

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.06.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.487 of 2017</p> <p>G. D. DeshmukhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the transfer order dated 31.05.2017 whereby the Applicant was transferred to Dindori, Dist. Nashik to Dhule.</p> <p>3. However, during the pendency of the matter, the Applicant seems to have been again transferred on his request to Nashik and, therefore, he does not want to continue with the O.A.</p> <p>4. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered a letter of the Applicant dated 30.05.2019, wherein it is stated that the Applicant does not want to continue this proceeding in view of his transfer to Nashik.</p> <p>5. The letter is taken on record.</p> <p>6. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed of for want of prosecution.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs. <i>N. B.</i></p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.06.2019.</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.151 of 2019 in O.A.No.279 of 2019</p> <p>L. B. Ghor & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>3/6/19 <u>Replu filed by R.No.3.</u> </p>	<p>Date : 03.06.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No.340 of 2019</p> <p>Smt. N.G. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record. 3. Adjourned to hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 24.06.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.173 of 2019</u>
<p>DATE: <u>3/6/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>S.H. Joshi</u> (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Sr. J. <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>By <u>U.V. Bhosale</u></p> <p>By <u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>4. C.P.O / Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To <u>21/6/2019</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>S.N. Naik & Ors. Applicants</p> <p>Vs. Respondents</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No. 119 of 2019</u>
<p>DATE: <u>3/6/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>P. H. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manjekar</u> Ld. C.P.O./Adv. for the Respondent/s 1 to 3 & 5. Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u> Ld. Adv. Adj. To <u>for Res. No. 4</u> <u>S.O. to 20/6/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>B.E.</u></p>	<p>A.T. Sakore .. Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and 5 and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that relevant documents required by the applicant have been provided on 16.5.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.6.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.A. No.931 of 2018 with M.A. No.552 of 2018

J.V. Patil . Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. . Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO mentions that reply in MA has been filed. She proposes to file reply in OA on 17.6.2019.

3. By consent S.O. to 21.6.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
3.6.2019

DATE: 3/6/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

As Presenting Officer

By U.V. Bhosale

4. As Respondent/s

By S.P. Manchekar

4. C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To By consent S.O. to

21/6/2019.

(sgj)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders M.A. No.507 of 2016 in O.A. No.1104 of 2016
<p>DATE: 3/6/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : The Appl. in person submitted leave note. Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : Arunima B.K. C.P.O/ F.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To The matter may be placed before the Hon'ble chairman.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P.N.</p>	<p>R.S. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant in person has submitted leave note dated 31.5.2019 stating that he is posted in Gadchiroli and finding it difficult to reach Mumbai. On last few occasions, as well, the applicant was absent.</p> <p>3. The matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Chairman to consider whether the matter can be transferred to Nagpur Bench so that the applicant is able to pursue the matter whenever it comes up there.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No. 764 of 2018	
<p>DATE: <u>3/6/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri <u>P. N. DIXIT</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO proposes to file short affidavit on 7.6.2019 regarding various developments in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.6.2019.</p> <p>Adv. To <u>7/6/2019</u></p> <p><i>B. L.</i></p>	<p>N.S. Shinde Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO proposes to file short affidavit on 7.6.2019 regarding various developments in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.6.2019.</p>	<p>..Applicant</p> <p>..Respondents</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.6.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders: O.A. No.461 of 2019 with M.A. No.271 of 2019</p>
<p>DATE: <u>3/6/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>Advocate for Applicant Shri <u>R.M. Kolge</u></p> <p>Advocate for Respondent/s Shri <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>Adj. To <u>17/6/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>W.Z. Pahilwan & Ors. .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants mentions that copy of OA has not been served and he proposes to do so shortly. Meanwhile Ld. PO mentions that respondents would be asked to report progress about the earlier order dated 22.2.2019 passed in OA No.902 of 2018.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.6.2019. Ld. PO to find out from the respondents the present status.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders M.A. No.242 of 2019 in O.A. No.441 of 2019</p>
<p>DATE : <u>3/6/2019</u> CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. P. Dixit (Member) A APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Ld. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To <u>13/6/2019</u></p>	<p>P.S. Swami ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply in the MA and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>