

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon. the Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon. the Justice <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>For Applicant: <u>A.A. Desai</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the respondent/s <u>with</u></p> <p><u>S.K. Nair spl. counsel for RA/B</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>16/6/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>P.H.</u> <u>B.K.</u></p>	<p>Date : 03.05.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.1091 of 2015 with O.A.No.97 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. K.D. Lohite (O.A.No.1091 of 2015)</p> <p>Dr. F.A.F. Shaikh &amp; Ors. (O.A.No.97 of 2015)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.1091 of 2015, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer with Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer and Shri S.K. Nair, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri C.S. Damre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.97 of 2016 is absent.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.06.2017. Be treated as part heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <u>(Rajiv Agarwal)</u> Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi, J)</u> Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 3/5/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri S.S. Kamble app. in person. Advocate for the Applicant: Shri A.J. Chougule C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Ad. To: 14/6/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">B.K.</p>	<p>Date : 03.05.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.953 of 2016</p> <p>S.S. Kamble .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.S. Kamble, Applicant in person and Shri A.J. Chougule, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Applicant states that he shall apply for documents to the Respondent No.3 to justify and prove applicant's alleged absence not to be true.</li> <li>3. The documents which applicant shall ask be kept ready and given to the applicant for inspection. If required by Applicant, copies be furnished to applicant.</li> <li>4. Respondent No.3 shall call applicant for discussion and hearing on 20.05.2017 and successive dates as needed.</li> <li>5. Disputed issues as solved be recorded and be communicated to the applicant.</li> <li>6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 14.06.2017.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.05.2017

O.A.No.318 of 2017 with O.A.No.319 of 2017  
with  
O.A.No.320 of 2017 with O.A.No.321 of 2017

A.S. Shirsat (O.A.No.318 of 2017)  
A.B. Sonawane (O.A.No.319 of 2017)  
S.Z. Rite (O.A.No.320 of 2017)  
H.M. Hendre (O.A.No.321 of 2017)

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time for filing affidavit in reply.
3. Time as prayed for is granted.
4. S.O. to 09.06.2017.

DATE: 3/5/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Justice Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)  
Hon'ble Justice Ramesh Kumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for Applicant

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 9/6/17

*BJE*

Sd/-  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

sba

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi, Chairman)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 03.05.2017 Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.317 of 2017

V.P. Rajput

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar prays for leave to amend and add documents.

3. Leave to amend and add documents by furnishing fresh index and synopsis is granted.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant undertakes to carry out amendment within two weeks.

5. Amended copy of the O.A. be served on the Respondents directly apart from the copy given to learned P.O.

6. Reply to the amended O.A. be filed on or before 8.06.2017.

7. It is hoped that the Respondents will answer the O.A. with reference to each point and sentence contained in O.A.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

9. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

10. S.O. to 09.06.2017.

DATE: 3/5/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 9/6/2017.

BY

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI****ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.825 OF 2016****D.B. Kalokhe****....Applicant.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.**

Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM :** Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman  
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman.

**DATE :** 03.05.2017.

**PER :** Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This case was taken up for hearing at about 12.30 p.m.. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is assisted by Law Officer, Ms. Pooja S. Dhok who has produced the papers.
3. It is seen from the papers that the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai, (Shri Rakesh Maria as then was) has made detailed noting in file on 09.04.2015 which appears to be the foundation of the impugned order.
4. It is seen that the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai is filed on behalf of Respondent No.2 by Shri Ramesh Balaram Ghadavale, Assistant Commissioner of Police (Co-ordination), Commissioner of Police, Mumbai, without annexing documents whatsoever.



