

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.942/2018**  
**(Dr. Meena R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. A.N.Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mr. M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Today, when the present O.A. is taken up for consideration and when a query was made with the learned Counsel appearing for the applicant as well as the learned CPO, whether as was directed by this Tribunal vide order passed on 23-12-2021, the applicant has resumed the duties or not. It is informed that the applicant did not resume the duties as was directed, as the applicant has decided to join after final decision of O.A. It was admitted by the learned Advocate for the applicant that there was no hurdle from side of respondent in this regard.

=2=

O.A.NO.942/2018

3. When the next query was put to the learned Counsel appearing for the applicant whether her statement made regarding applicant not resuming duties despite the order passed by the Tribunal be recorded as such, learned Counsel submits that she will seek instructions from the applicant in that regard in writing. In view of the fact that the order passed by this Tribunal has not been complied with, we decline to proceed with the O.A. till the next date.

4. S.O. to 10-03-2022. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.ST.NO.82/2022 IN O.A.NO.130/2017  
(Priyanka Dongre Vs. Dr. K.H.Kulkarni & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B.Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. When the present petition is taken up for hearing, learned CPO submits that he will take instructions in the matter.

3. S.O. to 01-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.197/2022**  
**(Abhijit Bharne Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 03.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.K.Muneshwar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant has prayed for staying the process of promotion to the post of Circle Officer till the decision of the present O.A.

3. Learned CPO has opposed for granting any such relief. We also do not desire to stall the entire process of promotion, however, **we clarify that the promotion, if any, effected in the intervening period shall be subject to outcome of the present O.A.**

4. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24.03.2022.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

=2=

O.A.NO.197/2022

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 24.03.2022.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.201/2022  
(Dr. Raman Dalvi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P.Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 31.03.2022. **Respondents shall file affidavit in reply on or before 31-03-2022 failing which the prayer of interim relief shall be considered on merit without reply by the respondents.**

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

=2=

O.A.NO.201/2022

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 31.03.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.202/2022**  
**(Dr. Rohit R. Zarkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P.Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 31.03.2022. **Respondents shall file affidavit in reply on or before 31-03-2022 failing which the prayer of interim relief shall be considered on merit without reply by the respondents.**

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.



=2=

O.A.NO.202/2022

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 31.03.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.203/2022**  
**(Dr. Amol Bansode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P.Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 31.03.2022. **Respondents shall file affidavit in reply on or before 31-03-2022 failing which the prayer of interim relief shall be considered on merit without reply by the respondents.**

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

=2=

O.A.NO.203/2022

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 31.03.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.ST.NO.322/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.323/2022  
(Manik Desale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Perused the objections raised. Objections appear to be sustainable. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that she will remove the said objections.

3. S.O. to 10-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.863/2018**  
**(Ajay Jarwal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D.Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 04-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.815/2019  
(Rajendrakumar Barhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sachin S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Special Counsel for the respondent nos.1 & 2, are present.

2. S.O. to 18-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.887/2019, 888/2019 AND  
890/2019  
(Asim Kadar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri N.P.Wangikar, learned Advocate for the applicants is **absent**.

Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 18-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.583/2014  
(Bhausahab Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.D.Khadap learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S.Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.C.Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent nos.5 and 6, are present.

2. S.O. to 24-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.190/2017  
(Dattatray Zombade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 24-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.517/2017  
(Anup Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.V.Bhamre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 01-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.939/2017**  
**(Dattatray Bargaje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 03.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 04-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.119/2018**  
**(Smt. Jyoti Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 03.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.N.Patne, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 04-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.285/2018  
(Meera Dolas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 04-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.657/2018**  
**(Ayesha Feroz Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 03.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.K.Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 05-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.76/2019**  
**(Nilesh Badgujar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 03.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.V.Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and  
Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 17-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.79/2019  
(Prakash Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 06-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.82/2019**  
**(Devendra Dandagavhal & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 03.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shankar Borkute, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 22-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.245/2019  
(Subhadra Mahuwale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.V.Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 07-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.359/2019  
(Shantaram Sonwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D.Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 16-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.464/2019  
(Dayanand Gange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 08-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.79/2020**  
**(Dr. Naser Ahmed Razvi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 03.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.R.Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 08-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.435/2020  
(Ratikant Sonwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 03.03.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**.

Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 24-03-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.292/2021  
(Kashinath Devtule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D.Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.338/2021  
(Madhukar Dodake Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G.Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 761 OF 2021**  
**(Pradeep B. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Shri N.N. Desale, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, **absent.**

2. Record shows that the affidavit in reply is filed by all the respondents.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.
4. The present matter is pertaining to relieving the applicant. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 29.03.2022.
5. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 322 OF 2019**  
**(Pushkar B. Randhumal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. 14.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 175 OF 2021  
(Ajay R. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted as a one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply.
3. S.O. to 28.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 316 OF 2021  
(Monikarni N. Rankhamb @ Monikarni S. Kanke Vs.  
State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Original Application is filed by the applicant seeking appointment on compassionate ground in view of her educational qualification. Hence, her application is for appointment in Group-C and Group-D category.

3. As per the farad sheet order dated 27.10.2021, documents X collectively were produced on record by the learned Advocate for the applicant. That document is waiting list of the candidates to be given appointment in Group-C category. The name of the applicant is at Sr. No. 74 in the said list.

4. It is the contention of the learned Advocate for the applicant across the bar that all other candidates in the said waiting list except the applicant are given appointment in Group-C category.

//2//

O.A. No. 316/2021

5. In the said farad sheet order dated 27.10.2021, it is also recorded that document dated 28.01.2020 (Annexure R-2, page No. 104 of the paper book) would show that the name of the applicant is at Sr. No. 11 in Group-D category and she cannot be given appointment in that category immediately, unless senior candidates are given appointment.

6. In view of abovesaid order dated 27.10.2021, the learned Presenting Officer was advised to get updated position of the Group-C posts also in view of the submissions of the learned Advocate applicant for appointment on compassionate ground.

7. Today, learned Advocate for the applicant placed on record a bunch of documents. Those are taken on record and marked as document X-1 collectively for the purpose of identification. In these documents, there is letter dated 29.10.2021 issued by the Dy. Inspector General of Police, Nanded Range, Nanded and the name of the applicant is at Sr. No. 13. She has been given appointment in Class-IV category on the post of सफाईगार (Sweeper). In that regard learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant is a Handicapped person.

//3//

O.A. No. 316/2021

8. Learned Presenting Officer submits that as regards the compliance of order dated 27.10.2021, he will file short affidavit of the concerned officer placing on record status report and therefore, he seeks short time. Time granted.

9. S.O. to 09.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 03.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1099 OF 2019**  
**(Ramraje G. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 09.03.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 03.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 152 OF 2021**  
**(Vishnu S. Misal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 09.03.2022 for final hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 03.03.2022



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 462 OF 2021**

**(Dr. Sagir Jamaliya Arifuddin Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Onkar Gholap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant placed on record a copy of application dated 03.03.2022 seeking withdrawal of the present O.A. contending that the impugned transfer order of the applicant is cancelled vide Government order dated 23.08.2021 issued by the respondent No. 1. He placed on record a copy of the said Government order dated 23.08.2021 along with the said application. Same are taken on record and marked as document 'X collectively' for the purpose of identification. In view of the same, the grievance of the applicant raised in the present O.A. said to have been redressed.

3. In view of above, the O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn and being grievance of the applicant redressed. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 388 OF 2018**  
**(Namdeo P. Gawali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 31.03.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 03.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 211 OF 2021  
(Sandu Y. Dongre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sunil B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents placed on record Xerox copies of the original record. Same are taken on record.
3. The present matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 544 OF 2019**  
**(Sandip S. Shejul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Dr. C.S. Tembunekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the pleadings upto affidavit-in-rejoinder are complete.
3. The matter is pertaining to compassionate appointment. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
4. S.O. to 06.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1045 OF 2019  
(Sunil P. Pathrikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.

3. S.O. to 31.03.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 03.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1090 OF 2019  
(Suresh B. Sonwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 24.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 542 OF 2020**  
**(Rajaram S.Shendge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 28.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 545 OF 2020**  
**(Dayanand U. Rajgire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Short affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent No.3 as per direction given on 12.10.2021 is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he has received the copy of it and seeks time for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 209 OF 2020**  
**(Akhil Ahmed Mukheed Ahmed Kazi Vs. State of Maharashtra**  
**& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 6. Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the respondent No.7 has filed **leave note**.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 5.

