the Government and a Clerk from the office of Irrigation Division is sent for giving instructions.
5. After above observation was dictated learned P.O. had sought time and contacted the Department. Later on Shri Jadhav, Section Officer, Water Resources Department, Mantralaya has arrived to give instructions to learned P.O..
6. This Tribunal had made an enquiry as to whether the Principal Secretary, concerned has himself read the papers and the law before signing the office note, proposing to issue charge-sheet under challenge.



7. Learned P.O. has taken instructions from the officer who has arrived and on instructions states that the Principal Secretary has read the papers and thereafter endorsed the file for the signature of the Hon'ble Minister concerned, and after approval of the Hon'ble Minister, the charge-sheet has been issued.

8. It is seen that the Applicant has raised two grounds inter alia various other grounds. These two important grounds are namely as follows :-

- (a) The charge-sheet is in violation of the bar created by virtue of 27(2)(b)(ii) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.
- (b) Other delinquents to whom the composite charge-sheet consisting of same charge-sheets on facts was issued of which transaction present applicant was a co-delinquent, have been cleared off of the said mis-conduct.

9. In the above background, this Tribunal has raised queries as to whether the Principal Secretary who plays the role, not only of the officer in the chain of hierarchy, but also functions as a legal advisor, was himself expected to read the provisions of Rules 27 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 and then to decide as to whether service of charge-sheet was to be legal due to bar carved out in Rule 27(2)(b)(ii) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.

10. Whatever may be the history now this Tribunal wants the Principal Secretary to do the some exercise.

11. Learned P.O. is directed to furnish the name of the incumbent holding the post of Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mantralaya.

12. Learned P.O. has furnished the following name :-

Shri I.S. Chahal, Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mantralaya.

13. Shri I.S. Chahal, Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mantralaya is directed as follows :-

- (a) Call for the entire papers, including present O.A., charge-sheet, entire file in relation thereto.
- (b) Read all papers himself.
- (c) Peruse the contents of the scheme of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 with special focus on the bar created by virtue of 27(2)(b)(ii) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.

- (d) Calculate / reckon and identify exact dates with reference to which the imputations are contained in the charge-sheet for the mis-conduct alleged against the Applicant.
- (e) Calculate days from the commission of alleged mis-conduct and the date of issuance of charge-sheet.
- (f) Find out whether issuance of charge-sheet caused within the tooth of the bar created by the Rule 27 aforesaid.
- (g) After examining all this, file affidavit-in-reply where impugned charge-sheet is legal and competent.

14. Learned P.O. states that since, Assembly Session is going to commence in this week, Principal Secretary may be engaged in the work related to the Assembly and therefore longer time may be granted.

15. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for four week's time.

16. Whatever may be the volume of work at hand, for an officer of the rank of Principal Secretary, an hour may be too much for studying present case, particularly, when he is assisted by large battery of seasoned officers working under him. Therefore, request for four week's for filing affidavit is not acceptable.

17. Affidavit on the foregoing points stated in paragraph 13 may be filed on or before 27.07.2017.

18. S.O. to 27.07.2017.

19. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)

Chairman

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A. No.1004 of 2015 with
M.A. 267 of 2017 with O.A. 194 of 2016 with
M.A.264 of 2017 with O.A.410 of 2016 with
M.A.265 of 2017 with O.A.1173 of 2016 with
M.A. 266 with O.A.74 of 2017 with M.A. 262
of 2017 with O.A.75 of 2017 with M.A.263 of
2017**

**S.L. Devare & 73 Ors, C.J. Kamble & Ors.
N.M. Jagtap, D.V. Keluskar & Ors.
S.B. Lingawat, K.C. Pendurkar ... Applicants
V/s.**

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D.B. Khaire, Spl. Counsel for Govt. with Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The present are the applications for amendment to the Part-Heard OAs. Hereby on the basis of the facts such as they are already pleaded, certain prayers are sought to be incorporated. Although Shri D.B. Khaire, Spl. Counsel for the Respondents strongly opposes the application, I decide against pro-longing the matters by way of reply etc. because in my opinion, the present applications survive the test of law of amendments and do not take other side by surprise especially irretrievable. The Respondents shall have an opportunity to file the additional Affidavit-in-Reply.