5. This Tribunal is shocked and amazed to take a note of the fact that though a detailed noting was on record, the reasons due to which the text of said office note is withheld from this Tribunal while justifying the impugned order could not be visualized or fathomed.
6. We wanted to know as to whether the affidavit-in-reply filed by Shri Ramesh Balaram Ghadavale, Assistant Commissioner of Police (Co-ordination), is based on the approval from the Commissioner of Police. Therefore we have directed learned P.O. to state before us, the facts in this regard.
7. Thereafter, learned P.O. has produced another file before us containing the process of approval of para-wise remarks rather a draft affidavit. Perusal of file reveals as follows :-

The draft affidavit-in-reply was approved on 13<sup>th</sup> October, (purportedly of 2016). The signature of the officer approving the affidavit-in-reply is illegible. We therefore, asked learned P.O. the name of the Officer who has signed it.
8. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states on instructions that the approval is signed by Shri Deven Bharti, the Joint Commissioner of Police (Law and Order), Mumbai.
9. Absence of production of notes written and signed by Commissioner of Police on 09.04.2015 with material whatever on record, at that time which was the foundation of impugned order, as annexure to the affidavit-in-reply filed before us, shocks us.
10. The reason why this absence to annex shocks us is that, the case was grave enough to take recourse to Article 311(2)(b) of the Constitution of India, how could it be defended so recklessly. It is painful that such important papers or evidence is withheld from this Tribunal. This could be possible only when the officer approving the affidavit is either ignorant or is not serious as regards the matter. If the case is decided without basic document, in either way, it will result in casualty of justice.

11. We therefore, direct the Commissioner of Police Mumbai as follows :-
- (a) He shall call for the papers, read those himself.
- (b) He shall form his opinion as to whether the para-wise remarks were properly drafted and were diligently approved.
12. We clarify that this order will not preclude the Commissioner of Police from independently examining the matter and taking his own point of view as to whether impugned order is justifiable, notwithstanding pendency of appeal filed by the Applicant as well as present O.A. and also to decide as to whether present O.A. is properly defended.
13. Commissioner of Police, Mumbai shall respond to this order on or before 08.06.2017, if he decides to do so. In absence, if any response, present O.A. shall be heard and decided on its own merits as those stand on the basis of record as is available.
14. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate his order to the Respondents.
15. Files tendered for perusal are returned.
16. S.O. to 08.06.2017.

Sd/-  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi)  
Chairman

prk

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI****ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.150 OF 2017****V.M. Salokhe****....Applicant.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****....Respondents.**

Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman  
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman.

DATE : 03.05.2017.

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has tendered letter of Shri M.B. Tambade, I.P.S. Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur, dated 29.04.2017, addressed to this Tribunal. It is taken on record.
3. On perusal of letter it is found that the letter contains request for cancellation of show cause notice. The explanation offered by the officer in the letter is quoted adverbatim as follows :-

"Herein the explanation to show cause order dt.25.05.2017 in the O.A.No.150 of 2017 is as follows.

The Research Officer, MAT Mumbai was informed me by letter dtd. 22.03.2017 about the proceedings of 21.03.2017 & informed me next date i.e. 25.04.2017.

The recruitment process of Police Constabulary was going on from 20.03.2017 & written test was conducted on 16.04.2017. As I am the President Selection Committee I have to look after all the process of recruitment personally.





At the Official stage, present proceeding was handled by our staff member Sr.Gr.Clerk Shri D.M. Jadhav. The mother of concern Sr.Cl. was passed away on 10.04.2017. He was suddenly on leave since 11.04.2017. Hence, the matter was not put up before me. Therefore, I am totally remained unaware about the next date of proceeding.

Now, I have received mail of order dt. 25.04.2017 on 26.04.2017. Absency of myself in present matter is only because of administrative difficulties and not deliberately. If the matter would be put-up before me then I will proceeds with all the necessities. In future I will avoid all these kinds of difficulties and proceeds the matter.

So it is humbly requested that the show cause order may kindly be cancelled and oblige me.”

(Quoted entire text of letter dates 29.04.2017.)