3. S.O. to 24.03.2022 for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.6 and 7.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 199 OF 2021**  
**(Ravindra N. Kshirsagar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.J. Pahilwan, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.04.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 03.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 205 OF 2021  
(Ramesh Y. Gunjal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings upto affidavit-in-rejoinder are complete.
3. The matter is pertaining to pension and retiral benefits. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
4. S.O. to 06.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 353 OF 2021**  
**(Sunil J. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings upto affidavit-in-rejoinder are complete.
3. The matter is pertaining to suspension. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
4. S.O. to 23.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 382 OF 2021  
(Shaikh Hafijoddin Hanifoddin Shaikh Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Pooja Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 and 2. Shri A.C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 & 4 is **absent**.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.03.2022 for filing service affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 383 OF 2021**  
**(Siddharth Y. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.M. Hajare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.3 and 5.
3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1,2 and 4.
4. S.O. to 05.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 461 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Madhav B. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 5. Nobody present on behalf of the respondent No.6, though duly served.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 5.

3. S.O. to 17.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 467 OF 2017**  
**(Dr. Madhav B. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4. Shri Vinayak P. Narwade, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5 is **absent.**

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.4 and 5 separately.

3. Affidavit-in-rejoinder today filed by the applicant to the affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.4 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

4. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

4. S.O. to 24.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 494 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Dhananjay K. Sawant Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3. Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4 is **absent**.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 submits that the reply is sent for approval.
3. At the request of the learned C.P.O., final chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.
4. S.O. to 05.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 689 OF 2021  
(Ajay R. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 31.03.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 703 OF 2021  
(Hema S. Dangat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vikram S. Undre, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
3. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.04.2022 for taking steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 728 OF 2021**  
**(Shaikh Abdul Gafur Md. Sarwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.
3. S.O. to 23.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 37 OF 2022**  
**(Vasundhara V. Borgaonkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4. Nobody present on behalf of the respondent No.5, though she is duly served.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4.

3. S.O. to 24.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.373 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1652 OF 2018  
(Keshav G. Lohar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Desshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is filed only on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 and 3.
3. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 4.
4. S.O. to 31.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.381 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1612 OF 2019  
(Dr. Bhaskar D. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri C.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondents. No affidavit-in-rejoinder is filed.
3. S.O. to 07.04.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.534 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2024 OF 2019  
(Subhash B. Selukar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.6 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The Original Application is pertaining to Time Bound Promotion.

4. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

5. S.O. to 30.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A.NO.592 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2196 OF 2019  
(Arvind A. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Desshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3.

2. At the request made on behalf of the respondents, final chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.232 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.321 OF 2020  
(Bismilla Daut Tadvi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.M. Hajare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 5 is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has received the copy of said reply and submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.
4. S.O. to 07.04.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.2 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1333 OF 2020  
(Kailas A. Kalke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Manoj R. Khutwad, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.3 and 4 in M.A. No affidavit-in-rejoinder is filed.

3. S.O. to 08.04.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.217 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.598 OF 2021**  
**(Vitthal S. Lokhande & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 28.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.387 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1673 OF 2021  
(Nilesh V. Sapkale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder.
3. S.O. to 23.03.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.8 OF 2022 IN O.A.NO.557 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Vyankatesh N. Ainlod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant in the present M.A. / respondent No.5 in O.A., Smt. P.V. Bodke Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent No.1 in the present M.A./applicant in O.A., Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.2 to 4 & 6 in M.A/respondent Nos.1 to 3 & 6 in O.A. and Shri Ashish Shinde, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5 in the present M.A./respondent No.4 in O.A.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.4 in the O.A. is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. submits that approved para-wise remarks are received and as per communication dated 23.02.2022 addressed by the respondent No.1 to respondent No.2, the same is to be filed through the respondent No.4 (in O.A.) i.e. the District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Nanded who is authorized to file.

//2//

4. In view of same, short time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.
5. S.O. to 10.03.2022. Interim relief granted earlier in the O.A. to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 03.03.2022

**M.A.NO.91 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.226 OF 2022**  
**(Bharat L. Rudrawar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By this application the applicants are seeking to sue the respondents jointly contending that both the applicants are seeking similar relief as regards recovery of alleged excess payment from the retiral benefits and they are seeking refund of the respective recovered amounts.

3. It is stated that both the applicants were working lastly in the office of the respondent Nos.2 and 3 on the post of Civil Engineer Assistant. The applicant No.1 retired on superannuation on 31.12.2017 whereas the applicant No.2 retired on superannuation on 29.02.2016.

4. It is the contention of the applicants that no reasons are stated for recovery and there is no recovery order. Hence the recovery is illegal.