The Misc. Applications for amendment of the respective OAs are allowed. The amendments as per the scheduled hereto be incorporated within one week from today. Consolidated copies of the OA after amendment be filed and copies be furnished to the other side. Post-amendment OAs are adjourned for additional Affidavits-in-Reply, if any to 14.07.2017.

Misc. Applications are allowed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 3-7-17
Member (J)
03.07.2017

Vsm

DATE: 3/7/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJ V. AGARWAL~~
~~(Secy Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/ Smt. K.R. Jagdale~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. D.B. Khaire

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Sp. Counsel with Archana

B.K., P.O.

A.G. To

M.As. are allowed.

O.A. Adj. to 14/7/17

← Groupy

PH.
[Signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.184 of 2017

Dr. K.S. Jagtap ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri Umesh Rathod, Section Officer, P.W.D., Mantralaya, Mumbai. I have pulled up the said Section Officer Shri Rathod for having not appeared before the Tribunal in time. However, as of today, his apology is accepted. Learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.

Original Application was filed on 09.03.2017, it was then adjourned to 25.04.2017 and then to 12.06.2017 and then today. Time was more than sufficient for the said Affidavit to be filed. The request for further time is therefore rejected specially because of the facts and circumstances such as they are in this OA.

Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing but it is made clear that if on that day at least if the reply is tendered, it will be taken on record but no adjournment shall be given for the said purpose. In case the Affidavit-in-Reply is filed, the Respondents shall deal with the issue pertaining to Dr. A.P. Thigle because it is the case of the Applicant that he is similarly placed as the said Shri Thigle in whose case after the decision of this Tribunal and order has been made by the Respondents. There ^{shall} be no discrimination between two similarly placed personnel. Adjourned to 17.07.2017. Hamdast.

DATE: 3/7/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

CEO / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: O.A. is admitted

S.O. to 17/7/17.

FH
@17

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
03.07.2017

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.597 of 2017

Shri B.A. Chache & Ors. ... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 07.08.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 07.08.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
03.07.2017

DATE: 3/7/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL~~

(Vice-Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

ADVOCATES:

~~Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. Archana B.K.

P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 7/8/17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>3/7/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri E. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>G.A. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.</u> CFO/P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>M.A. is allowed</u> <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.271 of 2017</u> In <u>O.A. No.597 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri B.A. Chache & Ors. ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 03.07.17 Member (J) 03.07.2017</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.79 of 2016 in O.A. No.677 of 2012

Dr. V.R. Tidke ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO states that he has received instruction from the respondents that the office of Accountant General needs a week's time.

3. The Tribunal distinctly remembers that earlier in another case, when AG wanted time and we were curious about delay, a statement was made by the office of AG that whenever cases where court orders are passed, such cases would be most expeditiously decided.

4. In this background, hearing is adjourned at the request of Ld. PO to 5.7.2017 to ascertain from the office of Accountant General as to how many cases are pending in the office of AG in which orders of court are passed and cases are waiting in queue for their turn to come and with that modality, date before which, applicant's pension case would be decided.

5. S.O. to 5.7.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
3.7.2017

(sgj)

DATE: 3/7/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Bent: B.A. Bandiwadekar

Applicant for the Applicant

Shri/Bent: H. G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 5/7/2017

BTE

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.350 OF 2017

B.A. Bandkar

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

Smt. V.K. Jagdale, the learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 03.07.2017.

O R D E R

1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, the learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This Tribunal passed order on 27.04.2017 and gave directions in paragraph 2 asking learned P.O. to secure instructions on the points stated therein.
3. The order and notice was served on the Respondents on 05.05.2017.
4. Learned P.O. requested on 22.06.2017 that time is required. Therefore on 22.06.2017 this Tribunal passed order and directed as follows :-

“Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya is directed to file his own affidavit-in-reply stating the time frame within which applicant’s appeal would be decided.”