4. We wanted to know as to whether the Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur has received adequate time to attend to, and to respond to this Tribunal's notice. Therefore, we had called for perusal, notice of this Tribunal delivered in the office of Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur. File was tendered for perusal. It reveals that original notice is not on record, though copy of O.A. is seen in the file.
5. On perusal of copy of O.A. in the file of Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur, it is seen that the Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur has endorsed thereon to the effect that quick action be taken, and the copy of O.A. also bears the rubber stamp of marking inward number, which is dated 23.02.2017.
6. The record does not reveal as to what action was taken between 23.02.2017 to 20.03.2017.
7. The explanation offered by Superintendent of Police that he was busy to look after the process of recruitment and tests which were conducted on 20.03.2017 and 16.04.2017, is totally irrelevant.
8. This Tribunal had passed order on 25.04.2017 issuing show cause notice against the Superintendent of Polcie, Kolhapur, Shri M.B. Tambade due to his failure to attend to the matter.

9. It transpires from record as well as the reply to the show cause notice that the serious and sincere efforts were taken by Shri M.B. Tambade. Even a letter was not sent to learned Presenting Officer to pray before this Tribunal for time for filing reply.
10. What aggravates the matter of failure to respond to the notice of the Tribunal is lame excuses which are offered by Shri M.B. Tambade, I.P.S. Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur. Acquiescence between 23.02.2017 to 20.03.2017 and suppression thereof too adds to the act of neglect and effort to justify the neglect by a decorated excuse.
11. Shri M.B. Tambade, I.P.S. Superintendent of Police has offered justification and excuses which are totally lame. It is evident that he does not profess and show even slightest degree of responsible behavior, and respect towards proceedings before this Tribunal.
12. What Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur was expected to do is to maintain a diary of cases in Court for himself or through his Personal Secretary or Reader, keep a reminder in his electronic device and see that the matter is punctually and appropriately attended to.
13. The distortion of facts done by the officer has aggravated the act of negligence. Therefore, we see no other option than to saddle costs against Shri M.B. Tambade, I.P.S. Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur as indicated in the paragraph 8 of the order dated 25.04.2017.
14. Therefore, Shri M.B. Tambade, I.P.S. Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur is saddled with costs of Rs.5,000/- which is in fact a token amount, and for the irresponsible behavior that is shown, the amount is too meager. We direct that costs be paid by the officer personally within 30 days from today. Copy of this order be sent to the officer concerned.
15. At this stage Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states on instruction that now Shri M.B. Tambade, I.P.S. Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur is transferred to Solapur as Commissioner of Police, Solapur.

16. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the officer concerned.
17. Let the copy be sent at his address in the office of Commissioner of Police, Solapur.
18. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents further states that para-wise remarks are received today and four weeks is required for filing reply.
19. Time as prayed for is granted.
20. For filing reply adjourned to 09.06.2017.

Sd/-  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

prk



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b>  Shri/Smt. <u>Punam Mahajan</u>  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u>  S.D.P.O. for the Respondents No. 1, 2 &amp; 3.  <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u>  Adj. to <u>Gov. R. Mohi</u>  S.O. to <u>6/6/17</u>  Hamdast  PH  </p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No.09 of 2017</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Shri A.B. Patil ... Applicant</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>V/s.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos. 1,2 &amp; 3 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>Shri Sarvode, S.D.P.O. was to file affidavit for which therein record and I do not want to go into details thereof.</p> <p>The learned P.O. seeks an adjournment and this a second time that for that purpose the adjournment being sought. This adjournment cannot be granted without imposing cost of Rs.5000/- to be paid by Shri Sarvode, S.D.P.O. on or before the next date. The said amount when deposited shall be paid over to the Applicant within two weeks there from.</p> <p>The matter stands adjourned to 06.06.2017. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (R.B. Malik) 50  Member (J)  03.05.2017  </p>

2

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015)

(Spl. MAT-F-2 E.