//2// M.A.91/2022 In  
O.A.St.No.226/2022

5. In the circumstances, to my mind the cases of both the applicants may be similar in nature, but it cannot be said that there is common cause of action. Rule 4(5) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 deals with procedure for filing application. Sub Rule 5 of Rule 4 is as under:-

*“(5) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-rules (1) to (3), the Tribunal may permit—*

*(a) more than one person to join together and file a single application if it is satisfied, having regard to the cause of action and the nature of relief prayed for, that they have a common interest in the matter.*

*(b) Such permission may also be granted to an association representing the persons desirous of joining in a single application provided, however, that the application shall disclose the class/grade/categories of the persons on whose behalf it has been filed.”*

6. Upon reading the abovesaid provision, it is seen that more than one applicant can file application jointly and permission for that can be granted if it is satisfied, having regard to the cause of action and

//3// M.A.91/2022 In  
O.A.St.No.226/2022

nature of relief and having common interest in the matter.

7. So far as the cause of action is concerned, there is prima-facie nothing on record to show that both of them are having common cause of action. Hence this is not a fit case to grant permission to sue the respondents jointly.

8. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to continue this Original Application only in respect of the applicant No.1 i.e. Bharat Laxmanrao Rudrawar and seeks permission to delete the name of the applicant No.2 i.e. Subhash B. Selukar.

9. In view of above, permission is granted to delete the name of the applicant No.2 from this Original Application and to continue this application in respect of remaining applicant i.e. Bharat Laxmanrao Rudrawar.

10. Learned Advocate for the applicants further seeks permission to file separate application on behalf of the applicant No.2 i.e. Subhash B. Selukar. Permissions is granted to file separate application on behalf of the applicant No.2 in accordance with law.

//4// M.A.91/2022 In  
O.A.St.No.226/2022

11. The applicant to carry out the amendment within a period of one week and to serve the amended copy of O.A. on the other side.

12. In view of above, the Misc. Application No.91/2022 stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 03.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.226 OF 2022  
(Bharat L. Rudrawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 12.04.2022

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A.St.No.226/22

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 12.04.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.142 OF 2021  
(Santosh D. Chatupale (Zalte) Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It is the case of the applicant that the entries of order of suspension of the applicant dated 31.10.2017 and subsequent order of stay to the said suspension order dated 01.11.2017 are taken in the service book of the applicant. Further by order dated 24.11.2020 (Annex. 'A-6'), the respondent No.2, higher authority directed the respondent No.4 to cancel/revoke the said two entries. In the affidavit-in-reply the respondents have annexed the copy of order dated 24.11.2020 whereby it is stated that both the orders are cancelled and its entry is taken in the service book of the applicant. However, it is taken on different page and not on the page on which the suspension and stay of suspension order is mentioned.

3. In view of the same, the respondents are required to take the entry of cancellation/revocation of

//2//

O.A.142/2021

earlier two orders vide letter dated 24.11.2020 on the same page.

4. In the facts and circumstances, by letter dated 24.11.2020 (Annex. 'R-1' collectively, page no.51 of P.B.), the substantial purpose of the applicant for which the Original Application is filed is achieved, but further steps are required to be taken appropriately by taking note of the same on the same page.

5. In view of same, the Original Application can be disposed of by giving appropriate directions to the respondents. I therefore, proceed to pass following order:-

**ORDER**

The Original Application is disposed of in following terms:-

- (a) The respondents are directed to take entry of order/letter dated 24.11.2020 (page no.51 of P.B.) on the same page on which entry of order of suspension and it's stay are mentioned in the service book in accordance with Rules within a period of two months from the date of this order.
- (b) No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.236 OF 2021  
(Vikram B. Garje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.648 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Usha N. Bholane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.P. Gase, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4. Shri N.R. Dayama, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5 is **absent.**

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4.