(Quoted paragraph 4 of the order dated 22.06.2017.)
5. Today learned P.O. states that instructions are still awaited and by way of last chance learned P.O. wants one week’s time.
6. It is very serious that inspite of specific direction Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, has not filed his own affidavit in terms of the directions contained in order dated 22.06.2017.

7. Learned P.O. is directed to furnish the name of the incumbent holding the post of Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya.
8. Learned P.O. has furnished the following name :-
Shri Shree Kant Singh, Principal Secretary / Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department.
9. Shri Shree Kant Singh, Principal Secretary / Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department is called to show cause as to why he should not be personally saddled with costs of Rs.5,000/- towards his failure to take cognizance and make compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal on 22.06.2017.
10. Learned P.O. was called to state as to whether it is possible to her to communicate this order to the Respondents.
11. Learned P.O. states that though response from the Home Department has been extremely cold still learned P.O. undertakes to communicate this order.
12. S.O. to 12.07.2017.
13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi (.)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.605 OF 2017**

Shri Prakash S. Lomate ..Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Smt. Punam Mahajan – Advocate for the Applicant has filed leave note
Smt. K.S. Gaikwad – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman
DATE : 3rd July, 2017

ORDER

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed leave note. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 3.8.2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. The applicant claims that he should get the same and similar treatment which Shri Nagnath Dnyandeo Khaire applicant in OA No.283 of 2016 is going to get by virtue of judgment and order dated 3.5.2016 passed by this Tribunal.


8. The record shows that the respondents want to carry the judgment and order dated 3.5.2016 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.283 of 2016 before the Hon'ble High Court.

9. The Respondent no.3 is directed to file short affidavit stating as to whether the Government has taken steps necessary for challenging the order passed by this Tribunal in the aforesaid OA No.283/16 and also whether any stay is granted by Hon'ble High Court?

10. Whether reply to OA is necessary will be considered after filing of the said affidavit. Respondent No.3 shall also state the reasons why the action is delayed when the decision to challenge appears to be already taken.

11. For response/affidavit, adjourned to 3.8.2017.

12. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.


Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
3.7.2017

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

O.A. No.415 of 2017**Shri R.B. Palve & 1 Anr. ... Applicant****V/s.****The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-Reply of the 3rd Respondent is taken on record. Although the learned P.O. seeks adjournment for Reply of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 for regard being had to the facts I am of the view that the OA can be admitted and if the Reply is required to be filed, it must be filed on the next date.

Learned Advocate states that no Rejoinder will be filed by the Applicant.

Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 17.07.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
03.07.2017

DATE: 3/7/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Reply filed by R.No. 3.

O.A. is admitted.

S.O. to 17/7/17.

FH
Cof

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.38 of 2017 in O.A. No.653 of 2015

Shri D.B. Bagayatkar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Mohan Rawat, learned Advocate holding
for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant
and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the
Respondents.

DATE: 3/7/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Mohan Rawat Ld. Adv.
holding for Adv. R.G. Panchal
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To..... 29/7/2017.....

BTe

2. Ld. PO states that the Government has issued order dated 30.6.2017, copy whereof is supplied to the Ld. Advocate for the Applicant.
3. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant prays for two weeks time to take instructions.
4. Time granted.
5. S.O. to 28.7.2017. Q

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman
3.7.2017

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>3/7/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>10/7/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>13/7/17</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.7 of 2017 in O.A. No.956, 957 & 958 of 2014 with R.A. No.2 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.T. Tiwari & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 10.7.2017 for reporting compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, Chairman) 3.7.2017</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 03.07.2017.

M.A.No.211 of 2017 in O.A.No.512 of 2016

D.A. GavadeApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, on instructions from Shri S.A. Deshmukh, Under Secretary, Home Department and Shri Gajanan Gurav, Under Secretary, General Administration Department-14, states as follows :-

(a) The order of closing pending Departmental enquiry against the applicant is passed on 05.06.2017.

