

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.283 of 2024 to O.A. No.285 of 2024 with O.A. No.287 of 2024 to O.A. No.292 of 2024 with O.A. No.310 of 2024 with O.A. No.311 of 2024 with O.A. No.313 of 2024 with O.A. No.314 of 2024 with O.A. No.323 of 2024 to O.A. No.325 of 2024 with O.A. No.329 of 2024 with O.A. No.330 of 2024 with O.A. No.354 of 2024</p> <p>P.S. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants, Shri P.M. Nagaroje, learned Advocate for Applicant No.1 in O.A. 283/2024 and Applicant No.5 in O.A. No.287/2024 and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B.K., Shri A.D. Gugale and Shri D.R. Patil learned Presenting Officers for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocates for Applicants presents copy of 'Judgment' dated 22.03.2024 of 'Hon'ble Bombay High Court' in 'Writ Petition No.4315/2024' and 'Writ Petition No.4317/2024'</p> <p>3. Learned Advocates for Applicants thereupon states that except in O.A. No.286/2024 they do not intend to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' as per liberty granted in 'Para No.3.1' of 'Judgment' dated 22.03.2024 of 'Hon'ble Bombay High Court' in 'Writ Petition No.4315/2024' and 'Writ Petition No.4317/2024'.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate for Applicant to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' in O.A. No.286/2024 by 22.04.2024.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned P.O. is informed that as per directions in 'Para No.3.2' of 'Judgment' dated 22.03.2024 of 'Hon'ble High Court' in 'Writ Petition No.4315/2024' and 'Writ Petition No.4317/2024'; the Respondents to be forthwith informed about liberty granted to them as well to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply'.

6. The learned P.O. to file 'Additional Affidavits-in-Reply' in O.A. No.283/2024 & Others on behalf of Respondents by 30.04.2024.

7. The batch of O.A. No.283/2024 & Others is filed by Applicants who are 'Police Sub Inspectors' and 'Assistant Police Inspectors'. Therefore; O.A. No.283/2024 & Others to be heard alongwith set of O.A. No.336/2024 & Ors. which are also filed by 'Police Sub Inspectors' and 'Assistant Police Inspectors'.

8. S.O. to 30.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.04.2024

C.A.No.31/2024 in O.A.No.394/2022 (D.B.)

D.K. Kokane ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. In view of the order dated 26.03.2024 passed by this Tribunal, C.A. stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk .

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.04.2024

R.A.No.15/2023 in O.A.No.414/2021 (D.B.)

Dr. N.S. Padmapani ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Kranti L.C. along with Mr. K. Gidh & Mr. S. Chinnappa, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Kranti adjourned to 08.04.2024.

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.04.2024

C.A.No.39/2024 in O.A.No.1181/2023 (D.B.)

K.P. Pawar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. A.N. Naikwadi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed on behalf of Respondent No.2. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.

3. Adjourned to 05.04.2024.

SD/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 03.04.2024

M.A.No.93/2023 in O.A.No.691/2021 (D.B.)

Dr. S.B. Chavan & Ors. ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 08.05.2024.

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.359 of 2024 with O.A.No.280 of 2024</p> <p>A. S. Bandekar & Ors. A. R. Sonune & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. B.Chalak, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO for Respondents seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S. O. to 17.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2024.</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.213 of 2024 In O. A. No. 451 of 2024</p> <p>S. V. Dudhabhate & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.213/2024 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.04.2024.