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 3/5/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u>  Shri/Sent. C.T. Chandratre  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Sent. Ms. S. Suryawanshi  C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To S.O. to 5/6/17. (vsm)</p> 	<p><b><u>O.A. No.1194 of 2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri A.R. Revankar ... Applicant</b></p> <p><b>V/s.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned Advocate, Shri Chandratre informs that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder. The Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 15.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/-  (R.B. Malik) 3-5-17  Member (J)  03.05.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.221 of 2017**

**Shri S.P. Joseph ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri Bhosale, the learned P.O. for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad for Respondent No.3.

The learned Advocate submits that he has already sent by RPAD and Speed Post, the process hereof to the newly added Respondents.

Shri Bhosale, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 confirms that the Commanding Officer, NCC, Naval Unit has been served and he seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply on the next date.

The learned Advocate undertakes to submit on record the Affidavit-in-Service. The OA stands adjourned to 12.06.2017.

DATE: 3/5/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARIWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Bhosale for

CRP/RO for the Respondents No.1. and

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for

A. No. 5.

Adj. To \_\_\_\_\_

S.O. to 12/6/17.

*PH*  
*[Signature]*

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)

Member (J)

03.05.2017

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.295 of 2017**

**Shri S.B. Nandgaonkar ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

The Respondents are directed to file detailed Affidavit-in-Reply on the next dated.

S.O. to 13.06.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 03.05.17  
Member (J)  
03.05.2017

DATE: 3/5/17

COEAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents (vsm)

holding for N.K. Rajpurohit

Adj. To S.O. to 13/6/17

Reply Filed by [Signature]

P.No.3.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.142 of 2017**

**Shri S.M. Pawar ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Applicant and Advocate absent. Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri Prabhakar holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

Affidavit-in-Reply of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 is taken on record.

Adjourned for Rejoinder to 15.06.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
03.05.2017

DATE: 3/5/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~  
(C.J.)

Hon'ble Shri. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

~~Applicant and Advocate absent~~

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit  
C.P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 & 2.

Shri. Prabhakar holding for  
A.S. Gaikwad for R.3. (vsm)

Reply filed by R. no. 1 & 2.

S/o to 15/6/17.

For Rejoinder. *[Signature]*



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p><del>Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del> (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Honble <del>Shri R. B. MALIK</del> (Member) J</p> <p><u>ATTENDANCE:</u></p> <p><u>Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents holding for Ms. Suryawanshi</p> <p><del>Shri. R. B. MALIK</del> by Respondent.</p> <p>O.A. is admitted S.O. to 14/6/17</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(vsm)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">FH [Signature]</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No.1146 of 2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Smt. S.G. Padage</b> ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. holding for Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-Rejoinder is taken on record. Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 14.06.2017.</p> <p>Sur-Rejoinder, if any, must be filed on that day and not thereafter.</p> <p>S.O. to 14.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 3.5.17 Member (J) 03.05.2017</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A. No.370 of 2017**

**Smt. V.P. Shitole & 2 Ors. ... Applicants**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 09.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 09.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

DATE: 3/5/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL  
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 9/6/17

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 03.05.17  
Member (J)  
03.05.2017

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram,  
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A. No.263 of 2017**

**Shri U.P. Shinde ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri S. Solanki holding for Shri P.V. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 12.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 12.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 03.05.17  
Member (J)  
03.05.2017

DATE: 3/5/17

COPY TO:

~~REGISTRY~~

Honble Shri A. B. Malik (Member) J

APPEARANCE: S. Solanki  
holding for P.V. Patil

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 12/6/17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p><b>CUSAM:</b>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  <del>(Vice Chairman)</del>  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) <u>+</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b>  Shri <u>S. Talekar</u>  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u>  C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>M.A. and O.A.</u>  Adj. to <u>6/6/17</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No.337 of 2017</u></b>  <b><u>With</u></b>  <b><u>M.A.No. 188 of 2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri B.D. Agawane ... Applicant</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>V/s.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Shri S. Talekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned Advocate for the Applicant present, a draft of M.A. It be got registered and copy thereof be served to the other side. The matter cannot be now heard before vacation and the Applicant, if so <sup>advised</sup> <del>advance</del>, can go before the vacation court after following all the procedural requirements in that behalf. As of today, this M.A. and O.A. stand adjourned to 06.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (R.B. Malik) 3.5  Member (J)  03.05.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.330 of 2017**