3. S.O. to 31.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.83 OF 2021**  
**(Ashok R. Tonde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.K. Kshirsagar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is already part heard.
3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.406 OF 2019**  
**(Dayanand V. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 03.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Girish Awale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**Date :03.03.2022**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.220 OF 2022**

**(Prakash Marutirao Kothule V/s The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, Id. P.O. for the respondents, are present
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24.03.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **24.03.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**C.P.NO. 4/2020 IN O.A.NO. 333/2017  
(Shital A. Soni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri M.S. Jagtap-Ware, learned counsel for respondent No. 5, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 26.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**C.P.NO. 5/2020 IN O.A.NO. 336/2017  
(Dr. Yogesh V. Solanke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri Mahesh S. Taur, learned counsel for respondent No. 5, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 26.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**C.P.NO. 6/2020 IN O.A.NO. 332/2017  
(Dr. Anil S. Biradar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri V.P. Narwade, learned counsel for respondent No. 5, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 26.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 963 OF 2017  
(Vasant D. Karke & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 5.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1094 OF 2019  
(Tushar C. Musale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 3.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 5.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**O.A.NOS. 304 & 305 BOTH OF 2019  
(Pandharinath M. Ahire & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Harish S. Bali, learned counsel for the applicants in both these cases and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 6.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 490 OF 2019  
(Shivhar B. Bhise Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 3.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant, on instructions, submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. In the circumstances, list the present case for hearing on 7.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 120 OF 2020  
(Vinit S. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 3.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 8.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 233 OF 2021  
(Balas K. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 3.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Pramod A. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 234 OF 2021  
(Rameshwar E. Chadidar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 3.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Pramod A. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 350 OF 2021  
(Shaikh Chand Badshaha Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 9.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 803 OF 2021  
(Parmeshwar D. Bellale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 3.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Madhur A. Golegaonkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 9.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 804 OF 2021  
(Vilas S. Rokade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 3.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Madhur A. Golegaonkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 11.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 808 OF 2021  
(Rohini N. Pashte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Rahul B. Temak, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that he will file affidavit in reply during the course of the day. He undertook to serve the copy of the same on the other side.

3. S.O. to 11.4.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 816 OF 2021  
(Ramkisan J. Nampalle & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Dhage, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 47 OF 2022  
(Sanjay V. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 12.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 67 OF 2022  
(Gopinath J. Dhanwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 3.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Amol S. Gandhi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 13.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 91 OF 2022  
(Narendrasingh I. Kachhwaha Vs. State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 3.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 13.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 79/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 288/2021  
(Pralhad V. Fiske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Hemant D. More, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 30.3.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 89/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 320/2021  
(Dr. Sambhaji B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 8.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD



**M.A.ST.NO. 1599/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1553/2021  
(Sham G. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Miss. G.N. Sayad, learned counsel holding for Shri A.D. Aghav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 9.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 53/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 99/2022  
(Gorakh R. Limaji Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.S. Mantri, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service of notice on respondent No. 1.
3. S.O. to 9.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 108/2019  
(Krushna R. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vinod N. Rathod, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Arguments of both the sides are heard. The matter is closed for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 93/2019  
(Priyanka J. Janephalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 7.3.2022 for hearing. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 909/2019  
(Vijaykumar V. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.A. Dhengle, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.3.2022 for hearing. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 422/2020**  
**(Nilesh R. Tagad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Rahul Karpe, learned Counsel holding for Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 9.3.2022 for hearing. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 943/2019  
(Dattu G. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Counsel holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 29.3.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any, and hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 485/2020  
(Vijay S. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
**AND**  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 3.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned Counsel has filed rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Presenting Officer.

3. S.O. to 24.3.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 372/2021  
(Sanjay N. Deshpande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vikas G. Kodale, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Sachin S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 3 & 5, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.3.2022 for hearing. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 3.3.2022

**M.A. 415/2021 IN O.A. 717/2021**  
**(Meena V. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**  
**WITH**  
**M.A. 416/2021 IN O.A. 716/2021**  
**(Navin J. Sharma Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**  
**WITH**  
**M.A. 417/2021 IN O.A. 718/2021**  
**(Gajanan B. Aundhekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants in all the three matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all the three matters, are present.

2. The learned C.P.O. has tendered across the bar separate affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 2 & 3 in respective M.As. The same are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to the learned Counsel for the applicants.

3. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 22.3.2022 for filing rejoinder, if any, and hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 638/2018  
(Rajkumar D. Barwal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.V. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.3.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 389/2020**  
**(Radhika S. Khare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**  
**WITH**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 390/2020**  
**(Aashatai P. Metkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **3.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned counsel for the applicants in both the matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 7.3.2022 for hearing. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**Date : 03.03.2022**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 217 OF 2022**  
**(Ahmed Raza Sadique Shaikh V/s State of**  
**Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,**  
**M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 05.04.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **05.04.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**Date : 03.03.2022**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 216 OF 2022**  
**(Usha N. Kute V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri P.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 04.04.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **04.04.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