O. A. No. 451 of 2024

S. V. Dudhabhate & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.05.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and

produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file

Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 08.05.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.449 of 2024</p> <p>H. M. AjabeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. R. Thombare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The Applicant who applied for post of 'Yantriki, Class-C' published vide Advertisement No.01/2023 by Respondent No.3- Zilla Parishad Palghar challenges impugned Undated Order in respect of 'Final Selection List' which was uploaded on Website on 16.04.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that 'Provisional Select List' was published on 17.02.2024 on the Website of Zilla Parishad, Palghar. The Applicant has secured 86 marks and was enlisted at Serial No.1 in 'Provisional Select List'. Learned Counsel for Applicant then stated that Candidate who is meritorious has got 74 marks and was enlisted at Serial No.2 in 'Provisional Select List'. The Applicant, therefore, approached this Tribunal and prays for stay to 'Final Select List'.</p> <p>4. Learned PO for Respondents raised objection about maintainability of this OA on the ground of jurisdiction.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. As there is no reason given by Department for deleting name of Applicant in 'Final Select List' published on Website of Zilla Parishad, Palghar and as the learned PO seeks time to take instructions to challenge maintainability of OA, we direct the Respondents that if at all any appointment order will be issued to post of 'Yantriki- Class C' in Palghar, it will be subject to outcome of this OA.
6. We direct Collector, Palghar to give directions to Subordinate Officer to furnish address of Respondent No.8 to Applicant.
7. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.04.2024.
9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
13. S.O. to 16.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Date : 03.04.2024

O.A.No.395/2023 with O.A.No.1369/2023 (D.B.)

Dr. Y. Shah & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Kranti L.C. along with Mr. K. Gidh & Mr. S. Chinnappa, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Ankita Patakhdhand and Mr. Nitin Murkute, learned Counsel for Respondents No.3 to 19.

2. Today, Mr. Milind Mhaiskar, Additional Chief Secretary, Public Health Department is present.

3. M.P.S.C. is directed to give breakup of the candidates having experience of working in Public Sector and Private Sector and also list of candidates who are having experience of working as Clinical Assistant in Public Sector.

4. Proposition laid by learned Counsel Mr. Kranti are :

- (i) The opinion issued in the name of Governor was by delegation of power issued by the Secretary.
- (ii) In shortlisting criteria Rule 9(2) and thereafter Rule 9(6) the M.P.S.C. Rules of Procedure 2014 are to be followed.
- (iii) Can an administrative order be issued retrospectively to broaden an eligibility criteria, especially after advertisement is issued and application is closed ?

5. Appearance of Mr. Milind Mhaiskar, Additional Chief Secretary is exempted on the next dates. However, Add. Chief Secretary to file affidavit on the following points :

- (i) The date of introducing Internship for BDS.
- (ii) The delegation of powers to the Secretary of PHD in respect of widening the meaning and scope of Clinical Assistant as mentioned in the Rules as 'the opinion of the Government'.

6. Adjourned to 05.04.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

SD/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1130 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. Jagtap, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 2.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders																				
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date: 03.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1037 of 2019 & 9 Ors.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr><td style="width: 70%;">1. Dr. G.B. Taware</td><td style="width: 30%;">(O.A.1037/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath</td><td>(O.A.1039/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar</td><td>(O.A.1040/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>4. Dr. N.B. Masaram</td><td>(O.A.1041/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Dr. V.P. Doijad</td><td>(O.A.1042/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>6. C.G. Patil</td><td>(O.A.1237/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>7. Dr. M.M. Pawar</td><td>(O.A.1238/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal</td><td>(O.A.1239/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>9. Dr. P.N. Rathi</td><td>(O.A.1240/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>10. Dr. A.B. Pandav</td><td>(O.A.1241/2019)</td></tr> </table> <p style="text-align: right;">.....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through Video-Conference in Sr. No. 1 to 5, Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No.1037/2019, O.A. No.1039/2019, O.A. No.1040/2019, O.A. No.1041/2019 & O.A. No.1042/2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.04.2024.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> </div>	1. Dr. G.B. Taware	(O.A.1037/2019)	2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath	(O.A.1039/2019)	3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar	(O.A.1040/2019)	4. Dr. N.B. Masaram	(O.A.1041/2019)	5. Dr. V.P. Doijad	(O.A.1042/2019)	6. C.G. Patil	(O.A.1237/2019)	7. Dr. M.M. Pawar	(O.A.1238/2019)	8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal	(O.A.1239/2019)	9. Dr. P.N. Rathi	(O.A.1240/2019)	10. Dr. A.B. Pandav	(O.A.1241/2019)
1. Dr. G.B. Taware	(O.A.1037/2019)																				
2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath	(O.A.1039/2019)																				
3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar	(O.A.1040/2019)																				
4. Dr. N.B. Masaram	(O.A.1041/2019)																				
5. Dr. V.P. Doijad	(O.A.1042/2019)																				
6. C.G. Patil	(O.A.1237/2019)																				
7. Dr. M.M. Pawar	(O.A.1238/2019)																				
8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal	(O.A.1239/2019)																				
9. Dr. P.N. Rathi	(O.A.1240/2019)																				
10. Dr. A.B. Pandav	(O.A.1241/2019)																				
AGR	[P.T.O.]																				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1189 of 2023</p> <p>S.K. BholeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>
	NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 03.04.2024
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1096 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1097 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. KhotApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Junior learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R. Shinde, learned Advocate for the 'Respondent No.4'.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No. 1097/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 03.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1464 of 2023 with O.A. 1485 of 2023</p> <p>S.A. Kotkar & Ors. D.G. HiwaleApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No. 1464 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1485 of 2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Date: 03.04.2024