**Shri S.R. Gandhi ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned C.P.O. is being instructed by Shri Mahesh B. More, Police Constable, D.G.P. Office. On instructions, the learned C.P.O. informs that so far no date is appointed for convening the D.P.C., on Smt. Punam Mahajan's requests it is recorded that if a D.P.C. is convened during time of today and then the next date appointed for this matter and even thereafter, she will be at liberty to mention this matter for appropriate relief.

With this, the Original Application stands adjourned to 09.06.2017. Hamdast.

DATE: 3/5/17

CUSAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri. Punam Mahajan~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. to S.O. to 9/6/17

Hamdast.

(vsm)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
03.05.2017

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIVAGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Repy filed by R.No.122</p> <p>Adj. To <u>3.0 to 15/6/17</u> for Rejoinder.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No.280 of 2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri V.V. Punathil</b> ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned C.P.O. informs that the reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>Upon this statement, Original Application stands adjourned for rejoinder to 15.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 03.05.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.157 of 2017**

**Shri S.M. Pawar ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Applicant and Advocate absent. Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. holding for Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-Reply for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 is taken on record.

Adjourned for Rejoinder to 16.06.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
03.05.2017

DATE: 3/5/17

BY:

~~Shri RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~  
Honble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

~~Applicant and  
Advocate absent~~  
for the Applicant. N.K. Rajpurohit  
holding for N.G. Gohad.

P.O. for the Respondents  
Reply filed by R. Mal.

Adj. to 122

S.O. to 16/6/17  
for Rejoinder.

(vsm)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.:**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.216 of 2016**

**Smt. A. P. Charate ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Applicant and Advocate absent. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Additional Affidavit-in-Reply is taken on record. The Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 13.06.2017.

**DATE:** 3/5/17

**CORAM:**

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~

~~(Vice Chairman)~~

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

**APPEARANCE:**

~~Applicant and Advocate absent.~~  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

(vsm)

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

Reply filed by Respondents.

Adj. To  
S.O. to 13/6/17.

*[Signature]*

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)

Member (J)

03.05.2017

17



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.141 of 2017**

**Shri R.S. Londhe ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Applicant and Advocate absent. Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. holding for Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri Prabhakar holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

Affidavit-in-Reply of the Respondent No.2 is taken on record.

Adjourned for Rejoinder to 15.06.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
03.05.2017

DATE: 3/5/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri R.S. Londhe~~  
~~Advocate for the Applicant~~  
~~Advocate absent.~~


Shri N.K. Rajpurohit  
C.P.O. for the Respondents No. 1, 2

Shri Prabhakar holding  
for A.S. Gaikwad.

for R. No. 3.

Reply filed by R. No. 2. (vsml)

S.O. to 15/6/17



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.05.2017.

O.A.No.916 of 2016 with O.A.No.1099 of 2016

M.B. Patil & Ors. (O.A.No.916/2016)

J.S. Phad & Ors. (O.A.No.1099/2016)

....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.916/2016, Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.1099/2016, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for Respondents No.4 to 6.

2. In this case we had directed that the Deputy Secretary / Joint Secretary shall remain present in the afternoon and furnish the status report.

3. Shri Anand Arjun Mali, Section Officer has appeared and he states that the Shri M.A. Gutte, Joint Secretary has gone to Hon'ble High Court to attend a case.

4. Shri Anand Arjun Mali, Section Officer is directed to file affidavit-in-reply to state that the Deputy Secretary is busy in Hon'ble High Court.