(b) The Establishment Board has considered the Applicant's case for promotion, and cleared it and for final decision thereon, now the file is submitted before the Hon'ble the Chief Minister on 19.06.2017.

(c) It is not possible to make statement as to the time frame within which the decision would be taken by the Hon'ble the Chief Minister.

3. Considering that applicant's claim for promotion is pending since long, it shall be advisable for the Department to pursue the office of Hon'ble Chief Minister for necessary decision and secure the decision expeditiously.

4. For reporting outcome, adjourned to 20.07.2017.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

DATE: 3/7/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 20/7/2017.

Steno copy & Hamdast is allowed to Ld. P.O.

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 03.07.2017.

O.A.No.244 of 2017

V.R. KoliApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.R. Koli, Applicant in person and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents on instructions from Shri G.D. Buchade, Section Engineer, Takari Pump House, Sub Divisional No.1, District Sangli, states as follows :-

Three month's time is required for processing the applicant's case along with many cases which are pending.

3. Grant of three month's time at a stretch without any explanation is unjustified.

4. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is directed to secure instructions and place on record the steps so far taken, steps to be taken and time imperatively required for each steps.

5. Learned P.O. prays for short time for making statement on the foregoing paragraph No.4, based on instructions.

6. In view of the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 10.07.2017.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

DATE : 3/7/2017

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. V.R. Koli Appl. in person

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 10/7/2017.

steno copy & Hamdast
is allowed to Ld. P.O.

File

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 3/7/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. A.G. Ambetkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....10/7/2017.....</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 03.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.309 of 2017</p> <p>P.B. WankhedeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.G. Ambetkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Shri A.G. Ambetkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 10.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 30/7/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. S.S. Gaikwad Appl. in person. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Stni. H. G. Gohad C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 10/7/2017.....</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 03.07.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.369 of 2017</p> <p>S.S. GaikwadApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Gaikwad, applicant in person and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since O.A.No.309 of 2017 is adjourned, O.A. is also adjourned to 10.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">0</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 3/7/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 10/7/2017. Steno copy & Hamdast is allowed to Ld. P.O. B.H.</p>	<p>Date : 03.07.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.356 of 2017</p> <p>K.N. NaikApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for a week's time on the following ground :-</p> <p>Process of rechecking and, if necessary, correcting the result is half way through and it's out come would be stated on the next date.</p> <p>3. Time granted as prayed.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.07.2017.

O.A.No.563 of 2017

K.T. Salunkhe

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states as follows :-

Six week's time is required for arriving at the final decision in the matter of applicant's representation.

3. Request for time can be considered, however, Respondents have to place on record the steps so far taken, steps to be taken and time imperatively required for each steps.

4. Learned P.O. prays for three days time for making statement on the foregoing paragraph No.3, based on instructions.

5. In view of the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 06.07.2017.

6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

0

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)

Chairman

prk

DATE: 3/7/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 6/7/2017.

Steno copy & Hamdast is
allowed to Ld. P.O.

BTE

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.07.2017.

M.A.No.325 of 2016 in O.A.No.853 of 2016

D.G. Nanavare

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D.G. Nanavare, Applicant in person, Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate with Shri Nitin Salumkhe, learned Advocate for Respondents No.2 to 10.

2. Adjourned to 04.07.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 3/7/17

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : D.G. Nanavare
Advocate for the Applicant
A.M. in personShri/Smt. : S. Suryawanshi
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adv.



Adj. To.....

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>3/7/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>4/2/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 03.07.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.19 of 2017 in O.A.No.637 of 2016</p> <p>R.B. BhosaleApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, adjourned to 04.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (A.H. Joshi)  Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FAR/D CONTINUATION SHEET NO.Office Notes, Office Memo and/or of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.452 of 2017

Shri C.J. Gudewar & Anr. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 4.7.2017

DATE: 3/7/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.P. Potbhare

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 4/7/2017

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi,
Chairman
3.7.2017

(sgj)

13/7
8/17