O.A. No.428 of 2024

Dr. N.P. MokashiApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.04.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 18.04.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.32/2024 in O.A.No.1391/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</p> <p>P.S. Borate & Anr ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Nupur Pawar holding for Mr. Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In order dated 01.04.2024, in paragraph 5, it is wrongly typed as 'O.A. stands disposed of'.</p> <p>3. It should be read as 'C.A. stands disposed of.'</p> <p>5. Ordered accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.114 of 2023 in O.A.No.1033/2015 (D.B.)</p> <p>T.D. Tayde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 17.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">SD/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.30/2024 in O.A.No.111/2022 (D.B.)</p> <p>D.D. Satpute ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. We are of the view that the Government has sent the matter to the appropriate authority on 18.03.2024 for revision i.e. to the Divisional Commissioner, Pune. The Divisional Commissioner, Pune is required to take decision within two month from 19.03.2024.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 05.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">SD/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.04.2024

**O.A.No.312/2019 with M.A.No.26/2021 with
O.A.No.355/2019 with O.A.No.1194/2019 with
O.A.No.1195/2019 (D.B.)**

D.P. Koli & Ors.Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Mr. D.R. Irale Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicants in O.A.No.312/2019 with O.A.No.355/2019 with O.A.No.1194/2019 with O.A.No.1195/2019, Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant in M.A.No.26/2021 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Potbhare has filed M.A. seeking intervention in O.A. Learned Counsel prays that Applicant in M.A. be added as Respondents in O.A. in the array of Respondents.

3. M.A. seeking intervention is allowed. Amendment be carried out forthwith. Amended copy be served upon the concerned.

4. M.A. is disposed of as allowed. O.As. Adjourned to 03.07.2024 at 12.00 noon.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.04.2024

**O.A.No.716/2022 with O.A.No.717/2022 with
O.A.No.718/2022 with O.A.No.719/2022 with
O.A.No.720/2022 with O.A.No.730/2022 (D.B.)**

H.G. Sapkal & Ors.

....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Not on board. Taken on board at the oral request of learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted in these O.As. on 28.03.2022 notices were wrongly issued.

4. On the basis of statement made by learned Counsel at the Bar order of issue of notice is recalled.

5. Adjourned to 02.05.2024. Interim relief, if any, to be continue.

SD/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.04.2024

O.A.No.552/2023 (D.B.)