5. S.O. to 04.05.2017.

DATE: 3/5/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant (O.A. 916/16)

Shri Smt. M.D. Lonkar Ld. Adv. for

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Shri. (O.A. 1099/16)

Adv. to Shri N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O. for Resps.

Adv. A.V. Bandiwadekar

for Res. No. 4 to 6.

S.O. to 4/5/17.

prk

Sd/-  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi)  
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>Rajiv Agarwal (Vice)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Rameshkumar (Member) A</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>Shri K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><b>Ad. To:</b> <u>4/5/17.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>RAT</i> <i>BK</i></p>	<p><b>03.05.2017</b></p> <p><b>C.A No 01/2017 in O.A 591/2015</b></p> <p>Shri B.R Sangle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Respondent no. 3 is present in person. He states that applicant's pensionary dues will be credited into his account mostly probably today itself.</li> <li>3. In view of the compliance, we do not find it appropriate to enter into debate as to whatever has transpired.</li> <li>4. Respondent no. 3 assures - that the pension cases would be dealt with all cordialness and entire staff would be cautioned to be vigilant and cautious.</li> <li>5. For reporting order is totally complied with, matter is kept tomorrow. If pensionary dues is credited into applicant's account, Respondent no. 3 is not required to be present.</li> <li>6. S.O to 4.5.2017.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, M) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble <u>Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>Shri/Smt. P. Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Ad. to 3/5/2017.</u></p>	<p>Date : 03.05.2017</p> <p>C.A.No.20 of 2017 in O.A.No.599 of 2016 With C.A.No.21 of 2017 in O.A.No.600 of 2016</p> <p>S.G. Raut (C.A.20 of 2017 in O.A.599 of 2016) D.F. Gaikwad (C.A.21 of 2017 in O.A.600 of 2016) .....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. P. Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. It is seen that the order is not complied till today and various excuses are being posted.</li> <li>3. If the order is not complied with before tomorrow at 3:00 p.m., Shri Chandrakant Dalvi, Divisional Commissioner, Pune is directed to remain present personally.</li> <li>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</li> <li>5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 05.05.2017.</li> </ol> <p>Sd/- (<u>Rajiv Agarwal</u>) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (<u>A.H. JOSHI, J.</u>) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble <u>Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>H. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><b>Ad. To:</b> <u>4/5/2017.</u></p>	<p>Date : 03.05.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.847 of 2016 with O.A.No.848 of 2016 with O.A.No.869 of 2016</p> <p>S.B. Morye (O.A.No.847/2016) S.C. Pujari (O.A.No.848/2016) P.J. Sawant (O.A.No.869/2016)</p> <p>....Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents, adjourned to 04.05.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Hajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.05.2017.

O.A.No.914 of 2016

A.A. Desale ...Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is seen that the case is rolling on the board without service of notice on the record.

3. Hence, issue order of notice returnable on 20.06.2017.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 20.06.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

DATE: 3/5/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To 20/6/17.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p>CO-AM</p> <p>Head of the Bench <u>Rajiv Agarwal (Chairman)</u></p> <p>Head of the Bench <u>Rajiv Agarwal (V.K.)</u></p> <p>Head of the Bench <u>M.D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>Adviser for the Applicant <u>H.K. Rajpurohit</u></p> <p>Adviser for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. J. <u>20/7/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HT</i></p>	<p>Date : 03.05.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.351 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>M.N. Impal</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice returnable on 20.07.2017.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 20.07.2017.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">.sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 3/5/17</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : P. Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><b>Ad. To</b> 20/6/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 03.05.2017</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.377 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>Dr. K.V. Jagtap</b> ....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. P. Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice returnable on 20.06.2017.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 20.06.2017.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">sba</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**DATE:** 3/5/17  
**CORAM:**  
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
 Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) *Rajiv Agarwal*  
**APPEARANCE:**  
 Shri/Smt. None for the appl.  
 Advocate for the Applicant  
 Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad  
 C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s  
 To 5/5/2017

Date : 03.05.2017.

O.A.No.276 of 2017

S.D. Manjare .....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.

3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that that steps are taken for processing for sending the applicant's case for 'Lantern Test' to Sir J.J. Hospital, Mumbai.

3. For reporting further compliance, adjourned to 05.05.2017.

Sd/-  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.05.2017.

O.A.No.115 of 2017

H.R. Jadhav ....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri H.R. Jadhav, Applicant in person and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Special Counsel with Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondents, adjourned to 12.06.2017.

DATE: 3/5/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri H.R. Jadhav appl. in person

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri M.D. Lonkar spl. Counsel

C.P.O. for the Respondent's  
with Ms S. Suryawanshi, P.O. for

To: K.B.S.

S.O. to 12/6/17.

prk

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Rohit Kumar (Member)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCES:</b> <u>Shri Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Ad. To: 06/17.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 03.05.2017.</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.30 of 2017 in O.A.No.864 of 2015</p> <p>A.V. Lanke .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents on instructions states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Applicant's pension case is submitted after regularizing applicant's period of temporary employment, on 29.04.2017 by hand delivery.</p> <p>(b) The office of Accountant General (A.G.) has assured to clear the case within 15 days time.</p> <p>(c) Though A.G. has prayed for 15 days time, the matter would be pursued further and entire compliance of the order will be reported on the opening day.</p> <p>3. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 06.06.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri. A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>None for the app.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>15/6/17.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>ME</i></p>	<p>Date : 03.05.2017</p> <p>C.A.No.38 of 2015 in O.A.No.909 of 2013</p> <p>R.K. Mohite .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 15.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble <u>Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>V. Pandit</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>3/6/17</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BJE</i></p>	<p>Date : 03.05.2017</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.400 of 2016 in O.A.No.976 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>U.J. Marathe</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V. Pandit, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Ms. V. Pandit prays for leave to amend and has tendered draft amendment.</p> <p>3. Leave to amend as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. Amendment be carried out within two days.</p> <p>5. Amended copy of the O.A. be served on Respondent No.1.</p> <p>6. Respondent No.1 is directed to file reply to the amended part of the O.A. within three weeks.</p> <p>7. Case to come up for hearing on 5.06.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Rajiv Agarwal) <span style="float: right;">(A.H. Joshi)</span> Vice-Chairman <span style="float: right;">Chairman</span></p> <p align="center">sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.05.2017

O.A.No.358 of 2017

A.M. Ghuge & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. R. Todankar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 07.06.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 07.06.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agawwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

sba

DATE: 3/5/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

By: R. Todankar

Advocate for Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

CEO/PO for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 2/6/17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble J. J. Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble J. J. <u>Rajiv Aggarwal</u> (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble J. J. <u>Ramesh Kumar</u> (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>R. Todankar</u></p> <p><b>Advocate for the Applicant:</b> <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p><b>Shri/Smt. for the Respondent/s:</b></p> <p><b>MA is allowed.</b> <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 03.05.2017</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.181 of 2017 in O.A.No.358 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>A.M. Ghuge &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. R. Todankar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering that the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common and concurrent, application for leave to sue jointly is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Rajiv Aggarwal) <span style="float: right;">(A.H. Joshi, J.)</span> Vice-Chairman <span style="float: right;">Chairman</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>3/5/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>B.S. Shinde</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> Sd/- P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>7/6/2017</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 03.05.2017</p> <p>C.A.No.76 of 2016 in O.A.No.242 of 2015</p> <p>V.D. Kulkarni &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.S. Shinde, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-</p> <p>The proposal for regularizing in relation to the Applicant's case is processed and will be troubled before the Cabinet on its ensuing meeting.</p> <p>3. For reporting further compliance, adjourned to 07.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>