A.P. SheteApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 (through video conference) and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Kadam adjourned to 23.04.2024.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p style="text-align: center;">Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">03.04.2024 Tribunal's orders</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 200/2024 in O.A 1426/2023</u> <u>Through Video Conference</u></p> <p>A.B Mesare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Hrishikesh Chitaley with Hitesh B. Sangle, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Mr Akshay B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the Caveator is absent.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is moved seeking stay to the effect, operation and implementation of the appointment of candidates to the post of Class-I by G.R dated 11.3.2024 and appointment order dated 12.3.2024 passed by Respondent No. 2.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits that M.P.S.C, Respondent No. 1 has filed affidavit in reply in O.A, which is adopted as reply to the Misc Application. However, learned C.P.O submits that Respondent No. 2, wants to file affidavit in reply to both the Original Application and Misc Application and therefore seeks time.</p> <p>4. The Respondent-State to take note of the order dated 24.11.2023 passed by this Tribunal, where it is stated that if any appointments are made that will be subject to the outcome of the present O.A. The same should be mentioned specifically in the appointment orders issued by the concerned candidates.</p> <p>5. S.O to 17.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akkn</p>
--	---

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 204/2024 in O.A 497/2017</u></p> <p>G.D Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.Y Chavan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri Anand Gugle, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is filed seeking restoration of O.A No 497/2017, which was dismissed for want of prosecution on 28.2.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that he could not attend the Court inadvertently. However, he has filed the Misc Application for restoration within the period of limitation, i.e., on 9.3.2024. The applicant has a good case on merit and therefore it is to be restored.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits to the orders of the Court.</p> <p>5. Considered the submissions of both sides. Misc Application seeking to restore the Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>6. The Original Application is restored and kept for hearing on 23.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>03.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 212/2024 in O.A 915/2017</u></p> <p>B.R Ghatyad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.D Kurane, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri Anand Ghugle, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel submits that the applicant has already retired and the Original Application is filed on 17.9.2017. Learned counsel submitted that as the applicant has retired it is necessary to produce certain documents and therefore he has moved this Application for amendment.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits to the orders of the Court.</p> <p>4. Misc Application seeking amendment is allowed. The copies of the documents are to be served on all the Respondents.</p> <p>5. O.A No. 915/2017 to be placed on Board on 17.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>03.04.2024</u>
	<u>M.A 210/2024 in O.A 644/2022</u>
	V.B Kashid ... Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C with Kaustub Gidh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. In this matter the Tribunal is reluctant to grant adjournment as the Tribunal has passed order on 7.11.2022 by directing the compliance of the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of National Legal Services Authority Vs. Union of India, AIR 2014 SC 1863. However, till today the Respondent-State has not taken any policy decision regarding the recruitment of third gender though it is identified in the recruitment process by Respondent, M.P.S.C and the Respondent-State.
	3. Learned C.P.O seeks time.
	4. S.O to 5.4.2024.
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p><u>03.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 848 & 997/2022</u></p> <p>V.B Saravade A.T Joseph ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply. 3. By way of last chance Respondents are granted four weeks' time. If reply is not filed, the matter will proceed without reply. 4. S.O to 8.5.2024. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	03.04.2024
	<u>O.A 523/2023</u>
	V.V Kadam & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. None present for the applicants. Heard Shri Anand Gugle, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.
	3. S.O to 5.6.2024.
	<p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>
	Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>03.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1280/2023</u></p> <p>M.M Khadtre ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Nupur Pawar i/b Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. None present for Respondent No. 3.</p> <p>2. Last chance given to learned counsel for Respondent No. 3 to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.5.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>03.04.2024</p> <p><u>M.A 221/2024 in O.A 759/2017</u></p> <p>S.K Borekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.D Kurane, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri Anand Gugle, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that Misc Application is filed seeking amendment to the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits to the orders of the Court.</p> <p>4. Misc Application seeking amendment is allowed. Amendment to be carried out and copy be served on the Respondents.</p> <p>5. O.A No 759/2017 be placed on Board on 17.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>03.04.2024</p> <p><u>C.A 108/2023 in O.A 623/2017</u></p> <p>S.G Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri Anand Ghugle, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms Sidhi S. Bhole, Joint Director, Additional Charge, Medical Education and Drugs Department is present.</p> <p>3. It is made clear that para 13 and 14 of the order of this Tribunal dated 21.6.2019 in O.A 623/2017 is to be read together.</p> <p>4. S.O to 17.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>